Development of a Laser-Photofragmentation Laser-Induced Fluorescence instrument for the detection of nitrous acid and hydroxyl radicals in the atmosphere
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Abstract. A new instrument for the measurement of atmospheric nitrous acid (HONO) and hydroxyl radicals (OH) has been developed using laser photofragmentation (LP) of HONO at 355 nm after expansion into a low-pressure cell, followed by resonant laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) of the resulting OH radical fragment at 308 nm similar to the fluorescence assay by gas expansion technique (FAGE). The LP/LIF instrument is calibrated by determining the photo-fragmentation efficiency of HONO. In this method, a known concentration of OH from the photo-dissociation of water vapor is titrated with nitric oxide to produce a known concentration of HONO. Measurement of the concentration of the OH radical fragment relative to the concentration of HONO provides a measurement of the photo-fragmentation efficiency. The LP/LIF instrument has demonstrated a 1σ detection limit of 9 ppt for a 10-min integration time. Ambient measurements of HONO and OH from a forested environment and an urban setting are presented along with indoor measurements to demonstrate the performance of the instrument.

1 Introduction

Although the photolysis of ozone followed by the reaction of excited oxygen atoms with water vapor has been recognized as an important source of hydroxyl radicals (OH) in the troposphere (Rohrer and Berresheim, 2006), several studies have indicated that the photolysis of nitrous acid (HONO) (R1) is a significant, if not dominant source of OH in several environments (Kleffmann et al., 2005; Acker et al., 2006; Dusanter et al., 2009b; Volkamer et al., 2010; Ren et al., 2013; Griffith et al., 2016).

\[
\text{HONO} + \nu (300 \text{ nm} < \lambda < 400 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO} \quad (R1)
\]

As the dominant oxidant in the lower troposphere, OH initiates reactions with carbon monoxide and a wide variety of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) leading to the formation of the hydroperoxyl radical (HO₂) and organic peroxy radicals (RO₂). In the presence of nitrogen oxides (NOₓ = NO + NO₂) reactions of these peroxy radicals regenerate OH radicals, establishing a fast radical-propagation chain that can produce harmful pollutants including ozone and secondary organic aerosols. Attempts to develop effective control strategies for these secondary pollutants necessitate a thorough understanding of OH radical chemistry. Due to its importance as a radical precursor, a more complete understanding of HONO sources and sinks is critical to understanding the oxidative capacity of the atmosphere.

HONO is produced in the gas phase from the reaction of OH radicals with NO (R2). In addition to photolysis (R1), reaction of HONO with OH radicals (R3) is another important loss mechanism in the gas phase. Considering R2 as the only source of HONO, its gas-phase concentration can be calculated from a steady-state expression (Eq. 1).

\[
\text{OH} + \text{NO} + M \rightarrow \text{HONO} + M \quad (R2)
\]
HONO + OH → H₂O + NO₂  

[RONO]PSS = \frac{k_{OH+NO}[OH][NO]}{[RONO]+k_{OH+RONO}[OH]}  

Given that the loss of HONO is directly and indirectly dependent on photolytic processes, concentrations of HONO are expected to be low during the day and accumulate at night. As a result, HONO photolysis can dominate OH production during the morning hours in some environments (Volkamer et al., 2010), but decrease in importance during the day as the concentration of HONO decreases. However, in several instances HONO photolysis has been shown to be a significant OH source through the day (Kleffmann et al., 2005; Acker et al., 2006; Ren et al., 2013; Griffith et al., 2016; Xue et al., 2020). This is mainly due to higher-than-expected daytime HONO mixing ratios that cannot be attributed to gas-phase reactions (R1-R3) (Tang et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2016; Meusel et al., 2016; Xue et al., 2020).

Other HONO sources include direct emission from vehicles or other combustion sources (Kirchstetter et al., 1996; Kurtenbach et al., 2001; Li et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2015), direct photolysis of some species (Bejan et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2011), photo-enhanced surface reactions (George et al., 2005; Stemmler et al., 2006), and release from soil due to biological processes (Su et al., 2011; Oswald et al., 2013; Weber et al., 2015; Meusel et al., 2018). Lastly, several production pathways involving the heterogeneous conversion of NO₂ to HONO on soil, leaf canopies, aerosols, and other surfaces have been suggested to explain higher-than-expected HONO mixing ratios observed during some field campaigns (Kleffmann et al., 1998; Ramazan et al., 2004; Stutz et al., 2004; Xue et al., 2020).

HONO is also an important pollutant within the indoor environment. While outdoor mixing ratios during the daytime are typically within the range of tens to hundreds of ppt (Huang et al., 2002; Oswald et al., 2015), and can range from hundreds of ppt up to several ppb at night or during morning rush hour in urban environments (Stutz et al., 2010; Young et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2016), indoor HONO measurements have shown background levels of several ppb, and elevated mixing ratios as high as 20–90 ppb during cooking or other combustion events (Brauer et al., 1990; Vecera and Dasgupta, 1994; Zhou et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020a). In two studies, average outdoor mixing ratios were 0.9 and 0.3 ppb compared to 4.6 and 4.0 ppb in nearby suburban homes (Leaderer et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2002). Elevated concentrations of HONO indoors are relevant not only due to the adverse health effects caused by inhalation (Beckett et al., 1995; van Strien et al., 2004; Jarvis et al., 2005), but also due to the potential for OH production indoors from HONO photolysis. OH concentrations were thought to be negligible indoors due to reduced light intensity, especially at short wavelengths and lower ozone mixing ratios, but several studies have indicated that photolysis of elevated indoor HONO can produce OH concentrations similar to those found outdoors, even at reduced photolysis frequencies (Gómez Alvarez et al., 2013; Bartolomei et al., 2015; Kowal et al., 2017).

As a result of these observations, a clear understanding of HONO sources is an important step in understanding the overall oxidation capacity of both the outdoor and the indoor environments. However, detailed mechanisms and dependence on variables such as surface type and chemical composition are still lacking for both heterogeneous HONO sources and photo-enhanced surface reactions. Thus, additional measurements of HONO in various environments and from laboratory experiments are still needed for the development of a more complete understanding of both HONO formation mechanisms and its potential to initiate the radical chain that leads to secondary pollutant formation.

Several different measurement techniques have been employed to measure HONO, beginning with Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS) (Perner and Platt, 1979). DOAS is based on the UV-visible absorption of HONO in the atmosphere across path-lengths of several kilometers and provides a direct measurement that does not require external calibration. The open-path nature of DOAS also eliminates potential impacts from inlet or surface chemistry that could result in interferences or loss of HONO, but the long path length required also limits its spatial resolution (Tsai et al., 2018). Incoherent broadband cavity-enhanced absorption
spectroscopy (IBBCEAS) is another optical technique that is capable of measuring HONO and several other trace gases. A long path length, similar to that used in DOAS instruments, is maintained within a short cavity of 0.5-2.0 m using two highly reflective mirrors (Nakashima and Sadanaga, 2017; Jordan and Osthoff, 2020; Tang et al., 2020). Detection limits from IBBCEAS have improved in recent years to as low as 118 ppt for a 60s integration time, but still may not be sufficient for ambient measurements in less polluted environments (Duan et al., 2018).

Several wet chemical techniques are also capable of detecting HONO, including but not limited to, wet denuder-ion chromatography (IC) (Neftel et al., 1996), mist chamber-IC (Dibb et al., 2004), 2,4-dinitrophenyl/hydrazone derivatization/high-performance liquid chromatography (DNPH derivatization/HPLC) (Zhou et al., 1999), derivatization with sulfanilamide/ N-(1-naphthyl)-ethylenediamine/high-performance liquid chromatography (Affif et al., 2016), and long optical path absorption photometry (LOPAP) (Heland et al., 2001). These techniques offer low detection limits and integration times, often below 5 ppt and a few minutes respectively, but indirectly measure gaseous HONO by conversion to nitrite anion or a dye within a liquid solution. This conversion introduces the potential for sampling artifacts or chemical interferences where other species may also be converted and interpreted as HONO. For example, measurements of HONO using LOPAP have been shown to have high sensitivity and limits of detection less than 1 ppt but suffer from interferences from atmospheric concentrations of NO₂ and O₃ (Heland et al., 2001). In addition, peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) and peroxynitric acid (H₂O₂NO₂⁻) can be partially observed as HONO in these instruments (Villena et al., 2011; Legrand et al., 2014). LOPAP instruments typically utilize two stripping coils connected in series to correct for these and other unknown interferences. In the first coil, HONO is trapped efficiently along with some interfering species. These interferences are similarly trapped in the second coil, which allows a true HONO signal to be determined by subtraction (Heland et al., 2001; Legrand et al., 2014). More recently, Chemical ionization Mass spectrometry (CIMS) has been used to measure HONO along with other inorganic acids. Iodide ion (I⁻) and acetate ion (CH₃COO⁻) CIMS have both been used with reported detection limits of 30 ppt (Roberts et al., 2010; Veres et al., 2015; Collins et al., 2018).

Other methods to measure ambient HONO include laser-photolysis into OH and NO fragments and subsequent detection of OH by laser-induced fluorescence at atmospheric pressure (Liao et al., 2006a). This method was used successfully to detect ambient HONO at the South Pole in 2003 (Liao et al., 2006b). Although this instrument exhibited a low detection limit of 15 ppt for a 1-min integration time, the wavelength of 282 nm used for excitation of OH made it less suitable for environments with higher ozone and water vapor mixing ratios due to the potential for laser-generated OH inside the detection cell from the photolysis of ozone followed by reaction of O(¹D) with water vapor (R4 and R5) (Wennberg et al., 1994). This interference can impact the detection limit of HONO by increasing the measured OH background signal.

\[ O_3 + hv (\lambda < 330 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow O(¹D) + O_2 \]  
\[ O(¹D) + H_2O \rightarrow 2OH \]  

Despite the importance of measuring HONO in the atmosphere, recent instrument intercomparisons have revealed significant discrepancies in measurements of HONO between various instrumental techniques (Pinto et al., 2014; Ródenas et al., 2013; Crilley et al., 2019). In this paper we describe a new laser-photofragmentation/laser-induced fluorescence instrument capable of near simultaneous measurement of both HONO and OH. In this approach, photofragmentation of HONO and detection of the OH fragment occur after sampling ambient air at low pressure, similar to the fluorescence assay by gas expansion (FAGE) technique currently used to measure ambient concentrations of the OH radical in the atmosphere (Heard and Pilling, 2003). Excitation and detection of OH occurs at 308 nm as this wavelength is much less susceptible to interference from laser-generated OH from reactions R4 and R5 because the ozone absorption cross section is only 4% of that at 282 nm (Heard and Pilling, 2003; Burkholder et al., 2019) and sampling at low pressure...
reduces the concentration of ozone and water vapor in the detection cell. In addition to a description of the instrument, a calibration method for HONO based on a measurement of the photofragmentation efficiency is described, and examples of measurements of HONO concentrations by this instrument in both outdoor and indoor environments are presented.

2 Experimental Section

2.1 Instrument description

The Indiana University laser-photofragmentation/laser-induced fluorescence (LP/LIF) instrument consists of four primary components: (1) a photolysis laser that fragments HONO into OH and NO, (2) a 308-nm laser for the excitation of the OH radicals, (3) a low-pressure sampling cell, and (4) a sensitive gated detection system that synchronizes photo-fragmentation of HONO, excitation of OH, and detection of the resulting OH fluorescence. A schematic of the instrumental configuration is shown in Fig. 1.

The absorption spectrum of HONO is shown in Fig. S1 (Burkholder et al., 2019). The strong peak near 355 nm was chosen for photo-fragmentation because it coincides with the third harmonic of a Nd:YAG laser. A Spectra Physics Navigator II YHP40-355HM laser is used for photofragmentation of HONO, producing approximately 3-4 W of radiation at 355-nm and at a repetition rate of 10 kHz with a pulse width of approximately 20 ns. The OH excitation laser system consists of a Spectra Physics Navigator II YHP40-532 Nd:YAG laser that produces approximately 7-8 W of radiation at 532-nm at a repetition rate of 10 kHz and a pulse width of approximately 20 ns. This laser pumps a dye laser (Sirah Credo, 255 mg L\textsuperscript{-1} of Rhodamine 610 and 80 mg L\textsuperscript{-1} of Rhodamine 101 in ethanol) to produce approximately 40-100 mW of radiation at 308 nm. A small portion of the 308-nm emission is diverted to a low-pressure reference cell for wavelength calibration (Dusanter et al., 2009a). In this cell, a high concentration of OH radicals is produced by the thermal dissociation of water vapor using a hot alumel filament. The resulting OH fluorescence is collected by a Hamamatsu photomultiplier tube (H6180-01) equipped with a bandpass filter centered at 308 nm (ESCO products). Using the OH fluorescence signal from this cell, the excitation laser is tuned to the Q\textsubscript{1}(3) transition of OH at 308.1541 nm, a transition that exhibits one of the strongest absorption cross sections around 308 nm (Dusanter et al., 2009a).

The sampling cell is shown in Fig. 2. Ambient air is drawn into the sampling cell through a flat 1-mm diameter pinhole inlet by means of two scroll pumps (Edwards XDS35i) connected in parallel. The cell is maintained at a pressure of 0.25 kPa to reduce quenching of the OH fluorescence by ambient air, and thus increase the OH radical fluorescence lifetime. As the sampled air passes through the inlet, it expands into a central aluminum cube and is intersected by the fragmentation and excitation laser emissions. The 355-nm laser emission is propagated to the sampling cell through a 12-m long, 1000-micron fiber-optic patch cord (Oz Optics) which results in approximately 1.5 W of laser power at the entrance of the sampling cell. The excitation laser emission is propagated to the cell through a 12-m long, 200-micron fiber-optic cable (Thorlabs, FG200AEA) which results in approximately 1-4 mW of 308-nm radiation into the sampling cell. After exiting their respective fiber-optic cables, both the 355-nm and 308-nm laser emissions are spatially combined by a dichroic mirror (Rocky Mountain Instrument Co.) that reflects greater that 90% of the 308-nm laser beam and transmits greater than 90% of the 355-nm laser beam. The beams are temporally separated, with the 308-nm pulse entering the detection cell 100 ns after the 355-nm pulse. Upon exiting the detection cell, the beams are spatially separated by a second dichroic mirror, and the power of each beam is monitored using a photodiode (UDT-555UV, OSI Optoelectronics) equipped with interference filters (Esco Optics, Thorlabs).

The fluorescence from the OH radical fragment is collected by an optical train orthogonal to the excitation beam. Two lenses (f=75 mm, CVI Laser) focus the fluorescence onto the detector, and a band-pass filter centered at 308 nm (Barr Associates, transmission 65%, bandwidth 5 nm, OD>5 at other wavelengths) selectively passes OH fluorescence to the detector and reduces the detection of solar
scatter, potential broadband fluorescence of other species, and scatter from the 355-nm laser. A concave mirror (100-mm diameter, 40-
cm focal length, Melles Griot) opposite the optical train effectively doubles the solid angle of collection.

The detection system consists of a time-gated micro-channel plate photomultiplier tube (MCP-PMT) (Photek PMT325), a
preamplifier/discriminator (F-100T, Advanced Research Instruments) and a high-speed photon counter (National Instruments, 6024E).
A delay generator (Berkley Nucleonics Model 575) triggers both laser emissions, separated by 100 ns, and also increases the MCP-PMT
gain after the 308-nm laser pulse (Fig. 3). Turning on the detector after the 308-nm laser pulse reduces the detection of the intense
scattered radiation from the laser pulse while allowing the detection of the OH fluorescence. The gain of the detector is reduced during
the laser pulse and switched to the highest gain approximately 70-ns after the laser pulse. The gain is kept high for approximately 550
ns in order to collect most of the OH fluorescence, and then is reduced until the next laser pulse. The signal from the MCP is amplified
and filtered by a pulse-height discriminator (F100T) that delivers TTL pulses for each detected photon. The photon counter is set with
a timing gate to count the fluorescence photons during a 400-ns window while the gain of the detector is high, avoiding potential noise
associated with the increase and decrease in detector gain (Fig. 3).

Wavelength modulation is used to tune the 308-nm dye laser excitation emission on- and off-resonance with the Q(3) transition
of OH at 308.1451 nm. The net signal from the OH fluorescence is derived by subtracting the off-resonance signal, consisting primarily
of solar scatter and some scattered laser radiation that extends into the detection window, from the on-resonance signal. To differentiate
OH fluorescence signals due to HONO photofragmentation from fluorescence due to ambient OH radicals, the 355-nm fragmentation
laser is cycled on and off with the use of a diaphragm shutter (Thorlabs). When combined with dye-laser wavelength modulation, this
creates a complete measurement sequence that allows near simultaneous measurement of both ambient OH and HONO. Figure 4 shows
an example of a typical measurement sequence. Each measurement cycle consists of 4 steps – (1) a background signal is established
where HONO is photolyzed but the 308-nm laser is tuned off-resonance (S1), (2) both ambient OH and the OH fragment from HONO
are excited by tuning the 308 nm laser to on resonance (S2), (3) the 355-nm photolysis laser is blocked by a shutter and background
signal is re-established by tuning the 308-nm laser off-resonance (S3), and (4) the 355-nm laser is still blocked but ambient OH is excited
by tuning the 308-nm laser on-resonance (S4). The net HONO signal is obtained from the difference between the signals from cycles 2
and 4 (NetHONO = S2 – S4), while the net ambient OH signal is obtained from the difference between the signals from cycles 4 and the
average of cycles 3 and 1 (NetOH = S4 – S1,3). Because the band-pass filter rejects scatter from the 355-nm laser, the background signal
with and without the fragmentation laser are not significantly different and only varies with fluctuations in the 308-nm laser power.

In addition to the sequence described above, chemical modulation cycles can be used to test for potential interferences in the
measurement of ambient OH as performed on FAGE instruments (Mao et al., 2012; Novelli et al., 2014; Rickly and Stevens, 2018).
Due to the single-pass laser design of the LP/LIF sampling cell, signals due to laser generated OH from reactions R4 and R5 are small
and are calibrated as a function of laser power, ozone and water concentrations (Griffith et al., 2016). However, Criegee radicals formed
from the ozonolysis of alkenes (Rickly and Stevens, 2018), the decomposition of ROOH species (Fittschen et al., 2019), or other
unknown interferences, could lead to the formation of OH radicals inside the detection cell. Removal of ambient OH through external
reaction with a scrubbing agent, such as perfluorpropylene (C3F8) (Griffith et al., 2016; Rickly and Stevens, 2018), allows quantification
of all OH formed within the detection cell. The remaining OH signal would be a measurement of the interference that can be subtracted
from the total OH signal when the ambient OH is not removed.

The LP/LIF instrument is automated using a National Instruments multifunction DAQ board (NI USB 6024) and a customized
LabView interface program that controls the 355-nm laser shutter and monitors the power of both the 355-nm fragmentation laser and
the 308-nm excitation laser. The 308-nm laser is controlled by the Indiana University Laser-Induced Fluorescence – Fluorescence Assay
by Gas Expansion (IU-FAGE) instrument as described previously (Dusanter et al., 2009a). The output of the 308-nm laser system is
split between the LP/LIF instrument and the IU-FAGE instrument, allowing simultaneous measurements of ambient HONO and OH by
the LP/LIF instrument with measurements of ambient concentrations of OH and HO₂ by the IU-FAGE instrument (Dusanter et al., 2009a).

2.2 Instrument calibration

Several of the previously mentioned measurement techniques utilize a HONO generation source to characterize instrumental response to a known concentration of HONO. Many sources are based on the design of Febo et al. (1995) in which gaseous hydrochloric acid reacts with sodium nitrite to form HONO (R6):

\[
\text{HCl(g)} + \text{NaNO}_2(s) \rightarrow \text{HONO} + \text{NaCl(s)} \quad \text{(R6)}
\]

This method generally produces much higher-than-ambient concentrations of HONO that require large dilution flows to reach typical atmospheric concentrations. Furthermore, the high HONO mixing ratios produced by this approach can disproportionate to form impurities such as NO and NO₂ (Febo et al., 1995; Stutz et al., 2000; Gingeresty and Osthoff, 2020; Lao et al., 2020), and excessive amounts of HCl can result in the production of CINO (Pérez et al., 2007; Gingeresty and Osthoff, 2020). As a result, this method typically requires an additional technique to verify both the purity and output concentration of HONO (Pérez et al., 2007; Gingeresty and Osthoff, 2020). While appropriate for a laboratory setting, these limitations, along with the long warmup times needed to ensure stability, can make this method less suitable for calibration in a field setting.

Instead, the sensitivity of the LP/LIF instrument to HONO (R\text{HONO}) is determined from (1) the photofragmentation efficiency of HONO by the 355-nm laser (PE), and (2) the sensitivity of the instrument to the measurement of the OH fragment (R\text{OH}):

\[
R_{\text{HONO}} = R_{\text{OH}} \times PE \quad \text{(2)}
\]

The instrumental sensitivity towards OH (R\text{OH}) is determined using the water-vapor photolysis technique which has previously been described in detail (Dusanter et al., 2008). Briefly, this method relies on the photolysis of water vapor at 184.9 nm to produce a known amount of OH (and HO₂):

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O} + h\nu (184.9 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{H} \quad \text{(R7)}
\]

As shown in Eq. (3), the concentration of OH and HO₂ produced by the calibrator can be calculated from the time-integrated photolysis of water vapor. In this equation, \( \sigma_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) is the absorption cross-section of water at 184.9 nm (6.78×10⁻²⁰ cm² molecule⁻¹) and \( \phi_{\text{OHV}} \) is the unity photo-dissociation quantum yield (Burkholder et al., 2019). \( F \) is the photon flux and \( t \) is the photolysis exposure time. The quantity \((F \times t)\) can be determined via O₂ actinometry experiments, as molecular oxygen is also photolyzed at 184.9 nm to form O³(P) and then O₃ after reaction with O₂. The concentration of ozone produced is also dependent on the product of \((F \times t)\):

\[
[\text{O}_2] = \left[ \text{H}_2\text{O} \right] \times \sigma_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \times \phi_{\text{OHV}} \times (F \times t) \quad \text{(3)}
\]

In these equations, \( \phi_{\text{O}_3} \) is the quantum yield of ozone from oxygen photolysis (\( \phi_{\text{O}_3} = 2 \)) and \( \sigma_{\text{O}_2} \) is the absorption cross-section of oxygen at 184.9 nm. Thus, measurements of ozone concentrations can be used to determine the quantity \((F \times t)\) if the oxygen absorption cross section is known. Previous studies have shown that the oxygen absorption cross section at 184.9 nm is dependent on operating conditions (O₂ column density, lamp current, and lamp temperature), making it necessary to measure \( \sigma_{\text{O}_2} \) for each calibration system (Hofzumahaus et al., 1997; Lanzendorf et al., 1997). The dependence of the oxygen absorption cross section on the mercury lamp is the result of the overlap between several features of the highly structured Schumann-Runge band and the lamp emission at 184.9 nm that depends on
the operating conditions due to line reversal (Lanzendorf et al., 1997) and potential fluorescence of the fused silica envelope (Cantrell et al., 1997).

Using Eq. (3-5), a known concentration of OH can be produced from known concentrations of water vapor and ozone, and the instrumental sensitivity towards OH (Eq. 6) can be derived from the measured fluorescence signal ($S_{OH}$) and normalized to the power of the 308-nm laser emission ($P_{308}$) (Dusanter et al., 2008). Typical $R_{OH}$ values vary with ambient water-vapor concentrations due to collisional quenching of excited OH radicals and range from $1.5 \times 10^{-8}$ counts s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-3}$ mW$^{-1}$ (Fig. S3). Compared to the IU-FAGE instrument, the OH sensitivity of this detection cell is approximately a factor of 5-20 times lower per mW of laser power due to the multi-pass laser design of the IU-FAGE instrument compared to the single pass design described here. However, the single pass design significantly reduces potential laser-generated OH from reactions R4 and R5, allowing for higher laser powers to be employed to increase the effective sensitivity of the instrument.

$$R_{OH} = \frac{S_{OH}}{[OH] \times P_{308}}$$

A schematic of the calibrator is shown in Fig. S2. The calibrator consists of a rectangular flow reactor made of aluminum ($1.27 \times 1.27 \times 30$ cm) equipped with a quartz window on two sides (Dusanter et al., 2008). The light source is a low-pressure mercury lamp (UVP Inc) housed in an aluminum cartridge that is continuously purged with dry nitrogen to prevent light absorption by atmospheric gases as well as to stabilize the temperature of the lamp. A 10 SLPF flow of humidified air is used to create a turbulent flow in the reactor. Mixing ratios of water vapor and ozone are monitored in the flow exiting the calibrator using commercial analyzers (Dusanter et al., 2008).

Once a stable concentration of OH and HO$_2$ is produced in the calibrator, the photofragmentation efficiency (PE) of HONO is determined by adding an excess of NO (approximately 800 ppb) to the calibrator to convert the known concentrations of OH and HO$_2$ into HONO through the HO$_2$ + NO → OH + NO$_2$ and OH + NO → HONO reactions. After production of OH and HO$_2$ in the illuminated region of the calibrator, reactions with NO and loss of OH to the calibrator surfaces lead to concentrations of HONO and OH at the exit of calibrator after a residence time of approximately 80 ms. During typical OH sensitivity calibrations, a significant portion (20-30%) of the produced OH and HO$_2$ radicals are lost to the OH + HO$_2$ reaction or to reaction with the calibrator surfaces, and additional calibration steps are necessary to quantify this loss (Dusanter et al., 2008). During photolysis efficiency calibrations, the excess of NO is sufficient to ensure that reaction with NO is the dominant radical sink and less than 5% of the produced radicals are lost via radical-radical reactions or surface loss. Model simulations of this chemistry suggest that after addition of NO, the HO$_2$ concentration is negligible and the concentration of HONO is nearly equal to the total OH and HO$_2$ concentrations produced by the calibrator minus any remaining steady-state concentration of OH that has not reacted to form HONO (Fig. S4).

Figure 5 illustrates a typical measurement of the photofragmentation efficiency. The original signal from OH produced in the calibrator is shown in panel (a) ($S_{OH}$), and the remaining OH concentration after NO is added to the calibrator is shown in panel (b) with the 355-nm photofragmentation laser blocked from entering the detection cell ($S_{remaining \ OH}$). This remaining OH signal is likely due to reactant segregation in the turbulent flow of the calibrator preventing all of the OH from reacting with the added NO. When the 355-nm photofragmentation laser is turned on, the increase in the signal relative to the remaining OH reflects the additional OH produced in the detection cell from HONO photolysis ($S_{HONO+remaining \ OH}$) (Fig. S5c). Additionally, impurities in the NO cylinder appear to be photolyzed by the 355-nm photofragmentation laser leading to a small production of OH that is observed when the radical source in the calibrator is turned off (Fig. S5d). This impurity must be subtracted from the signal recovered as HONO and is measured by turning the mercury lamp off but keeping the 355-nm laser emission on ($S_{impurity}$). This impurity is not observed when the 355-nm laser is blocked from entering the detection cell.

The HONO photolysis efficiency (PE) of the 355-nm laser can be calculated as the ratio of OH signal recovered as HONO to the net OH and HO$_2$ concentrations produced in the calibrator. This can also be written as the ratio of net HONO signal to initial OH.
signal, after corrections to account for the OH radical loss due to the OH + HO₂ reaction or to reaction on the walls of the calibrator based on measurements in the absence or NO \((S_{\text{OH,corr}})\). Because the calibrator produces equal concentrations of OH and HO₂, the factor of 2 accounts for the conversion of the produced HO₂ to OH when NO is added.

\[
PE = \frac{S_{\text{HONO}} \times \text{remaining OH} - S_{\text{impurity}} \times \text{remaining OH}}{2(S_{\text{OH,corr}}) \times \text{remaining OH}}
\]

(7)

Photolysis efficiency measurements are typically performed before and after ambient measurement periods, and variations between measurement periods are likely caused by shifts in the alignment of the 355-nm photolysis laser. Typical PE values for the measurement periods described below were between 0.25% and 0.34% for a 355-nm laser power of approximately 1.5 W. Impurities in the added NO that react quickly with OH and compete with reaction of NO, such as NO₂, could lead to apparent lower photofragmentation efficiencies by reducing the amount of HONO produced in the calibrator. Also, other products produced from radical reactions in the calibrator that are photolyzed at 355 nm leading to the production of OH or HO₂, such as HNO₃, could lead to apparent higher photofragmentation efficiencies by increasing the observed OH produced by the photofragmentation laser. As a result, the NO added should be of high purity, and chemical scrubbers designed to reduce impurities such as NO₂ should be used.

For ambient measurements, the concentration of HONO is determined from the net HONO signal \((\text{Net}_{\text{HONO}}, \text{Fig. 3})\) and the HONO sensitivity \((R_{\text{HONO}})\):

\[
[HONO] = \frac{\text{Net}_{\text{HONO}}}{R_{\text{HONO}}} = \frac{\text{Net}_{\text{HONO}}}{R_{\text{OH}} \times \text{PE}}
\]

(8)

For typical \(R_{\text{OH}}\) values ranging from \(1.5 - 4 \times 10^8\) counts s⁻¹/cm³/mW and PE values between 0.25-0.34%, minimum detectable HONO concentrations \((1σ)\) are typically between 9 and 18 ppt for a 10 min average in the absence of OH (laser power = 1.5 W at 355 nm, 1-3 mW at 308 nm). Because the limit of detection depends on the ambient OH signal that is subtracted from the HONO signal, the limit of detection will be higher during the day compared to at night. The overall calibration uncertainty is estimated to be 35% \((1σ)\) and primarily depends on the precision of the photofragmentation efficiency measurement. As mentioned above, the large uncertainty associated with the photofragmentation measurements is likely due to shifts in the overlap between the two laser beams as a result of temperature fluctuations impacting the optical alignment. Although this uncertainty is currently large, measurements of HONO were in good agreement with an acetate CIMS instrument during a recent indoor measurement campaign (Wang et al., 2020a). These intercomparison measurements will be presented in more detail in a future publication. With the same parameters, the OH limit of detection is typically between 1.1 and 2.2×10⁶ cm⁻³(S/N =1, 10 min average, laser power = 1-3 mW at 308 nm).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Outdoor measurements

The LP/LIF instrument was deployed in two locations on the Indiana University campus in Bloomington to measure outdoor concentrations of HONO as a test of the instrument. As HONO was the focus of these measurement periods, chemical modulation cycles were not performed, and the OH measurements presented may be impacted by unknown interferences. During the outdoor measurement periods described below the instrumental sensitivity to OH was \(-3 \times 10^8\) counts s⁻¹/cm³/mW, and the measured photolysis efficiency was 0.25% for a laser power of approximately 1.5W at 355 nm, resulting in a limit of detection for HONO of approximately 18 ppt (10-minute average, 1σ), and a limit of detection for OH of \(5 \times 10^5\) counts s⁻¹/cm³ (1-hour average, 1σ, 1-3 mW at 308 nm). The first of two measurement periods occurred during the summer of 2019 at a forested site within the Indiana University Research and Teaching Preserve (IURTP) (39.1908° N, 86.502° W), located approximately 2.5 km northeast of the IU campus (Lew et al., 2020). The mixed deciduous forest is dominated by emissions of isoprene and monoterpenes and is approximately 1 km away from the nearest high traffic road. The LP/LIF
sampling axis was placed in a small clearing 5 meters from the IURTP field lab building, and sampling occurred approximately 0.5 meters above a grassy surface to measure potential HONO emissions from the soil. Additional measurements of NO and NO₂ were conducted using a commercial chemiluminescence instrument (Thermo Environmental Instruments Inc. Model 42C) and measurements of the photolysis rate constant for NO₂ (J(NO₂)) were conducted using a radiometer.

An average of HONO measurements from September 4–8, 2019 is presented in Fig. 6 (left). At this site, mixing ratios of NO were below the detection limit of the instrument, but estimated to be less than 300 ppt, while average mixing ratios of NO₂ varied from less than 500 ppt at night up to approximately 1 ppb during morning rush hour. At night, HONO mixing ratios ranged from approximately 35 ppt to 75 ppt. Maximum observed HONO mixing ratios of approximately 150 ppt occurred during the day, indicating the presence of strong HONO sources that can compete with the loss of HONO due to photolysis during the daytime. Previous observations in remote or rural environments have indicated similar diel HONO trends, with average maximum mixing ratios on the order of 40 to 100 ppt (Huang et al., 2002; Acker et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2011; Meusel et al., 2016). Similar to the results from these studies, the measured increase of the HONO mixing ratio during the day correlated with temperature and solar radiation, suggesting that the photolysis of HONO, biogenic release from soil, or other photo-enhanced HONO sources could all be relevant at the IURTP site. In addition, observed HONO/NO₂ values during the daytime are significantly higher than those reported from a majority of field campaigns (Elshorbany et al., 2012), but similar to observations from some rural, low-NO₂ environments (Zhou et al., 2007; Meusel et al., 2016), again highlighting the significance of local HONO sources other than heterogeneous NO₂ reactions.

Measurements of OH radical concentrations for these days by this instrument using spectral modulation to determine the instrument background reached a maximum value of 6.8 × 10⁶ cm⁻³, similar to that observed previously by the IU-FAGE instrument at this site after measured interferences were subtracted (Lew et al., 2020). While it is possible that the LP/LIF instrument is also be subject to unknown OH interferences, the single-pass laser system minimizes the effect of laser-generated interferences compared to the multipass system utilized by the IU-FAGE instrument. In addition, unknown interferences observed using chemical modulation by the IU-FAGE instrument correlated with increases in temperature. Average temperatures during the measurement periods described below were lower than the summer measurement period described in Lew et al. (2020), suggesting that any unknown interferences may not have been detectable.

Nevertheless, because measurements of potential interferences using chemical modulation were not conducted during these days, the measured OH concentrations represent an upper limit to the actual OH concentrations, and the [HONO]/[OH] ratio a lower limit. The measured [HONO]/[OH] ratio was relatively constant during the day, resulting in an average value of approximately 1000 at this site. These values are greater than the estimated [HONO]/[OH] ratio assuming steady-state production and loss using reactions R2 and R1 and assuming the loss of HONO by reaction R3 is negligible compared to loss by photolysis (R1):

\[
\frac{[\text{HONO}]}{[\text{OH}]} \approx \frac{k_{\text{OH+NO}_2}[\text{NO}]}{J_{\text{HONO}}} \tag{9}
\]

Because the mixing ratio of NO was below the detection limit of the instrument (less than approximately 500 ppt) the concentration of NO used in this equation was estimated based on previous measurements of the NO/NO₂ ratio at this site. The value of J(HONO) was calculated as a function of solar zenith angle (Jenkin et al., 1997) and corrected for cloud coverage according to measured J(NO₂) values. As illustrated in Fig. 6, the estimated [HONO]/[OH] ratio using this equation agrees with the measured ratio in the morning and evening but decreased in the afternoon to a value of approximately 10 as photolysis of HONO increased, returning to a value of approximately 1000 in the evening. The difference between the measured and modeled ratio reflects the magnitude of the missing HONO source in this environment, likely due to soil emissions mediated by the microbial community structure at this site (Mushinski et al., 2019).

A second outdoor measurement period occurred on the roof of the Multidisciplinary Science Building II near the center of the Indiana University Bloomington campus. Sampling occurred at a height of approximately 12 m above the ground, and 1-5 m away from
building surfaces. This measurement site is adjacent to local roads and is influenced by direct emissions from traffic and other anthropogenic sources. Average measurements from October 14th and 15th, 2019 are shown in Fig. 6 (right). At this location, mixing ratios of NO were typically 0.25 ppb at night and increasing to approximately 0.7-1 ppb during the morning and evening rush hours. NO₂ varied from 0.5 to 2 ppb during the day and night, higher that that observed at the IURT site. Overall, HONO mixing ratios were higher than those observed at the IURT, with maximum mixing ratios of 350-400 ppt at night decreasing to 50-100 ppt during the day.

Similar to previous observations in urban environments, maximum HONO values were observed at night and during morning traffic and correlated with NOx, indicating that direct emissions and NOx conversion on buildings and other urban surfaces were more relevant in this environment (Michoud et al., 2014; Czader et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2015). The observed ratio of HONO to NOx of approximately 10-30% was higher than the 1-8% typically observed in other in other urban environments (Elshorbany et al., 2012), especially at night, which may reflect the close proximity of the LP/LIF inlet to nearby building surfaces and potential surface-driven HONO sources.

Measured OH concentrations by the instrument were similar to that observed at the forested site, with maximum observed concentrations of 6-8 ×10⁶ cm⁻³. As at the forest site, chemical modulation experiments to measure unknown interferences were not done during these days, thus these OH measurements represent an upper limit to the actual OH measurements. In contrast to the forest site, the measured [HONO]/[OH] ratio at the urban site varied during the course of the day, decreasing from a value of approximately 10³ during the morning to a value of approximately 100 during the afternoon, and increasing to a value of 10⁴ in the evening. This trend is similar to that estimated by the steady-state [HONO]/[OH] ratio using equation 9. While the ratio estimated by this equation reproduces the measured ratio in the afternoon and evening, it underestimates the measured ratio in the morning, again suggesting that there is an additional source of HONO at this site either from direct emissions or heterogeneous production.

3.2 Indoor measurements

In 2018, the LP/LIF instrument was deployed inside a test house in Austin, Texas as part of the House Observations of Microbial and Environmental Chemistry (HOMEChem) study (Farmer et al., 2019). HOMEChem was a collaborative field study intended to investigate how household activities influence emissions and chemistry of gases and particles within the indoor environment. During the campaign HONO was measured by the Indiana University LP/LIF instrument as well a high-resolution time-of-flight chemical ionization mass spectrometer (HR-ToF-CIMS) from the University of Toronto (Collins et al., 2018). Several cooking and cleaning perturbation experiments were performed from June 5-28. During this period the instrumental sensitivity to OH was ~2.75 × 10⁸ counts s⁻¹/cm³/mW, and the measured photolysis efficiency was 0.34% (1.5 W at 355 nm), resulting in a limit of detection for HONO of approximately 9 ppt (10 min average, 1σ, 1-3 mW at 308 nm). Results from these experiments are summarized in Wang et al. (2020a).

The LP/LIF sampling axis was placed in the living area of a 111 m² manufactured home, adjacent to two westward-facing windows. Results from a repeated set of enhanced ventilation experiments, where all of the windows and doors of the house were opened, are presented in Fig. 7. During the ventilation periods the HONO mixing ratio was reduced to approximately 1 ppb due to mixing with outdoor air and returned to a steady state of 3-4 ppb within 20-30 minutes after ventilation was stopped. These values are similar to those measured by the University of Toronto CIMS instrument during this experiment (Wang et al., 2020b), and a more complete instrumental intercomparison of the HOMEChem HONO measurements will be presented in a future publication. The fast return to steady-state concentrations after ventilation ceased indicates that gas phase HONO is in equilibrium with a reservoir of HONO precursors on interior surfaces. Similar results from a set of residential ventilation experiments in a previous study further suggests that indoor HONO mixing ratios are governed by dynamic partitioning with a surface reservoir (Collins et al., 2018). Additionally, during this experiment, the air conditioning unit in the house was turned off to minimize variations due to the on-off cycling of the system. As a result, the indoor temperature of the house slowly increased until the unit was turned on at the end of the experiment. The observed
increase in HONO as the temperatures increased within the house during the experiment suggests that the equilibrium is temperature dependent (Fig. 7).

3.3 Potential interferences

Potential interferences with outdoor measurements of HONO include species that photolyze at 355-nm leading to both prompt and secondary production of OH in the detection cell. Possible prompt interferences include HNO$_3$, H$_2$O$_2$, and other organic peroxides. A previous analysis of the impact of these species on the atmospheric pressure LP/LIF instrument suggested that the photolysis of typical ambient mixing ratios of these species would not lead to the production of significant OH at a photofragmentation laser pulse energy of approximately 700 mJ at 355-nm (Liao et al., 2006a). Given that the LP/LIF instrument described here utilized a much lower pulse energy (0.2 mJ) and the mixing ratios of potential interfering species are reduced upon sampling at low pressure, it is unlikely that these species would interfere with outdoor HONO measurements. Potential secondary interferences include species that could produce OH precursors from photolysis, such as HO$_2$ from the photolysis of formaldehyde, which could react to produce OH. However, the short time interval between the 355 and 308-nm laser pulses likely minimizes these secondary interferences. Nevertheless, the potential of multiple laser pulses impacting the airstream may increase the production and detection of interfering species, both prompt and secondary, and will need to be tested.

However, higher indoor concentrations of species that photolyze at 355-nm leading to the formation of OH could interfere with indoor measurements of HONO. One possible indoor interference is HOCI, which can be produced during chlorine bleach mopping episodes. Bleach mopping experiments during HOMEChem resulted in the production of approximately 100-200 ppb of HOCI (Farmer et al., 2019). During several of these episodes, measurements of HONO by the LP/LIF increased and were correlated with the HOCI measurements, while the HONO measurements by the University of Toronto CIMS instrument decreased as expected during these bleach mopping episodes, as the increase in pH likely impacted the surface equilibrium production of HONO (Collins et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020b). Although the absorption cross section of HOCI is approximately a factor of 40-50 times lower than that of HONO (1.2 × 10$^{-20}$ cm$^2$) (Burkholder et al., 2019) the indoor mixing ratios of HOCI during these mopping episodes were 50-100 times greater than the mixing ratios of HONO during these experiments. This interference will be examined in a future publication.

Because the HONO measurements require the subtraction of the fluorescence signal due to ambient OH, the limit of detection of the instrument will vary with the concentrations of ambient OH. The worst limit of detection will likely occur around solar noon when elevated photolysis frequencies lead to large production rates for OH and a short lifetime for HONO. In addition, while the current single-pass laser system with excitation at 308 nm using a high repetition rate laser reduces laser-generated OH from the photolysis of ozone, unknown interferences leading to the formation of OH radicals inside the detection cell, such as Criegee radicals formed from the ozonolysis of alkenes (Rickly and Stevens, 2018) could interfere with measurements of OH in addition to impacting the HONO limit of detection. These and other unknown interferences can be measured through the external removal of ambient OH through the chemical modulation technique described above, similar to that used by the IU-FAGE instrument (Griffith et al., 2016; Rickly and Stevens, 2018; Lew et al., 2020). In the absence of an interference, this method could also be used to improve the limit of detection of HONO through the removal of the ambient OH background signal.

4 Conclusions

The LP/LIF instrument described here demonstrates a sensitivity and limit of detection for HONO that is sufficient for ambient measurements of HONO in both indoor and outdoor environments. Incorporating two separate lasers and employing excitation and detection of the OH fragment at 308 nm in addition to ambient sampling at low pressure minimizes interferences from laser generated...
OH that may have impacted previous LP/LIF measurements of HONO at atmospheric pressure (Liao et al., 2006a). The current limit of detection of approximately 9-18 ppt for a 10-minute average can be improved by increasing the photofragmentation efficiency with a more powerful laser system, as well as increasing the OH detection efficiency by increasing the laser power at 308 nm and through improvements to fluorescence detection timing and excitation-laser alignment. In addition to improving the sensitivity of the instrument, future work will involve identification and quantification of some of the potential interferences in the measurements of HONO and OH by the LP/LIF instrument discussed above.

The LP/LIF instrument has several advantages compared to other instrumental techniques, as the technique is free of inlet artifacts such as heterogeneous formation or loss of HONO on surfaces and likely has minimal interferences from other atmospheric species. The ability to conduct near simultaneous measurements of both HONO and OH concentrations by this instrument will allow more accurate measurements of the [HONO]/[OH] ratio than individual measurements of each by separate instruments, given that the uncertainty associated with the OH detection sensitivity cancels out in measurements of the ratio in the LP/LIF instrument. Measurements of the [HONO]/[OH] ratio in comparison to model predictions can provide important information concerning the relative contribution of sources other than gas-phase production and loss by reactions R1-R3, as illustrated in Fig. 6 and discussed above. Furthermore, the calibration method involving production of HONO from the OH + NO reaction using the established calibration method for generating a known amount of OH provides a simple and robust calibration for both OH and HONO in field settings.

In addition to improving the sensitivity of the instrument and testing for potential interferences, future work will involve improving the stability of the beam overlap in order to improve the precision associated with the photofragmentation efficiency calibration method described above. In addition, the measured HONO sensitivity by the photofragmentation calibration method will be compared to that determined through the production of HONO by the reaction of gas-phase hydrochloric acid in a humidified gas stream with solid sodium nitrite (Febo et al., 1995; Gingerysty and Osthoff, 2020; Lao et al., 2020). While this calibration method is not as simple to implement in the field in addition to requiring quantification of the HONO produced, comparison of the instrument sensitivity derived from this calibration source in the laboratory would provide additional confidence in the calibration of the instrument by the photofragmentation method.
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Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the LP/LIF instrument.
Figure 2: Diagram of the LP/LIF sampling cell.

Figure 3: Timing schematic depicting one photofragmentation/excitation/detection cycle.
Figure 4: Sample measurement cycle from the LP/LIF instrument during measurement of OH and HONO during laboratory calibrations.

Figure 5: Example of photofragmentation efficiency measurements from an OH + NO → HONO calibration. (a) Signal observed from OH produced in the calibrator ($S_{OH}$). (b) Signal observed from remaining OH after the addition of NO converts the majority of radicals to HONO ($S_{remaining \, OH}$). (c) Sum of signal from remaining OH and signal from OH produced in the detection cell after HONO photolysis ($S_{HONO \, remaining \, OH}$). (d) Signal from the 355-nm photolysis of impurities in the NO cylinder observed when the radical source in the calibrator is turned off ($S_{impurity}$).
Figure 6: Average measurements of HONO, [OH], HONO/ OH, J(NO\textsubscript{2}), temperature, RH, NO\textsubscript{x}, and HONO/NO\textsubscript{x} from the forested site (left) and urban site (right). Mixing ratios of NO at the forested site were estimated based on previous measurements of the NO/NO\textsubscript{2} ratio at this site (dashed green line). During the measurement period conducted at the urban site, the detection cell was partially shaded by the building during the morning and early afternoon, resulting in the observed peak in J(NO\textsubscript{2}) at 15:00. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the diurnal average measurements (1σ).
Figure 7: HONO (blue) and temperature (red) data from the June 4th ventilation experiment during the HOMEChem study. Shaded areas represent ventilation periods (open doors and windows).