# Instabilities, Dynamics, and Energetics accompanying Atmospheric Layering (IDEAL): High-Resolution in-situ Observations and Modeling in and above the Nocturnal Boundary Layer

Abhiram Doddi<sup>1</sup>, Dale Lawrence<sup>1</sup>, David Fritts<sup>2</sup>, Ling Wang<sup>2</sup>, Thomas Lund<sup>2</sup>, William Brown<sup>3</sup>, Dragan Zajic<sup>4</sup>, and Lakshmi Kantha<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Smead Aerospace Engineering Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA <sup>2</sup>GATS, Boulder, CO, USA <sup>3</sup>Earth Observing Laboratory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA <sup>4</sup>Meteorology Division, Dugway Proving Ground, Dugway, UT, USA

Correspondence: Abhiram Doddi (abhiram.doddi@colorado.edu); Dale Lawrence (dale.lawrence@colorado.edu); David Fritts (dave@gats-inc.com); Ling Wang (lwang@gats-inc.com); Thomas Lund (t.lund@gats-inc.com); William Brown (wbrown@ucar.edu); Dragan Zajic (dragan.zajic.civ@mail.mil); Lakshmi Kantha (kantha@colorado.edu)

Abstract. The Instabilities, Dynamics, and Energetics accompanying Atmospheric Layering (IDEAL) program was conceived to improve understanding of the dynamics of thin strongly stratified "sheet" and deeper, weakly stratified "layer" (S&L) structures in the lower troposphere under strongly stable conditions. The field portion of the IDEAL program was conducted from 24 October to 15 November 2017 at Dugway Proving Ground, Utah to target nighttime lower troposphere S&L conditions. It

- 5 employed a synergistic combination of observations by multiple, simultaneous DataHawk-2 (DH2) small unmanned aircraft systems (sUAS) and concurrent ground-based profiling by an NCAR Earth Observing Laboratory Integrated Sounding System (ISS) comprising a wind profiler radar and hourly, high-resolution radiosonde soundings. DH2 measurement intervals, vertical (∼2-4 km) and horizontal (∼5-10 km) flight trajectories were chosen based on local high-resolution weather forecasting, and guided by near-real-time ISS measurements. These flights combined simultaneous vertical and slant-path profiling, and/or hor-
- 10 izontal racetrack sampling, spanning several hours before sunrise. High spatial and temporal resolution data were down-linked real time to enable near-real-time changes in DH2 flight paths based on observed flow features. The IDEAL field program performed 70 DH2 flights on 16 days, coordinated with 93 high-resolution radiosonde soundings. Raw and derived measurements from this campaign are outlined, and preliminary analyses are briefly described. This data set, as well as "quick look" figures are available for access by other researchers, as described in the paper.

### 15 1 Introduction

Under stable conditions, the vertical structure of the atmosphere is characterized by thin, strongly stable non-turbulent "sheets" [s](#page-33-0)eparated by thicker, less stable and often weakly turbulent "layers" [\(Woods, 1969,](#page-34-0) [1968;](#page-34-1) [Gage and Green, 1978;](#page-31-0) [Röttger](#page-33-0) [and Liu, 1978\)](#page-33-0). These sheet and layer (S&L) structures are often observed in temperature, humidity, and horizontal winds [w](#page-32-0)ithin the lower troposphere [\(Balsley et al., 2003,](#page-29-0) [2006;](#page-29-1) [Chimonas, 1999;](#page-29-2) [Mahrt, 1999;](#page-33-1) [Xing-Sheng et al., 1983;](#page-34-2) [Kantha](#page-32-0)

- 20 [et al., 2019\)](#page-32-0) and into the edge of the Stratosphere [\(Barat, 1982;](#page-29-3) [Fairall et al., 1991;](#page-30-0) [Gage and Balsley, 1980;](#page-31-1) [Röttger, 1980;](#page-33-2) [Woodman and Guillen, 1974\)](#page-34-3). The S&L structures are known to play an important role in the transport and mixing of heat, momentum, and constituents [\(Barat, 1982;](#page-29-3) [Chimonas, 1999;](#page-29-2) [Dalaudier et al., 1994;](#page-30-1) [Hunt et al., 1985\)](#page-31-2), as well as important roles in optical [\(Coulman et al., 1995\)](#page-30-2) and radio [\(Gossard et al., 1984;](#page-31-3) [Luce et al., 2001;](#page-32-1) [Röttger, 1980;](#page-33-2) [Xing-Sheng et al.,](#page-34-2) [1983\)](#page-34-2) wave propagation.
- 25 The large-scale vertical features of the layering structures have been qualitatively analyzed using monostatic and bistatic VHF radar observations [\(Balsley et al., 2006,](#page-29-1) [2003;](#page-29-0) [Dalaudier et al., 1994;](#page-30-1) [Luce et al., 2001,](#page-32-1) [1995;](#page-32-2) [Woodman and Chu, 1989\)](#page-34-4). Small-scale details have been characterized in terms of typical sheet thickness and stability, thickness of turbulent layers, Richardson number, and turbulence Reynolds number through in-situ measurements from radiosonde soundings, stationary observation towers and tethered lifting systems [\(Balsley et al., 2003,](#page-29-0) [2006;](#page-29-1) [Muschinski et al., 2001a\)](#page-33-3), and more recently,
- 30 using aircraft [\(Lawrence and Balsley, 2013;](#page-32-3) [Muschinski and Wode, 1998;](#page-33-4) [Scipión et al., 2016\)](#page-33-5). High-resolution multipoint measurements of temperature [\(Barat, 1982;](#page-29-3) [Coulman, 1973;](#page-30-3) [Frehlich et al., 2003;](#page-30-4) [Hunt et al., 1985;](#page-31-2) [Xing-Sheng et al., 1983\)](#page-34-2) and VHF radar estimates (and comparison with theoretical models) of refractive index structure function  $C_n^2$  [\(VanZandt et al.,](#page-33-6) [1978;](#page-33-6) [Woodman and Guillen, 1974\)](#page-34-3) have established the intermittent nature of turbulence within deep layers. More recently, quantitative aircraft measurements of turbulence kinetic energy dissipation rate  $\epsilon$  and the temperature structure function  $C_T^2$
- 35 have characterized the small-scale turbulence features within shallow turbulent layers in the troposphere [\(Balsley et al., 2018;](#page-29-4) [Eaton et al., 1998;](#page-30-5) [Fernando et al., 2015;](#page-30-6) [Muschinski et al., 2001b;](#page-33-7) [Scipión et al., 2016\)](#page-33-5).

Various explanations for the prevalence of S&L structures have been proposed. Concurrent observations using VHF radars and in-situ measurements suggest that S&L are the result of multiscale gravity waves (GWs) interacting with the fine structure (FS) of the background atmosphere [\(Barat, 1982;](#page-29-3) [Coulman et al., 1995;](#page-30-2) [Luce et al., 1995;](#page-32-2) [Röttger, 1980\)](#page-33-2). Some analytical

- 40 studies and numerical modeling results support this conjecture [\(Fairall et al., 1991;](#page-30-0) [Fritts and Rastogi, 1985;](#page-31-4) [Fritts et al.,](#page-31-5) [2009a;](#page-31-5) [Fua et al., 1982;](#page-31-6) [Sidi et al., 1988;](#page-33-8) [Smith et al., 1987;](#page-33-9) [VanZandt et al., 1978\)](#page-33-6). More recent Direct Numerical Simulations (DNS) achieving very high spatial and temporal resolution, primarily addressing multiscale GW and fine structure (GW-FS) interactions in "stable" environments [\(Fritts and Wang, 2013;](#page-31-7) [Fritts et al., 2009b,](#page-31-8) [2013\)](#page-31-9), suggest that Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities (KHI), GW breaking and intrusions lead to the formation of S&L. On the other hand, [Tjernström et al.](#page-33-10) [\(2009\)](#page-33-10)
- 45 suggested that airflow over low-relief terrain (i.e., small-scale mountain waves) are a plausible formation mechanism for S&L in the lower troposphere. Further work is needed combining more extensive observations with high-resolution modeling to understand the mechanism underlying S&L formation and evolution.

Initial modeling exploration of formation mechanisms of S&L structures arising from superposition of convectively stable GWs and dynamically stable mean shears, collectively referred to as multiscale dynamics (MSD), employed an idealized high

50 resolution DNS [\(Balsley et al., 2018;](#page-29-4) [Fritts et al., 2013;](#page-31-9) [Fritts and Wang, 2013\)](#page-31-7). The initial DNS of MSD by [Fritts et al.](#page-31-9) [\(2013\)](#page-31-9) featured a dynamically stable monochromatic GW of amplitude  $a = (d\theta/dz)_{min}/(d\theta/dz) = 0.5$  and an intrinsic frequency  $\omega = N/10$ . A constant mean stability N, and  $Re = 50,000$  were shown to enable instabilities and turbulence structures accompanying GW-FS dynamics that extend to very small-scales [\(Balsley et al., 2018;](#page-29-4) [Fritts and Wang, 2013;](#page-31-7) [Fritts et al.,](#page-31-9) [2013\)](#page-31-9). The DNS identified KHI evolving along the most highly stratified vortex sheet initiated by a propagating GW, that was 55 intensified by its upward wave displacement causing the local Richardson number to decrease below 0.25. This resulted in shallow turbulent layers laminated by thin stable layers resembling the S&L structures seen in VHF radar observations. Collectively, the S&L formation mechanism hypothesized by the initial MSD DNS and the advancing in-situ turbulence measurement capabilities of UAS provided the motivation for the IDEAL observation program.

Until recently, deeper understanding of the formation, morphology, and evolution of S&L and associated small-scale, weak, 60 intermittent turbulence structures has been hampered by current turbulence observational methods that are limited by spatial and temporal resolution, and inadequate range and dexterity of measurement platforms [\(Chimonas, 1999;](#page-29-2) [Muschinski et al., 2001a;](#page-33-3) [Muschinski and Wode, 1998;](#page-33-4) [Tjernström et al., 2009\)](#page-33-10). Additionally, the single-point vertical profiles (instrumented towers, balloon borne soundings, and tethered lifting systems) provide little information about the lateral scales of S&L structures [\(Muschinski and Wode, 1998\)](#page-33-4).

- 65 Although estimation of turbulence dissipation rate has been obtained from relatively high-resolution radiosonde measure[m](#page-34-5)ents in the troposphere and lower stratosphere environments [\(Clayson and Kantha, 2008;](#page-29-5) [Gong and Geller, 2010;](#page-31-10) [Wilson](#page-34-5) [et al., 2011;](#page-34-5) [Kohma et al., 2019\)](#page-32-4), recent advances in sensing abilities of UAS have enabled higher resolution, higher cadence, variable-path turbulence observations in the lower troposphere. Proof of concept turbulence measurements using UAVs such as the MMAV [\(van den Kroonenberg et al., 2008\)](#page-33-11), MASC [\(Wildmann et al., 2014\)](#page-34-6), BLUECAT [\(Witte et al., 2016\)](#page-34-7), SUMO
- 70 [\(Bäserud et al., 2016\)](#page-29-6), Skywalker X6 [\(Calmer et al., 2018\)](#page-29-7), ALADINA [\(Altstädter et al., 2015\)](#page-29-8), and OVLI-TA [Alaoui-Sosse](#page-29-9) [et al.](#page-29-9) [\(2019\)](#page-29-9) have been provided through integration of high-cadence fine-wire and multi-hole pressure probe sensors and deployment in various field campaigns limited to characterize turbulence in the boundary layer, e.g., CASES-99 [\(Balsley et al.,](#page-29-0) [2003\)](#page-29-0), MATERHORN [\(Fernando et al., 2015\)](#page-30-6), and BALLAST [\(Bäserud et al., 2016;](#page-29-6) [Båserud et al., 2014\)](#page-29-10). Even so, the limited lateral-scale characterization and the dearth of high-resolution, quantitative measurements of turbulence parameters
- 75 provide poor guidance for modeling studies employing high-resolution DNS investigating the S&L formation mechanisms. The Instabilities, Dynamics, and Energetics accompanying Atmospheric Layering (IDEAL) project was conceived to address the current limitations in our understanding of the morphology and energetics of S&L turbulence through a synergistic combination of precisely targeted multipoint observations using small unmanned aircraft systems (sUAS) guiding DNS modeling to characterize the dynamics driving S&L structures and associated flow features. The first phase of the project featured
- 80 an observational field campaign to systematically probe stable lower atmospheric conditions using multiple DataHawk2 sUAS (DH2) developed at the University of Colorado, guided by NCAR Integrated Sounding System (ISS) continuous radar profiling and hourly radiosonde profiling in and above the nocturnal boundary layer. Measurements were conducted employing multiple DH2, most commonly in sorties of three aircraft, for in-situ profiling and horizontal and/or slant path sampling. A total of 70 DH2 flights coordinated with 93 balloon-borne radiosondes were deployed supporting the IDEAL field campaign. Additionally,
- 85 an array of Surface Atmospheric Measurement Systems (SAMS) collected surface winds, temperature, and relative humidity at 2 m (mini-SAMS) and 10 m (SAMS) to monitor surface and boundary layer activity. Observation locations of IDEAL field measurements are shown in Figure [1.](#page-3-0) Following the field campaign, the second phase focused on high-resolution DNS modeling efforts, guided by the in-situ observations, to permit more quantitative exploration of S&L morphology, energetics, and evolution.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>90 This article focuses on the details of the observational phase of IDEAL: a campaign between 24 October and 15 November 2017 at the Dugway Proving Ground (DPG), Utah. Section 2 outlines the observation platforms used and the meteorological conditions during the campaign. Section 3 describes the UAS and radiosonde measurement strategy employed during IDEAL. Section 4 briefly outlines the data processing and the analysis techniques employed. Finally, section 5 provides concluding remarks and the scope for future work.



Figure 1. IDEAL field campaign location at DPG, Utah (top). The satellite image shows the predominantly flat DPG landscape (at 1320) m MSL) along with the prominent Granite Mountain feature (800 m AGL peak height). Locations of UAS launch sites (orange and purple diamonds) and the ISS deployment site (white cross) are shown. Satellite imagery was obtained from the publicly available ©Google Earth 3D mapping tool.

#### 95 2 Measurement Platforms and Observed Meteorological Conditions

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>2.1 DataHawk sUAS

The DataHawk2 sUAS (DH2) used for IDEAL measurements (see Figure [2,](#page-5-0) and Tables [1](#page-8-0) & [2\)](#page-8-1) is a product of many years of development at the University of Colorado. It is specifically designed for making high-resolution in-situ observations in the lower troposphere, and for operations in challenging surface conditions. The precursor DH1 was used in campaigns in Peru 100 [\(Balsley et al., 2013;](#page-29-11) [Lawrence and Balsley, 2013;](#page-32-3) [Scipión et al., 2016\)](#page-33-5) and Utah [\(Balsley et al., 2018;](#page-29-4) [Fernando et al., 2015\)](#page-30-6).

- [T](#page-30-7)he DH2 was used in campaigns in Japan [\(Kantha et al., 2017,](#page-32-5) [2019;](#page-32-0) [Luce et al., 2018a,](#page-32-6) [b,](#page-32-7) [2019,](#page-32-8) [2017\)](#page-32-9), Colorado [\(de Boer](#page-30-7) [et al., 2019\)](#page-30-7), and Alaska [\(de Boer et al., 2018\)](#page-30-8). To date, over 650 science flights have been performed with the DH2, totaling 430 flight hours. Relevant attributes of DH2 sUAS as they relate to the IDEAL field program are noted below:
	- Low cost. At approximately \$1,000 each, many vehicles can be deployed for a campaign, enabling multiple simultaneous
- 105 measurements (as employed extensively for IDEAL) or sequences of overlapping flights to provide continuous measurements over many hours. This also enables observations in marginal conditions (e.g., high winds) that would ground more expensive vehicles due to the risk of loss. Ten DH2 vehicles were constructed for the 23-day IDEAL campaign.
	- Ruggedness. The airframe is resilient foam, strengthened by a system of interior spars and flexures that absorb impacts, enabling the vehicle to "bounce" rather than break when landing on unprepared surfaces. It has a no-tail design, since 110 these extended members are easily broken, and resilient wing trailing edges and vertical fins that are very difficult to break. It also has a rear propeller with folding blades to prevent damage to the propulsion system during landing. In the IDEAL campaign, five DH2 aircraft were used extensively, of which two were retired due to accumulated wear. No aircraft were lost.
	- Ease of operation. A custom autopilot provides automatic launch, landing, and vector field flight control [\(Lawrence](#page-32-10) 115 [et al., 2008\)](#page-32-10), enabling a variety of measurement strategies to be set up with ease and flown under minimal operator supervision. Flight patterns can also be changed during flight to target specific volumes of interest, e.g., based on real-time measurements—an ability that was extensively used during IDEAL to identify and more thoroughly sample turbulent layers. A bungee cord is used for launch, guided by a simple two-rail launch ramp (see Figure [2\)](#page-5-0).
	- Gust-insensitive design. The unique aerodynamic design eliminates the roll moment due to sideslip, making the vehi-120 cle point into gusts rather than roll away from them, enabling well-behaved flight in high-wind and strong turbulence conditions. Normally, flights are not performed when surface winds exceed 10 ms<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> , or predicted winds aloft exceed 15 ms<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> . The vector field guidance uses a wind-aware algorithm that tracks derived compass heading to stabilize flight even when wind speed exceeds airspeed, eliminating the "reverse course" behavior that occurs in this case when GPS heading is tracked. During IDEAL, synoptic winds above 3000 m typically exceeded 20 ms<sup>-1</sup> which limited the flight 125 ceiling to this altitude to prevent unrecoverable downwind drift.

- Flexible sensor interfacing. The custom DataHawk autopilot provides multiple serial interfaces (7 UART, 3 I2C, 4 SPI), enabling a variety of sensors to be supported, and their data stored on-board (on a micro SD card), and telemetered to the ground station for real-time display. Tables [1](#page-8-0) and [2](#page-8-1) provide details of the sensors employed for IDEAL. Sensors can be installed at various locations in the body or the wings of the airframe without altering the flight dynamics, provided 130 center-of-mass constraints are preserved.
	- Efficiency. Flight durations exceed 80 min nominally, making altitudes of 5 km above a ground launch accessible with a typical 2 ms<sup>-1</sup> ascent/descent rate, and a lateral range (out and back) of 30 km at a nominal airspeed of 15 ms<sup>-1</sup>. For IDEAL, this enabled profiles to 3000 m AGL plus extra time exploring interesting layers for the vertically sampling aircraft, and many km-long racetrack patterns to be traversed by the laterally sampling aircraft.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>



- 135 For IDEAL, the DH2 was configured to make the following in-situ observations. Characteristics of these observations are summarized in Table 2.
	- 1. Measurement location and time. A UBlox M8N single-frequency GPS receiver provides horizontal position data and time at a 5 Hz cadence. Horizontal position typically wanders within a 10 m error band at a stationary location. Al-
- titude measurement is refined in post-flight analysis to obtain high-vertical resolution by calibrating the higher rate of 140 response (100 Hz) but slowly drifting barometric pressure altitude (using the TE MS5611 sensor) against the low-rate (∼ 5 Hz) GPS altitude, that does not have long-term drift but can shift occasionally as different satellites come into view. The resulting resolution in altitude is dominated by the random noise level in the pressure altitude of approximately 20 cm RMS. Similarly, sensor measurement times are recorded at high-resolution by calibrating 10 microsecond microprocessor timer ticks to 5 Hz GPS time of week (TOW) data in post-flight time-alignment procedures. The resulting 145 time-alignment lies within an uncertainty of 0.2 s.
	- 2. Mean atmospheric state. In-situ temperature and relative humidity (T/RH) are provided by a Sensirion SHT-31, located in the flow stream inside a cylindrical shroud, mounted above the vehicle as shown in Figure [2.](#page-5-0) Manufacturer's specifications for T/RH are quoted in Table 2. Barometric pressure is provided by a TE MS5611 absolute pressure sensor, located in an unsealed compartment within the foam aircraft body, with manufacturer's specifications given in Table 2.
- 150 3. High-resolution temperature. A custom (coldwire) thermometer uses a five-micrometer diameter platinum wire to measure mean flow temperature as well as fine-scale temperature variations in the flow. With a time constant of 0.5 millisecond and a sampling rate of 800 Hz, temperature variations at wavenumbers of  $\sim$ 20 m<sup>-1</sup> can be detected at the nominal 15  $\text{ms}^{-1}$  airspeed. The high-resolution temperature measurement is calibrated against the collocated (but slow) SHT temperature (in  $\rm{°C}$ ) by employing linear least squares regression in post flight analysis. Uncertainty in this 155 calibration depends on the temperature range observed: with the vertical profiles to 3 km AGL in IDEAL providing a temperature range of 20  $\degree$ C, the uncertainty in the SHT temperature results in mean coldwire temperature uncertainty of 0.2 ◦C and an uncertainty in coldwire temperature fluctuation scale factor of 5%. The spectral noise floor of coldwire temperature produces RMS noise in flight of 0.002 ◦C. Calibrated coldwire temperature is used with high-resolution altitude to obtain high-vertical resolution potential temperature  $\theta$ . Over several km altitude change, uncertainty in  $\theta$ 160 depends primarily on uncertainty in temperature, leading to the  $\theta$  characteristics shown in Table 2, assuming a surface temperature of  $T<sub>o</sub>$ . Spectral analysis is also used to fit inertial sub-range power spectral density models to provide estimates of the turbulent temperature structure parameter  $C_T^2$  [\(Frehlich et al., 2003;](#page-30-4) [Luce et al., 2019\)](#page-32-8). The accuracy of the resulting turbulence parameters is a function of the quality-of-fit of the spectral data to the Kolmogorov cascade model; misfits can be due to many causes, and various measures have been proposed (see e.g., [Luce et al.](#page-32-8) [\(2019\)](#page-32-8)). 165 Also, the turbulence intensity parameterized by  $C_T^2$  covers six orders of magnitude, so accuracy is best characterized logarithmically as a fraction of a decade. The accuracy values quoted in Table 2 correspond to cases with the highestquality fits observed in IDEAL data.
- 4. High-resolution airspeed. A custom pitot-static tube and a TE MS4515 differential pressure sensor provide 800 Hz airspeed data that is calibrated to ms<sup>-1</sup>. Coarse calibration is provided by relating measured dynamic pressure to air-170 speed, accounting for density and a nominal calibration factor found from wind tunnel testing with known airspeeds. This is used for flight control. Fine calibration of Pitot velocity is conducted in post-flight analysis using portions of the flight that have circular helical trajectories. These enable GPS horizontal velocity excursions to determine adjustments

- to the calibration factor accurate to 0.2 m/s. Offsets in this calibration are removed by averaging Pitot data during preflight procedures where the Pitot tube is covered. Motor/propeller vibrations and signal quantization produce an in-flight 175 resolution (noise floor) of  $0.07 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  RMS that increases at higher throttle settings. Wavenumber resolutions similar to temperature fluctuations are obtained in velocity variations also, and similar spectral estimation methods are used to derive turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rate  $\epsilon$  from the Pitot flucations [\(Frehlich et al., 2003;](#page-30-4) [Luce et al., 2019\)](#page-32-8). Filtered airspeed data are also used to estimate winds (described below). In addition, a custom (hotwire) anemometer uses a second five-micrometer diameter platinum wire to detect fine-scale velocity variations (calibrated against Pitot airspeed), 180 and these are also used to estimate  $\epsilon$ , but at a higher confidence level due to the absence of motor vibration artifacts that typically appear in the Pitot velocity spectra at high frequencies. As for  $C_T^2$  above, accuracy levels quoted for  $\epsilon$  in Table 2 derive from the highest-quality fits of hotwire spectral data with the Kolmogorov inertial sub-range model found in IDEAL data.
- 5. Horizontal Wind. Vehicle GPS velocity is combined with Pitot airspeed and vehicle attitude to produce estimates of the 185 horizontal wind at 1 Hz cadence in post flight analysis, using an extension of the geometrical method from [\(Lawrence and](#page-32-3) [Balsley, 2013\)](#page-32-3) that provides higher-resolution wind estimates. A detailed description of this approach is in preparation for publication. Since wind estimation from a moving vehicle involves multiple sensors, often with differing time constants and error characteristics, and comparison with reference sensors (e.g. on fixed towers) is problematic, accuracy of wind sensing is elusive. The value given in Table 2 is based on the magnitude of remaining anomalies in wind retrievals over 190 circular helical profiles where periodic artifacts are relatively easy to spot. Since there are various ways to extract wind estimates from the IDEAL data, the wind estimates provided in the IDEAL data set are intended only for "quick look" purposes in surveying the conditions for each flight. Users may want to develop their own wind estimation products to suit the analysis at hand.
- 6. Atmospheric stability. The Brunt-Vaisala (buoyancy) frequency  $N$  is evaluated using the vertical gradient of high-195 resolution potential temperature  $\theta$  using high-resolution altitude. This becomes highly uncertain as the vertical displacement in a finite difference for the gradient becomes small, so uncertainty estimates depend on the vertical step sizes used, as well as any smoothing for  $\theta$  and altitude signals used in this estimate. Estimates of  $N^2$  are provided in the IDEAL data set for purposes of highlighting the structure of background stability; users of the data set may want to develop  $N^2$ estimates specific to their needs.
- 200 7. Forcing conditions. Horizontal wind shear forcing is assessed relative to the background layer stability via the gradient Richardson number Ri, derived from the horizontal mean wind gradient with altitude, and the local buoyancy frequency. Again, uncertainty in this estimate depends on the details of the gradient estimation process, hence is user-dependent. Quick look plots of Ri are provided in the archived IDEAL data, but are meant only as guides to features in the data. Users may wish to estimate Ri and associated uncertainty as needed for their analyses.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Table 1. Characteristics of the DH2 sUAS as outfitted for the IDEAL campaign.

<span id="page-8-1"></span>

Table 2. Sensing Capabilities of the DH2 sUAS in the IDEAL campaign.

#### <span id="page-9-0"></span>205 2.2 Integrated Sounding System (ISS)

An Integrated Sounding System [\(Parsons et al., 1994\)](#page-33-12) was deployed to monitor the large-scale wind and thermodynamic environment, in proximity to the sUAS measurements. The ISS consisted of a Vaisala MW41 radiosonde sounding system, a LAP3000 915 MHz radar wind profiler, and Lufft WS700/WS800 surface meteorological sensors on a mast at 2 and 10 m. Ninety-three balloon-borne RS41-SGP radiosondes were launched in total, with daily launches between 0300 AM and 0700 210 AM LT at 30 to 60 min intervals, providing five to nine soundings each measurement day.

The ISS automatically ingests surface observations from the set of reference sensors (T/RH, and wind using Lufft WS700 and pressure using Vaisala PTB210) at 1.8 and 3 m. To achieve frequent radiosonde soundings ( $<$  60 min apart), communications were terminated (at 12 km AGL) well before balloon burst to enable launch preparations for subsequent soundings. The balloon Helium volume was adjusted to achieve a median ascent rate of  $\sim 3.5~\mathrm{ms}^{-1}$ .

215 The LAP3000 915 MHz radar wind profiler was operated in a low-height range mode to provide data at 60 m intervals between 200 m to 4.5 km AGL. Due to the dry conditions, winds were measured only up to 2 km on most days. The radar employed five beam directions and raw Doppler spectra were recorded every 30 s. Eastward and northward wind components were calculated from spectral moments averaged over 30 min.

Time-altitude data from radiosondes and the wind profiler were relayed hourly to the sUAS flight deployment team to aid 220 in-flight planning. Examples of ISS observations on  $6^{th}$  November 2017 are shown in Figure [3.](#page-10-0) Wind profiler data were used to monitor relevant events like precipitation (descending features in signal-to-noise ratio (SNR)), low-level jets (midnight at  $\sim$ 2 km), convective instabilities, and KHI.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Figure 3. Example measurements by the LAP3000 915 MHz radar wind profiler showing SNR (top) and wind barbs (bottom) for the 6th of November from 0000-0800 LT. The radar is briefly turned-off periodically for maintenance - seen as a pause between 0200-0300 LT.

# 2.3 Campaign Meteorological Conditions

Weather forecasts for sUAS flight planning were provided by the DPG Meteorology Division. Weather briefings consisted of 2- 225 day forecasts from the Four-Dimensional Weather System (4DWX), and the most recent observations of surface and upper-level conditions obtained from the DPG MET instrumentation. 4DWX uses the advanced research version of the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model to predict the weather conditions at the US Army Test and Evaluation Command (ATEC) ranges [\(Knievel et al., 2017;](#page-32-11) [Liu et al., 2008\)](#page-32-12). The system is a product of collaboration between ATEC and NCAR. The local surface conditions were obtained using a network of towers that includes 31 SAMS and 50 mini-SAMS. Each SAMS reports 5 min 230 averaged wind speed and direction at 2 m and 10 m, temperature, and relative humidity (T/RH) at 2 m, and precipitation. The mini-SAMS towers provide additional 10 m T/RH measurements with average values reported every minute. Doppler radar wind profilers provided real-time wind profiles from 120 m up to 5 km. The forecasts included expected synoptic-scale wind patterns, expected times of frontal passage, development of surface inversions, and cloud cover.

During the campaign, DH2s were flown between 2 and 8 AM LT to sample the evolution of nocturnal atmospheric conditions. 235 Weather briefings were provided to the team each day at 0:30 AM, so that launch sites and deployment strategies could be specified based on the most recent information. Observed T/RH and winds from all the soundings throughout the campaign are shown in Figure [4.](#page-11-0) Conditions were mostly dry with occasional evening precipitation. Surface winds during the first week of the campaign (24 October to 1 November 2017) were consistently strong from the South (see bottom right panel in Figure [4\)](#page-11-0). Thereafter, surface winds were consistently from the North; the Northerly surface winds predicted in the valley for the 240 last two weeks of the campaign agreed closely with the DPG MET 449 MHz wind profiler measurements. Predicted surface temperatures were between 0 and -5  $\degree$ C for most nights.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Figure 4. Vertical profiles of temperature (top left), humidity (top right), Eastward and northward winds (bottom: left and right) measured by 93 radiosondes deployed during IDEAL. Note: communications with some radiosondes were terminated early (e.g. 14<sup>th</sup> November) to facilitate a faster launch cadence.

The stable nocturnal boundary layer was shallow on most nights (∼ 75 m), but occasionally increased to 200 m. Directional shear was frequently observed between the surface and altitude of 2 km. A weak westerly nocturnal jet was often observed at

2 km. Strong speed shears observed above 2 km resulted in infrequent KHI. The background atmosphere was statically stable  $(1/N^2 > 0)$  on most nights, with occurrences of stability and humidity sheets at various altitudes separated by weak, intermittent, and sporadic turbulence events.

#### 3 Observational Strategy

The IDEAL measurement program was designed to take advantage of the high-spatial resolution, range, and dexterity of DH2 aircraft to provide high-resolution in-situ observations of S&L structures and their evolution under stable boundary layer and 250 lower troposphere conditions. The low cost and ease of operation of DH2 sUAS discussed in Section [2.1](#page-4-0) enabled simultaneous multi-path measurements intended to quantify local S&L flow evolution, scales, and the dynamics underlying their smallscale structures. Because in-situ measurements are necessarily sparse, DH2 trajectories were designed to provide successive, multiple-DH2 sampling of local flows along horizontal, inclined, and spiraling vertical flight paths sampling common volumes over tens of minutes.

- 255 Flight planning relied predominantly on 4DWX weather forecasts and local ISS and SAMS measurements described in Section [2.2.](#page-9-0) Evolution of predicted winds and the thermodynamic state of the synoptic-scale flow were used to identify the likely most favorable site for DH2 measurements each day. The two flight operation sites were established as shown in Figure [1:](#page-3-0) one on the eastern flank of Granite mountain, marked 'Flight Site 1' (FS1), and one in the central portion of the valley, marked 'Flight Site 2' (FS2). FS1 and FS2 were chosen to be upwind of the ISS deployment site for two different wind conditions.
- 260 Flight operations were conducted from FS1 on days when the predicted surface winds were from the southwest or west, and the lower level forcing was at least as strong as  $8 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  to  $10 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ . This maximized the likelihood of observing mountain wave influences on S&L structures due to Granite mountain at FS1, and accompanying fine-structure interactions leading to S&L dynamics. Flight operations were conducted from FS2 on days when the predicted winds were from the south or north, or were relatively weak.
- 265 Radiosonde winds and temperatures characterized the S&L structures at coarse vertical resolution which guided the choice of measurement location. An example of the real-time radiosonde data relayed to the measurement team on 6 November 2017 is shown in Figure [5.](#page-13-0) These periodic soundings monitored the spatial variability, intermittency, and temporal evolution of the layered structures at low vertical resolution and contributed to go/no-go decisions for DH2 flight sorties based on the prospects for encountering interesting dynamics while avoiding high-wind conditions.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

Figure 5. Altitude profiles of horizontal wind speed, direction, temperature, and relative humidity from a sample radiosonde launch at 0000 LT on 6 November 2017.

- 270 Over a span of 23 days, a total of 14 flight sorties from FS1 and 17 sorties from FS2 were performed in co-ordination with 93 periodically launched radiosondes. Rapidly changing atmospheric features around Granite Mountain influenced the choice of number of aircraft deployed in each sortie. Typical sorties during IDEAL contained between one and three DH2 aircraft each. Horizontal wind speeds above 3000 m frequently exceeded  $15 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  which limited the flight ceiling to this altitude. Figures [6](#page-14-0) and [7](#page-15-0) show the 3D contour of Granite Mountain overlaid with the DH2 flight trajectories for the two sorties carried out on
- 275 6 November 2017. The sorties shown in Figures [6,](#page-14-0) [7,](#page-15-0) and [8](#page-16-0) sought to investigate the temporal evolution of multiple layers at different altitudes with measurements that were spaced evenly in time. A typical DH2 flight sortie during IDEAL consisted of one vertically sounding aircraft (such as A1 in figures [6,](#page-14-0) [7,](#page-15-0) and [8\)](#page-16-0) flying a helical pattern of 100 m radius with nominal airspeed ranging between  $14-18 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  and ascending/descending between  $1-4 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ . Each sortie also consisted of laterally sounding aircraft (such as A2 and A3 in figures [6,](#page-14-0) [7,](#page-15-0) and [8\)](#page-16-0). The trajectories of lateral sounding aircraft in each sortie varied depending
- 280 on the conditions relayed by recent radiosonde data and on the conditions observed by A1. Generally, the lateral aircraft were directed to concentrate on a particular turbulent layer evolution. They followed horizontal racetrack patterns spanning about 1.5 km, oriented with the racetrack long axis along the horizontal wind direction while slowly ascending and descending through a narrow altitude range to observe spatial and temporal variability in the layer.

Table [3](#page-17-0) lists the date, flight launch site, launch time, flight ceiling and measurement strategy for each DH2 flight for five 285 notable sorties from the IDEAL campaign. The atmospheric conditions during these sorties are given in the caption of Table [3.](#page-17-0) A complete list of all the DH2 flight sorties are provided in Table [4](#page-37-0) in the appendix section. The overview plots of T/RH, static pressure, wind speed and direction, 3D GPS position, and aircraft velocity data along with the flight notes for each sortie are available for download on the IDEAL project preliminary analysis web page hosted by the University of Colorado (see Section [4\)](#page-17-1).

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

Figure 6. (Left and top right panels) Plots depicting the trajectories of the three aircraft A1, A2, and A3 deployed at FS1 for sortie 1 on 6 November 2017. A dominating terrain feature is Granite mountain (standing 850 m above the surrounding plane). (Bottom Right panel) Horizontal map showing Granite mountain with mean wind and DH2 trajectories.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>

Figure 7. Trajectories of the vertically 'sounding' aircraft A1, and 'laterally' sounding aircraft A2 and A3 from Sortie 2 on 6 November 2017.

<span id="page-16-0"></span>

Figure 8. Timeseries (LT) showing altitude profiles for vertically sounding aircraft A1 (red - ascent; blue - descent), and laterally sounding aircraft A2 and A3 (magenta and green) co-ordinated with a radiosonde trajectory (black) for sorties 1 (top) and 2 (bottom) on 6 November 2017.

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

Table 3. List of five notable DH2 UAS sorties deployed during IDEAL observation campaign. The launch date, site, time (HH:MM, LT), flight ceiling and observation strategy for aircraft in each sortie are presented. Sorties S08 and S17 observed persisting S&L structures at FS2. S23 sortie conducted measurements in a quickly dissipating turbulent layer at FS2. Sorties S11 and S29 sampled the nocturnal BL and the residual layer turbulence at FS1.

#### <span id="page-17-1"></span>290 4 Data Processing and Preliminary Results

The sensor data sampled from DH2 observation flights was telemetered to the ground station for real-time display and also written periodically on-board a micro SD card as binary data in 4 KB packets. An extensive suite of programs has been developed using MATLAB to calibrate the raw DH2 sensor measurements and compute meaningful scientific data products during post-flight data analysis. The DH2 instrument configuration, outlined in Section [2.1,](#page-4-0) consists of a custom Pitot static tube 295 fitted to a pressure sensor, a hotwire anemometer, and a coldwire thermometer that provide airspeed (in  $ms^{-1}$ ) and temperature (in K) at a high-cadence of 800 Hz that are used to estimate  $\epsilon$  and  $C_T^2$ . The Pitot derived airspeed data along with the GPS velocity and aircraft attitude information provided by the DH2 autopilot standard sensor suite are used to estimate the mean [h](#page-32-3)orizontal wind vector. A novel wind estimation algorithm, improving the estimation procedure described by [Lawrence and](#page-32-3) [Balsley](#page-32-3) [\(2013\)](#page-32-3), is described in detail in [Doddi](#page-30-9) [\(2021\)](#page-30-9) (doctoral thesis). This provides estimates of the horizontal wind vector

300 at a cadence of 1 Hz and at an accuracy up to  $0.5 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ .

<span id="page-18-0"></span>

Figure 9. PSD of Pitot derived airspeed (top tile) and coldwire derived temperature (bottom tile) plotted against sampling frequency. The  $f^{-5/3}$  fit line (solid black) along with the fit variance (dashed black) are shown.

Following the estimation procedures described by [Frehlich et al.](#page-30-4) [\(2003\)](#page-30-4) and [Luce et al.](#page-32-8) [\(2019\)](#page-32-8) the turbulence parameters of  $\epsilon$  and  $C_T^2$  are determined by applying spectral analysis to the high-cadence airspeed and temperature measurements. The power spectral density (PSD) is computed using non-overlapping 5 s time intervals. These raw PSD are then fit to the model spectra proposed by [Tatarskii](#page-33-13) [\(1971\)](#page-33-13) using a novel spectral fitting algorithm, then converted to turbulence parameters  $\epsilon$  (for velocity) 305 and  $C_T^2$  (for temperature). Details of the spectral analysis and fitting procedures are also described in detail in [Doddi](#page-30-9) [\(2021\)](#page-30-9).

Figure [9](#page-18-0) depicts the spectral analysis algorithm through an example PSD of Pitot-derived airspeed (top tile) and coldwirederived temperature (bottom tile) plotted against sampling frequency. The raw PSD (solid blue) is binned into 9 logarithmically spaced frequency bins between  $2 - 200$  Hz and averaged (red dots). The bin-averaged PSD points are then least-squares fit to a  $f^{-5/3}$  slope line, omitting spectral artifacts (e.g. 95 and 105 Hz points in the top panel) to determine the mean and standard 310 deviation of the spectral fit. This is used to provide fit-qualified estimates of  $\epsilon$  and  $C_T^2$  for each 5 s time interval.

Additional derived parameters quantifying the stability of the atmosphere are also computed. First, the coldwire temperature, 2D mean wind vector, and calibrated pressure altitude are filtered using a zero-phase distortion digital filter and resampled to 10 Hz. Subsequently, the potential temperature ( $\theta$ ), Brunt-Vaisala frequency (N), vertical shear ( $dU/dz$ ), and the gradient Richardson number  $(Ri)$  are calculated.

- 315 Following the criterion described by [Muschinski and Wode](#page-33-4) [\(1998\)](#page-33-4) and [Dalaudier et al.](#page-30-1) [\(1994\)](#page-30-1), temperature gradients on the order of 17Γ (Γ - adiabatic lapse rate) were used to identify the edges of stable sheets. A total of 58 individual stable sheet structures roughly 25 to 50 m thick were identified from the DH2 measurements in this campaign. Stability ducts, consisting of large  $N^2$  sheets constraining weakly stable and weakly turbulent layers as deep as 400 m, were also prevalent (see  $\epsilon$  in Figures [11](#page-21-0) and [13\)](#page-23-0). Such structures, often persisting up to five hours under very stable conditions, were commonly observed near the
- 320 peak altitude of Granite Mountain (850 to 900 m AGL). Altitude undulations in persisting stable structures (see  $N^2$  in Figure [11](#page-21-0) and [13\)](#page-23-0) during strong (8 to 10 ms<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ) eastward-wind forcing over Granite mountain suggest the presence of mountain waves. Temperature gradients as steep as 0.18 Km<sup>-1</sup> or  $\sim 18\Gamma$  (with tropospheric dry adiabatic lapse rate  $\Gamma \sim 9.8 \times 10^{-3}$  Km<sup>-1</sup>) were typically observed across most sheets.
- A shallow nocturnal boundary layer (200 m deep), with recurring sheet activity at the mountain top (850 m) and higher aloft 325 (1450 - 1600 m) separated by deep, intermittent turbulent layers (600 - 800 m deep) was the general theme underlying the observations at FS1. Escalation of shear at altitudes coincidental with the undulating sheet pairs may be responsible for their recurring decay, providing intermittent forcing for the turbulence inside the layers.

Figures [10,](#page-20-0) [11,](#page-21-0) [12,](#page-22-0) [13](#page-23-0) show altitude profiles of the DH2 measured and estimated parameters for the vertically sounding aircraft (A1 in Figures [6](#page-14-0) and [7\)](#page-15-0) from two sorties deployed at FS1 (see Figure [1\)](#page-3-0) on 6 November 2017. The ascent (solid red

330 line) and descent (solid blue line) data are separated by a fixed offset to more easily visualize the evolution of various flow features. Also depicted in these figures are the profiles obtained from radiosonde deployments at FS2 that were co-ordinated with the DH2 sorties.

<span id="page-20-0"></span>

Figure 10. Vertical profiles (offset for clarity) of 800 Hz temperature (offset: 2 C), 100 Hz humidity (offset: 10%), 10 Hz horizontal wind speed (offset:  $3 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ) and direction (offset:  $180^\circ$ ), eastward and northward winds (offset:  $3 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ) from the vertical sounding aircraft in S1 on 6 November 2017. Red (blue) lines indicate ascending (descending) flight legs. Black lines correspond to radiosonde data.

<span id="page-21-0"></span>

**Figure 11.** Vertical profiles (offset for clarity) of 800 Hz  $\theta$  (offset: 2 K), 10 Hz  $N^2$  (offset:  $10^{-3}$  s<sup>-2</sup>, dash line:  $N^2 = 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-2</sup>), 10 Hz Ri (offset: 0.75, dash line:  $Ri = 0.25$ ),  $\epsilon$  (offset:  $3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-3}$ ) and  $C_T^2$  (offset:  $1.25 \times 10^{-3} \text{ C}^2 \text{ m}^{-2/3}$ ) estimated using 5 s time records from the vertical sounding aircraft in S1 on 6 November 2017. The thick lines in the  $\epsilon$  and  $C_T^2$  tiles show the 30 point moving-average means of the 5s interval estimates (thin lines).

<span id="page-22-0"></span>

Figure 12. Vertical profiles of temperature, relative humidity, horizontal wind speed and direction, Eastward and Northward Winds from the vertically sounding (red ascent, blue descent) aircraft in S2 on 6 November 2017. Radiosonde data are indicated with black lines.

<span id="page-23-0"></span>

Figure 13. Vertical profiles of potential temperature, buoyancy frequency, gradient Richardson number, TKE dissipation rate (offset:  $1.25 \times$  $10^{-3}$  m<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-3</sup>), and temperature structure function from the vertically sounding aircraft in S2 on 6 November 2017. The thick lines in the  $\epsilon$ and  $C_T^2$  tiles show the 30 point moving-average means of the 5s interval estimates (thin lines).

The sorties presented in Figures [10,](#page-20-0) [11,](#page-21-0) [12](#page-22-0) and [13](#page-23-0) show a stable nocturnal boundary layer extending to 200 m, capped by an inversion layer. Eastward wind aloft up to  $12 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  was observed (see figures [10](#page-20-0) and [12\)](#page-22-0). A strong speed shear developed 335 between 1200 and 1600 m AGL. The background atmospheric column was near-neutrally stable ( $N^2 = 10^{-5} s^{-2}$ ). The DH2 observed an undulating sheet in the measurements of temperature and humidity at 800 m and another sheet formation at 1500 m (see the tile on the left in Figures [10](#page-20-0) and [12\)](#page-22-0). An intermittent patch of weak turbulence, 200 m deep, was observed between 1400 and 1600 m (see  $\epsilon$  and  $C_T^2$  tiles in Figure [11\)](#page-21-0). Subsequent vertical profiles of  $\epsilon$  and  $C_T^2$  in Figures [11](#page-21-0) (from S1 at 03:00 LT) and [13](#page-23-0) (from S2 at 05:00 LT) exhibit signs of diminishing turbulence likely leading to re-laminarization, enabling formation of 340 a steep temperature gradient that is characteristic of a highly stable sheet. The extinction of turbulence is apparent in the abrupt reduction of  $\epsilon$  just below 1400 m from the first ascent to the first descent in Figure [13.](#page-23-0) The confined yet elevated levels of  $C_T^2$ immediately below 1400 m in Figure [13](#page-23-0) further supports this conclusion.

The  $\theta$  and RH profiles from the hourly radiosonde soundings monitoring the atmospheric column at FS2 (9.5 km downstream of FS1) on 6 November 2017 (not shown here) suggested that the two stable sheets observed by DH2 at FS1, shown in Figures 345 [10](#page-20-0) and [11](#page-21-0) (at 800 m and 1300 m), are highly localized and likely dissipate as they advect. The undulating motions exhibited by the sheets imply wave activity in the lee of Granite mountain due to strong eastward wind forcing near the surface. Preliminarily,

this case seems to support the analysis presented in [Balsley et al.](#page-29-4) [\(2018\)](#page-29-4); [Fritts et al.](#page-31-9) [\(2013\)](#page-31-9); [Fritts and Wang](#page-31-7) [\(2013\)](#page-31-7) implying that S&L structures are maintained by GW-FS interactions.

- Preliminary analysis of the measurements made by laterally sampling aircraft (A2 and A3 aircraft from each sortie) is 350 underway. The 2D scatter plots of T/RH,  $\theta$ , Pitot and hotwire derived  $\epsilon$ , and coldwire derived  $C_T^2$  as a function of altitude and longitude for the laterally sampling A3 aircraft from S1 on 6 November 2017 are shown in Figures [14](#page-25-0) and [15.](#page-26-0) The uniform T/RH and  $\theta$  along the lateral extent of the sheets (1.5 km) between 1200 and [14](#page-25-0)00 m in Figures 14 and [15](#page-26-0) indicate that this shallow layer exhibits uniform temperature and stratification across the lateral measurement extent suggesting that the layer spans at east 1.5 km kilometers laterally. Additionally, the DH2 derived estimates of  $\epsilon$  and  $C_T^2$  between 1200 m and 1400 m
- 355 in Figure [15](#page-26-0) support this. An intermittent patch of turbulence approximately between 500 m and 700 m laterally and at an altitude of 1300 m in Figure [15](#page-26-0) exhibits increased  $\epsilon$  in comparison to its surroundings. Such localized intermittent patches of turbulence with varying  $\epsilon$  intensities are typical of early stages of growing turbulence within the layer. Also, the lateral and vertical variability in the estimates of  $C_T^2$  inside the layer (see Figure [14\)](#page-25-0) implies ongoing mixing as a consequence of persistent turbulence.
- 360 The slanted lateral sampling strategy employed by A2 (and A3) aircraft is expected to assist in decoupling the spatial and temporal variations in the measurements of these unsteady, localized, and advecting turbulence patches and may provide insights on the intermittency and evolution of small-scale weak turbulence within the shallow mixed layers. Although more thorough analysis of DH2 data products is expected to provide further insights on the lateral structure and variability of S&L, it is unlikely to shed much light on the formation mechanism. For this, high resolution DNS modeling may provide more
- 365 insights, and these DH2 measurements can provide qualitative information on the background structure and forcing conditions invaluable for initializing and validating future DNS studies of such multiscale turbulence dynamics in the free atmosphere.

<span id="page-25-0"></span>

Figure 14. Scatter plot of T, RH, and  $\theta$  plotted (colors) as a function of altitude and longitude for the lateral sounding DH2 aircraft from the first sortie (S1) on 6 November 2017.

<span id="page-26-0"></span>

**Figure 15.** Scatter plot of Pitot and hotwire derived  $\epsilon$  and coldwire derived  $c_T^2$  plotted (colors) as a function of altitude and longitude for the lateral sounding DH2 aircraft from the first sortie (S1) on 6 November 2017.

# 5 Conclusions and Future work

Sheet and Layer (S&L) structures appear to be ubiquitous in the nocturnal boundary layer under relatively quiescent conditions, often extend to higher altitudes in the lower troposphere, and have parallels extending to much higher altitudes at larger 370 spatial scales. The IDEAL program was motivated by multiple previous radar observations and high-resolution in-situ profiling measurements revealing S&L structures, along with initial high-resolution DNS modeling of KHI MSD demonstrating the emergence of S&L dynamics from idealized initial conditions. The resulting field campaign employing high-resolution DH2 UAS instrumentation and the observation strategy employed at DPG in Utah with guidance from daily local WRF weather

forecasts, ISS wind profiler radar and hourly radiosonde profiles provides a unique data set with multi-UAS sorties performing 375 coincident, but diverse, flight profiles in a common volume under stable nighttime conditions.

The vertical profiling aircraft, deployed first during each sortie, surveyed the atmospheric column and relayed in real-time to the ground station. This information was used to guide and steer the lateral profiling aircraft in each sortie. This sampling strategy increases the diversity of observations of S&L dynamics for characterizing unsteady, advecting, weak and intermittent turbulence layers in the free troposphere (up to 3 km). Preliminary analysis of lateral profiling aircraft measurements identified

- 380 a range of behavior, from weak intermittent turbulence to strong persisting turbulent layers, as well as highly localized turbulent layers at 850 m and 1500 m in the leeward side of Granite Mountain. The initial assessment of data from lateral sounding aircraft on 6 November 2017 presented in Section [4](#page-17-1) were found to be qualitatively consistent with the description of the S&L structures described by [Tjernström et al.](#page-33-10) [\(2009\)](#page-33-10) and [Balsley et al.](#page-29-4) [\(2018\)](#page-29-4). Although preliminary analysis of lateral profiling DH2 UAS are expected to provide valuable insights on the morphology and evolution of shallow turbulent layers, a detailed
- 385 analysis and interpretation of this observational data is presently hampered due to the complexity in distinguishing the spatial and temporal variability in the data due to steady, localized and advecting turbulence patches [\(Wainwright et al., 2015\)](#page-34-8). Highresolution modeling can be instrumental in providing context and phenomenology for interpreting these measurements.

Recently, DNS models designed to further explore KHI MSD explored misaligned KHI billows leading to cases of "tube and knot" (T&K) dynamics. These secondary KHI mechanisms were examined in detail by [Fritts et al.](#page-31-11) [\(2021a\)](#page-31-11) and [Fritts et al.](#page-31-12)

- 390 [\(2021b\)](#page-31-12). The T&K dynamics resulted in secondary KHI and transitions to turbulence that were dramatically more aggressive and intense than in their absence. The KHI MSD DNS also predicted the emergence of small-scale KHI dynamics within induced S&L structures that emerged from the idealized initial conditions. The expected wide-spread presence of MSD suggest that KHI T&K dynamics in the atmosphere are likely major contributors to the small-scale dynamics and resulting S&L structures. The high-resolution turbulence observations carried out as part of the IDEAL program have characterized multiple
- 395 events that are expected to expand such DNS studies in exploring the involvement of KHI MSD in forming and driving S&L structures and accompanying turbulence in the lower atmosphere. Important among these will be additional modeling of KHI T&K dynamics induced by MSD at larger scales. These dynamics may be especially beneficial, given their recent discovery in atmospheric observations and initial DNS modeling results suggesting that they may have important implications for mixing and induced larger-scale motions in the atmosphere, oceans, and other stratified and sheared fluids.

#### 400 *Data availability.*

The observational data from sUAS, ISS soundings and 915 MHz radar wind profiles, DPG 449 MHz radar wind profiles, SAMS, mini SAMS and ancillary data are available for download on request at [https://www.eol.ucar.edu/field\\_projects/ideal](https://www.eol.ucar.edu/field_projects/ideal) in standard binary formats (.netcdf and .mat). Documents describing processing and quality control for all the platforms along with the metadata files are made available with the data sets. The suite of scripts developed to process and analyze DH2 and 405 radiosonde measured data during the IDEAL observation program are maintained on a private GitHub repository and will be shared on request by correspondence with the lead author.

*Acknowledgements.* The IDEAL field program and modeling efforts were supported by the US National Science Foundation (NSF) grants AGS-1632772 and AGS-1632829, with related modeling efforts supported by AGS-2032678 and AGS 1758293. The authors would like to acknowledge the efforts of Tyler Mixa and Kam Wan of GATS for their assistance in the performance of DH2 sUAS measurements during

410 the field program. Isabel Suhr at NCAR EOL assisted William Brown with radiosonde launches. The authors would also like to acknowledge the DPG Meteorology division for providing detailed weather forecasting, reports, and briefings.

#### *Author contributions.*

DF conceived the joint IDEAL measurement and modeling program and guided the initial DNS modeling components with assistance from TL and LW at GATS. DL developed the DH2 sUAS vehicles and AD assisted in developing and testing the sensing systems. DL led

415 the DH2 portion of the field campaign and AD assisted in DH2 deployment. AD and DL carried out the preliminary DH2 data analyses. WB led the ISS siting, operations, and data handling activities, and DZ hosted the campaign at DPG, including coordination of daily weather briefings. DF and LK provided (remote) science guidance during the campaign.

#### *Competing interests.*

The authors have no competing interests regarding this work.

#### <span id="page-29-9"></span>420 References

- Alaoui-Sosse, S., Durand, P., Medina, P., Pastor, P., Lothon, M., and Cernov, I.: OVLI-TA: An Unmanned Aerial System for Measuring Profiles and Turbulence in the Atmospheric Boundary Layer, https://doi.org[/10.3390/s19030581,](https://doi.org/10.3390/s19030581) 2019.
- <span id="page-29-8"></span>Altstädter, B., Platis, A., Wehner, B., Scholtz, A., Wildmann, N., Hermann, M., Käthner, R., Baars, H., Bange, J., and Lampert, A.: ALADINA – an unmanned research aircraft for observing vertical and horizontal distributions of ultrafine particles within the atmospheric boundary
- <span id="page-29-0"></span>425 layer, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 1627–1639, https://doi.org[/10.5194/amt-8-1627-2015,](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-1627-2015) [https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/8/1627/2015/https:](https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/8/1627/2015/ https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/8/1627/2015/amt-8-1627-2015.pdf) [//www.atmos-meas-tech.net/8/1627/2015/amt-8-1627-2015.pdf,](https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/8/1627/2015/ https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/8/1627/2015/amt-8-1627-2015.pdf) 2015.
	- Balsley, B. B., Frehlich, R. G., Jensen, M. L., Meillier, Y., and Muschinski, A.: Extreme Gradients in the Nocturnal Boundary Layer: Structure, Evolution, and Potential Causes, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 60, 2496–2508, https://doi.org[/10.1175/1520-](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2003)060%3C2496:EGITNB%3E2.0.CO;2) [0469\(2003\)060<2496:EGITNB>2.0.CO;2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2003)060%3C2496:EGITNB%3E2.0.CO;2) [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(2003\)060%3C2496:EGITNB%3E2.0.COhttp://0.0.0.2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2003)060%3C2496:EGITNB%3E2.0.CO http://0.0.0.2)

<span id="page-29-1"></span>430 2003.

- Balsley, B. B., Frehlich, R. G., Jensen, M. L., and Meillier, Y.: High-Resolution In Situ Profiling through the Stable Boundary Layer: Examination of the SBL Top in Terms of Minimum Shear, Maximum Stratification, and Turbulence Decrease, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 63, 1291–1307, https://doi.org[/10.1175/JAS3671.1, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3671.1,](https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3671.1) 2006.
- <span id="page-29-11"></span>Balsley, B. B., Lawrence, D. A., Woodman, R. F., Fritts, D. C., Balsley, B. B., Lawrence, D. A., and Woodman, R. F.: Fine-Scale Character-
- <span id="page-29-4"></span>435 istics of Temperature, Wind, and Turbulence in the Lower Atmosphere (0–1,300 m) Over the South Peruvian Coast, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 147, 165–178, https://doi.org[/10.1007/s10546-012-9774-x,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-012-9774-x) [https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10546-012-9774-xhttps:](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10546-012-9774-x https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-012-9774-x) [//doi.org/10.1007/s10546-012-9774-x,](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10546-012-9774-x https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-012-9774-x) 2013.
	- Balsley, B. B., Lawrence, D. A., Fritts, D. C., Wang, L., Wan, K., and Werne, J.: Fine Structure, Instabilities, and Turbulence in the Lower Atmosphere: High-Resolution In Situ Slant-Path Measurements with the DataHawk UAV and Comparisons with Numerical Modeling,
- <span id="page-29-3"></span>440 Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 35, 619–642, https://doi.org[/10.1175/JTECH-D-16-0037.1,](https://doi.org/10.1175/JTECH-D-16-0037.1) [http://journals.ametsoc.org/](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JTECH-D-16-0037.1) [doi/10.1175/JTECH-D-16-0037.1,](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JTECH-D-16-0037.1) 2018.
	- Barat, J.: SOME CHARACTERISTICS OF CLEAR-AIR TURBULENCE IN THE MIDDLE STRATOSPHERE., Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 39, 2553–2564, https://doi.org[/10.1175/1520-0469\(1982\)039<2553:SCOCAT>2.0.CO;2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1982)039%3C2553:SCOCAT%3E2.0.CO;2) 1982.
- <span id="page-29-10"></span><span id="page-29-6"></span>Båserud, L., Flügge, M., Bhandari, A., and Reuder, J.: Characterization of the SUMO turbulence measurement system for wind turbine wake 445 assessment, Energy Procedia, 53, 173–183, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.07.226,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.07.226) 2014.
	- Bäserud, L., Reuder, J., Jonassen, M. O., Kral, S. T., Paskyabi, M. B., and Lothon, M.: Proof of concept for turbulence measurements with the RPAS SUMO during the BLLAST campaign, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 9, 4901–4913, https://doi.org[/10.5194/amt-9-](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-4901-2016) [4901-2016,](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-4901-2016) 2016.
- <span id="page-29-7"></span><span id="page-29-2"></span>Calmer, R., Roberts, G. C., Preissler, J., Sanchez, K. J., Derrien, S., and O'dowd, C.: Vertical wind velocity measurements using a five-hole 450 probe with remotely piloted aircraft to study aerosol-cloud interactions, Atmos. Meas. Tech, 11, 2583–2599, https://doi.org[/10.5194/amt-](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-2583-2018)[11-2583-2018, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-2583-2018,](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-2583-2018) 2018.
	- Chimonas, G.: Steps, waves and turbulence in the stably stratified planetary boundary layer, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 90, 397–421, https://doi.org[/10.1023/A:1001709029773,](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1001709029773) 1999.
	- Clayson, C. A. and Kantha, L.: On Turbulence and Mixing in the Free Atmosphere Inferred from High-Resolution Soundings, Journal
- <span id="page-29-5"></span>455 of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 25, 833–852, https://doi.org[/10.1175/2007JTECHA992.1,](https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JTECHA992.1) [https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/](https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/atot/25/6/2007jtecha992_1.xml) [journals/atot/25/6/2007jtecha992\\_1.xml,](https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/atot/25/6/2007jtecha992_1.xml) 2008.

<span id="page-30-3"></span>Coulman, C. E.: Vertical profiles of small-scale temperature structure in the atmosphere, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 4, 169–177, https://doi.org[/10.1007/BF02265230,](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02265230) 1973.

<span id="page-30-2"></span>Coulman, C. E., Vernin, J., and Fuchs, A.: Optical seeing—mechanism of formation of thin turbulent laminae in the atmosphere, Applied

- <span id="page-30-1"></span>460 Optics, 34, 5461–5474, https://doi.org[/10.1364/AO.34.005461,](https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.34.005461) [http://ao.osa.org/abstract.cfm?URI=ao-34-24-5461,](http://ao.osa.org/abstract.cfm?URI=ao-34-24-5461) 1995.
- Dalaudier, F., Sidi, C., Crochet, M., and Vernin, J.: Direct Evidence of "Sheets" in the Atmospheric Temperature Field, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 51, 237–248, https://doi.org[/10.1175/1520-0469\(1994\)051<0237:DEOITA>2.0.CO;2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1994)051%3C0237:DEOITA%3E2.0.CO;2) [https://doi.org/10.1175/](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1994)051%3C0237:DEOITA%3E2.0.CO http://0.0.0.2) [1520-0469\(1994\)051%3C0237:DEOITA%3E2.0.COhttp://0.0.0.2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1994)051%3C0237:DEOITA%3E2.0.CO http://0.0.0.2) 1994.
- <span id="page-30-8"></span>de Boer, gijS de Boer, Ivey, M., SChMid, B., dale LaWrenCe, darielle DexheiMer, Mei, F., john HuBBe, alBerT Bendure, jaSper HardeSTy,
- 465 Shupe, M., alliSon MCCoMiSkey, hagen Telg, SChMiTT, C., MaTroSov, S., ian BrookS, jeSSie CreaMean, aMy SoloMon, david Turner, WilliaMS, C., Maahn, M., ArgroW, B., Palo, S., Long, C., ru Shan Gao, jaMeS MaTher, de Boer, gijS de Boer, Ivey, M., SChMid, B., dale LaWrenCe, darielle DexheiMer, Mei, F., john HuBBe, alBerT Bendure, jaSper HardeSTy, Shupe, M., alliSon MCCoMiSkey, hagen Telg, SChMiTT, C., MaTroSov, S., ian BrookS, jeSSie CreaMean, aMy SoloMon, david Turner, WilliaMS, C., Maahn, M., ArgroW, B., Palo, S., Long, C., ru Shan Gao, and jaMeS MaTher: AFFILIATIONS: A BIRD'S-EYE VIEW Development of an Operational ARM Unmanned
- <span id="page-30-7"></span>470 Aerial Capability for Atmospheric Research in Arctic Alaska, journals.ametsoc.org, 99, 1197–1212, https://doi.org[/10.1175/BAMS-D-17-](https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0156.1) [0156.1,](https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0156.1) [https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0156.1,](https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0156.1) 2018.
	- de Boer, G., Diehl, C., Jacob, J., Houston, A., Smith, S. W., Chilson, P., Schmale, D. G., Intrieri, J., Pinto, J., Elston, J., Brus, D., Kemppinen, O., Clark, A., Lawrence, D., Bailey, S. C. C., Sama, M. P., Frazier, A., Crick, C., Natalie, V., Pillar-Little, E., Klein, P., Waugh, S., Lundquist, J. K., Barbieri, L., Kral, S. T., Jensen, A. A., Dixon, C., Borenstein, S., Hesselius, D., Human, K., Hall, P., Argrow, B.,
- 475 Thornberry, T., Wright, R., and Kelly, J. T.: Development of community, capabilities and understanding through unmanned aircraft-based atmospheric research: The LAPSE-RATE campaign, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, https://doi.org[/10.1175/bams-d-](https://doi.org/10.1175/bams-d-19-0050.1)[19-0050.1,](https://doi.org/10.1175/bams-d-19-0050.1) 2019.
- <span id="page-30-9"></span><span id="page-30-5"></span>Doddi, A.: Insitu Sensing and Analysis of Turbulence - Investigation to Enhance Fine-Structure Turbulence Observation Capabilities of Autonomous Aircraft Systems, Ph.D. thesis, Ann Arbor, [https://scholar.colorado.edu/concern/graduate\\_thesis\\_or\\_dissertations/kp78gh76n,](https://scholar.colorado.edu/concern/graduate_thesis_or_dissertations/kp78gh76n) 480 2021.
	- Eaton, F. D., Nastrom, G. D., Masson, B. S., Hahn, I. L., McCrae, K. A., Nowlin, S. R., and Berkopec, T. L.: Radar and aircraft observations of a layer of strong refractivity turbulence, in: Proc.SPIE, vol. 3381, [https://doi.org/10.1117/12.323944,](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.323944) 1998.
		- Fairall, C. W., White, A. B., and Thomson, D. W.: A stochastic model of gravity-wave-induced clear-air turbulence, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 48, 1771–1790, https://doi.org[/10.1175/1520-0469\(1991\)048<1771:ASMOGW>2.0.CO;2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1991)048%3C1771:ASMOGW%3E2.0.CO;2) 1991.
- <span id="page-30-6"></span><span id="page-30-0"></span>485 Fernando, H. J. S., Pardyjak, E. R., Di Sabatino, S., Chow, F. K., De Wekker, S. F. J., Hoch, S. W., Hacker, J., Pace, J. C., Pratt, T., Pu, Z., Steenburgh, W. J., Whiteman, C. D., Wang, Y., Zajic, D., Balsley, B., Dimitrova, R., Emmitt, G. D., Higgins, C. W., Hunt, J. C. R., Knievel, J. C., Lawrence, D., Liu, Y., Nadeau, D. F., Kit, E., Blomquist, B. W., Conry, P., Coppersmith, R. S., Creegan, E., Felton, M., Grachev, A., Gunawardena, N., Hang, C., Hocut, C. M., Huynh, G., Jeglum, M. E., Jensen, D., Kulandaivelu, V., Lehner, M., Leo, L. S., Liberzon, D., Massey, J. D., McEnerney, K., Pal, S., Price, T., Sghiatti, M., Silver, Z., Thompson, M., Zhang, H., and Zsedrovits,
- <span id="page-30-4"></span>490 T.: The materhorn : Unraveling the intricacies of mountain weather, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 96, 1945–1968, https://doi.org[/10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00131.1,](https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00131.1) 2015.
	- Frehlich, R., Meillier, Y., Jensen, M. L., and Balsley, B.: Turbulence Measurements with the CIRES Tethered Lifting System during CASES-99: Calibration and Spectral Analysis of Temperature and Velocity, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 60, 2487–

2495, https://doi.org[/10.1175/1520-0469\(2003\)060<2487:TMWTCT>2.0.CO;2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2003)060%3C2487:TMWTCT%3E2.0.CO;2) [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(2003\)060%3C2487:](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2003)060%3C2487:TMWTCT%3E2.0.CO http://0.0.0.2)

<span id="page-31-4"></span>495 [TMWTCT%3E2.0.COhttp://0.0.0.2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2003)060%3C2487:TMWTCT%3E2.0.CO http://0.0.0.2) 2003.

Fritts, D. C. and Rastogi, P. K.: Convective and dynamical instabilities due to gravity wave motions in the lower and middle atmosphere: Theory and observations, Radio Science, 20, 1247–1277, https://doi.org[/10.1029/RS020i006p01247,](https://doi.org/10.1029/RS020i006p01247) [http://doi.wiley.com/10.](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/RS020i006p01247) [1029/RS020i006p01247,](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/RS020i006p01247) 1985.

<span id="page-31-7"></span>Fritts, D. C. and Wang, L.: Gravity wave-fine structure interactions. Part II: Energy dissipation evolutions, statistics, and implications, Journal 500 of the Atmospheric Sciences, 70, 3735–3755, https://doi.org[/10.1175/JAS-D-13-059.1,](https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-13-059.1) 2013.

<span id="page-31-5"></span>Fritts, D. C., Wang, L., Werne, J., Lund, T., and Wan, K.: Gravity Wave Instability Dynamics at High Reynolds Numbers. Part I: Wave Field Evolution at Large Amplitudes and High Frequencies, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 66, 1126–1148, https://doi.org[/10.1175/2008JAS2726.1,](https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JAS2726.1) [https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/atsc/66/5/2008jas2726.1.xml,](https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/atsc/66/5/2008jas2726.1.xml) 2009a.

<span id="page-31-8"></span>Fritts, D. C., Wang, L., Werne, J., Lund, T., and Wan, K.: Gravity Wave Instability Dynamics at High Reynolds Numbers. Part II: Turbulence

- <span id="page-31-9"></span>505 Evolution, Structure, and Anisotropy, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 66, 1149–1171, https://doi.org[/10.1175/2008JAS2727.1,](https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JAS2727.1) [https:](https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/atsc/66/5/2008jas2727.1.xml) [//journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/atsc/66/5/2008jas2727.1.xml,](https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/atsc/66/5/2008jas2727.1.xml) 2009b.
	- Fritts, D. C., Wang, L., and Werne, J. A.: Gravity Wave–Fine Structure Interactions. Part I: Influences of Fine Structure Form and Orientation on Flow Evolution and Instability, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 70, 3710–3734, https://doi.org[/10.1175/JAS-D-13-055.1,](https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-13-055.1) [http:](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/JAS-D-13-055.1) [//journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/JAS-D-13-055.1,](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/JAS-D-13-055.1) 2013.
- <span id="page-31-12"></span><span id="page-31-11"></span>510 Fritts, D. C., Wang, L., Lund, T. S., and Thorpe, S. A.: Multi-Scale Dynamics of Kelvin-Helmholtz Instabilities . Part 1 : Secondary Instabilities and the Dynamics of Tubes and Knots, pp. 1–27, 2021a.
	- Fritts, D. C., Wang, L., Thorpe, S. A., and Lund, T. S.: Multi-Scale Dynamics of Kelvin-Helmholtz Instabilities . Part 2 : Energy Dissipation Rates , Evolutions , and Statistics, pp. 1–39, 2021b.

<span id="page-31-6"></span>Fua, D., Chimonas, G., Einaudi, F., and Zeman, O.: ANALYSIS OF WAVE-TURBULENCE INTERACTION., Journal of the Atmospheric

<span id="page-31-1"></span>515 Sciences, 39, 2450–2463, https://doi.org[/10.1175/1520-0469\(1982\)039<2450:AAOWTI>2.0.CO;2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1982)039%3C2450:AAOWTI%3E2.0.CO;2) 1982.

Gage, K. S. and Balsley, B. B.: On the scattering and reflection mechanisms contributing to clear air radar echoes from the troposphere, stratosphere, and mesophere, Radio Science, 15, 243–257, https://doi.org[/10.1029/RS015i002p00243, https://doi.org/10.1029/](https://doi.org/10.1029/RS015i002p00243) [RS015i002p00243,](https://doi.org/10.1029/RS015i002p00243) 1980.

<span id="page-31-0"></span>Gage, K. S. and Green, J. L.: Evidence for specular reflection from monostatic VHF radar observations of the stratosphere, Radio Science,

- <span id="page-31-10"></span>520 13, 991–1001, https://doi.org[/10.1029/RS013i006p00991, https://doi.org/10.1029/RS013i006p00991,](https://doi.org/10.1029/RS013i006p00991) 1978. Gong, J. and Geller, M. A.: Vertical fluctuation energy in United States high vertical resolution radiosonde data as an indicator of convective gravity wave sources, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 115, https://doi.org[/https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012265,](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012265) [https:](https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012265) [//doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012265,](https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012265) 2010.
- <span id="page-31-3"></span><span id="page-31-2"></span>Gossard, E. E., Chadwick, R. B., Detman, T. R., and Gaynor, J.: Capability of Surface-Based Clear-Air Doppler Radar for Monitoring 525 Meteorological Structure of Elevated Layers, Journal of Climate and Applied Meteorology, 23, 474–485, https://doi.org[/10.1175/1520-](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1984)023%3C0474:COSBCA%3E2.0.CO;2) [0450\(1984\)023<0474:COSBCA>2.0.CO;2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1984)023%3C0474:COSBCA%3E2.0.CO;2) [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450\(1984\)023%3C0474:COSBCA%3E2.0.COhttp://0.0.0.2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1984)023%3C0474:COSBCA%3E2.0.CO http://0.0.0.2) 1984.
	- Hunt, J. C. R., Kaimal, J. C., and Gaynor, J. E.: Some observations of turbulence structure in stable layers, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 111, 793–815, https://doi.org[/10.1002/qj.49711146908, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49711146908,](https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49711146908) 1985.
- <span id="page-32-5"></span>530 Kantha, L., Lawrence, D., Luce, H., Hashiguchi, H., Tsuda, T., Wilson, R., Mixa, T., and Yabuki, M.: Shigaraki UAV-Radar Experiment (ShUREX): overview of the campaign with some preliminary results, Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 4, https://doi.org[/10.1186/s40645-017-0133-x,](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-017-0133-x) 2017.
- <span id="page-32-11"></span><span id="page-32-0"></span>Kantha, L., Luce, H., Hashiguchi, H., and Doddi, A.: Atmospheric structures in the troposphere as revealed by high-resolution backscatter images from MU radar operating in range-imaging mode, Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 6, 32, https://doi.org[/10.1186/s40645-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-019-0274-1) 535 [019-0274-1, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-019-0274-1,](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-019-0274-1) 2019.
	- Knievel, J. C., Liu, Y., Hopson, T. M., Shaw, J. S., Halvorson, S. F., Fisher, H. H., Roux, G., Sheu, R.-s., Pan, L., Hacker, J. P., Vernon, E., Gallagher Iii, F. W., and Pace, J. C.: Mesoscale Ensemble Weather Prediction at U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground, Utah, journals.ametsoc.org, 32, 2195–2216, https://doi.org[/10.1175/WAF-D-17-0049.1,](https://doi.org/10.1175/WAF-D-17-0049.1) [www.ametsoc.org/PUBSReuseLicenses,](www.ametsoc.org/PUBSReuseLicenses) 2017.
- <span id="page-32-4"></span>Kohma, M., Sato, K., Tomikawa, Y., Nishimura, K., and Sato, T.: Estimate of Turbulent Energy Dissipation Rate From the 540 VHF Radar and Radiosonde Observations in the Antarctic, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, 2976–2993,

https://doi.org[/https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029521,](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029521) [https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029521,](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029521) 2019.

<span id="page-32-3"></span>Lawrence, D. A. and Balsley, B. B.: Design of a low-cost UAS for high-resolution atmospheric sensing, in: AIAA Infotech at Aerospace (I at A) Conference, https://doi.org[/10.2514/6.2013-4669,](https://doi.org/10.2514/6.2013-4669) 2013.

<span id="page-32-10"></span>Lawrence, D. A., Frew, E. W., and Pisano, W. J.: Lyapunov Vector Fields for Autonomous Unmanned Aircraft Flight Control, arc.aiaa.org,

<span id="page-32-12"></span>545 31, 1220–1229, https://doi.org[/10.2514/1.34896,](https://doi.org/10.2514/1.34896) [http://arc.aiaa.org,](http://arc.aiaa.org) 2008.

- Liu, Y., Warner, T. T., Bowers, J. F., Carson, L. P., Chen, F., Clough, C. A., Davis, C. A., Egeland, C. H., Halvorson, S. F., Huck, T. W., Lachapelle, L., Malone, R. E., Rife, D. L., Sheu, R.-S., Swerdlin, S. P., and Weingarten @@, D. S.: The Operational Mesogamma-Scale Analysis and Forecast System of the U.S. Army Test and Evaluation Command. Part I: Overview of the Modeling System, the Forecast Products, and How the Products Are Used, journals.ametsoc.org, 47, 1077–1092, https://doi.org[/10.1175/2007JAMC1653.1,](https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JAMC1653.1)
- <span id="page-32-2"></span>550 [https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007JAMC1653.1,](https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007JAMC1653.1) 2008.
	- Luce, H., Crochet, M., Dalaudier, F., and Sidi, C.: Interpretation of VHF ST radar vertical echoes from in situ temperature sheet observations, Radio Science, 30, 1003–1025, https://doi.org[/10.1029/95RS00713, https://doi.org/10.1029/95RS00713,](https://doi.org/10.1029/95RS00713) 1995.
- <span id="page-32-1"></span>Luce, H., Fukao, S., Yamamoto, M., Sidi, C., and Dalaudier, F.: Validation of Winds Measured by MU Radar with GPS Radiosondes during the MUTSI Campaign, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 18, 817–829, https://doi.org[/10.1175/1520-](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(2001)018%3C0817:VOWMBM%3E2.0.CO;2) 555 [0426\(2001\)018<0817:VOWMBM>2.0.CO;2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(2001)018%3C0817:VOWMBM%3E2.0.CO;2) [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426\(2001\)018%3C0817:VOWMBM%3E2.0.COhttp://0.0.](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(2001)018%3C0817:VOWMBM%3E2.0.CO http://0.0.0.2) [0.2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(2001)018%3C0817:VOWMBM%3E2.0.CO http://0.0.0.2) 2001.
- <span id="page-32-9"></span>Luce, H., Kantha, L., Hashiguchi, H., Lawrence, D., Yabuki, M., Tsuda, T., and Mixa, T.: Comparisons between high-resolution profiles of squared refractive index gradient M 2 measured by the Middle and Upper Atmosphere Radar and unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) during the Shigaraki UAV-Radar Experiment 2015 campaign, 35, 423–441, https://doi.org[/10.5194/angeo-35-423-2017,](https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-35-423-2017) [www.ann-geophys.net/](www.ann-geophys.net/35/423/2017/) 560 [35/423/2017/,](www.ann-geophys.net/35/423/2017/) 2017.
- <span id="page-32-7"></span><span id="page-32-6"></span>
	- Luce, H., Kantha, L., Hashiguchi, H., Lawrence, D., and Doddi, A.: Turbulence kinetic energy dissipation rates estimated from concurrent UAV and MU radar measurements, Earth, Planets and Space, 70, https://doi.org[/10.1186/s40623-018-0979-1,](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-018-0979-1) 2018a.
	- Luce, H., Kantha, L., Hashiguchi, H., Lawrence, D., Mixa, T., Yabuki, M., and Tsuda, T.: Vertical structure of the lower troposphere derived from MU radar, unmanned aerial vehicle, and balloon measurements during ShUREX 2015, Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 5,
- <span id="page-32-8"></span>565 https://doi.org[/10.1186/s40645-018-0187-4,](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-018-0187-4) 2018b.
	- Luce, H., Kantha, L., Hashiguchi, H., and Lawrence, D.: Estimation of Turbulence Parameters in the Lower Troposphere from ShUREX (2016–2017) UAV Data, https://doi.org[/10.3390/atmos10070384,](https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos10070384) 2019.

<span id="page-33-1"></span>Mahrt, L.: Stratified Atmospheric Boundary Layers, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 90, 375–396, https://doi.org[/10.1023/A:1001765727956,](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1001765727956) [https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1001765727956,](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1001765727956) 1999.

- <span id="page-33-4"></span>570 Muschinski, A. and Wode, C.: First In Situ Evidence for Coexisting Submeter Temperature and Humidity Sheets in the Lower Free Troposphere, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 55, 2893–2906, https://doi.org[/10.1175/1520-0469\(1998\)055<2893:FISEFC>2.0.CO;2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1998)055%3C2893:FISEFC%3E2.0.CO;2) [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(1998\)055%3C2893:FISEFC%3E2.0.COhttp://0.0.0.2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1998)055%3C2893:FISEFC%3E2.0.CO http://0.0.0.2) 1998.
- <span id="page-33-3"></span>Muschinski, A., Frehich, R., Jensen, M., Hugo, R., Hoff, A., Eaton, F., and Balsley, B.: Fine-Scale Measurements Of Turbulence In The Lower Troposphere: An Intercomparison Between A Kite- And Balloon-Borne, And A Helicopter-Borne Measurement System, Boundary-Layer 575 Meteorology, 98, 219–250, https://doi.org[/10.1023/A:1026520618624, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026520618624,](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026520618624) 2001a.
- <span id="page-33-7"></span>Muschinski, A., Frehlich, R., Jensen, M., Hugo, R., Hoff, A., Eaton, F., and Balsley, B.: Fine-scale measurements of turbulence in the lower troposphere: An intercomparison between a kit-and balloon-borne, and a helicopter-borne measurement system, Boundary-Layer
	- Meteorology, 98, 219–250, https://doi.org[/10.1023/A:1026520618624,](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026520618624) 2001b. Parsons, D., Dabberdt, W., Cole, H., Hock, T., Martin, C., Barrett, A.-L., Miller, E., Spowart, M., Howard, M., Ecklund, W., Carters, D.,
- <span id="page-33-12"></span>580 Gage, K., and Wilson, J.: The Integrated Sounding System: Description and Preliminary Observations from TOGA COARE, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 75, 553–568, https://doi.org[/10.1175/1520-0477\(1994\)075<0553:TISSDA>2.0.CO;2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1994)075%3C0553:TISSDA%3E2.0.CO;2) [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1994)075%3C0553:TISSDA%3E2.0.CO http://0.0.0.2) [org/10.1175/1520-0477\(1994\)075%3C0553:TISSDA%3E2.0.COhttp://0.0.0.2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1994)075%3C0553:TISSDA%3E2.0.CO http://0.0.0.2) 1994.
	- Röttger, J.: Structure and dynamics of the stratosphere and mesosphere revealed by VHF radar investigations, pure and applied geophysics, 118, 494–527, https://doi.org[/10.1007/BF01586465, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01586465,](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01586465) 1980.
- <span id="page-33-5"></span><span id="page-33-2"></span><span id="page-33-0"></span>585 Röttger, J. and Liu, C. H.: Partial reflection and scattering of VHF radar signals from the clear atmosphere, Geophysical Research Letters, 5, 357–360, https://doi.org[/10.1029/GL005i005p00357, https://doi.org/10.1029/GL005i005p00357,](https://doi.org/10.1029/GL005i005p00357) 1978.
	- Scipión, D. E., Lawrence, D. A., Milla, M. A., Woodman, R. F., Lume, D. A., and Balsley, B. B.: Simultaneous observations of structure function parameter of refractive index using a high-resolution radar and the DataHawk small airborne measurement system, ann-geophys.net, 34, 767–780, https://doi.org[/10.5194/angeo-34-767-2016,](https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-34-767-2016) [www.ann-geophys.net/34/767/2016/,](www.ann-geophys.net/34/767/2016/) 2016.
- <span id="page-33-9"></span><span id="page-33-8"></span>590 Sidi, C., Lefrere, J., Dalaudier, F., and Barat, J.: An improved atmospheric buoyancy wave spectrum model, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 93, 774–790, https://doi.org[/10.1029/JD093iD01p00774, https://doi.org/10.1029/JD093iD01p00774,](https://doi.org/10.1029/JD093iD01p00774) 1988.
	- Smith, S. A., Fritts, D. C., and Vanzandt, T. E.: Evidence for a Saturated Spectrum of Atmospheric Gravity Waves, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 44, 1404–1410, https://doi.org[/10.1175/1520-0469\(1987\)044<1404:EFASSO>2.0.CO;2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1987)044%3C1404:EFASSO%3E2.0.CO;2) [https://doi.org/10.1175/](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1987)044%3C1404:EFASSO%3E2.0.CO http://0.0.0.2) [1520-0469\(1987\)044%3C1404:EFASSO%3E2.0.COhttp://0.0.0.2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1987)044%3C1404:EFASSO%3E2.0.CO http://0.0.0.2) 1987.
- <span id="page-33-13"></span><span id="page-33-10"></span>595 Tatarskii, V. I.: The effects of the turbulent atmosphere on wave propagation, Jerusalem: Israel Program for Scientific Translations, 1971, 1971.
	- Tjernström, M., Balsley, B. B., Svensson, G., and Nappo, C. J.: The Effects of Critical Layers on Residual Layer Turbulence, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 66, 468–480, https://doi.org[/10.1175/2008JAS2729.1, https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JAS2729.1,](https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JAS2729.1) 2009.
- <span id="page-33-11"></span><span id="page-33-6"></span>van den Kroonenberg, A., Martin, T., Buschmann, M., Bange, J., and Vörsmann, P.: Measuring the Wind Vector Using the Autonomous Mini 600 Aerial Vehicle M2AV, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 25, 1969–1982, https://doi.org[/10.1175/2008JTECHA1114.1,](https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JTECHA1114.1) [https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JTECHA1114.1,](https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JTECHA1114.1) 2008.
	- VanZandt, T. E., Green, J. L., Gage, K. S., and Clark, W. L.: Vertical profiles of refractivity turbulence structure constant: Comparison of observations by the Sunset Radar with a new theoretical model, Radio Science, 13, 819–829, https://doi.org[/10.1029/RS013i005p00819,](https://doi.org/10.1029/RS013i005p00819) 1978.
- <span id="page-34-8"></span><span id="page-34-6"></span>605 Wainwright, C. E., Bonin, T. A., Chilson, P. B., Gibbs, J. A., Fedorovich, E., and Palmer, R. D.: Methods for Evaluating the Temperature Structure-Function Parameter Using Unmanned Aerial Systems and Large-Eddy Simulation, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 155, 189–208, https://doi.org[/10.1007/s10546-014-0001-9, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-014-0001-9,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-014-0001-9) 2015.
	- Wildmann, N., Ravi, S., and Bange, J.: Towards higher accuracy and better frequency response with standard multi-hole probes in turbulence measurement with remotely piloted aircraft (RPA), Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 7, 1027–1041, https://doi.org[/10.5194/amt-7-](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-1027-2014)
- <span id="page-34-7"></span><span id="page-34-5"></span>610 [1027-2014,](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-1027-2014) 2014.
	- Wilson, R., Dalaudier, F., and Luce, H.: Can one detect small-scale turbulence from standard meteorological radiosondes?, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 4, 795–804, https://doi.org[/10.5194/amt-4-795-2011,](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-795-2011) [https://amt.copernicus.org/articles/4/795/2011/,](https://amt.copernicus.org/articles/4/795/2011/) 2011.
	- Witte, B. M., Schlagenhauf, C., Mullen, J., Helvey, J. P., Thamann, M. A., and Bailey, S.: Fundamental Turbulence Measurement with Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (Invited), in: 8th AIAA Atmospheric and Space Environments Conference, AIAA AVIATION Forum, American
- <span id="page-34-4"></span><span id="page-34-3"></span>615 Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, https://doi.org[/doi:10.2514/6.2016-3584,](https://doi.org/doi:10.2514/6.2016-3584) [https://doi.org/10.2514/6.2016-3584,](https://doi.org/10.2514/6.2016-3584) 2016. Woodman, R. F. and Chu, Y.: Aspect sensitivity measurements of VHF backscatter made with the Chung-Li radar: Plausible mechanisms, Radio Science, 24, 113–125, https://doi.org[/10.1029/RS024i002p00113,](https://doi.org/10.1029/RS024i002p00113) 1989.
	- Woodman, R. F. and Guillen, A.: Radar Observations of Winds and Turbulence in the Stratosphere and Mesosphere, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 31, 493–505, https://doi.org[/10.1175/1520-0469\(1974\)031<0493:ROOWAT>2.0.CO;2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1974)031%3C0493:ROOWAT%3E2.0.CO;2) [https://doi.org/10.1175/](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1974)031%3C0493:ROOWAT%3E2.0.CO http://0.0.0.2)
- <span id="page-34-1"></span><span id="page-34-0"></span>620 [1520-0469\(1974\)031%3C0493:ROOWAT%3E2.0.COhttp://0.0.0.2,](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1974)031%3C0493:ROOWAT%3E2.0.CO http://0.0.0.2) 1974.
	- Woods, J. D.: Wave-induced shear instability in the summer thermocline, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 32, 791–800, https://doi.org[/10.1017/S0022112068001035,](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112068001035) 1968.
		- Woods, J. D.: On Richardson's Number as a Criterion for Laminar-Turbulent-Laminar Transition in the Ocean and Atmosphere, Radio Science, 4, 1289–1298, https://doi.org[/10.1029/RS004i012p01289, https://doi.org/10.1029/RS004i012p01289,](https://doi.org/10.1029/RS004i012p01289) 1969.
- <span id="page-34-2"></span>625 Xing-Sheng, L., Gaynor, J. E., and Kaimal, J. C.: A study of multiple stable layers in the nocturnal lower atmosphere, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 26, 157–168, https://doi.org[/10.1007/BF00121540, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00121540,](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00121540) 1983.

# Appendix

Table [4](#page-37-0) lists all the DH2 UAS sorties deployed during IDEAL field campaign.





<span id="page-37-0"></span>

Table 4. List of DH2 UAS sorties deployed during IDEAL observation campaign. The launch date, site, time (HH:MM, LT), flight ceiling and observation strategy for aircraft in each sortie are noted.