

**We thank the editor for the thorough re-read of the manuscript and apologize for missing these corrections in our last revision. All corrections are now implemented in the revised manuscript.**

Very nice job on a well-written paper, and on addressing the reviewers' suggested minor corrections. However, a couple of the reviewers' corrections were not fully addressed:

Reviewer 1:

-Requested that Figs. 10-12 specify the RH of the measurements. This was done for Figs 10 and 11, but not for Fig 12. Please specify the RH for Fig. 12

**Done. We now note in the caption of Figure 12 that the RH < 30%.**

Reviewer 2:

-Lines 375-380 requested that the altitude of the measurements, and the average wind speed and direction at flight level be provided. I do not see any mention of that at the beginning of Section 3.2. Please add in that requested information.

**Done. We now note on Line 381 that the altitude was ~ 2700 meters and on Line 383 that winds were approximately 5 m/s from the west southwest.**

-Requested that Figs 5 and 6 be reformatted with a and b being the wide view and that LAS and UHSAS be labeled at the top of the figures. These changes were made for Fig 5, but not for Fig 6. Please reformat Fig. 6 to match Fig. 5

**Done. We have replaced Figure 6 with the correct, updated version.**