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Abstract. Atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) is the most significant greenhouse gas and its concentration is continuously increasing mainly as a consequence of anthropogenic activities. Accurate quantification of CO$_2$ is critical for addressing the global challenge of climate change and designing mitigation strategies aimed at stabilizing the CO$_2$ emissions. Satellites provide the most effective way to monitor the concentration of CO$_2$ in the atmosphere. In this study, we utilized the concentration of column-averaged dry-air mole fraction of CO$_2$ i.e., XCO$_2$ retrieved from a CO$_2$ monitoring satellite, the Orbiting Carbon Observatory 2 (OCO-2) and the net primary productivity (NPP) provided by the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) to estimate the anthropogenic CO$_2$ emissions using Generalized Regression Neural Network (GRNN) over East and West Asia. OCO-2 XCO$_2$, MODIS NPP, and the Open-Data Inventory for Anthropogenic Carbon dioxide (ODIAC) CO$_2$ emission datasets for a period of 5 years (2015-2019) were used in this study. The annual XCO$_2$ anomalies were calculated from the OCO-2 retrievals for each year to remove the larger background CO$_2$ concentrations and seasonal variabilities. Then the XCO$_2$ anomaly, NPP, and ODIAC emission datasets from 2015 to 2018 were used to train the GRNN model, and finally, the anthropogenic CO$_2$ emissions were estimated for 2019 based on the ODIAC CO$_2$ anomalies derived for the same year. The estimated and the ODIAC CO$_2$ emissions were compared and the results showed a good agreement in terms of spatial distribution. The CO$_2$ emissions were estimated separately over East and West Asia. In addition, correlations between the ODIAC emissions and XCO$_2$ anomalies were also determined separately for East and West Asia, and East Asia exhibited relatively better results. The results showed that satellite-based XCO$_2$ retrievals can be used to estimate the regional scale anthropogenic CO$_2$ emissions and the accuracy of the results can be enhanced by further improvement of the GRNN model with the addition of more CO$_2$ emission and concentration datasets.
1 Introduction

Climate change is one of the greatest challenges to the future of Earth arising from global warming, which in turn is accelerated by anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases (Lamminpää et al., 2019). The major warming effects are caused by the atmospheric CO₂ emissions and significant amounts of these contributions are caused by fossil fuel combustion and some industrial activities, such as the calcination of limestone during cement production (Hutchins et al., 2017). The levels of atmospheric CO₂ are continuously increasing (Mustafa et al., 2020) and if these levels continue to increase at the same rate, 1.5 °C of global warming will be reached between 2030 and 2052, which will cause more climate extremes (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2018).

Estimates of CO₂ emissions at national, regional, and global levels are now widely reported and have become an important element of public policy and mitigation strategies. Many countries are making efforts to reduce CO₂ emissions. Over the past few decades, significant work has been carried out to compile the regional as well as the global inventories of CO₂ emission from anthropogenic activities (Olivier et al., 2005; Janssens-Maenhout et al., 2015; Gurney et al., 2009; Oda and Maksyutov, 2015). Most of the emission inventories employ ‘bottom-up’ methods using available human activity data, emission factors and corresponding technologies. The bottom-up methods incorporate energy consumption datasets along with other information such as fuel purity, efficiency, etc. However, it is known that such information can be subject to errors and biases leading to considerable discrepancies and uncertainties in emission estimates, especially in the case of rapidly growing developing economies such as China and India (Guan et al., 2012; Korsbakken et al., 2016). These discrepancies can result in ~40% to ~100% uncertainty in emission estimates at the country and the local scales, respectively (Peylin et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013). Moreover, the uncertainty in inventory datasets is also a challenging task and the intercomparisons of various inventories do not necessarily reveal all the uncertainties because different inventories are sometimes using common sources of information (Konovalov et al., 2016). It is becoming increasingly important to find efficient and reliable ways to monitor the CO₂ reduction progresses and evaluation of how well specific CO₂ reduction policies are working.

Satellites provide the most effective way to monitor atmospheric CO₂ with great spatiotemporal resolutions. Several satellites such as GOSAT, GOSAT-2, OCO-2, OCO-3, and TanSat are orbiting around the Earth and dedicatedly monitoring the atmospheric CO₂ (Crisp, 2015; Liu et al., 2018; Matsunaga et al., 2019; Taylor et al., 2020; Bao et al., 2020; Hong et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2018). These satellites calculate the average atmospheric CO₂ concentrations in the path of sunlight reflected by the surface through spectrometers carried onboard. OCO-2 measures the CO₂ optical depth with bands centered around 1.6 and 2.0 microns and determines O₂ optical depth with band A, which is centered around 0.76 microns (Crisp et al., 2017; O’Dell et al., 2012). The information from these bands is combined to calculate the column-averaged dry-air mole fraction of CO₂ (XCO₂) (Crisp et al., 2012). Several studies suggest that XCO₂ can be used to detect the CO₂ concentration induced by anthropogenic activities by removing the background concentration from the satellite XCO₂ retrievals (Bovensmann et al., 2010; Hakkarainen et al., 2019; Keppel-Aleks et al., 2013). The results from these studies have reported an enhancement of nearly 2 ppm over megacities and high-density urban regions of the United States and China. The XCO₂ retrievals derived...
from the satellite measurements show a positive correlation with the CO₂ emission inventories (Hakkarainen et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2019), which implies that these space-based observations can be used to assess the anthropogenic CO₂ emissions by enhancing the anthropogenic XCO₂ concentration.

Asia is the home to the most populous nations with the highest amounts of CO₂ emissions. East Asia, in particular, China significantly contributes to the global carbon budget and has accounted for ~30% of the overall growth in global CO₂ emissions over the past 15 years (Edgar, 2017). This increment in the CO₂ levels is mainly due to the rapid economic growth and anthropogenic activities (Shan et al., 1997). China has pledged to make aggressive efforts to reduce the CO₂ emissions per unit GDP by 60–65% relative to 2005 levels, and peak carbon emissions overall, by 2030 (UNfccc, 2015). West Asia is also a region with higher rates of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions (Mustafa et al., 2020) and some of its countries, such as Iran, Saudi Arabia, and Turkey are listed among the 10 largest CO₂ emitting nations in the world. Several studies have been carried out to estimate the CO₂ emissions using various machine learning techniques but most of them do not deal with the spatial distribution. (Rao, 2021) estimated the CO₂ emissions using Support Vector Machine (SVM). (Zhonghan et al., 2018) predicted the CO₂ flux emissions based on published data including latitude, age, potential net primary productivity (NPP) and mean depth using Back Propagation Neural Network (BPNN) and Generalized Regression Neural Network (GRNN). (Yang et al., 2019) estimated the anthropogenic CO₂ emissions using GOSAT XCO₂ retrievals over China and the results showed a good agreement between the estimated and the ODIAC CO₂ emission dataset. In this study, we have improved the model initially developed by (Yang et al., 2019) to estimate the regional scale anthropogenic CO₂ emissions using OCO-2 XCO₂ retrievals over East and West Asia. MODIS NPP, OCO-2 and ODIAC CO₂ datasets were obtained for a period of five years from January 2015 to December 2019. XCO₂ anomalies were calculated from the OCO-2 retrievals for each year, GRNN model was trained using XCO₂ anomalies, MODIS NPP, and ODIAC CO₂ emissions with four years of data from 2015 to 2018 and then anthropogenic CO₂ emissions were estimated for the year 2019 based on 2019 NPP and XCO₂ anomalies. Atmospheric CO₂ monitoring satellites can detect and analyze the anthropogenic CO₂ signatures and the satellite-based estimation of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions can be helpful in investigating the carbon emissions as a data-driven method, which is different to the conventional method in calculating emission inventory. Although estimation of anthropogenic CO₂ emission using satellite datasets is a challenging task because some other factors such as the atmospheric transport and the terrestrial ecosystem play notable roles in controlling the spatial distribution of atmospheric CO₂ (Cao et al., 2017) but still this data-driven method can provide a meaningful help in quantifying anthropogenic CO₂ emissions that will be important for evaluating the effects for anthropogenic CO₂ emissions reduction at regional as well as global scales. The details about the datasets and methods are provided in Section 2. The results including estimated CO₂ emissions and evaluation of these emissions, and correlation between ODIAC CO₂ emissions and XCO₂ anomalies are discussed in Section 3.
2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Datasets

2.1.1 OCO-2 Dataset

The Orbiting Carbon Observatory 2 (OCO-2) was launched by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) on 2 July 2014 to monitor the concentration of atmospheric CO₂ at regional and global levels (Crisp, 2015). It carries a three-channel imaging grating spectrometer that collects high-resolution, bore-sighted spectra of reflected sunlight. Spectra are collected in the molecular oxygen A-band at 0.765 microns and the CO₂ bands at 1.61 and 2.06 microns (Hakkarainen et al., 2019). Information from all these bands is combined to calculate the XCO₂. The spatial resolution of OCO-2 is 2.25 km x 1.29 km. More details about the instrument design, calibration approach, on-orbit performance, and measurement principles are provided in a previous study (Crisp, 2015). In this study, we used OCO-2 ACOS/XCO₂ version 10r product that was generated using the ACOS Level 2 Full Physics (L2FP) retrieval algorithm which used a Bayesian optimal estimation framework to derive estimates of XCO₂ from spectral measurements of reflected solar radiation (O'dell et al., 2012; Crisp et al., 2012). A comprehensive study about the validation of OCO-2 XCO₂ retrievals against the Total Carbon Column Observing Network (TCCON) CO₂ dataset reported an absolute median difference of less than 0.4 ppm and the RMS difference less than 1.5 ppm between the two datasets (Wunch et al., 2017). Similar experiments have been carried out for validation of different versions of OCO-2 XCO₂ products and the results showed that the OCO-2 dataset was consistent and reliable for atmospheric CO₂ monitoring (Kiel et al., 2019; O'dell et al., 2018).

The evolution of the ACOS L2FP retrieval algorithm from v7 to v10 is summarized in Table 1. No major changes were made in the ACOS v9 L2FP retrieval algorithm relative to v8 except for sampling of meteorological prior. The trace gas absorption coefficient tables (ABSCO) were updated in various versions of the ACOS L2FP retrieval algorithms. The source of the prior meteorology was changed from the European Center for Medium-range Weather Forecast (ECMWF) in ACOS v7 to the NASA Goddard Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO) Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) Forward Processing – Instrument Team (FP-IT) products for v8/9. The aerosol prior source was changed from the GMAO Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications (MERRA) product in v7-9 to GEOS5 FP-IT in v10. Moreover, an additional stratospheric aerosol layer was introduced in ACOS v8-10. The prior value of aerosol optical depth for each retrieved aerosol type was lowered from 0.0375 in v7 to 0.0125 in v8-10. The CO₂ prior developed by the TCCON team using the ggg2014 algorithm remained same in v7/8/9 of the algorithm. Another major change was switching the land surface model from a purely Lambertian land surface model to Bi-Directional Reflectance Distribution Function (BRDF) model (Taylor et al., 2021).
2.1.2 ODIAC Dataset

ODIAC is a global fossil-fuel CO\(_2\) (FFCO\(_2\)) emission dataset with 1 × 1 km, monthly resolution over land and 1×1 degree, annual resolution for international bunkers from the year 2000 onward (Oda et al., 2018). It shares country-scale estimates with Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center (CDIAC) but distributes the emissions differently within the countries and includes gridded international bunker emissions (Oda and Maksyutov, 2015). CDIAC distributes the CO\(_2\) emissions based on the population density while ODIAC incorporates power plant profiles and nighttime light observation for emission distribution (Wang et al., 2020). ODIAC shows a better agreement with the US bottom-up inventory (Gurney et al., 2009) than CDIAC and it is commonly used in flux inversions (Crowell et al., 2019; Lauvaux et al., 2016; Maksyutov et al., 2013; Takagi et al., 2011).

In this study, we used the 2020 version of ODIAC emission dataset that is freely available and can be downloaded from http://db.cger.nies.go.jp/dataset/ODIAC/

2.2 Methods

Estimation of anthropogenic CO\(_2\) emissions includes three major steps as shown in Figure 1. The first step includes enhancing the XCO\(_2\) concentration influenced by anthropogenic activities, the second step is about setting up the GRNN model using XCO\(_2\), NPP, and ODIAC datasets, and the final step is the validation of estimated CO\(_2\) emissions against the actual ODIAC emission dataset.

OCO-2 XCO\(_2\) dataset was downloaded from the Earthdata platform (https://earthdata.nasa.gov/) and to ensure the reliability of the data, screening and filtering of the dataset was carried out following the instructions given in the OCO-2 Data User Guide (DUG). Each sounding that is processed using the ACOS L2FP retrieval algorithm is assigned either a “good” (=0) or “bad” (=1) quality flag based on screening criteria derived from comparisons with TCCON and modelled CO\(_2\) fields. It is generally advised that users should use the “good” quality soundings for regional and local scale studies because the soundings flagged as “bad” quality might include biases that compromise their utility for the application. In this study, the OCO-2 XCO\(_2\) retrievals were included if: (i) they were flagged good (flag=0) and (ii) the standard deviation of the good soundings for the day was less than 2 ppm. CO\(_2\) has a larger background concentration and a longer atmospheric life time compared to other greenhouse gases (Hakkarainen et al., 2019). Because of this, XCO\(_2\) varies by nearly 2% over the seasonal cycle and from pole to pole. In addition, XCO\(_2\) variations influenced by anthropogenic activities are also smaller on the scale of satellite sounding (2–4 km\(^2\)). Therefore, high precision is critical for accurate quantification of the XCO\(_2\) anomalies related to anthropogenic activities. To highlight the emission areas, CO\(_2\) seasonal variability and the large background concentrations must be removed.

To highlight the areas associated with the anthropogenic CO\(_2\) emission, XCO\(_2\) anomalies were calculated by subtracting the daily XCO\(_2\) median (daily background) from the individual XCO\(_2\) observation, a method suggested by previous studies (Hakkarainen et al., 2019; Hakkarainen et al., 2016).

\[
XCO_2 (\text{anomaly}) = XCO_2 (\text{individual}) - XCO_2 (\text{daily background})
\]  

(1)
This equation calculated the XCO₂ anomalies for each observation. Subtraction of daily background concentration removes the seasonal variability. The space-based soundings are irregularly distributed and have spatiotemporal gaps because a large amount of the satellite observations is removed after screening for clouds and other artifacts. To deal with the spatiotemporal gaps, kriging interpolation was used and a mapping dataset was generated with the spatial resolution of 0.5°×0.5° Longitude/Latitude and temporal resolution of 16 days. Finally, the mean against each grid cell was calculated for each year from 2015 to 2019. The annual mean of XCO₂ (anomaly) can detrend the seasonal variation (Hakkarainen et al., 2016). The annually-averaged XCO₂ anomalies were resampled at a grid with a spatial resolution of 1°×1° Longitude/Latitude and used along with 1°×1° Longitude/Latitude ODIAC emission dataset to setup the GRNN model.

During the process of photosynthesis, the living plants convert the CO₂ into sugar molecules they use for food. In the process of making food, they also release the oxygen we breathe. Plant productivity plays a crucial role in the global carbon cycle by absorbing the CO₂ released by anthropogenic activities. The net primary productivity (NPP) shows how much CO₂ is absorbed by the plants during photosynthesis minus how much CO₂ is released during respiration. A negative value of NPP means that CO₂ is released into the atmosphere and a positive value represents the absorption of atmospheric CO₂. To improve the model results, an NPP dataset (MOD17A3HGF) provided by MODIS has also been used in this study. It provides information about annual NPP and is distributed by NASA’s Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center (LP DAAC). The NPP dataset with a spatial resolution of 500 meters (m) was downloaded from the LP DAAC website (https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/products/mod17a3hfgv006/). The annual NPP is derived from the sum of all 8-day Net Photosynthesis (PSN) products (MOD17A2H) from the given year. The MODIS NPP dataset was reprojected and resampled to the spatial resolution of 1°×1° Longitude/Latitude for each year and used along with the ODIAC and OCO-2 datasets to train the GRNN model and as well predicting the CO₂ emission.

XCO₂ variations are primarily influenced by anthropogenic activities and terrestrial ecosystems, there are both linear and non-linear mapping between the XCO₂ and the emissions. We adopted the GRNN algorithm to represent the non-linear mapping between the independent variables (XCO₂ anomaly and NPP) and dependent variable (CO₂ emission). The GRNN is a memory-based network that provides estimates of continuous variables and converges to underlying regression. The regression of a dependent variable on an independent variable is the computation of the most probable value of the dependent variable for each value of the independent variable based on a finite number of possibly noisy measurements of the independent variable and the associated values of the dependent variable. The dependent and the independent variables are usually vectors (Rooki, 2016). The architecture of GRNN is shown in Figure 2. It consists of four layers including an input layer, a hidden layer, a summation layer, and a decision layer. In the input layer, each neuron corresponds to the independent variable that is expressed as a mathematical function and the independent variable values are standardized. Then the standardized values of the independent variable are transferred to the neurons in the hidden layer. In this layer, each neuron stores the values of the dependent and independent variables and calculates a scalar function. The third layer known as the summation layer contains two neurons; the denominator summation unit which sums the weight values being received from the hidden layer, and the
numerator summation unit which sums the weight values multiplied by the actual target-dependent variable value for each hidden neuron. Finally, the target-dependent value is obtained in the decision layer by dividing the value accumulated in the numerator summation unit by the value in the denominator summation unit. To develop a neural network, the dependent and the independent training variables must be standardized, so that in the input layer all training data will have the same order of magnitudes (Yang et al., 2019).

\[ d(x_0 - x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left( \frac{x_0 - x_i}{\sigma} \right)^2 \]  

(2)

where \( p \) is the dimension of the variable vector \( x_i \), \( \sigma \) is the spread parameter and an optimal spread parameter value is obtained after several runs following the mean squared error of the estimated values, which must be kept at a minimum (Rooki, 2016).

In this study, values of spread parameters were optimized using the Holdout Method. More detail about the Holdout Method is provided in a previous study (Specht, 1991). The weight of the denominator neuron was set to 1.0. The predicted target-dependent variable was defined by the following equation:

\[ y(x_0) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e^{-d(x_0, x_i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-d(x_0, x_i)}} \]  

(3)

where the values calculated with the scalar function in a hidden neuron \( i \) are weighted with the corresponding values of the training samples \( y_i \). \( n \) is denoting the number of training samples.

3 Results and Discussions

3.1 Spatial Distribution of XCO\(_2\): Observations and Anomalies

The satellite-based observations are sensitive to clouds and aerosols, therefore, much of the data is discarded during the preprocessing due to the presence of cloud and aerosol content (Mustafa et al., 2021b). Figures 3a and 3b show the quantity of XCO\(_2\) retrievals from 2015 to 2019 on a spatial grid of 0.5°×0.5° Longitude/Latitude over West and East Asia, respectively. OCO-2 shows a good spatial coverage over East Asia, however, southern parts of the region, in particular, the Tibetan plateau has a relatively lower number of XCO\(_2\) retrievals. The Tibetan plateau is the most extensively elevated surface on Earth and satellite measurements show larger uncertainties over this region (Yang et al., 2019). In the case of West Asia, the southern parts of the region have a lower number of XCO\(_2\) retrievals. In the southern parts of West Asia, a very large desert, the Rub\’al Kahlil is located that stretches across Saudi Arabia, Yemen, Oman, and United Arab Emirates (UAE) and often observes dust storms. The lower number of XCO\(_2\) retrievals in these parts of the region might be due to the ACOS XCO\(_2\) retrieval algorithm that excludes the satellite measurements with high aerosol optical depth and cloud optical thickness (Crisp et al., 2012; O'Dell et al., 2012).
Figure 3c shows the spatial distribution of five years-averaged XCO\(_2\) anomalies calculated using the method described in section 2.2 over West Asia. The higher concentrations of XCO\(_2\) anomalies were observed over central parts of the region that included Iran, Kuwait, Saudi Arabia, and Iraq. Iran and Saudi Arabia are listed among the top 10 CO\(_2\) emitting nations and produce over 6% of the global CO\(_2\) emissions (Jalil, 2014). In addition, Iran, Saudi Arabia, and Iraq are the major fuel consumers of the region and contribute more than 60% of the region’s total fossil fuel CO\(_2\) emissions (Boden et al., 2017).

Figure 4d shows the multiyear-averaged XCO\(_2\) anomalies over East Asia. The eastern parts of the region including eastern China, Japan, and South Korea show the highest concentrations of XCO\(_2\) anomalies. China’s Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei area, Korea and Japan are the most populated urban regions with high amount of anthropogenic emissions in the world (Mustafa et al., 2020).

Figure 3e shows the monthly-averaged XCO\(_2\) over East and West Asia. The monthly-averaged XCO\(_2\) concentrations show seasonal fluctuations. Moreover, the XCO\(_2\) concentrations during each month are higher than those in the same month of the previous year and it reflects that the XCO\(_2\) concentration in the atmosphere is continuously increasing in both regions. The XCO\(_2\) concentration starts increasing from September and reaches its maximum value in April, then it starts decreasing and reaches the minimum value in August. The decrement in its concentration from May to August is due to several reasons, primarily due to the strong photosynthesis and weak respiration rate by the plants, and this process is enhanced during the monsoon or rainy season (Mustafa et al., 2020). The increment in XCO\(_2\) concentration from September to April is likely to be caused by weak photosynthesis and strong respiration, the use of heating systems in winter, and strong microbial activity (Cao et al., 2017; Mustafa et al., 2021).

3.2 Estimated CO\(_2\) Emissions

The annually-averaged XCO\(_2\) anomalies, MODIS NPP, and ODIAC CO\(_2\) emission datasets for a period of four years from 2015-2018 were used as a training dataset for the GRNN model built to estimate the CO\(_2\) emissions using the method described in section 2.2. Then the GRNN model was applied to 2019 annually-averaged XCO\(_2\) anomalies and NPP datasets to predict the CO\(_2\) emissions with the same unit as the ODIAC CO\(_2\) emissions. The analyses were carried out separately over East and West Asia. Figures 4a and 4b show the estimated and the ODIAC CO\(_2\) emissions over East Asia, respectively. The results show that the estimated and the inventory CO\(_2\) emissions exhibit nearly the same spatial distribution pattern. The eastern part of the region shows higher CO\(_2\) emissions and the western and northern parts, in particular, the Tibetan plateau and Mongolia show the minimum CO\(_2\) emissions. The pattern is also similar to XCO\(_2\) anomalies distribution over East Asia (Figure 3d). The estimated CO\(_2\) emissions have a relatively smoother distribution pattern compared to the ODIAC CO\(_2\) emission and it might be due to the interpolation of the OCO-2 dataset. Figure 4c shows the difference between the estimated and the inventory CO\(_2\) emissions over East Asia. The estimated CO\(_2\) emissions are generally overestimated relative to the ODIAC CO\(_2\) emissions, however, the emissions are underestimated over some parts of the region as well. Figure 4d shows the landcover distribution of East Asia provided by the Copernicus Global Land Services (Buchhorn et al., 2020). The predicted CO\(_2\) emission is overestimated over most of the regional parts; whereas, this overestimation is more significant over agricultural areas which
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are located near the high-density region, i.e., eastern China, Eastern China, Japan, and Korea are known to be among the regions with the highest CO\textsubscript{2} emissions and this underestimation over the agricultural areas might be caused by the nearby CO\textsubscript{2} emitting sources which raise the CO\textsubscript{2} concentration of the nearby areas through atmospheric transport. Previous studies demonstrated that the concentration of atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} was influenced by atmospheric transport (Cao et al., 2017; Kumar et al., 2014). The areas where the predicted CO\textsubscript{2} emission is underestimated are covered by agriculture, forest and vegetation. This underestimation of the predicted CO\textsubscript{2} emissions over these areas indicate the presence of uncertainties in the XCO\textsubscript{2} anomalies that are likely to be produced by the CO\textsubscript{2} uptake of the biosphere which is still remaining in the XCO\textsubscript{2} anomalies.

In addition, the areas where the estimated CO\textsubscript{2} emissions are overestimated have higher elevations. OCO-2 observations show larger uncertainties over elevated and mountainous areas, especially the Tibetan Plateau where the OCO-2 retrievals are significantly overestimated, (Kong et al., 2019; Mustafa et al., 2020) and this might also have a contribution to the overestimation of estimated CO\textsubscript{2} emissions. The difference between the estimated and the ODIAC CO\textsubscript{2} emissions was ranging from $-0.06 \times 10^9$ kg to $3.2 \times 10^9$ kg and the magnitude of difference between $\pm 1 \times 10^9$ kg to $1 \times 10^9$ kg accounted for 24% of the total number of grid cells (Yang et al., 2019) estimated the CO\textsubscript{2} emissions by a similar machine learning approach using GOSAT XCO\textsubscript{2} retrievals over China and differences between the estimated and the ODIAC CO\textsubscript{2} emissions were between $-5 \times 10^8$ kg to $5 \times 10^8$ kg. Moreover, the predicted results from the referenced study exhibited overall less CO\textsubscript{2} emissions relative to the ODIAC emissions contradicting our results. Our study showed better results and it might be due to several reasons: (i) we improved the prediction model with the addition of NPP dataset (Figure 4e), (ii) we utilized the higher resolution XCO\textsubscript{2} retrievals provided by OCO-2, and (iii) we incorporated the OCO-2 XCO\textsubscript{2} retrievals processed using the latest version of the retrieval algorithm. The newer version of the ACOS L2FP retrieval algorithm has improved the quantity as well as the quality of the satellite-based observations (Taylor et al., 2021).

Figures 5a and 5b show the spatial distribution of satellite-based estimated CO\textsubscript{2} emissions and the actual ODIAC CO\textsubscript{2} emissions over West Asia, respectively. The spatial distribution pattern of both the estimated and the original CO\textsubscript{2} emissions is similar with some differences in their magnitudes. CO\textsubscript{2} emissions in the eastern parts are relatively larger compared to other parts of the region. Figure 5c shows the difference between the estimated and the ODIAC CO\textsubscript{2} emissions. The satellite-based estimated CO\textsubscript{2} emissions are generally overestimated compared to the actual ODIAC CO\textsubscript{2} emissions. The estimated CO\textsubscript{2} emissions are notable larger over Iran and Saudi Arabia. Figure 5d shows the landcover distribution of West Asia. It can be seen that the predicted CO\textsubscript{2} emissions are overestimated over the areas that are covered by either urban settlements or bare land. The overestimation of estimated CO\textsubscript{2} over these areas is likely to be caused by atmospheric transportation that influences the spatial distribution of atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} (Cao et al., 2017). Moreover, a large part of West Asia is covered by deserts and these deserts observe a notably lower number of OCO-2 retrievals (Figure 3a). The overestimation of the predicted CO\textsubscript{2} emissions over the largest desert of the region, the Rub’ al Khali, located in southern parts is likely to be caused by the uncertainties in the satellite-based XCO\textsubscript{2} anomalies and these uncertainties are likely to be produced due to a lower number of OCO-2 retrievals. In addition, a previous study also indicated that the ACOS XCO\textsubscript{2} retrieval algorithm showed uncertainties over deserts (Bie et al., 2018). Similar to East Asia, the predicted CO\textsubscript{2} emissions over West Asia are also underestimated over
the areas that are covered by agriculture or vegetation and this underestimation might be due to the presence of CO2 uptake of the biosphere in the XCO2 anomalies calculated using the satellite-based retrievals. The difference between the estimated and the ODIAC CO2 emission was ranging from -0.16x109 kg to 2.8x109 kg and the magnitude of difference between -1x109 kg to 1x109 kg accounted for 42% of the total number of grid cell.

3.3 Correlation Analysis Between OCO-2 XCO2 Anomalies and ODIAC Emissions

Figure 6 shows the correlation analysis between the ODIAC CO2 emissions and the XCO2 anomalies calculated using the OCO-2 retrievals over East and West Asia. (Yang et al., 2019) found that the cluster of XCO2 changes derived from satellite-based observations showed a better and more significant correlation with the CO2 emissions relative to a single grid of XCO2, and it might be due to the reason that the atmospheric CO2 measurement is an instantaneous snapshot of the realistic atmosphere. For that, we segmented the ODIAC emissions which were binned according to every 0.3 tons/year of IgE using mean emissions calculated from annual emissions during 2015–2019, and then the correlation analysis was carried out between the mean of emissions and the mean of the XCO2 anomalies within the binned regions. The results showed a positive and significant correlation between the two datasets. Figures 6a and 6b show the spatial distribution of segmented ODIAC emissions over East Asia and the scatterplot between the mean of emissions and mean of XCO2 anomalies, respectively. The two datasets show a positive and significant correlation with the determined coefficient (R^2) of 0.81. The spatial distribution of segmented ODIAC emissions over West Asia and the scatterplot between the mean of emissions and mean of XCO2 anomalies are shown in Figures 6c and 6d, respectively. The two datasets showed a good correlation with the determined coefficient (R^2) of 0.60. Several studies correlated the satellite-based XCO2 anomalies with the CO2 emissions (Fu et al., 2019; Shekhar et al., 2020). (Yang et al., 2019) performed a correlation analysis between the GOSAT based XCO2 anomalies with the ODIAC CO2 emissions over China and found a significant correlation with a determined coefficient (R^2) of 0.82 which increased up to 0.95 if the analysis was carried out with higher values of CO2 emissions. In our study, the correlation between the CO2 emissions and XCO2 anomalies is relatively low for West Asia and it might be due to the uncertainties in the OCO-2 retrievals. A large part of West Asia is covered by deserts and (Bie et al., 2018) reported that the ACOS XCO2 retrieval algorithm showed uncertainties over deserts.

4 Summary and Conclusions

In this study, the anthropogenic CO2 emissions were estimated using satellite datasets employing a neural network-based method. The study was carried out using ODIAC CO2 emission, OCO-2 XCO2, and MODIS NPP datasets from 2015 to 2019. To remove the CO2 seasonal variability and the large background concentration from the OCO-2 XCO2 retrievals, XCO2 anomalies were calculated for each year. Then a GRNN model was built and XCO2 anomalies, NPP, and CO2 emissions from 2015 to 2018 were used as a training dataset and finally, CO2 emissions were predicted for 2019 based on the NPP and XCO2 anomalies calculated for the same year. The analyses were carried out separately over East and West Asia. The satellite-based estimated and ODIAC CO2 emission datasets were compared and both of the datasets showed a good agreement in terms of...
Spatial distribution. The estimated CO₂ emissions showed better results over East Asia compared to West Asia and it might be due to the uncertainties in the XCO₂ retrievals because previous studies reported that the ACOS XCO₂ retrieval algorithm produced uncertainties over deserts. The predicted CO₂ emissions were generally overestimated and this overestimation was larger over the areas that were closer to the high-density urban regions. The overestimations might be due to the nearby high emission CO₂ sources that raised the XCO₂ concentration due to the effects of atmospheric transportation. The satellite-based estimated CO₂ emissions were underestimated over some parts of the regions which were mostly covered by the agricultural areas and vegetation and it was likely to be caused by the uncertainties in the calculated XCO₂ anomalies and these uncertainties were produced due to the presence of CO₂ uptake of the biosphere. We compared our results with a previous study carried out using a similar prediction model incorporating GOSAT XCO₂ retrievals. The referenced study generally underestimated the predicted CO₂ emissions with larger differences relative to ODIAC CO₂ emission contradicting to our results. Our study showed relatively better results and it might be due to several reasons: (i) we improved the prediction model with the addition of NPP dataset, (ii) we incorporated OCO-2 XCO₂ retrievals which have higher spatial resolution compared to the GOSAT XCO₂ retrievals, and (iii) we used the XCO₂ product processed using the latest version of the ACOS L2FP retrieval algorithm. The newer version of the algorithm has improved the quantity as well the quality of the XCO₂ retrievals. Moreover, correlation analysis was also carried out between the ODIAC CO₂ emissions and OCO-2 XCO₂ anomalies and the results were significant with R² of 0.81 and 0.60 over East and West Asia, respectively. The results were in agreement with the previous studies.

The results from our study suggested that the CO₂ emissions can be estimated using the observations obtained from the CO₂ monitoring satellites. Currently, several satellites are orbiting around the Earth and dedicatedly monitoring atmospheric CO₂. Joint utilization of the observations from the old and the latest satellites such as OCO-3, GOSAT-2, and TanSAT might reduce the spatiotemporal gaps and uncertainties. In future studies, we intend to improve the GRNN model by the addition of CO₂ uptake datasets and join utilization of multi-sensor data.
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Table 1: Evolution of ACOS L2FP retrieval algorithm (Taylor et al., 2021).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ACOS v7</th>
<th>ACOS v8/9</th>
<th>ACOS v10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Spectroscopy</td>
<td>ABSCO v4.2</td>
<td>ABSCO v5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Meteorology prior source</td>
<td>ECMWF</td>
<td>GEOS5 FP-IT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Aerosol prior source</td>
<td>MERRA monthly climatology</td>
<td>No changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Retrieved aerosol types</td>
<td>Water + ice + 2 MERRA types</td>
<td>+ stratospheric aerosol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AOD prior value (per type)</td>
<td>0.0375</td>
<td>0.0125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CO₂ prior source</td>
<td>TCCON ggg2014</td>
<td>No changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Land surface model</td>
<td>Lambertian</td>
<td>BRDF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Flowchart explaining steps to estimate the anthropogenic CO₂ emissions using MODIS NPP and OCO-2 XCO₂ retrievals.
Figure 2: Flowchart explaining steps to estimate the anthropogenic CO$_2$ emissions using OCO-2 XCO$_2$ retrievals (Yang et al., 2019).
Figure 3: Number of observations in each cell of a 0.5x0.5 deg grid for a period of five years from 2015 to 2019 over (a) West Asia and (b) East Asia; Five years-mean of XCO\(_2\) anomalies calculated using OCO-2 retrieval over (c) West Asia and (d) East Asia; and (e) the monthly-averaged XCO\(_2\) concentration from 2015 to 2019 over East and West Asia. (Basemap credits: OpenStreetMap).

Figure 4: Spatial distribution of (a) OCO-2 XCO\(_2\)-based anthropogenic CO\(_2\) emission estimates for 2019 (b) actual ODIAC emissions for 2019, (c) their difference (estimated emission-actual emission), (d) 100 m resolution landcover distribution provided by Copernicus Global Land Services over East Asia, and (e) spatial distribution of NPP (Basemap credits: OpenStreetMap).
Figure 5: Spatial distribution of (a) OCO-2 XCO$_2$-based anthropogenic CO$_2$ emission estimates for 2019 (b) actual ODIAC emissions for 2019, (c) their difference (estimated emission-actual emission), (d) 100 m resolution landcover distribution provided by Copernicus Global Land Services over West Asia, and (e) spatial distribution of NPP (Basemap credits: OpenStreetMap).
Figure 6: Spatial distribution of segmented ODIAC emissions, where the data are binned by every 0.3 tons/yr of logE using mean emission calculated from annual emission during 2015–2019 over (a) East Asia and (c) West Asia; the correlation between mean ODIAC CO₂ emissions and mean XCO₂ anomalies calculated from annual XCO₂ during 2015–2018 for (b) East Asia and (d) West Asia (Basemap credits: OpenStreetMap).