Performance of open-path lasers and FTIR spectroscopic systems 1 in agriculture emissions research 2

3 Mei Bai¹, Zoe Loh², David W. T. Griffith³, Debra Turner¹, Richard Eckard¹, Robert Edis¹, Owen T. Denmead⁴, Glenn 4 W. Bryant³, Clare Paton-Walsh³, Matthew Tonini³, Sean M. McGinn⁵, Deli Chen¹

5 ¹Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia

6 7 ²CSIRO Oceans & Atmosphere, PMB 1, Aspendale, VIC 3195, Australia

³School of Chemistry & Centre for Atmospheric Chemistry, University of Wollongong

8 Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia

9 ⁴Deceased, CSIRO Agriculture and Food, GPO Box 1666, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

10 ⁵Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada

11 Correspondence to Mei Bai (mei.bai@unimelb.edu.au)

12 Abstract. The accumulation of gases into our atmosphere is a growing global concern that requires considerable 13 quantification of the emission rates and mitigate the accumulation of gases in the atmosphere, especially the 14 greenhouse gases (GHG). In agriculture there are many sources of GHG that require attention in order to develop 15 practical mitigation strategies. Measuring these GHG sources often rely on highly technical instrumentation originally 16 designed for applications outside of the emissions research in agriculture. Although the open-path laser (OPL) and 17 open-path Fourier transform infrared (OP-FTIR) spectroscopic techniques are used in agricultural research currently, 18 insight into their contributing error to emissions research has not been the focus of these studies. The objective of this 19 study was to assess the applicability and performance (accuracy and precision) of OPL and OP-FTIR spectroscopic 20 techniques for measuring gas mole fraction from agricultural sources. We measured the mole fractions of trace gases 21 methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O), and ammonia (NH₃), downwind of point and area sources with known release 22 rate. The mole fractions measured by OP-FTIR and OPL were also input into models of atmospheric dispersion 23 (WindTrax) allowing the calculation of fluxes. Trace gas release recoveries with Windtrax were examined by 24 comparing the ratio of estimated and known fluxes. The OP-FTIR provided the best performance regarding stability 25 of drift in stable conditions. The CH₄ OPL accurately detected the low background (free-air) level of CH₄; however, 26 the NH₃ OPL was unable to detect the background values < 10 ppbv. The dispersion modelling using WindTrax 27 coupled with open path measurements can be a useful tool to calculate trace gas fluxes from the well-defined source 28 area.

29 Keywords: spectroscopy, open path, trace gas, mole fraction, WindTrax modelling

30 **1** Introduction

31 Globally, agriculture contributes approximately 10-12% of anthropogenic greenhouse gases (GHG) entering the

32 atmosphere in 2010 (Smith et al., 2014). The majority of these emissions come from the livestock sector, which

33 includes methane (CH₄) from enteric fermentation in ruminants, direct nitrous oxide (N₂O) from animal excreta

34 through the nitrification and denitrification processes, and indirect greenhouse effects due to N leaching, runoff,

35 and atmospheric deposition of ammonia (NH₃) vitalization from manure by forming N₂O emissions (called indirect 36 N_2O emissions). Globally, the indirect N_2O emissions account for one third of the total N_2O emissions from 37 agricultural sector (de Klein et al., 2006).

38

39 Direct field measurements of agricultural GHG emissions are difficult due to its high spatial and temporal variation, 40 diverse source emissions, and lack of appropriate measurement techniques. Consequently, the Intergovernmental 41 Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2006) and Australia's National Greenhouse Gas Inventory Committee (NIR, 42 2015) use national emission rates that have been based primarily on extrapolations of laboratory and enclosure 43 measurements. Such extreme extrapolations are subject to greater uncertainty than would be the situation if farm-44 scaled values were used. Meeting international obligations on GHG reporting should ultimately require non-45 intrusive emission measurements at an appropriate regional scale. Moreover, development, implementation and 46 adaptation of mitigation strategies rely on well-developed measurement methodologies.

47

48 Although considerable effort is being made to document GHG emissions from land-management practices, the 49 measurement techniques employed in that endeavour are not ideal. Surface chamber method is typically used to 50 measure gas fluxes from the soil surface, but substantial numbers of surface chambers are required to reduce the 51 temporal and spatial variations in gas emissions from large scale source (McGinn, 2006). Mass balance techniques 52 measured emissions from a source area are based on the total influx and efflux of each gas carried into and out of a 53 control volume (Denmead, 1995). Original applications of this method required the targeted source area to be bounded 54 by a "fence" of sampling pipes that extended to the upper limit of the gas plume generated from the source. Influxes 55 and effluxes were calculated by integrating the horizontal fluxes (the product of wind speeds and gas mole fractions) 56 across the boundaries (Denmead et al., 1998). The plume generated from an area source is expected to extend up to a 57 height of at least one-tenth of the upwind fetch. Two technological developments together offer a considerable 58 simplification and flexibility of this basic mass balance technique. The advent of open-path (OP) gas analysers has 59 enabled the measurement of average mole fractions over long path lengths, removing the need for sampling tubes, 60 pumps and multiplexing to a closed-path analyser. In addition, mathematical models of atmospheric dispersion allow 61 fluxes to be inferred from mole fraction measurements and boundary layer wind statistics. Studies of using these 62 combined OP and dispersion techniques have been reported extensively, such as dairy farms (Bjorneberg et al., 2009; 63 Harper et al., 2009; VanderZaag et al., 2014), grazing cattle (Laubach et al., 2016; Tomkins et al., 2011), cattle feedlots 64 (Bai et al., 2015; Loh et al., 2008; McGinn and Flesch, 2018), boiler production (Harper et al., 2010), storage lagoon 65 (Bühler et al., 2020; McGinn et al., 2008), animal waste treatment (Bai et al., 2020; Flesch et al., 2011; Flesch et al., 66 2012), bush fire (Paton-Walsh et al., 2014), geosequestration from industries (Feitz et al., 2018; Loh et al., 2009), and 67 urban vehicle emissions (Phillips et al., 2019). Although these combined OP and dispersion techniques have 68 increasingly gained researchers' attentions as a useful tool in measuring gas emissions from large scale field, such as 69 insight into the OP sensors contributing error to emissions research has not been the focus of these studies.

70

71 The purpose of our study is to evaluate these two techniques for measuring GHG emissions from agricultural lands.

72 Two OP spectroscopic techniques are used to determine line-averaged mole fractions in the field measurements. The

- vunderlying principles of the method and the accuracy and precision of the broad band OP-Fourier transform infrared
- 74 spectrometer (FTIR) and single band OP-laser (OPL) spectrometer are tested at experimental sites using releases of
- 75 gases at known rates from a point and area sources. We measured the mole fractions (in air) of CH₄, NH₃ and N₂O
- 76 with two spectroscopic techniques when gas was released at a known rate. The mole fractions measured by OP-FTIR
- and OPL were also input into models of atmospheric dispersion (WindTrax) allowing the calculation of fluxes. Trace
- 78 gas release recoveries with Windtrax were examined by comparing the ratio of calculated and known fluxes. This
- row study would be the first paper of solely comparing the performance between OP mole fraction sensors and provide
- 80 the information as reference for measurement techniques in large-scale gas emission research.
- 81 2 Materials and Methods

82 2.1 Experimental design

- 83 The field measurement campaigns were conducted at three sites (Fig. 1):
- 84 Kyabram, Victoria DPI Irrigated dairy research farm (36.34°S, 145.06°E, elevation 104 m). This is a well-established
- 85 research site ideal for micrometeorological measurements, with flat terrain and an existing suite of instrumentation.
- 86 Measurements were set up in two adjacent bays near the existing micrometeorological site. The principal disadvantage
- 87 of this site was the considerable variation in background trace gas mole fractions (particularly CH₄), due to the high
- 88 cattle population in the region.
- 89 University of Wollongong (34.41°S, 150.88°E, elevation 26 m). The No.3 sports oval at the University of Wollongong
- 90 is a flat, grassed area approximately 200-250 m in extent. It is surrounded by trees and not a suitable site for
- 91 micrometeorological measurements but was well suited to trial release measurements and early OP-FTIR field tests.
- 92 Commercial beef cattle feedlot, Victoria (225 km northwest of Melbourne, Australia). This site was used for
- 93 comparisons of sensors side-by-side experiments. The farm is flat and well suited to micrometeorological
- 94 measurements of CH₄ emissions from cattle pens.
- 95



96



98 The trace gas release measurements including point and area sources were conducted at Kyabram and Wollongong, 99 assuming that all trace gases (CH₄, NH₃, and N₂O) disperse equally from source to open path (OP). Two OP sensors 100 were trialled – a broad band FTIR spectrometer (OP-FTIR) and a single wavelength laser-based instrument (OPL). 101 Besides the gas release measurements, two OP-FTIR sensors were also conducted a side-by-side comparison of 102 measuring gas mole fractions from cattle pens at a commercial beef cattle feedlot. A summary of these trials is shown 103 in Table 1.

105Table 1. Summary of field measurements at Kyabram, Wollongong, and the Victorian feedlot. Target gases,106instrumentations used for the studies, and study durations are also shown.

Location	Trial	Experiment	Pathlength/m	Height/m	Target	ОР
	Date				Gases	$sensor^{\delta}$
Kyabram	25-29	Gas releases,	137/125	0.5	СН4,	OP-FTIR [§]
	July	point sources			N_2O ,	
	2005				NH ₃	
	1-4 Aug.	Gas releases,	137/125	Ground	CH _{4,}	OP-FTIR [§] ,
	2005	area sources,			NH ₃	OPL
		Side-by-side				
		comparison				
	21 Mar.	Herd of cattle	227	1,7*	CH ₄ ,	OP-FTIR,
	2006	Side-by-side			NH ₃	OPL
		comparison				(CH ₄)

Wollongong	14-18	Gas releases,	87.5/150	1.28	CH ₄ ,	OP-FTIR [§] ,
	May	point sources,			N ₂ O,	
	2005	Side-by-side			NH ₃	
		comparison				
	15-16	Gas releases,	148	0.5/1.28	СН4,	OP-FTIR [§] ,
	Mar.	point sources,			NH ₃	OPL
	2006	Side-by-side				
		comparison				
Commercial	28 Feb	Side-by-side	100	1.7*	СН4,	OP-FTIR [§] ,
feedlot	5 Mar.	comparison			N ₂ O,	OP-FTIR [‡]
	2008					

§ (Bomem)

107 108 109 110 [‡] (Bruker)

* cattle were the main CH4 source, the average of cattle height was 1.7 m.

 $^{\delta}$ the path length for all OP sensors was 1.5 m above the ground.

111

112 113 Table 2. Gas release times, rates, and source types for controlled release experiments at Kyabram DPI (July-August 2005). Mass flows measured in standard litres per minute (21°C and 1 atm) have been converted to mg s⁻¹.

			OP-FTIR	OPL	Relea	$(\mathrm{mg}\mathrm{s}^{-1})$	
Data	T:	Samaa	Dath	Dath	СЦ	NIT	NO
Date	Time	Source	ratn	rath	CH4	NH3	N2 U
27/07/2005	10:47 - 12:52	1	1	-	55.37	58.80	151.95
	12:52 - 14:17	1	1	-	99.67	105.84	151.95
	15:13 - 16:18	2	1	-	99.67	105.84	151.95
	17:47 - 08:23	2	1	-	27.69	29.40	75.97
28/07/2005	10:44 - 14:41	2	1	-	55.37	58.80	151.95
	14:41 - 16:42	2	1	-	99.67	105.84	151.95
	17:29 - 10:52	1	1	-	27.69	29.40	75.97
29/07/2005	10:52 - 11:33	1	1	-	11.07	11.76	30.39
	11:33 - 12:05	1	1	-	5.54	5.88	15.19
	12:43 - 13:51	1	1	-	27.69	29.40	75.97
	13:51 - 14:25	1	1	-	55.37	58.80	151.95
	14:25 - 15:00	1	1	-	99.67	105.84	273.51
	15:00 - 15:30	1	1	-	55.37	58.80	151.95
	15:30 - 16:00	1	1	-	11.07	11.76	30.39
	16:00 - 16:30	1	1	-	2.77	2.94	7.60
1/08/2005	15:17 - 15:45	1	1	-	55.37	105.84	0.00
	15:45 -16:58	1	1	-	55.37	105.84	151.95

	17:18 - 18:16	1	1	-	55.37	0.00	303.90
	18:16 - 09:00	3	1	-	55.37	58.80	151.95
2/08/2005	12:46 - 16:17	3	2	2‡	55.37	58.80	151.95
	17:08 - 18:19	4	2	2≠	5.54	5.88	15.19
	18:19 - 08:55	4	2	2≠	5.54	0.00	15.19
3/08/2005	08:55 - 09:15	4	2	2≠	5.54	5.88	15.19
	09:15 - 09:33	4	2	2≠	0.00	2.35	0.00
	09:33 - 10:26	4	2	2≠	55.37	58.80	151.95

114 [‡]OPL NH₃ sensor only. Laser path was located 3 m north of path 2.

^{*}OPL NH₃ and OPL CH₄ sensor. OPL CH₄ path was located 3 m south of path 2.

116 **2.2 Gas release experiments**

117 The underlying principles of the method and the accuracy and precision of the OP-FTIR and laser spectrometers were

118 tested at Kyabram and Wollongong using releases of CH₄, N₂O, and NH₃ at known rates from a common point or area

source.

120

121 We first conducted the gas release measurements at Kyabram during a period of suitable conditions of steady wind 122 and near neutral stability, and there were no other strong sources of CH₄, N₂O, and NH₃ nearby. Gas release points 123 (sources 1 and 2) were located to the west of the OP-FTIR path 1, which ran N-S along the fence line (Fig. 2). Area 124 sources (sources 3 and 4) were located to the north of the OP-FTIR path 2, which ran NW-SE direction (Fig. 2). The 125 OPL sensors (NH₃ and CH₄) were set up on the north and south parallel to OP-FTIR path 2, respectively (Fig.2). The 126 path height for all OP sensors was 1.7 m above ground level and the measurement path lengths were 137 and 125 m 127 (two-way path) for path 1 and 2, respectively. The gas release heights varied from ground level (area sources) to 0.5 128 m above ground level (point sources). The layout of sources and open path geometries at Kyabram are summarised in 129 Figure 2. A summary of the gas release times, source types and OP sensor measurement paths used at Kyabram is 130 shown in Table 2.



133 Figure 2: Point and area gas release sources and OP sensors path geometries (distances in m) at Kyabram July-August 135 134 135 136 137 2005. Point source 1 is in green and 2 is in blue. Area source 3 is 25 × 25 m, and area source 4 is 40 × 15 m. The OP-FTIR measurement path lengths 1 and 2 were 137 and 125 m (two-way path), respectively. OPL NH₃ and CH₄ sensor were parallel to OP-FTIR path 2 (dashed yellow and blue lines respectively). Sonic anemometer was located to the south of the site (dark green triangle).

138 During the point source release trials, one OP-FTIR was set up on path 1. CH₄ and NH₃ were released at 9 std L min-

139 ¹ (SLPM) and N_2O was released at 5 SLPM, from a single release point, over a three-day study (1-3 August 2005)

- 140 (Fig. 2). These were point sources, not distributed as cattle or soil would be. The aim was to show that the known
- 141 fluxes can be retrieved from the measurements, for all three gases. In this case it is permissible to have higher emissions
- 142 than those typical in the field to minimize uncertainty due to background variability.
- 143

144 The first trial of area source release measurements was undertaken on the evening of 1 August 2005 using the 25×25 145 m area source (source 3) and path 1. Unfortunately, wind conditions were E winds dominated that very little of the 146 released plume crossed the measurement path. Subsequently, a period in the middle of the day with source 3 and path 2 was employed using the lasers (NH₃ only) and one OP-FTIR. The OP-FTIR was set up on the path 2 and laser NH₃

- 147
- 148 sensors were run parallel 3 m north of the OP-FTIR path. Thereafter, the area source 4 (40×15 m) and path 2 were 149 used coupled with the lasers (NH₃ and CH₄) and the OP-FTIR. Two OPL CH₄ lasers were located 8 m downwind
- 150 from the area source, two OPL NH₃ sensors were run parallel 2 m downwind of area source, and OP-FTIR at 5 m
- 151 downwind of the source at the same time (Fig. 2). The path height for all OP sensors was 1.7 m and the measurement

- 152 path lengths were 137 and 125 m for path 1 and 2, respectively. The different path length was determined depending
- 153 on the factors of wind conditions (direction and wind speed) and the distance between the path length and source area.
- 154 Given the constant wind direction, the longer pathlength was needed when the measurement path was further away
- 155 from the source so that the gas plume could pass by most of the OP measurement path.
- 156



158Figure 3: Point gas release sources and OP-FTIR path geometries (distances in m) at Wollongong August 2005. The OP-159FTIR measurement path lengths at day 1 and 2 were 87.5 and 150 m (two-way path), respectively. Three ¼" tubes coming160from three tanks (CH4 (natural gas), NH3 and N2O) bundled together on a stake at the release height 1.28 m above ground161level.

The OP-FTIR was also examined at Wollongong sports field during a release trial from for two days (Fig. 3). NH₃, CH₄, and N₂O were released at the point source (1.28 m above ground level). The path length of OP-FTIR and its distance from the source was initially 87.5 (two-way path) and 44 m, respectively, the OP-FTIR was then moved further away from the source, 107 m from the source with a longer measurement path of 150 m (two-way path).

- 166
- 167 Furthermore, to check the long-term performance of precision and accuracy of the instruments, we conducted side-
- 168 by-side measurements to evaluate sensor differences at Wollongong and a commercial feedlot in northwest of Victoria.
- 169 During the intercomparison of OPL and OP-FTIR at Wollongong, the OPL sensors (two for CH₄ and two for NH₃)
- and the Bomem OP-FTIR recorded mole fractions over a path length of 148 m (two-way path) before and after the
- 171 gases were released. At the commercial feedlot (Fig. 4), two OP-FTIR spectrometers were run side-by-side. Mole

172 fractions of CH₄, N₂O, and NH₃ were simultaneously measured for 6 days with the path length of 100 m (two-way 173 path), and measurement height of 1.5 m above the ground. Flasks (600 millilitre, mL) were evacuated prior to gas 174 sampling. Each sample day during stable boundary layer conditions (Monin-Obukov length L, L \cong 0–10 m), air 175 samples were collected simultaneously at three points (0, 50, 100 m from the spectrometer) along the measurement 176 path. Total 14 samples over a 5-day period were collected. The air samples were analysed using a closed-path FTIR 177 at the off-site laboratory at University of Wollongong, which has been calibrated to the standard gases CH₄ and N₂O 178 (Griffith et al., 2012). The concurrent mole fractions of CH₄ and N₂O, measured by two FTIR were compared to that

179 of air samples.





181

Figure 4: Two OP-FTIR spectrometers (Bomem MB100 and Bruker) during side-by-side operation in a commercial
 feedlot in Victoria in February 2008.

184 **2.3 Gas release system**

185 The controlled gas releases were of NH₃ (>99%, BOC refrigeration grade, Australia), CH₄ (compressed natural gas, 186 89% CH₄, Agility, Australia), and N₂O (>99%, BOC Instrument grade, Australia) supplied from high pressure 187 cylinders. Each of the gas flows was controlled by a mass flow controller with $\pm 2\%$ full scale repeatability (Smart-188 Trak[™] series 100, Sierra Instruments Inc., California, USA). Each gas cylinder was connected to the mass flow 189 controller with 1/4" nylon tubing, the gas outflow from each mass flow controller was released to the atmosphere 190 through another length of nylon tubing. Each gas flow controller was scaled for the gas measurement using the 191 manufacturer's data. Controlled gas flow rates were logged every minute using a data logger (DataTaker, Melbourne). 192 For point-source emissions, the outlets of the three gases were co-located at a release height of 0.5-1.28 m above 193 ground. For surface area emissions, the flows were fed into a length of drip-irrigation tubing (Miniscape, 8 mm) with 194 valve holes every 2.5 m and spread over a 25×25 m or 40×15 m grid at ground level.

195 **2.4 Open-path spectrometers**

196 2.4.1 Open-path lasers

197 Four open-path lasers (OPL, GasFinder2.0, Boreal Laser Inc, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada) were used. Two units (1012 198 and 1013) measured CH₄, the other two (1015 and 1016) measured NH₃. Each OPL was associated with a remote 199 passive retro reflector that delineated the path. The OPL contains a transceiver that houses the laser diode, drive 200 electronics, detector module and micro-computer subsystems. Collimated light emitted from the transceiver traverses 201 the OP to the retro reflector and back. A portion of the beam passes through an internal reference cell. Trace gas mole 202 fraction in the optical path is determined from the ratio of measured external and reference signals. Sample scans are 203 made at approximately 1 s interval and the data were stored internally as one-minute averages. Transceivers are 204 portable, tripod-mounted, battery operated (12 VDC). The retro reflector is tripod-mounted and composed of an array 205 of six gold-coated 6 cm corner cubes with effective diameters of approximately 20 cm. Alignment of transceiver and 206 retro reflector is straightforward and generally stable for several hours over path lengths up to 500 m. The nominal 207 sensitivity of the laser units is 1 part per million-metre (ppm-m), corresponding to 10 ppb for a 100-m path.

208 2.4.2 Open-path FTIR

209 There were two different OP-FTIR units used in these studies. The first unit consisted of a Bomem MB100-2E OP-210 FTIR spectrometer (ABB Bomem, Quebec, Canada) and a modified Meade 30.5 cm diameter LX200 Schmidt-211 Cassegrain telescope that were assembled at the University of Wollongong along with software (Tonini, 2005). 212 Operationally, the transfer optics take the modulated infrared radiation from the FTIR through the telescope to reduce 213 beam divergence to a set of retro reflectors placed at some distance away, collect the returned radiation, and focus the 214 radiation onto a liquid nitrogen cooled MCT detector. A Zener-diode thermometer (type LM335) and a barometer 215 (PTB110, Vaisala, Helsinki, Finland) provide real-time air temperature and pressure data for the analysis of the 216 measured spectra. The spectrometer is operated at 1 cm⁻¹ resolution, and one spectrometer scan takes approximately 217 4 secs (13 scans min⁻¹). For acceptable signal to noise ratios, scans are generally averaged for at least 1 minute. 218 Immediately following each measurement, the spectrum is analysed (see below) and calculated mole fractions are 219 displayed and logged in real time together with ambient pressure and temperature. Operation is continuous and fully 220 automated by the software to control the spectrometer, data logging and spectrum analysis (Paton-Walsh et al., 2014). 221 Under normal operation the detector must be re-filled with liquid nitrogen once per day, and occasional re-alignment 222 of the spectrometer on the tripod may be required depending on the stability of the tripod footings.

223

Quantitative analysis to determine trace gas mole fractions from OP-FTIR spectra is based on non-linear least squares fitting of the measured spectra by a computed spectrum based on the HITRAN (high-resolution transmission molecular absorption) database of spectral line parameters (Rothman et al., 2009; Rothman et al., 2005) using a model calculation (Griffith, 1996). The OP-FTIR spectrum is iteratively calculated until a best fit to the measured spectrum is obtained. The mole fraction of absorbing species in the open path is obtained from the best-fit input parameters to the calculated spectrum (Griffith, 1996; Smith et al., 2011). The OP-FTIR spectrometer measures the broadband IR

230 spectrum simultaneously over the range 600-5000 cm⁻¹. The three separate spectral regions (N_2O (2130–2283 cm⁻¹),

231 CH₄ (2920–3020 cm⁻¹), and NH₃ (900–980 cm⁻¹)) are extracted from the broadband spectrum and analyzed separately

232 for each target species.

233

234 The second OP-FTIR unit was the Bruker IRcube spectrometer (Matrix-M IRcube, Bruker Optics, Ettlingen,

235 Germany) that was developed based on the same principle of Bomem spectrometer (University of Wollongong)

236 (Paton-Walsh et al., 2014; Phillips et al., 2019). This Bruker OP-FTIR replaced the liquid nitrogen (N₂) system by a

237 Stirling cycle mechanical refrigerator, and a 25.4 cm diameter telescope and a secondary mirror were built to create a

238 25-mm parallel beam to extend the measurement path up to 500 m. The analytical spectral regions are the same as 239

Bomem MB 100. More details of Bruker IRcube spectrometer can be found in Bai (2010). The system parameters

240 from both OP-FTIR are summarized in Table 3. Recently, a custom-made motorised tripod head has been installed to

241 allow the spectrometer to be aimed at multiple paths where the retro-reflectors were separated vertically or horizontally

242 (Bai et al., 2016; Flesch et al., 2016).

243

244 Table 3. The system parameters between OP-FTIR Bomem MB100 and Bruker IR cube spectrometer.

	Bomem MB100	Bruker IRcube
Detector	Liquid N ₂ cooled MCT	Stirling cycle refrigerator cooled MCT
Size of telescope	30.5 cm	25.4 cm
SNR ^{§#}	~6000	~9000
Weight	Heavy	Light
Optics dust proof	No	Yes
Motorised aiming system	No	Yes

245 [§] SNR, signal to noise ratio. A transmission spectrum is calculated by taking ratios of two successive spectra and measuring root 246 mean square (rms) noise at a spectral region 2500-2600 cm⁻¹.

247 [#] measured over 100 m path length (two-way path).

248

249 2.5. Dispersion modelling (WindTrax)

250 To infer emission source strengths or fluxes from atmospheric mole fraction measurements, we require a means to 251 quantify atmospheric transport and dispersion of the target trace gases between source and measuring point. Our 252 approach is to infer area-averaged surface fluxes (in excess of background) from measured line-average mole fractions 253 by using a backward Lagrangian stochastic (bLs) model as developed by Flesch et al. (2004; 1995). The bLs model is 254 capable of handling sources of arbitrary size and geometry. The model is encoded in the commercially available 255 software package WindTrax (version 1.0, Thunder Beach Scientific) (Crenna et al., 2006). The inputs for WindTrax 256 bLs model include the measured mole fraction, sonic anemometer measurements of wind speed and direction, stability 257 and turbulence as well as other micrometeorological parameters. The WindTrax bLs model simulates the backwards 258 trajectories of molecules sensed in the optical path. The instrument tower (in the source area) provides the information 259 necessary to calculate the trajectories. In this study, 50,000 parcels are released and propagated backward to build up 260 a statistical distribution of trajectories from which source strengths can be calculated. "Touchdowns" are partitioned

- into those originating in the source area and those from the background. This allows the net flux of particles across the path to be separated into contributions from source and background level.
- 262 (263
- 264 Similar to the studies in McGinn et al. (2006), we predicted tracer release rates by measuring downwind mole fractions
- 265 from area sources using the bLs model. We measured downwind mole fractions before and after releasing each trace
- 266 gas, the difference in the mole fractions was then used to determine the source release rate. However, WindTrax cannot
- 267 be used to carry out backward simulations for point sources (*i.e.* conversion of mole fraction data to fluxes). It can,
- 268 however, predict downwind mole fraction from estimated release rate using the model running in forward mode.

269 2.6 Weather data

- 270 A three-dimensional (3-D) sonic anemometer (CSAT3, Campbell Scientific, Logan, Utah, US) with data logger
- 271 (CR5000, Campbell Scientific, Logan, Utah, US) were used to record wind speed and direction along with the
- turbulence statistics at a frequency of 10 Hz. The fifteen-min interval data were then transformed to friction velocity
- 273 (u_*) , atmospheric stability (L) and surface roughness length (z_0) as half-hour averages, determining the time increments
- of OP sensor data.

275 **2.7 Data filtering criteria**

- 276 Poor measurements of mole fractions were not counted when the spectrum signal intensities were < 0.2 (Spec. max)
- 278 we excluded the data that were associated with error-prone WindTrax fluxes (low wind conditions and strong stable
- or unstable stratification): wind speed $\leq 2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, $|L| \leq 10$, and fraction of "touchdown" ≤ 0.1 .

280 **3 Results and Discussions**

3.1 OP-FTIR measurements

The wind was steady from the NNW ($325-335^\circ$) at 1.8-3.5 m s⁻¹ over the measurement period of 14:45-16:30 (local time) on the 27 July 2005 of Kyabram trial (T1). Between 14:45 and 15:10 and after 16:20 background data were collected. Figure 5 shows the OP-FTIR measurements of all three gases during this period, expressed as path-average mole fractions in ppbv after subtracting the background level. We found that the enhanced mole fractions of the source (downwind minus upwind mole fractions) of CH₄, N₂O, and NH₃ measured by OP-FTIR followed a similar correspondence.

- 288
- 289
- 290



291

Figure 5: Measured OP-FTIR one-minute average mole fractions of CH₄, N₂O and NH₃ after subtracting the background
 levels during a point source gas release experiment at Kyabram on 27 July 2005.

295 We also found that the mean measured OP-FTIR mole fraction of CH₄:N₂O was 1.61 compared to the release rate 296 ratio of 1.60, and the mean measured mole fraction of NH₃:N₂O was 1.84 (release rate ratio was 1.80). The release 297 rates with measured regression slopes for all trial release measurements made at both Wollongong and Kyabram are 298 shown in Table 4. In all but three cases the ratio was within 1-8% of the 1:1 ratio. The OP-FTIR system uses no 299 calibration gases but system calibration is based on the accuracy of the HITRAN line parameters and the MALT 300 spectrum model. Typical absolute accuracy is 1-5% depending on species and open path setup, with precision 301 (reproducibility) normally much better than 1% (Esler et al., 2000). The use of MALT synthetic spectra based on 302 quantum mechanical parameters has been shown to yield accurate results (within 5% of true amounts) when tested 303 against calibration gases in a 3.5 L multi-pass gas cell with 24 m optical path length (Smith et al., 2011). In each case 304 of disagreement, the correlation remains strong, and the systematic differences can reasonably be attributed to either 305 a leak in the release system or in the case of low NH₃ due to the losses by adsorption at the (wet) ground over the 306 longer release-measurement distance during the experiment.

308Table 4. Comparison of the release rate ratios and OP-FTIR measured enhanced mole fractions for the controlled release
gas measurements.

Location and time	Distance of gas release	Compared	Ratio of	Ratio of measured
of measurement	(m), height of gas release	gases	controlled release	enrichments
$period^\delta$			rates	downwind

	(m), measurement path		(± 2%)	(slope of
	distance (m)		measurement	regression
			error)	\pm 95% confidence
				interval)
Kyabram				
(T1)				
Day 1	10, 0.5, 137	NH_3 , N_2O	1.800 ± 0.036	1.841 ± 0.026
1445–1625 h		CH_4, N_2O	1.602 ± 0.032	1.609 ± 0.034
Day 2-3	10, 0.5, 137	NH ₃ , N ₂ O	1.000 ± 0.020	1.024 ± 0.010
1730–830 h		CH4, N2O&	0.890 ± 0.018	0.946 ± 0.038
Day 2	10, 0.5, 137	NH ₃ , N ₂ O	1.000 ± 0.020	1.028 ± 0.019
900–1440 h		CH_4, N_2O	0.890 ± 0.018	0.873 ± 0.024
Day 2	10, 0.5, 137	NH ₃ , N ₂ O	1.800 ± 0.036	$1.990 \pm 0.034^{\#}$
1440–1700 h		CH ₄ , N ₂ O	1.602 ± 0.032	1.668 ± 0.049
(T2)				
Day 1	52, 0.5, 137	NH ₃ , N ₂ O	1.800 ± 0.036	1.783 ± 0.018
1545–1625 h		CH_4, N_2O	0.890 ± 0.018	$0.802 \pm 0.025^{\#}$
Wollongong				
(T3)				
Day 1	44, 1.28, 87.5	NH_3, N_2O	1.000 ± 0.020	1.009 ± 0.020
2048–0500 h		CH4, N2O	*	*
Day 2	107, 1.28, 150	NH ₃ , N ₂ O	1.000 ± 0.020	$0.879 \pm 0.019^{\#}$
2030–0500 h		CH ₄ , N ₂ O	0.890 ± 0.018	0.897 ± 0.032

313

* no data due to CH₄ gas flow problems during this time period. [#] ratio that is not in agreement with the controlled release ratio ($\rho < 0.05$). ^{δ} time of measurement period represented local time. [&] the measured mole fraction is from 1730–030 h because of an increased background effect from 030–830 h.

3.2 OP-FTIR error assessment

- 315 From measurements before and after release, the measurement precision and accuracy of the OP-FTIR measurements
- 316 were assessed (Table 5). Measured background mole fractions of CH₄ and N₂O at Kyabram were similar to the clean
- 317 air values measured at the Cape Grim Baseline Air Pollution Station in Tasmania. The differences between measured
- 318 background values at Kyabram and Cape Grim were < 3% and consistent with the known absolute uncertainty in OP-
- 319 FTIR calibration (1–5%, the accuracy of MALT and HITRAN).
- 320
- Regression analyses showed a residual scatter (standard deviation of the residuals) around the regression line of typically 8 ppbv for $NH_3:N_2O$ and 18 ppbv for $CH_4:N_2O$ (Fig. 6). This scatter was significantly larger than the measurement precisions (Table 5) and suggested that the fundamental limit to accuracy and applicability of the OP technique came from variability in the dispersion of the trace gases by atmospheric turbulence – *i.e.*, even when coreleased at nominally the same point, statistical fluctuations ensured that gas parcels did not follow exactly the same paths. It thus appeared that measurement precision was not the limiting factor and was sufficient for the purposes of
- 327 the measurements. Background variations and turbulence statistics were the error-limiting factors in the OP
- 328 measurements.





332

334 Table 5. Measurement precision and comparison with clean air composition for OP-FTIR measurements during the trace gas release trial experimental period at Kyabram. Background mole fractions measured at Cape Grim Baseline Air Pollution Station in Tasmania at the same time are also shown.

Target gas	Background	Background	Precision
	measured at Cape Grim	measured at	typical 1σ for repeated
		Kyabram	measurements
CH ₄ / ppbv	1738	1745	3.8
N ₂ O / ppbv	317.8	310	0.3
NH ₃ / ppbv	0	< 1	0.4

Note: 1σ is standard error.

3.3 Comparisons of OPL and OP-FTIR measurements

The one-minute averages of CH4 and NH3 mole fractions measured by OPL (one unit for CH4, 1012, and two units

for NH₃, 1015 and 1016) and the OP-FTIR over the period of controlled gas release at Kyabram (T2) were

compared (Fig. 7).







Figure 7: Five-minute averages of CH₄ (upper) and NH₃ (lower) mole fraction measurements from the OP-FTIR and OPL downwind of a ground-level grid source 40 × 15 m wide (path length = 125 m) at Kyabram on 3 August 2005 (T2). The error bars represent the standard error.

In general, the OPL_CH₄ and OPL_NH₃ tracked the OP-FTIR measurements, however, the OPL_NH₃ did not have a stable baseline (fluctuations of around 15 ppbv) and showed significantly lower signal: noise ratio than that of the OP-FTIR. Offsets in the measured mole fractions may be due to the relative positions of the emission source and the instruments.¹

357

A second intercomparison between the CH₄ OPL (1012 and 1013) and OP-FTIR measurements at Wollongong is shown in Fig. 8. The thirty-minute averaged OPL_CH₄ tracked the OP-FTIR measurements, but recorded lower values, with background CH₄ lower than the Cape Grim background of 1738 ppbv (Table 5). There were also discrepancies between the two lasers: 1013 unit was more stable and measured higher values than that of 1012 unit. Flesch et al. (2004) report a similar problem with the long-term stability of CH₄ lasers and implement a rigorous calibration strategy, suggesting recalibrating several times over the course of a field campaign. Laubach et al. (2013) reported the temperature-dependent effect on OPL CH₄ performance. Implementation of a routine calibration protocol

¹ The laser CH_4 mole fractions may be less than those determined by FTIR because the latter's path was only 5 m downwind of the source while the laser path was 8 m downwind. The reverse situation possibly applies to the NH_3 measurements, where the NH_3 laser path was 3 m upwind of that of the FTIR (Fig. 2).

365 would account for these offsets as long as they were consistent. However, fluctuations of around 10 ppbv characterized

the limit on the resolution of the instrument.



369Figure 8: Thirty-minute averaged CH4 mole fraction measured by OP-FTIR and both OPL units (1012 and 1013) positioned370side-by-side (path length = 148 m) at Wollongong site. Error bars denote the standard error.



374

Figure 9: Thirty-minute averaged NH₃ mole fraction measured by OP-FTIR and OPL unit (1015) positioned side-by-side
 (path length = 148 m) at Wollongong site. Error bars denote the standard error of the thirty-minute means.

377 We also compared thirty-minute averages of NH₃ measurements at Wollongong (Fig. 9) prior to and after the gas 378 release (NH₃ release rate at 5 L min⁻¹). Prior to the gas release (15 March 2006), the laser mole fractions at background 379 levels appear elevated while the FTIR showed greater stable baseline, this suggested clearly that the resolution of the 380 lasers was no better than the 1 ppmv-m specified by the manufacturer. After the NH₃ was released (after 10 am 16 381 March 2006), the path-averaged mole fraction rose above 0.1 ppmv, but the OPL_NH₃ (1015 unit) measurements were 382 less erratically at these elevated mole fractions. This indicated the detection limit of the OPL NH₃ was no better than 383 the 1 ppm-m specified by the manufacturer. Rigorous calibration should account for between OPL offsets. However, 384 there remained major discrepancies between measured mole fractions of the OPL NH₃ and OP-FTIR. Clearly, this 385 reflected that the OPL NH₃ are not suited to monitoring background mole fractions of NH₃ (typically < 10 ppbv). 386 Moreover, they are only likely to be feasible in situations where there are very large enrichments in NH_3 as the 387 precision is no better than 10 ppbv over 100-200 m paths.

388 **3.4 Comparisons of two OP-FTIR spectrometers**

389 The ratios of measurement between air samples and FTIR (Bomem and Bruker) are shown in Table 6. We found that

- 390 CH₄ results from Bruker FTIR were more reliable in stable conditions than N₂O values, but comparable in Bomem
- 391 FTIR results. We also calculated the measurement precisions over a Bruker IRcube which showed higher measurement
- 392 precision of CH_4 and N_2O than Bomem MB100, but similarity in NH_3 precision (Table 7).

394Table 6. Ratios of mole fractions of CH4 and N2O between air samples and OP-FTIR including Bomem MB100 and Bruker395IRcube spectrometer#.

	CH4_air/ CH4_FTIR	N2O_air/ N2O_FTIR
Bomem MB100	0.99 (0.03)	1.01 (0.03)
Bruker IRcube	1.00 (0.03)	1.04 (0.02)

[#]mean (standard deviation). The measurements were conducted at stable background conditions for 6 days at Charlton, Victoria.
 The pathlength was 100 m (two-way path), and measurement height was 1.5 m above ground level.

398

393

399 Table 7. The precisions of CH₄, N₂O, and NH₃ for OP-FTIR Bomem MB100 and Bruker IR cube spectrometer.

	Bomem	Bruker
Precision [#]		
CH ₄	4 ppbv	< 2 ppbv
N_2O	0.3 ppbv	< 0.3 ppbv
NH ₃	0.4 ppbv	0.4 ppbv

[#] measured over 100 m path length (two-way path).

400 401

402 **3.5 Trace gas recoveries with Windtrax**

403

404 **3.5.1 OP-FTIR**

405 We ran Windtrax bLs model to calculate trace gas fluxes during a period in the middle of the day on 2 August 2005 406 with source 3 (25×25 m) and path 1 with the mole fraction measured by the OPL (NH₃ only) and OP-FTIR (Fig. 2). 407 Meteorological conditions varied significantly throughout the period, from unstable (L \cong -10 m) at the start to slightly 408 stable (L \approx 50 m) towards the end. Wind speed averaged 2.5 m s⁻¹ and direction was relatively constant at 30°. We 409 assumed the background mole fraction was constant, 1755, 324, and < 1 ppbv for CH₄, N₂O, and NH₃, respectively 410 (Table 5). The results of the Windtrax bLs recovery of flux using OP-FTIR mole fractions are illustrated in Appendix 411 Figure A1 as the ratio of calculated $(O_{bl,S})$ to known (O) flux. Recoveries of N₂O flux were generally good, although 412 low (average recovery is 0.93). This may be due to an issue with the operation of the grid source (such as the 413 distribution of gas). NH₃ recovery was even lower (mean of 0.71). In this case the adsorption of NH₃ on to the grass 414 may also contributed to a reduction in measured mole fraction (Tonini 2005). Apart from the first thirty-minute period, 415 which appeared to have been affected an elevated background mole fraction, CH₄ flux recoveries were much lower 416 (mean of 0.52) than for the other gases.

417

418 We also calculated trace gas fluxes with area source 4 (40×15 m) and path 2 (Fig. 2). Low release rates were

419 employed, until the final hour when they were increased by an order of magnitude. Meteorological stability was quite

420 high at the start of the period (L \approx 0-10), gradually becoming less stable during the night and into morning. Wind

- 421 speed was correspondingly low (1.5 m s^{-1}) at the start and increased to 4 m s⁻¹ by the end of the period and wind
- $\label{eq:422} \mbox{direction swung from ENE to NNE. The results for N_2O are shown in Appendix Figure A2 and for CH_4 and NH_3 in P_4O are shown in Appendix Figure A2 and for CH_4 and NH_3 in P_4O are shown i$
- 423 Appendix Figure A3. The results for the N2O fluxes were very encouraging. There were some intervals where
- 424 retrievals were greater than 1 at the start of the period, and 2 towards the end. The latter occurred at a time when wind
- 425 speed increased, and conditions swung from neutral to unstable. Excluding these intervals provided an average ratio
- 426 of 1.04, with a standard deviation of 0.15. Few points were available for NH₃ as it was not released during the night.
- 427 The average for the last two data points was 0.96. Once again, CH₄ retrievals were problematic due to variations in
- 428 the background mole fraction. With this source geometry and wind field a change in flux of 1 mmole s⁻¹ result in a
- 429 path averaged change in mole fraction of 50 ppby. Small variations in the background thus translated to large mass
- 430 flux changes (e.g. 1 ppb corresponds to 1/50 mmole s⁻¹ = 0.32 mg s⁻¹, or 5.8 % of the released flux of 5.5 mg s⁻¹).
- 431 Under these conditions accurate flux calculation requires a well-defined background mole fraction measurement.

432 **3.5.2** Lasers (NH₃)

433 Figure A4 showed the results of the same controlled release experiment described in the OP-FTIR section above.

- 434 Again, the bLs model was used to predict the NH₃ emission source strength based on OPL NH₃ line-averaged mole
- 435 fraction measurements.
- 436

437 Although the correlation was reasonable, unlike the recoveries calculated from the OP-FTIR data, the ratio of predicted

- 438 to known source strength was greater than 1 for these data. This was not altogether surprising given the consistently
- 439 inflated NH₃ mole fractions measured by the OPL sensors.

440 **3.6 Herd emissions using OP-FTIR, OPL and WindTrax**

- 441 The study was conducted at Kyabram DPI on March 21, 2006 (Appendix Figure 5A). Appendix Figures A6 and A7 442 showed the fluxes of CH_4 and NH_3 due to a herd of 353 dairy cows grazing at Kyabram DPI on March 21, 2006,
- 443 calculated using bLs model in WindTrax and OP-FTIR and OPL (for CH₄) measured mole fractions. The calculated
- 444 CH₄ source was variable because the cows were wandering around the paddock (Fig. A6). Clearly marked at the time 445 when the cows departed the bay (Bay 8) for milking. The CH₄ source strength disappeared after this time, as it should.
- 446 Missing data points corresponded to periods of time when the average wind speed was less than 2 m s^{-1} , when the bLs
- 447 model was likely unreliable. The average calculated source strength, based on the OP-FTIR data, was 57.5 μ g m⁻² s⁻¹,
- 448 equivalent to 292 g cow⁻¹ day⁻¹. This calculation assumed a uniform background mole fraction of CH_4 of 1610 ppbv.
- 449 Fluxes based on the upwind and downwind OPL data were strongly correlated with the OP-FTIR results and predicted
- 450 an average flux of 48.5 μ g m⁻² s⁻¹. The lower value probably reflected the offsets between the instruments. Atmospheric
- 451 conditions of the following day were too still to reliably use the data acquired on the second day of grazing. Figure
- 452 A7 showed that the OP-FTIR NH₃ fluxes ranged from 0.3-0.8 μ g m⁻² s⁻¹, with average flux around 0.5 μ g m⁻² s⁻¹,
- 453 equivalent to 0.7 gN cow⁻¹ day⁻¹ assuming NH₃ volatilisations only occurred during the daytime (8 hours). This was
- similar to the NH₃ emission fluxes of 0.25 to 2.5 g cow⁻¹ day⁻¹, measured at the same site and same season (early
- 455 April) in 2004 using the combination of passive NH₃ sampler and WindTrax (Denmead et al., 2020).

456 3.7 WindTrax sensitivity

457 A model sensitivity study was undertaken in order to understand how the source strength predicted by WindTrax alters

458 with variations in a range of input parameters. No sonic anemometer data was used – instead we used simple wind

459 speed and direction and constructed a surface layer model from local weather conditions and estimates of surface

460 roughness. Example data from FTIR measurements in Kyabram on 21 March 2006 was used and five input parameters

461 were varied around the standard conditions. Table 8 below showed how the calculated source strength of CH₄ from

462 the paddock of cows varied with changes in the wind speed, stability, surface roughness, height of sensor and

463 temperature assumed by the WindTrax model.

	Wind Speed		1.00 m s ⁻¹		m s ⁻¹	2.67 m s ⁻¹		3.00 m s ⁻¹		4.00 m s ⁻¹
	Source strength ($\mu g m^{-2} s^{-1}$)		32 ± 4	64 ±	9	85±11		96 ± 13		128 ± 17
465										
	Stability	Bright	Modera	te S	light	Overcas	st r	night < 3/8		night > $4/8$
		sunshii	ne sunshin	e s	sunshine		C	loud		cloud
	Source strength ($\mu g m^{-2} s^{-1}$)	85 ± 11	$1 74 \pm 10$	7	4 ± 10	74 ± 10	7	74 ± 10		74 ± 10
466										
	Surface Roughness	2.3 cm	5 cm		10 cm		12 cm		1:	5 cm
	Source strength ($\mu g m^{-2} s^{-1}$)	64 ± 9	64 ± 8		85 ± 11		85 ± 11		8	5 ± 11
467										
	Height of Sensor	1.4 m		1.5 n	1.5 m 1.		.6 m		1.8 cm	
	Source strength ($\mu g m^{-2} s^{-1}$)	88 ± 8		85±	11	81	±12		78 ±	- 7
468										
	Temperature	15°C	20°C		22°C		24°0	2	30	0°C
	Source strength ($\mu g m^{-2} s^{-1}$)	87 ± 12	86 ± 11		85 ± 11		85 ±	: 11	8	3 ± 11
100										

464 Table 8. Variations in input parameters to WindTrax.

469 470 The model appeared to be quite robust with respect to height of the sensor, temperature and stability conditions while 471 changing the assumed surface roughness from 5 to 10 cm altered the predicted fluxes quite markedly. The modelled 472 source strength scaled with wind speed so accurate meteorological data was a requirement of this technique. It should

473 also be noted that the Windtrax model was not expected to work well when wind speed was below 2 m s⁻¹.

474 3.8 The total uncertainty budget

475 We want to compute the total uncertainty associated with the difference in mole fraction between upwind and 476 downwind. There are three uncertainty sources: instrument precision uncertainty, fitting uncertainty, and absorption 477 cross-section (HITRAN) uncertainty (the latter two are fractional uncertainties and were taken from Paton-Walsh et 478 al.(2014)) (Table 9). The measurement precision is in units of ppbv and so the fractional uncertainty that this represents 479 will change with the trace gas mole fraction. The instrument precision uncertainty (δ) associated with upwind 480 measurement is $1-\sigma$, and the uncertainty associated with downwind is also $1-\sigma$. We assume these errors to be 481 independent. The instrument precision uncertainty in the difference in mole fraction between upwind and downwind 482 is thus sqrt($(1-\sigma)^2 + (1-\sigma)^2$). We then divide this value by the difference in mole fraction to recover the relative 483 uncertainty due to instrument precision: $sqrt((1-\sigma)^2 + (1-\sigma)^2) / (CH4_{downwind} - CH4_{upwind})$. $\Delta CH_4 = CH4_{downwind} - CH4_{upwind}$ 484 CH4_{upwind}. We then add in quadrature the relative measurement uncertainty due to instrument precision with the fitting

- 485 and absorption cross-section uncertainties (also expressed in terms of relative uncertainty). For example, for CH₄,
- 486 when ΔCH_4 was as low as 20 ppbv, we have a relative uncertainty of 0.28 for the instrument precision, 0.02 for fitting
- 487 uncertainty, and 0.05 for absorption cross-section uncertainty. The relative uncertainty propagated across these three
- 488 components is: sqrt $(0.283^2 + 0.02^2 + 0.05^2) = 0.288$ or 28.8%. When the ΔCH_4 was increased to 50 ppbv or 100
- 489 ppbv, the uncertainty declined dramatically to 12.5 and 7.8%, respectively. However, for N₂O and NH₃ the uncertainty
- 490 was not limited by the mole fraction enhancement but likely attributed to absorption cross-section uncertainty.

491 Table 9. Total uncertainty budget.

	CH_4	N_2O	NH ₃
Measurement precision (ppbv)	4	0.3	0.4
Spectral fitting uncertainty (%)	2%	4%	2%
Absorption cross-section uncertainty (%)	5%	5%	5%
$\delta(\Delta \text{ trace gas mole fraction}^{\ddagger})/\Delta \text{ trace mole fraction (%)}$			
Δ trace gas mole fraction (ppbv)			
20	28.3%	2.1%	2.8%
50	11.3%	0.8%	1.1%
100	5.7%	0.4%	0.6%
Total uncertainty (%)			
Δ trace gas mole fraction (ppbv)			
20	28.8%	6.8%	6.1%
50	12.5%	6.5%	5.5%
100	7.8%	6.4%	5.4%

492 [†] Δ trace gas mole fraction = (trace gas mole fraction)_{downwind} - (trace gas mole fraction)_{upwind}

493 4 Conclusions

494 We have used OP systems for measuring mole fractions of CH₄, N₂O and NH₃, and evaluated their performance and

495 precision. Two OP systems for measuring line-averaged gas mole fractions have been evaluated over path lengths up496 to about 200 m.

497

The OP-FTIR system can measure multiple gases simultaneously with excellent precision, e.g., CH₄, 2-4 ppbv, N₂O, 498 499 0.3 ppby, and NH₃, 0.4 ppby. As the baseline appears to be very stable, we believe OP-FTIR technique has accuracy 500 for even small enrichments in GHGs. However, the apparatus remains bulky to set up in a field environment, where 501 access to main power is often difficult. In contrast, the commercial OPL have the advantage of being readily portable 502 and battery powered. This study has evaluated OPL for CH₄ and NH₃. These instruments have somewhat poorer 503 precision than the OP-FTIR, of around 10 ppbv for CH₄ and 15 ppbv for NH₃. While the OPL should be capable of 504 following ambient fluctuations in CH₄ gas mole fractions, the resolution of the NH₃ OPL was greater than the 505 background mole fractions of NH₃, resulting in large errors when calculating fluxes. WindTrax provided accurate 506 recoveries of known test gas releases from source area and appears to be well suited to analysis of open path 507 measurements, under suitable meteorological conditions. These experiments highlighted the importance of having a 508 robust background mole fraction measurement.

- 510 Our studies also suggest that the OP-FTIR and OPL are suitable to measure typical enrichments in CH_4 and NH_3 from
- 511 agriculture and useful in calculating fluxes from a variety of agricultural activities, such as free-ranging cattle and
- 512 sheep. We recommend that they are also well-suited to concentrated sources such as feedlots, animal sheds and small
- 513 enclosures. The OP-FTIR system should also be suited to emissions of CH₄ from rice-growing sources and wastewater
- 514 lagoons. The OP-FTIR system provides excellent NH₃ precision suitable for measuring paddock-scale emissions from
- 515 fertiliser (urea, effluent) applications and dung and urine patches. High detection limit and long-term stability of OP-
- 516 FTIR enables to measure small changes in N₂O emissions at large-scale from fertilizer treatment, or dairy pastures.
- 517 The OPL NH_3 has low resolution of free-air mole fraction, in particular weak sources, where the enhanced values are
- 518 low and the error in background is minimized.

519 5 Appendices





521 522

523 Figure A1: Ratio of predicted to known flux for ground-level 25 × 25 m area source (Source 3), using OP-FTIR mole 524 fractions and measurement path 2 on 2 August 2005.

525





Figure A2: Ratio of calculated (Q_{bLS}) to known N₂O (Q) fluxes for the ground-level 40 × 25 m grid source (Source 4), using OP-FTIR mole fractions and measurement path 2.





Figure A3: Ratio of calculated (Q_{bLS}) to known CH₄ and NH₃ (Q) fluxes for the ground-level 40 × 25 m grid source (Source 4), using OP-FTIR mole fractions and measurement path 2.



535
 536
 537
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538
 538











Figure A6: CH₄ fluxes determined from OP-FTIR and OPL (1012) data and the bLs model at Kyabram 21 March 2006.





547 6 Data availability

548 The raw data are not available to the public. For any inquiry about the data, please contact the corresponding author 549 (mei.bai@unimelb.edu.au).

550 7 Author contributions

551 All authors contributed to the conceptualization, methodology, field measurement, data analysis, and draft preparation.

552 8 Acknowledgements

- 553 We wish to acknowledge the assistance of many: Ron Teo from the University of Melbourne, the Victorian Kyabram
- research station for access to their laboratory and experimental facilities, for provision of micrometeorological data at
- 555 Kyabram, and the assistance of their staff, particularly Kevin Kelly, Rob Baigent. We wish to thank also the Australian
- 556 Greenhouse Office for their encouragement. Authors would like to thank Travis Naylor, Graham Kettlewell from
- 557 University of Wollongong for their assistance during this study.

558 9 Declaration of interests

- 559 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have
- appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

561 10 References

- 562 Bai, M.: Methane emissions from livestock measured by novel spectroscopic techniques, Doctor of Philosophy PhD
- 563 Thesis, School of Chemistry, University of Wollongong, University of Wollongong, NSW, Australia, 303 pp., 2010.
- 564 Bai, M., Flesch, K. T., Trouvé, R., Coates, T. W., Butterly, C., Bhatta, B., Hill, J., and Chen, D.: Gas Emissions
- during Cattle Manure Composting and Stockpiling, J. Environ. Qual., 49, 228-235,
- 566 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jeq2.20029</u>, 2020.
- 567 Bai, M., Flesch, T., McGinn, S., and Chen, D.: A snapshot of greenhouse gas emissions from a cattle feedlot, J.
- 568 Environ. Qual., 44, 1974-1978, <u>https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2015.06.0278</u>, 2015.
- 569 Bai, M., Sun, J., Dassanayake, K. B., Benvenutti, M. A., Hill, J., Denmead, O. T., Flesch, K. T., and Chen, D.: Non-
- 570 interference measurement of CH₄, N₂O and NH₃ emissions from cattle, Anim. Prod. Sci., 56, 1496-1503,
- 571 <u>https://doi.org/10.1071/AN14992</u>, 2016.
- 572 Bjorneberg, L. D., Leytem, B. A., Westermann, T. D., Griffiths, R. P., Shao, L., and Pollard, J. M.: Measurement of
- 573 atmospheric ammonia, methane, and nitrous oxide at a concentrated dairy production facility in Southern Idaho
- using open-path FTIR Spectrometry, Trans. of the ASABE, 52, 1749-1756, <u>https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.29137</u>,
 2009.
- 576 Bühler, M., Häni, C., Kupper, T., Ammann, C., and Brönnimann, S.: Quantification of methane emissions from 577 waste water treatment plants, 13389, 2020.
- 578 Crenna, B., Thomas, K. F., and Wilson, J. D.: WindTrax 2.0.6.8 (06 12 04). Alberta Canada, 2006.
- de Klein, C., Novoa, R. S. A., Ogle, S., Smith, K. A., Rochette, P., Wirth, T. C., McConkey, B. G., Mosier, A., and
- 580 Rypdal, K.: N₂O emissions from managed soils, and CO₂ emissions from lime and urea application. In: 2006 IPCC
- 581 Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Volume 4 Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use,
- 582 Eggelston, S., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., and Tanabe, K. (Eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
- 583 United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA., 2006.
- Denmead, O. T.: Novel meteorological methods for measuing trace gas fluxes, Philos. T. R. Soc. A., 351, 383-396,
 1995.
- 586 Denmead, O. T., Bai, M., Turner, D., Li, Y., Edis, R., and Chen, D.: Ammonia emissions from irrigated pastures on
- Solonetz in Victoria, Australia, Geoderma Regional, 20, e00254, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geodrs.2020.e00254</u>,
 2020.
- 589 Denmead, O. T., Harper, L. A., Freney, J. R., Griffith, D. W. T., Leuning, R., and Sharpe, R. R.: A mass balance
- 590 method for non-intrusive measurements of surface-air trace gas exchange, Atmos. Environ., 32, 3679-3688, 1998.
- 591 Esler, M. B., Griffith, D. W. T., Wilson, S. R., and Steele, L. P.: Precision trace gas analysis by FT-IR Spectroscopy.
- 592 1. Simultaneous analysis of CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, and CO in Air, Anal. Chem., 72, 206-215,
- 593 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ac9905625</u>, 2000.
- 594 Feitz, A., Schroder, I., Phillips, F., Coates, T., Negandhi, K., Day, S., Luhar, A., Bhatia, S., Edwards, G., Hrabar, S.,
- 595 Hernandez, E., Wood, B., Naylor, T., Kennedy, M., Hamilton, M., Hatch, M., Malos, J., Kochanek, M., Reid, P.,
- 596 Wilson, J., Deutscher, N., Zegelin, S., Vincent, R., White, S., Ong, C., George, S., Maas, P., Towner, S., Wokker,
- 597 N., and Griffith, D.: The Ginninderra CH₄ and CO₂ release experiment: An evaluation of gas detection and

- quantification techniques, Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control, 70, 202-224, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2017.11.018</u>, 2018.
- 600 Flesch, K. T., Baron, V., Wilson, J., Griffith, D. W. T., Basarab, J., and Carlson, P.: Agricultural gas emissions
- during the spring thaw: Applying a new measuremnt technique, Agric. Forest Meteorol., 221, 111-121,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.02.010, 2016.
- 603 Flesch, T. K., Desjardins, R. L., and Worth, D.: Fugitive methane emissions from an agricultural biodigester,
- 604 Biomass and Bioenergy, 35, 3927-3935, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2011.06.009, 2011.
- Flesch, T. K., Vergé, X. P. C., Desjardins, R. L., and Worth, D.: Methane emissions from a swine manure tank in western Canada, Can. J. Anim. Sci., 93, 159-169, <u>https://doi.org/10.4141/cjas2012-072</u>, 2012.
- 607 Flesch, T. K., Wilson, J. D., Harper, L. A., Crenna, B. P., and Sharpe, R. R.: Deducing ground-to-air emissions from
- 608
 observed trace gas mole fractions: A field trial, J. Appl. Meteorol., 43, 487-502, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(2004)043<0487:DGEFOT>2.0.CO;2, 2004">https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(2004)043<0487:DGEFOT>2.0.CO;2, 2004.
- 610 Flesch, T. K., Wilson, J. D., and Yee, E.: Backward-time Lagrangian stochastic dispersion models and their
- application to estimate gaseous emissions, J. Appl. Meteorol., 34, 1320-1332, 10.1175/1520-
- 612 0450(1995)034<1320:BTLSDM>2.0.CO;2, 1995.
- 613 Griffith, D. W. T.: Synthetic calibration and quantitative analysis of gas-phase FT-IR spectra, Appl. Spectrosc., 50, 614 59-70, 1996.
- 615 Griffith, D. W. T., Deutscher, N. M., Caldow, C., Kettlewell, G., Riggenbach, M., and Hammer, S.: A Fourier
- transform infrared trace gas and isotope analyser for atmospheric applications, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 2481-2498,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-5-2481-2012</u>, 2012.
- Harper, L. A., Flesch, T. K., Powell, J. M., Coblentz, W. K., Jokela, W. E., and Martin, N. P.: Ammonia emissions
- 619 from dairy production in Wisconsin1, J. Dairy Sci., 92, 2326-2337, https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2008-1753, 2009.
- Harper, L. A., Flesch, T. K., and Wilson, J. D.: Ammonia emissions from broiler production in the San Joaquin
- 621 Valley1, Poult. Science, 89, 1802-1814, <u>https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2010-00718</u>, 2010.
- 622 IPCC: Emissions from managed soils, and CO₂ emissions from lime and urea application. In '2006 IPCC Guidelines
- 623 for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Vol. 4. Agriculture forestry and other land use'. Ch. 11. Prepared by the
- National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, International Panel on Climate Change, Hayama, Japan, 54 pp.,
 2006.
- Laubach, J., Barthel, M., Fraser, A., Hunt, J. E., and Griffith, D. W. T.: Combining two complementary
- $\begin{array}{ll} 627 & \mbox{micrometeorological methods to measure CH_4 and N_2O fluxes over pasture, Biogeosciences, 13, 1309-1327,} \\ & \mbox{https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-1309-2016}, 2016. \end{array}$
- Loh, Z., Chen, D., Bai, M., Naylor, T., Griffith, D., Hill, J., Denmead, T., McGinn, S., and Edis, R.: Measurement of
- 630 greenhouse gas emissions from Australian feedlot beef production using open-path spectroscopy and atmospheric
- dispersion modelling, Aust. J. Exp. Agr., 48, 244-247, 2008.
- 632 Loh, Z., Leuning, R., Zegelin, S., Etheridge, D., Bai, M., Naylor, T., and Griffith, D.: Testing Lagrangian
- $\begin{array}{l} 633 \\ 634 \end{array}$ atmospheric dispersion modelling to monitor CO₂ and CH₄ leakage from geosequestration, Atmos. Environ., 43, 2602-2611, 2009. \end{array}
- 635 McGinn, S. M.: Measuring greenhouse gas emissions from point sources, Can. J. Soil Sci., 86, 355-371, 2006.
- McGinn, S. M., Coates, T., Flesch, T. K., and Crenna, B.: Ammonia emission from dairy cow manure stored in a lagoon over summer, Can. J. Soil Sci., 88, 611-615, https://doi.org/10.4141/CJSS08002, 2008.
- 638 McGinn, S. M. and Flesch, T. K.: Ammonia and greenhouse gas emissions at beef cattle feedlots in Alberta Canada,
- Agri. Forest Meteorol., 258, 43-49, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.01.024</u>, 2018.
- McGinn, S. M., Flesch, T. K., Harper, L. A., and Beauchemin, K. A.: An Approach for Measuring Methane
- 641 Emissions from Whole Farms, J Environ. Qual., 35, 14-20, 10.2134/jeq2005.0250, 2006.
- Emissions from whole Farms, J Environ. Qual., 35, 14-20, 10.2134/jeq2005.0250, 2006.
- 642 NIR: National Inventory Report 2015 Volume 1, Commonwealth of Australia 2017. <u>www.environment.gov.au</u>.
- 643 (updated June 2017). 2015.
- Paton-Walsh, C., Smith, T. E. L., Young, E. L., Griffith, D. W. T., and Guérette, É. A.: New emission factors for
- 645 Australian vegetation fires measured using open-path Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy-Part 1: Methods and
 - 646 Australian temperate forest fires., Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 11313-11333, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-11313-</u> 647 2014, 2014.
- 648 Phillips, F. A., Naylor, T., Forehead, H., Griffith, D. W. T., Kirkwood, J., and Paton-Walsh, C.: Vehicle Ammonia
- 649 Emissions Measured in An Urban Environment in Sydney, Australia, Using Open Path Fourier Transform Infra-Red
- 650 Spectroscopy, Atmosphere, 10, 208, 2019.
- 651 Rothman, L. S., Gordon, I. E., Barbe, A., Benner, D. C., Bernath, P. F., Birk, M., Boudon, V., Brown, L. R.,
- 652 Campargue, A., Champion, J. P., Chance, K., Coudert, L. H., Dana, V., Devi, V. M., Fally, S., Flaud, J. M.,
- 653 Gamache, R. R., Goldman, A., Jacquemart, D., Kleiner, I., Lacome, N., Lafferty, W. J., Mandin, J. Y., Massie, S. T.,

- Mikhailenko, S. N., Miller, C. E., Moazzen-Ahmadi, N., Naumenko, O. V., Nikitin, A. V., Orphal, J., Perevalov, V.
- 655 I., Perrin, A., Predoi-Cross, A., Rinsland, C. P., Rotger, M., Šimečková, M., Smith, M. A. H., Sung, K., Tashkun, S.
- A., Tennyson, J., Toth, R. A., Vandaele, A. C., and Vander Auwera, J.: The HITRAN 2008 molecular spectroscopic
- database, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 110, 533-572, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2009.02.013</u>, 2009.
- 658 Rothman, L. S., Jacquemart, D., Barbe, A., Chris Benner, D., Birk, M., Brown, L. R., Carleer, M. R., Chackerian Jr,
- 659 C., Chance, K., Coudert, L. H., Dana, V., Devi, V. M., Flaud, J. M., Gamache, R. R., Goldman, A., Hartmann, J. M.,
- Jucks, K. W., Maki, A. G., Mandin, J. Y., Massie, S. T., Orphal, J., Perrin, A., Rinsland, C. P., Smith, M. A. H.,
- Tennyson, J., Tolchenov, R. N., Toth, R. A., Vander Auwera, J., Varanasi, P., and Wagner, G.: The HITRAN 2004
- 662 molecular spectroscopic database, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 96, 139-204, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2004.10.008</u>,
- 663 2005.
- 664 Smith, P., Bustamante, M., Ahammad, H., Clark, H., Dong, H., Elsiddig, E. A., Haberl, H., Harper, R., House, J.,
- Jafari, M., Masera, O., Mbow, C., Ravindranath, N. H., Rice, C. W., Abad, C. R., Romanovskaya, A., Sperling, F.,
- and Tubiello, F.: Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU). In: In: Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of
- 667 Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel
- on Climate Change, Edenhofer, O., Pichs-Madruga, R., Sokona, Y., Farahani, E., Kadner, S., Seyboth, K., Adler, A.,
- Baum, I., Brunner, S., Eickemeier, P., Kriemann, B., Savolainen, J., Schlömer, S., von Stechow, C., Zwickel, T., and
- 670 Minx, J. C. (Eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2014.
- 671 Smith, T. E. L., Wooster, M. J., Tattaris, M., and Griffith, D. W. T.: Absolute accuracy and sensitivity analysis of
- 672 OP-FTIR retrievals of CO₂, CH₄ and CO over mole fractions representative of "clean air" and "polluted plumes",
- 673 Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 97-116, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-97-2011</u>, 2011.
- 674 Tomkins, N. W., McGinn, S. M., Turner, D. A., and Charmley, E.: Comparison of open-circuit respiration chambers
- 675 with a micrometeorological method for determining methane emissions from beef cattle grazing a tropical pasture,
- 676 Anim. Feed Sci. and Tech., 166-167, 240-247, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2011.04.014</u>, 2011.
- Tonini, M.: Measuring methane emissions from cattle using an open path FTIR tracer based method, Bachelor of
- 678 Science with Honours, Department of Chemistry, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, Australia, 68 pp., 2005.
- 679 VanderZaag, A. C., Flesch, T. K., Desjardins, R. L., Baldé, H., and Wright, T.: Measuring methane emissions from
- two dairy farms: Seasonal and manure-management effects, Agri. Forest Meteorol., 194, 259-267,
- 681 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.02.003, 2014.