The authors thank the reviewer for the constructive comments which have helped to improve the paper. Please find our replies below.

**Answer to Reviewer**

*I still think that a symbol for the natural variability would be better than referring to it as "Rel. nat. var." in the figures, even if you don't want to add a name to Eq. 4 and 5.*

The equations 4 and 5 introduce not the estimator but just the individual member of the sample out of which the estimator is calculated. As to the symbol for the natural variability, we still think that it would be misleading to introduce a notation that will not be used nowhere except the plots. We would prefer to keep the plots as they are.

All other comments were taken into account and changed accordingly.