# Development, characterization and application of an improved online

# reactive oxygen species analyzer based on MARGA

- $3\qquad \text{Jiyan Wu}^{1,2}, \text{Chi Yang}^{1,2}, \text{Chunyan Zhang}^{1,2}, \text{Fang Cao}^{1,2}, \text{Aiping Wu}^{1,2}, \text{Yanlin Zhang}^{1,2}$
- 4 \*

1

2

- 5 <sup>1</sup> Yale-NUIST Center on Atmospheric Environ., Joint International Research
- 6 Laboratory of Climate and Environment Change (ILCEC), Nanjing University of
- 7 Information Science and Technology, Nanjing 210044, China
- 8 <sup>2</sup> School of Applied Meteorology, Nanjing University of Information Science and
- 9 Technology, Nanjing 210044, China
- 10 Correspondence: Yanlin Zhang (<u>zhangyanlin@nuist.edu.cn</u>)

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

### **Abstract**

Excessive reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the human body is an important factor leading to diseases. Therefore, research on the content of reactive oxygen species in atmospheric particles is necessary. In recent years, the online detection technology of ROS has been developed. However, there are few technical studies on online detection of ROS based on the DTT method. Here, to modify the instrument, it is added a DTT experimental module that is protected from light and filled with nitrogen at the end, based on the Monitor for AeRosols and Gases in ambient Air (MARGA). The experimental study found that the detection limit of the modified instrument is 0.024 nmol min<sup>-1</sup>. The DTT consumption rate of blank sample (ultra-pure water) is reduced by 44 %, which eliminates the influence of outside air and light in the experiment. And the accuracy of the online instrument is determined by comparing the online and offline levels of the samples, which yielded good consistency (slope 0.97, R<sup>2</sup>=0.95). It shows that the performance of the instrument is indeed optimized, the instrument is stable, and the characterization of ROS is accurate. The instrument not only realizes the online detection conveniently and quickly, but also achieves the hour-by-hour detection of ROS based on the DTT method. Meanwhile, reactive oxygen and inorganic ions in atmospheric particles are quantified using the online technique in the northern suburbs of Nanjing. It is found that the content of ROS during the day is higher than that at night, especially after it rains, ROS peaks appear in the two time periods of 08:00-10:00 and 16:00-18:00. In addition, examination of the online ROS and water-soluble ions ( $SO_4^{2-}$ , NO<sub>3</sub>-, NH<sub>4</sub>+, Na<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>), BC and polluting gases (SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, O<sub>3</sub>, NO, NO<sub>x</sub>) measurements revealed that photo-oxidation and secondary formation processes could be important sources of aerosol ROS. This method breakthrough enables the quantitative assessment of atmospheric particulate matter ROS at the diurnal scale, providing an effective tool to study sources and environmental impacts of ROS.

#### 1. Introduction

Air quality is a major issue affecting human health, and prolonged exposure to high ambient particulate concentrations can lead to a significant increase in the probability of respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, which can seriously impair human health (Delfino et al., 2005; Ghio et al., 2012; Pöschl and Shiraiwa, 2015). The

production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the human body is the most reliable pathophysiological mechanism proposed, and excessive reactive oxygen species can cause an imbalance between the oxidative system and the antioxidant system, causing oxidative stress and tissue damage (Ahmad et al., 2021; Akhtar et al., 2010; Borm et al., 2007; Delfino et al., 2013; Lodovici and Bigagli, 2011). Thus, oxidative potential (OP) has been proposed as a more biologically relevant indicator than particulate matter (PM) mass concentration to represent the combined effects of multiple toxic components in PM (Ayres et al., 2008; Hellack et al., 2015; Janssen et al., 2015). Understanding the generation mechanism and source characteristics of reactive oxygen species is essential for making reasonable pollution control decisions and reducing their impact on human health.

In recent years, the analysis method of oxidation potential has cell detection and cell-free detection. To provide a simpler and quicker way to determine the oxidation potential of environmental particulate matter, cell-free methods such as electron spin (or paramagnetic) resonance (OP<sub>ESR</sub>), dithiothreitol assay (OP<sub>DTT</sub>), ascorbic acid assay (OP<sub>AA</sub>), high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and glutathione assay (OP<sub>GSH</sub>) are often used as the main measurement methods for ROS (Bates et al., 2019; Ghio et al., 2012). Through the comparison and analysis of these various methods by a large number of researchers, the DTT method is generally considered to be the most common and comprehensive method to reflect the magnitude of the chemical oxidation potential of particulate matter (Hedayat et al., 2014; Xiong et al., 2017).

Generally, the cell-free method still has problems with detection delays and degradation of particulate chemical components during sample storage, which not only leads to inaccurate detection data, but also the inability to capture daily changes. Therefore, the development of online detection technology becomes necessary (Charrier et al., 2016; Dou et al., 2015; Fang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2014; Velali et al., 2016; Vreeland et al., 2017). So far, the development of online detection technology is mainly based on the DCFH method and the DTT method. On the one hand, an online detection technology based on the DCFH method has been reported previously (Eiguren-Fernandez et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2016; Sameenoi et al., 2012; Wragg et al., 2016). However, some researchers believe that in the DCFH method, the horseradish peroxidase (HRP) will promote the production of hydroxyl free radicals, leading to an overestimation of ROS content (Pal et al., 2012). On the other hand, based on the DTT method to develop online detection technology (Fang et al., 2014; Puthussery et al., 2018), The semi-automatic detection system researched by Fang et al, based on the DTT method cannot realize an online collection of environmental samples. On this basis, Puthussery et al used a mist chamber (MC) to continuously collect PM<sub>2.5</sub> in environmental water and realized fully automatic hourly ROS detection.

However, these detection methods ignore the influence of air and light on the experiment. As the main reagent of the experiment, dithiothreitol (DTT) and 5,5'-dithiobis (2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) are easily oxidized by air (Chen et al., 2010). We achieve accurate measurement of the oxidation potential of environmental particulates by shielding from light and filling with nitrogen. In addition, the present study is developed on the basis of the MARGA, which is a state-of-art instrument.

MARGA measures near-real-time water-soluble particulate species and their gaseous precursors (Chen et al., 2017). MARGA is used to collect particulate matter and is connected to the optimized DTT<sub>V</sub> detection part to observe the oxidation potential hour by hour. The system realizes simultaneous observation of oxidation potential and inorganic ions. Here, we optimize the performance of the instrument and measure the hourly averaged OP of ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub>. The reliability of online detection of oxidation potential data is supported by analyzing the correlation between ions, polluting gases, BC and oxidation potential. 

## 2. Materials and Method

## 2.1 Instrument set-up and improvement

Figure 1 shows the scheme and schematic diagram of the system for DTT online detection. The instrument is set up in the Atmospheric Environ. monitoring laboratory on the roof of the Wende Building of Nanjing University of Information Engineering (30 m above the ground) and the room temperature is maintained at 20°C. The entire system is composed of the MARGA, the automatic sample-receiving device, and the DTT experimental reaction device. The MARGA is used as an instrument for detecting atmospheric aerosols and inorganic components of gases (water-soluble ions Cl<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>), and it collects gases using a wet rotary separator and aerosols using steam injection, and absorbs gases and aerosols into the aqueous phase separately to separate them from each other. Then, the resulting solution is analyzed by ion chromatography equipped with a conductivity detector. That is, the gas and aerosol are analyzed separately to detect the gas precursors and different ionic compositions in the aerosol.

In past studies, MARGA was often used to detect the content of inorganic components of atmospheric aerosols and gases in cities around the world (Rumsey et al., 2014). And Chen et al. conducted a special evaluation study on the accuracy and precision of MARGA (Chen et al., 2017). In addition, Stieger et al. achieved quantitative analysis of low molecular weight organic acids in the atmospheric gas phase and particle phase by modifying MARGA (Stieger et al., 2019). Hemmilä et al used a MARGA ligation an electrospray ionization quadrupole mass spectrometer (MS) to achieve 1-hour resolution quantification of 7 different amines in gas and particulate phases in forest air in northern Finland. (Hemmilä et al., 2018) As a mature commercial instrument, MARGA can measure the inorganic components of atmospheric aerosols and gases with 1-hour resolution. In this study, based on MARGA, the DTT experimental part is connected to realize the hour-by-hour simultaneous detection of aerosol inorganic components and ROS.

In the DTT reaction module, to avoid the influence of light and air on the experiment, all pipelines, reaction flasks and mixing flasks are sealed and protected from light by aluminum foil. The whole DTT experimental part was filled with N<sub>2</sub> by pump A and pump B before the experiment started. In addition, we added a refrigerator to store DTT, DTNB and other experimental solutions. During the DTT experiment, the reaction tube and mixing tube were placed in an incubator at 37°C to simulate the temperature of human lungs. To realize the subsequent DTT experimental reactions, as

in Figure 1 we collected the liquid-phase aerosols into sample tubes through a dual-channel split-flow controlled-volume peristaltic pump. And set peristaltic pump 1 speed to 1.55 ml h<sup>-1</sup> to finish 1.5 ml h<sup>-1</sup> sample volume.

Finally, the determination of DTT activity is achieved by the continuous regular operation of the programmable pumps A and B and the detection of the spectrophotometer. (see Sect. 2.2.1 for details)

### 2.2 Method

### 2.2.1 Online DTT assay measurement

The whole measurement step is divided into three steps: sample collection, DTT reaction part, and spectrophotometer detection. In the first step (the sample collection), the MARGA will discharge 25 ml of aerosol liquid every hour, and use the dual-channel split flow control volume peristaltic pump 1 to add 1.55 ml of the solution (to ensure 1.5 ml of sample) into the sample tube, and the rest will enter the automatic sampling device to save through the peristaltic pump 2 (the automatic sampler is set to rotate one grid per hour).

In the second step (the part is protected from light and in a nitrogen environment), the reaction part is divided into a DTT oxidation step and a DTT determination step(Wang et al., 2019). First (DTT oxidation step), use pump A to add 5 mL potassium phosphate buffer (0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup>), 1.5 mL aerosol extract sample, and 0.5 mL DTT (1 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>) into the mixing bottle (MV) in sequence. Inhale ultrapure water to clean the syringe of pump A. DTT reacts with the aerosol extract in MV. To adapt to the experimental process, the concentration and addition volume of each substance are changed in the experiment, but the concentration of each substance in the mixed solution is similar to that of Cho et al. (Cho et al., 2005). Lin et al. found that the initial DTT concentration will affect the final DTT<sub>V</sub> value (Lin et al., 2019). During the experiment, the initial DTT concentration was always kept at 1 mM, so it did not affect the judgment of the daily change of ROS content.

Second (DTT determination step), after completing the first step, at 0.10.20.30.40 minutes, use pump A to draw 1ml mixed solution in the mixing bottle and add it to the reaction bottle. Then, immediately add 1 mL TCA (10% w/v; quencher) to the reaction vial (RV, wrapped in aluminum foil to prevent possible light interference) using pump A. Add 0.05 mL DTNB (1 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>) via pump B and mix. The residual DTT reacts with DTNB to form light absorption product 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoic acid (TNB) with high extinction performance at 412 nm.

In the third step, in the detection part of the spectrophotometer, use pump A to add 4 mL Tris buffer (0.4 mol L<sup>-1</sup>, containing 20 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> EDTA) into the reaction flask (RV). After the reaction is completed, use pump A to add the final mixture solution in the reaction flask to the LWCC for the absorbance test. The data acquisition software (Spectra Suite) records the absorbance at 412 and 700 nm every 10 min (select the baseline absorbance of TNB). Then, the system uses deionized water (deionized water) for self-cleaning to eliminate any residual liquid in the reaction flask, tubing, syringe, and LWCC. To determine the rate of DTT consumption, the time interval is 10 min, and a total of 6 (0 min, 10 min, 20 min, 30 min, 40 min, 50 min) data points of DTT

concentration over time are generated. Finally, the automated system performs the selfcleaning procedure again to ensure that there is no residue, and the system repeats the above operations in the next hour to realize hourly detection of DTT activity.

$$\Delta DTT = -\sigma Abs \cdot \frac{N_0}{Abs_0} \tag{1}$$

$$DTTv = \frac{\Delta DTT_{S}(nmol\ min^{-1}) - \Delta DTT_{b}(nmol\ min^{-1})}{V_{t}(m^{3}) \times \frac{V_{S}(mL)}{V_{e}(mL)}}$$
(2)

where  $\sigma$ Abs is the slope of absorbance versus time; Abs<sub>0</sub> is the initial absorbance calculated from the intercept of the linear regression of absorbance versus time; and N<sub>0</sub> is the initial moles of DTT added in the reaction vial.  $\Delta DTT_s$  (nmol min<sup>-1</sup>) is the  $DTT_v$  consumption rate of the sample,  $\Delta DTT_b$  (nmol min<sup>-1</sup>) is the blank DTT consumption rate,  $V_t(m^3)$  is the sampling volume corresponding to the sample, and  $V_s$  (mL) is the injection volume,  $V_e$  (mL) is the sampling volume.

### 2.2.2 Online DTT instrument performance

The performance of the automated system is characterized by testing to determine the instrument response, limit of detection (LOD), precision and accuracy, while using a large flow sampler to collect samples for offline and online comparative analysis. (See Sect.3.1 for details)

We perform DTT activity detection and comparison on samples collected by 9,10-phenanthraquinone (PQN) and offline high-flow samplers. First, we select PQN with concentrations of 0.01, 0.02, 0.025, 0.05, 0.085 nmol L<sup>-1</sup> to compare online and offline DTT activity detection to determine the error of online and offline experiments. The details of PQN analysis can be found in Supplement S1. Secondly, select 10 offline collected samples for online and offline comparison, and then combine the experimental error between online and offline determined by PQN (PQN online and offline orthogonal fitting) to analyze the accuracy of online and offline.

### 2.2.3 Instrument maintenance

The MARGA is calibrated using internal and external standards. The internal standard is a 10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>LiBr solution. The external standard calibration is performed after replacing the anion and cation columns, and the replacement cycle is generally 4 to 5 months. At the same time, the MARGA system is cleaned with 1% hydrogen peroxide and 10% acetone solution, and the airflow is calibrated every two months. In the DTT experimental module, DTT and DTNB solutions are prepared every 4 days. Before each test, perform a comprehensive light and nitrogen bag inspection. To ensure the accuracy of the experimental data, a standard curve was measured before each experiment. The instrument pipeline is cleaned once a week, as shown in Figure 1. The programmable pump A and pump B are connected to the ultrapure water channel. During the cleaning process, all pipelines, reaction tubes and mixing tubes are cleaned.

## 2.3 Collection and preparation of environmental samples

The sampling point is located on the roof of the seventh floor of the Maintenance Branch (34°58′ N, 117°26′ E) of the Power Company, Yunlong District, Xuzhou City. The surrounding buildings mainly include auto repair shops, logistics centers, pharmaceutical factories, and large residential areas and farmland. A large flow PM<sub>2.5</sub>

sampler (KC-6120) is used for continuous sampling, and a total of 10 samples are collected (October 21, 2018-October 31, 2018). When sampling, the flow rate is 1.0 m<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, and each sampling time is 24 h. In this study, we collected samples using quartz filters and stored them in a refrigerator at -26 °C. Before the start of the experiment, the collected samples were subjected to extraction processing, and a sample film with a diameter of 16 mm is cut into a brown glass bottle, 5 ml ultrapure water is added to shake for 30 min, and filtered with a 0.22 µm PTFE syringe filter to remove insoluble substances. 

### 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1 Instrument performance

### 3.1.1 Improvement of the instrument

As we all know, photo-oxidation promotes the generation of ROS (Fang et al., 2016; Visentin et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2014). In addition, during the measurement process, the ingress of air inside the instrument will also cause the DTT activity to increase. Therefore, before on-site deployment, the online DTT inspection instrument is optimized by filling in nitrogen gas and shielding the whole from light. And respectively detect the DTT consumption rate ( $\Delta$ DTT) of 10 blanks (ultra-pure water) before and after optimization. As shown in Figure 3, before the system optimization, we found that the average  $\Delta$ DTT measured by 10 blanks was  $0.25\pm0.04$  nmol min<sup>-1</sup>, and there is a big fluctuation. After optimization, the average  $\Delta$ DTT is  $0.14\pm0.008$  nmol min<sup>-1</sup>. It shows that air and light do promote the generation of ROS, and the nitrogen environment and avoiding light contribute to the stability of the system. The optimized system is more accurate in measuring the oxidation potential of environmental particulate matter. To further prove the optimization effect, the performance of the instrument is studied. (See Sect.3.1.4 for details)

## 3.1.2 Calibration of DTTv measurement and analysis system

In past studies, PQN is often used as a standard sample of atmospheric particulate matter (Charrier and Anastasio, 2011; Charrier and Anastasio, 2015; Xiong et al., 2017). At pH 7.0, almost 100% of DTT was transformed to DTT-Disulfide by the catalyst 9,10-PQ (Li et al., 2009). The analytical measurement part of the online DTT instrument is calibrated by measuring the DTT activity of PQN at different concentrations. As shown in Figure 4, the linear graph of DTT consumption rate and PQN concentration, which is after subtracting the blank DTT consumption rate. The online detection slope is  $3.66\pm0.26$ , and the coefficient  $R^2=0.992$ . During the on-site operation, PQN's online and offline testing is measured at least once a month to ensure online accuracy.

## 3.1.3 Limit of detection and precision

The limit of detection (LOD) of the system is defined as 3 times the standard deviation of the deionized water blank (N = 23), i.e., 0.024 nmol min<sup>-1</sup>, which is significantly lower than the LOD of Puthussery et al. (0.24 nmol min<sup>-1</sup>) and Fang et al. (0.31 nmol·min<sup>-1</sup>) (Fang et al., 2014; Puthussery et al., 2018). To ensure the accuracy of the system, the deionized water blank samples are taken once a day (14 days) during the sampling period, besides the 10 continuously measured during the optimization of the system.

Use deionized water to evaluate the accuracy of the environmental sample automation system and analyze the DTT activity. The low standard deviation (coefficient of variation, CV=5.61%) of 0.024 nmol min<sup>-1</sup> indicates that the system has sufficiently high accuracy for environmental samples.

## 3.1.4 Accuracy

The accuracy of the system is verified by comparing the DTT activity of the positive control and environmental particulate samples obtained from the automated method with the results obtained from the same experimental protocol performed manually. (Cho et al., 2005)

Five concentrations of PQN solutions (0.01, 0.02, 0.025, 0.05, 0.085 nmol L<sup>-1</sup>) are run in the automatic system, which is very close to the results of the manual system (the standard deviation of the automatic system is kept at 0.008 nmol min<sup>-1</sup>, and the coefficient of variation is 2.28 %; the standard of the manual system The difference is 0.0044 nmol min<sup>-1</sup>, the coefficient of variation is 1.48 %). As shown in Figure 5, the slope (manual/automatic) obtained by orthogonal fitting is 1.14, the intercept is 0.12, and the correlation coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>) is 0.997. The manual detection results are slightly higher than the automatic detection results, we assume that this is due to the instrument error caused by the complicated piping system of the online instrument. To ensure the high accuracy of the online system and the offline system, as a further verification, we used online and offline manual methods to conduct DTT activity analysis on ten environmental particulate matter samples.

As shown in Figure 6, the online and offline analysis of the DTT activity of 10 ambient particles, the slope (manual/automatic) obtained by orthogonal fitting is 1.14, the intercept is 0.19, and the correlation coefficient ( $R^2$ ) is 0.954. We found that the real samples tested also had slightly higher offline results than online results. This is similar to our assumption. Therefore, we use the PQN online and offline DTT consumption rate orthogonal fitting result as the system to correct the error, as shown in Figure 6, through the offline and online orthogonal fitting of 10 environmental particulate matter samples before and after the error correction. We found that the corrected results are better (the slope is 0.97 closer to 1, the intercept is 0.05 closer to 0,  $R^2$ =0.954). The good agreement between the two sampling systems indicates that the DTT measurement of environmental samples has high overall accuracy. These tests also proved the necessity of optimization.

### 3.2 DTT activity of ambient samples

The volume-normalized oxidation potential DTT $_{\rm V}$  is used as an index of exposure to inhaled air to point out the inherent ability of particles to deplete relevant antioxidants. During the observation period, the daily change of DTT $_{\rm V}$  in Nanjing is shown in Figure 7. The average DTT $_{\rm V}$  is  $0.83\pm0.38$  nmol min<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>. Compared with Beijing's DTT $_{\rm V}$  in the spring of 2012 (urban area: 0.24 nmol min<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>)(Liu et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2019). and Zhejiang University's annual DTT $_{\rm V}$  average of 0.62 nmol min<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>(Yu et al., 2019), our results are on the high side; And compared with Peking University's 2015 annual DTT $_{\rm V}$  ( $12.26\pm6.82$  nmol min<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>) (Perrone et al., 2016)and Guangzhou's In the winter of 2017 (DTT $_{\rm V}$ :  $4.67\pm1.06$  nmol min<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>) and in the spring of 2018 (DTT $_{\rm V}$ :  $4.45\pm1.02$  nmol min<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>), our values are low, which may be related to the current season and

emission factors. In addition, we found that the rain during the sampling period caused significant changes in the 24-hour DTT<sub>V</sub>. To better understand the environmental factors affecting DTT<sub>V</sub>, hourly data obtained by running the instrument is composited to obtain a diurnal profile of the DTT activity. As shown in Figure S2, the daily distribution of 24-hour DTT activities during the entire sampling period (a), before rain (b), during rain (c), and after rain (d) are divided. Figure S2(a) represents the hourly change of DTT<sub>V</sub> during the entire sample period. We found that the highest value of DTT<sub>V</sub> in a day occurs at 11-12 am, and DTT<sub>V</sub> is greater during the day than at night, which is similar to the study by Puthussery et al. Before the rain, the average DTT<sub>V</sub> was  $0.81 \pm 0.17$  nmol min<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>. There is a peak at 10-12 am, but the overall situation is relatively flat, and there is no obvious difference between day and night. And the average value of DTT<sub>V</sub> during the rain is 0.55±0.10 nmol min<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>, which decreased significantly. There is no doubt that this is caused by rain settling the polluting components of the atmosphere. In contrast, there is significant daily activity in DTT<sub>V</sub> following rain, with peaks occurring mainly between 8-10 am and 4-6 pm, and DTTv is significantly higher during the day than at night, which is similar to the Puthussery study (Puthussery et al., 2018). However, there are no obvious diurnal variation in PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass concentration. Therefore, the diurnal variation of DTT activity is assumed to be mainly attributed from different emission sources at the site.

301

302

303

304

305 306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340341

342

343344

### 3.3 The correlation between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and polluting gases and ROS activity

To further study, the daily changes of DTT<sub>V</sub> and its correlation with various emission sources on site. As shown in Figure 7, we measured the water-soluble ionic components of PM<sub>2.5</sub> (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>), BC, and pollution gas (SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, O<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>) content changes. The average concentration of PM<sub>2.5</sub> during the sampling period is 9.97±6.53 ug m<sup>-3</sup>, the average concentration of PM<sub>2.5</sub> before rain is 11.13±7.21 ug m<sup>-3</sup>, the average concentration of PM<sub>2.5</sub> after rain is 7.80±4.18 ug m<sup>-3</sup>. The concentration of PM<sub>2.5</sub> is a significant drop. In addition, as shown in Table 1, there are differences in the correlation between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and DTT<sub>V</sub> before and after rain. Therefore, we suspect that the source of DTT<sub>V</sub> is different before and after the rain. BC and the polluting gases SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup> are often used as tracers of biomass burning, coal combustion, and dust storms. Compared with the early winter in the northern suburbs of Nanjing (Zhang et al., 2020), the levels of these substances decreased during the sampling period. It is similar to Liu and Zhang et al who concluded that biomass burning, coal combustion, and dust storms were not major sources of pollution in Nanjing during the summer(Guo et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020). In addition, there is no strong correlation between DTT<sub>V</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, and CO before and after the rain. Therefore, it can be judged that neither biomass burning, coal combustion nor dust is the main source affecting DTT<sub>V</sub>. In contrast, we found that there is a significant difference between day and night in O<sub>3</sub> after rain, which is similar to the change of DTT<sub>V</sub>, and after rain, DTT<sub>V</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> show a strong correlation (r=0.624). After it rains, the O<sub>3</sub> content in the air environment increases. Under the action of the sun's ultraviolet rays, the O<sub>3</sub> is photodegraded to form active oxygen components such as OH radicals (Ehhalt and Rohrer, 2000; Rohrer and Berresheim, 2006).

To further confirm the influence of light on DTT<sub>V</sub>, the day and night correlation analysis of substances related to photo-oxidation (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) and DTT<sub>V</sub> is carried out. As shown in Table S2, we find that NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and DTT<sub>V</sub> are significantly correlated during the day (r=0.434, r=0.461, r=0.263, P<0.01). As far as we know, there is no evidence in the literature that water-soluble inorganic ions (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) have redox activity in an aerobic environment(Calas et al., 2018; Stevanovic et al., 2017). However, their correlation with DTT<sub>V</sub> may be due to collinearity with redox-active organic compounds, rather than actual contribution to the oxidation potential of particles. We speculate that the high correlation may be related to the photochemical reactions that occur during the day.

### 4. Summary and conclusions

This study proposes and characterizes an improved online active oxygen analyzer. Compared with the previous research, the main improvements (Fang et al., 2014; Puthussery et al., 2018). The optimization analysis is as follows: (1) The experimental environment is processed to isolate the air and avoid light; (2) The sampling method has changed. We use the MARGA online ion analyzer, which is more mature and stable. Compared with before optimization, the standard deviation of the blank was significantly smaller, Thus, the detection limit of the instrument (0.024 nmol min<sup>-1</sup>) becomes smaller and more stable. The DTT consumption rate is reduced by 44 %, which eliminates the influence of outside air and light in the experiment. And the consistency between online and offline is improved (slope=0.97, R<sup>2</sup>=0.95), the accuracy of the system is higher.

By changing the DTT<sub>V</sub> content hour by hour during the sampling period, we found that the DTT activity during the day is higher than that at night, and it is especially obvious after rain, which is mainly related to the increase in UV radiation during the day after rain. In addition, we analyzed the correlation between water-soluble ions (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub>-, NH<sub>4</sub>+, Na<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>), BC, pollutant gases (SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, O<sub>3</sub>, NO, NO<sub>x</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>) and DTT<sub>V</sub>, and we found that the main source of influence of OP in the Nanjing environment in summer is daytime Secondary photochemical conversion and ultraviolet radiation. In the future, we hope to add more experimental modules to the back-end based on the MARGA sample collection device to realize the diversification of detection compositions. In addition, the system can be combined with other substance detection instruments. It will achieve the daily contribution of various emission sources to the risk associated with OP exposure can be inferred from other species.

- 381 Data availability. Data used in this paper can be provided upon request by email to
- 382 ZYL (dryanlinzhang@outlook.com).
- 383 Author contributions. WJY designed the instrument, led the sampling campaign,
- performed the experiments, and wrote the manuscript. YC participated in experimental
- design and guided the experimental process. ZCY chose the building address and
- initially built the instrument. CF helped in the filter collection and in conducting the
- 387 DTT activity experiments. ZYL conceived the idea, organized the manuscript, and
- 388 supervised the project.
- 389 *Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 390 Acknowledgements. The authors thank funding support from the National Nature
- 391 Science Foundation of China (Nos. 41977305), the Natural Science Foundation of
- 392 Jiangsu Province (No. BK20180040), the fund from Jiangsu Innovation &
- 393 Entrepreneurship Team.


- 395 **References:**
- 396 Ahmad, M., Yu, Q., Chen, J., Cheng, S., Qin, W., and Zhang, Y.: Chemical characteristics, oxidative
- potential, and sources of PM (2.5) in wintertime in Lahore and Peshawar, Pakistan, J Environ Sci
- 398 (China), 102, 148-158, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2020.09.014, 2021.
- 399 Akhtar, U. S., McWhinney, R. D., Rastogi, N., Abbatt, J. P., Evans, G. J., and Scott, J. A.: Cytotoxic and
- 400 proinflammatory effects of ambient and source-related particulate matter (PM) in relation to the
- 401 production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and cytokine adsorption by particles, Inhal Toxicol, 22
- 402 Suppl 2, 37-47, https://doi.org/10.3109/08958378.2010.518377, 2010.
- 403 Ayres, J. G., Borm, P., Cassee, F. R., Castranova, V., Donaldson, K., Ghio, A., Harrison, R. M., Hider, R.,
- Kelly, F., Kooter, I. M., Marano, F., Maynard, R. L., Mudway, I., Nel, A., Sioutas, C., Smith, S., Baeza-
- Squiban, A., Cho, A., Duggan, S., and Froines, J.: Evaluating the toxicity of airborne particulate matter
- and nanoparticles by measuring oxidative stress potential--a workshop report and consensus statement,
- 407 Inhal Toxicol, 20, 75-99, https://doi.org/10.1080/08958370701665517, 2008.
- 408 Bates, J. T., Fang, T., Verma, V., Zeng, L., Weber, R. J., Tolbert, P. E., Abrams, J. Y., Sarnat, S. E., Klein,
- 409 M., Mulholland, J. A., and Russell, A. G.: Review of Acellular Assays of Ambient Particulate Matter
- Oxidative Potential: Methods and Relationships with Composition, Sources, and Health Effects, Environ.
- 411 Sci. Technol., 53, 4003-4019, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b03430, 2019.
- Borm, P. J. A., Kelly, F., Künzli, N., Schins, R. P. F., and Donaldson, K.: Oxidant generation by particulate
- 413 matter: from biologically effective dose to a promising, novel metric, Occup Environ Med, 64, 73-74,
- 414 https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.2006.029090, 2007.
- 415 Calas, A., Uzu, G., Kelly, F. J., Houdier, S., Martins, J. M. F., Thomas, F., Molton, F., Charron, A., Dunster,
- 416 C., Oliete, A., Jacob, V., Besombes, J. L., Chevrier, F., and Jaffrezo, J. L.: Comparison between five
- 417 acellular oxidative potential measurement assays performed with detailed chemistry on PM10 samples
- from the city of Chamonix (France), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 7863-7875, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-
- 419 18-7863-2018, 2018.
- 420 Charrier, J. G. and Anastasio, C.: Impacts of Antioxidants on Hydroxyl Radical Production from
- 421 Individual and Mixed Transition Metals in a Surrogate Lung Fluid, Atmospheric Environ. (Oxford,
- 422 England: 1994), 45, 7555-7562, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.12.021, 2011.
- 423 Charrier, J. G. and Anastasio, C.: Rates of Hydroxyl Radical Production from Transition Metals and
- 424 Quinones in a Surrogate Lung Fluid, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 9317-9325,
- 425 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b01606, 2015.
- 426 Charrier, J. G., McFall, A. S., Vu, K. K. T., Baroi, J., Olea, C., Hasson, A., and Anastasio, C.: A bias in
- 427 the "mass-normalized" DTT response An effect of non-linear concentration-response curves for copper
- 428 and manganese, Atmospheric Environ., 144, 325-334, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.08.071,
- 429 2016.
- Chen, X., Walker, J. T., and Geron, C.: Chromatography related performance of the Monitor for AeRosols
- and GAses in ambient air (MARGA): laboratory and field-based evaluation, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10,
- 432 3893-3908, 10.5194/amt-10-3893-2017, 2017.
- Chen, X., Zhong, Z., Xu, Z., Chen, L., and Wang, Y.: 2',7'-Dichlorodihydrofluorescein as a fluorescent
- probe for reactive oxygen species measurement: Forty years of application and controversy, Free Radic
- 435 Res, 44, 587-604, https://doi.org/10.3109/10715761003709802, 2010.
- Cho, A. K., Sioutas, C., Miguel, A. H., Kumagai, Y., Schmitz, D. A., Singh, M., Eiguren-Fernandez, A.,
- and Froines, J. R.: Redox activity of airborne particulate matter at different sites in the Los Angeles Basin,
- 438 Environ. Res., 99, 40-47, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2005.01.003, 2005.

- 439 Delfino, R. J., Sioutas, C., and Malik, S.: Potential role of ultrafine particles in associations between
- 440 airborne particle mass and cardiovascular health, Environ. Health Perspect., 113, 934-946,
- 441 https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.7938, 2005.
- Delfino, R. J., Staimer, N., Tjoa, T., Gillen, D. L., Schauer, J. J., and Shafer, M. M.: Airway inflammation
- 443 and oxidative potential of air pollutant particles in a pediatric asthma panel, J Expo Sci Environ
- 444 Epidemiol, 23, 466-473, https://doi.org/10.1038/jes.2013.25, 2013.
- Dou, J., Lin, P., Kuang, B.-Y., and Yu, J.: Reactive Oxygen Species Production Mediated by Humic-like
- 446 Substances in Atmospheric Aerosols: Enhancement Effects by Pyridine, Imidazole, and Their
- Derivatives, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, https://doi.org/10.1021/es5059378, 2015.
- 448 Ehhalt, D. H. and Rohrer, F.: Dependence of the OH concentration on solar UV, J. Geophys. Res.:
- 449 Atmospheres, 105, 3565-3571, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999jd901070, 2000.
- 450 Eiguren-Fernandez, A., Kreisberg, N., and Hering, S.: An online monitor of the oxidative capacity of
- 451 aerosols (o-MOCA), Atmos Meas Tech, 10, 633-644, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-633-2017, 2017.
- 452 Fang, T., Guo, H., Zeng, L., Verma, V., Nenes, A., and Weber, R. J.: Highly Acidic Ambient Particles,
- Soluble Metals, and Oxidative Potential: A Link between Sulfate and Aerosol Toxicity, Environ. Sci.
- 454 Technol., 51, 2611-2620, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b06151, 2017.
- 455 Fang, T., Verma, V., Guo, H., King, L., Edgerton, E., and Weber, R.: A semi-automated system for
- quantifying the oxidative potential of ambient particles in aqueous extracts using the dithiothreitol (DTT)
- 457 assay: Results from the Southeastern Center for Air Pollution and Epidemiology (SCAPE), Atmos Meas
- 458 Tech Discussions, 7, 7245-7279, https://doi.org/10.5194/amtd-7-7245-2014, 2014.
- 459 Fang, T., Verma, V., Bates, J., Abrams, J., Strickland, M., Ebelt, S., Chang, H., Mulholland, J., Tolbert,
- P., Russell, A., and Weber, R.: Oxidative potential of ambient water-soluble PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the
- southeastern United States: contrasts in sources and health associations between ascorbic acid (AA) and
- dithiothreitol (DTT) assays, Atmospheric Chem. Phys., 16, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-3865-2016,
- 463 2016.
- 464 Ghio, A. J., Carraway, M. S., and Madden, M. C.: Composition of air pollution particles and oxidative
- stress in cells, tissues, and living systems, J. Toxicol. Environ. Health. Part B, Critical reviews, 15, 1-21,
- 466 https://doi.org/10.1080/10937404.2012.632359, 2012.
- 467 Guo, Z., Guo, Q., Chen, S., Zhu, B., Zhang, Y., Yu, J., and Guo, Z.: Study on pollution behavior and
- 468 sulfate formation during the typical haze event in Nanjing with water soluble inorganic ions and sulfur
- 469 isotopes, Atmos Res, 217, 198-207, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2018.11.009">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2018.11.009</a>, 2019.
- 470 Hedayat, F., Stevanovic, S., Miljevic, B., Bottle, S., and Ristovski, Z.: Review Evaluating the molecular
- assays for measuring the oxidative potential of particulate matter, Chem Ind Chem Eengq, 21, 31-31,
- 472 https://doi.org/10.2298/CICEQ140228031H, 2014.
- Hellack, B., Quass, U., Nickel, C., Wick, G., Schins, R. P. F., and Kuhlbusch, T. A. J.: Oxidative potential
- 474 of particulate matter at a German motorway, Environ Sci Process Impacts, 17, 868-876,
- 475 https://doi.org/10.1039/c4em00605d, 2015.
- 476 Hemmilä, M., Hellén, H., Virkkula, A., Makkonen, U., Praplan, A. P., Kontkanen, J., Ahonen, L.,
- Kulmala, M., and Hakola, H.: Amines in boreal forest air at SMEAR II station in Finland, Atmospheric
- 478 Chem. Phys., 18, 6367-6380, 10.5194/acp-18-6367-2018, 2018.
- Huang, W., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., Zeng, L., Dong, H., Huo, P., Fang, D., and Schauer, J. J.: Development
- of an automated sampling-analysis system for simultaneous measurement of reactive oxygen species
- 481 (ROS) in gas and particle phases: GAC-ROS, Atmospheric Environ., 134, 18-26,
- 482 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.03.038, 2016.

- Janssen, N. A., Strak, M., Yang, A., Hellack, B., Kelly, F. J., Kuhlbusch, T. A., Harrison, R. M.,
- 484 Brunekreef, B., Cassee, F. R., Steenhof, M., and Hoek, G.: Associations between three specific a-cellular
- 485 measures of the oxidative potential of particulate matter and markers of acute airway and nasal
- 486 inflammation in healthy volunteers, Occup Environ Med, 72, 49-56, https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-
- 487 2014-102303, 2015.
- 488 Li, Q., Wyatt, A., and Kamens, R. M.: Oxidant generation and toxicity enhancement of aged-diesel
- 489 exhaust, Atmospheric Environ., 43, 1037-1042, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.11.018, 2009.
- 490 Li, Y., Zhu, T., Zhao, J., and Xu, B.: Interactive enhancements of ascorbic acid and iron in hydroxyl
- 491 radical generation in quinone redox cycling, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 10302-10309,
- 492 https://doi.org/10.1021/es301834r, 2012.
- 493 Lin, M. and Yu, J. Z.: Dithiothreitol (DTT) concentration effect and its implications on the applicability
- 494 of DTT assay to evaluate the oxidative potential of atmospheric aerosol samples, Environ Pollut, 251,
- 495 938-944, 10.1016/j.envpol.2019.05.074, 2019.
- 496 Liu, Q., Baumgartner, J., Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., Sun, Y., and Zhang, M.: Oxidative potential and
- 497 inflammatory impacts of source apportioned ambient air pollution in Beijing, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48,
- 498 12920-12929, 10.1021/es5029876, 2014.
- 499 Liu, X., Zhang, Y. L., Peng, Y., Xu, L., Zhu, C., Cao, F., Zhai, X., Haque, M. M., Yang, C., Chang, Y.,
- Huang, T., Xu, Z., Bao, M., Zhang, W., Fan, M., and Lee, X.: Chemical and optical properties of
- carbonaceous aerosols in Nanjing, eastern China: regionally transported biomass burning contribution,
- 502 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 11213-11233, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-11213-2019, 2019.
- Lodovici, M. and Bigagli, E.: Oxidative stress and air pollution exposure, J. Toxicol, 2011, 487074,
- 504 https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/487074, 2011.
- Pal, A. K., Bello, D., Budhlall, B., Rogers, E., and Milton, D. K.: Screening for Oxidative Stress Elicited
- by Engineered Nanomaterials: Evaluation of Acellular DCFH Assay, Dose Response, 10, 308-330,
- 507 https://doi.org/10.2203/dose-response.10-036.Pal, 2012.
- 508 Perrone, M. G., Zhou, J., Malandrino, M., Sangiorgi, G., Rizzi, C., Ferrero, L., Dommen, J., and
- Bolzacchini, E.: PM chemical composition and oxidative potential of the soluble fraction of particles at
- 510 two sites in the urban area of Milan, Northern Italy, Atmospheric Environ., 128, 104-113,
- 511 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.12.040</u>, 2016.
- Pöschl, U. and Shiraiwa, M.: Multiphase chemistry at the atmosphere-biosphere interface influencing
- 513 climate and public health in the anthropocene, Chemical reviews, 115, 4440-4475,
- 514 https://doi.org/10.1021/cr500487s, 2015.
- Puthussery, J. V., Zhang, C., and Verma, V.: Development and field testing of an online instrument for
- measuring the real-time oxidative potential of ambient particulate matter based on dithiothreitol assay,
- 517 Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 5767-5780, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-5767-2018, 2018.
- Rohrer, F. and Berresheim, H.: Strong correlation between levels of tropospheric hydroxyl radicals and
- 519 solar ultraviolet radiation, Nature, 442, 184-187, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04924, 2006.
- 520 Sameenoi, Y., Koehler, K., Shapiro, J., Boonsong, K., Sun, Y., Collett, J., Jr., Volckens, J., and Henry, C.
- 521 S.: Microfluidic electrochemical sensor for on-line monitoring of aerosol oxidative activity, J. Am. Chem.
- 522 Soc., 134, 10562-10568, https://doi.org/10.1021/ja3031104, 2012.
- 523 Stevanovic, S., Vaughan, A., Hedayat, F., Salimi, F., Rahman, M. M., Zare, A., Brown, R. A., Brown, R.
- J., Wang, H., Zhang, Z., Wang, X., Bottle, S. E., Yang, I. A., and Ristovski, Z. D.: Oxidative potential of
- 525 gas phase combustion emissions An underestimated and potentially harmful component of air pollution
- 526 from combustion processes, Atmospheric Environ., 158, 227-235,

- 527 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.03.041</u>, 2017.
- 528 Stieger, B., Spindler, G., van Pinxteren, D., Grüner, A., Wallasch, M., and Herrmann, H.: Development
- of an online-coupled MARGA upgrade for the 2 h interval quantification of low-molecular-
- 530 weight organic acids in the gas and particle phases, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 281-298,
- 531 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-281-2019, 2019.
- Velali, E., Papachristou, E., Pantazaki, A., Choli-Papadopoulou, T., Planou, S., Kouras, A., Manoli, E.,
- Besis, A., Voutsa, D., and Samara, C.: Redox activity and in vitro bioactivity of the water-soluble fraction
- of urban particulate matter in relation to particle size and chemical composition, Environ. Pollut., 208,
- 535 774-786, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.10.058, 2016.
- Visentin, M., Pagnoni, A., Sarti, E., and Pietrogrande, M. C.: Urban PM<sub>2.5</sub> oxidative potential: Importance
- of chemical species and comparison of two spectrophotometric cell-free assays, Environ. Pollut., 219,
- 538 72-79, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.09.047, 2016.
- Vreeland, H., Weber, R., Bergin, M., Greenwald, R., Golan, R., Russell, A. G., Verma, V., and Sarnat, J.
- 540 A.: Oxidative potential of PM2.5 during Atlanta rush hour: Measurements of in-vehicle dithiothreitol
- 541 (DTT) activity, Atmospheric Environ., 165, 169-178, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.06.044">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.06.044</a>,
- 542 2017.

- Wang, J., Lin, X., Lu, L., Wu, Y., Zhang, H., Lv, Q., Liu, W., Zhang, Y., and Zhuang, S.: Temporal
- variation of oxidative potential of water soluble components of ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> measured by dithiothreitol
- 545 (DTT) assay, Sci. Total Environ., 649, 969-978, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.375">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.375</a>, 2019.
- Wragg, F. P. H., Fuller, S. J., Freshwater, R., Green, D. C., Kelly, F. J., and Kalberer, M.: An automated
- online instrument to quantify aerosol-bound reactive oxygen species (ROS) for ambient measurement
- and health-relevant aerosol studies, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9, 4891-4900, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-
- 549 4891-2016, 2016.
- Xiong, Q., Yu, H., Wang, R., Wei, J., and Verma, V.: Rethinking Dithiothreitol-Based Particulate Matter
- 551 Oxidative Potential: Measuring Dithiothreitol Consumption versus Reactive Oxygen Species Generation,
- 552 Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 6507-6514, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b01272, 2017.
- Yang, A., Jedynska, A., Hellack, B., Kooter, I., Hoek, G., Brunekreef, B., Kuhlbusch, T. A. J., Cassee, F.
- R., and Janssen, N. A. H.: Measurement of the oxidative potential of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and its constituents: The effect
- 555 of extraction solvent and filter type, Atmospheric Environ., 83, 35-42,
- 556 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.10.049, 2014.
- 557 Yu, S., Liu, W., Xu, Y., Yi, K., Zhou, M., Tao, S., and Liu, W.: Characteristics and oxidative potential of
- atmospheric PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Beijing: Source apportionment and seasonal variation, Sci. Total Environ., 650,
- 559 277-287, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.021">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.021</a>, 2019.
- Zhang, C., Yang, C., Liu, X., Cao, F., and Zhang, Y.-l.: Insight into the photochemistry of atmospheric
- oxalate through hourly measurements in the northern suburbs of Nanjing, China, Sci. Total Environ., 719,
- 562 137416, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137416, 2020.



**Figure 1.** Automated system setup (Red line: Peristaltic pump 1 runs at a flow rate of 23 ml h<sup>-1</sup> for the first 4 minutes of each hour; Blue line: Peristaltic pump 2 runs at a flow rate of 27 ml h<sup>-1</sup> for the remaining 56 minutes of each hour; Yellow line: Optical fiber)







Figure.2 Schematic diagram of DTT reaction part. (①-④ represents the DTT oxidation step,⑤-⑨ represents the DTT determination step. Blue indicates the ventilation line, all pipelines are wrapped in aluminum foil to protect from light.)




**Figure 3.** Comparison of blank DTT consumption rate and standard deviation after system optimization (the dotted line is the average value)



**Figure 4.** Blank corrected DTT consumption rate as a function of PQN used as a positive control. Each error bar represents the standard deviation of three independent DTT measurements on each concentration.



**Figure 5.** Comparison of the automated system with manual operation using PQN (9,10-phenanthraquinone)



**Figure 6.** Comparison of the automated system with manual operation using ambient aerosol extracts (PM<sub>2.5</sub> samples collected from Xuzhou, regression analysis is done by orthogonal regression; the line is 1:1).




Figure 7. Time series of the DTT activity, PM<sub>2.5</sub> water-soluble components (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>) and polluting gases (SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, O<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>) (The shaded part is rainy weather)

**Table 1.** The correlation coefficient (R) between the concentration of water-soluble chemical substances in environmental  $PM_{2.5}$  ( $\mu g m^{-3}$ ) and the volume normalized substance concentration (DTT<sub>V</sub>), before rain, during rain, and after rain.

Parameter	Total	Before it rains	During rain	After rain
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	0.014	0.305**	0.026	-0.290*
$SO_2$	0.195**	0.114	-0.136	0.222
NO	-0.029	-0.029	-0.074	0.050
$NO_2$	-0.098	0.115	0.169	-0.203
NO <sub>x</sub>	-0.085	0.062	0.142	-0.169
CO	-0.033	0.146*	-0.093	0.121
$O_3$	0.227*	0.153	0.044	0.624**
ВС	-0.052	-0.054	-0.439*	0.087
$NH_3$	0.241**	0.074	-0.129	0.269*
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2</sup> -	-0.06	-0.065	0.329	0.028
$NO_3^-$	-0.163*	-0.155*	-0.352*	0.511**
$\mathrm{NH_{4}^{+}}$	0.024	0.028	0.062	0.271*
$\mathbf{K}^{+}$	-0.077	-0.045	0.125	-0.337**
$\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$	0.131*	0.075	0.233	0.086
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.005	0.072	0.021	-0.055
Na <sup>+</sup>	0.177**	-0.007	0.133	0.008

PM<sub>2.5</sub>, particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter < 2.5μm; \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01.