Reviewer #2

General comments:

The manuscript needs a major revision. I think a high-throughput technique to measure Cu and Fe in PM_{2.5} will definitely contribute to the atmospheric research community. However, I do have a few concerns regarding the methodology part of this manuscript, for which I suggest a major revision before acceptance.

PM2.5 is very heterogeneous in terms of elemental composition. You must consider the effect of other co-occuring elements when you are using a colorimetric method to measure a specific component (e.g. Cu or Fe). When multiple metal elements (such as Fe, Cu, Ni, Mn, Al and Co) are present at similarly high concentrations) simultaneously, is your colorimetric method still valid to measure Fe or Cu alone without being affected by other co-occuring elements? I saw you measured Mn, but your Mn concentration is very low, which would not interfere your results. But what is the threshold concentration of Mn and other transitional elements in air that makes your colorimeteic method invalid? In addition, it is commonly observed that *PM2.5* can contain a high level of both Cu and Fe. If we are interested in measuring both Cu and Fe, is your method able to separate Cu and Fe in concentration measurement? Does a high Cu or Fe concentartion affect the measurement of the other? What will be the detection limit when you have them cooccuring?

I did not see enough negative control and positive control groups. I suggest you include following controls, to make your work useful for the whole atmosphere research community.

1) Positive controls should be done to validate the colorimetric method for Cu and Fe. For example, if you have 100 ppb of Fe (II) with 100 ppb of WS Cu, 100 ppb WS Mn, 100 ppb WS Ni and 100 ppb WS Co, will your ferrozine exclude other co-occuring these other elements and accurately measure Fe (II)?

2) Similarly you need negative control groups as well. For example, if you have 0 ppb of Fe (II) with 100 ppb of WS Cu, 100 ppb WS Mn, 100 ppb WS Ni and 100 ppb WS Co, will your ferrozine method exclude other co-occuring these other elements and show the absence of Fe (II) ?

You need these control groups for ferrozine-Fe method and bathocuproine-Cu method. The discussion of artifacts due to effects of other elements is very important, because it informs other air researchers when your method is applicable.

Response: We thank the reviewer for the comments. We recognize the importance of the effect of other co-occuring elements when using a colorimetric method. We did a series of experiments following the reviewer's instruction. Since Co(II) and Cu (I) are the only metals other than iron which form colored species with ferrozine under the experiment condition (Stookey, 1970), we tested the interference of Cu, Co and Mn up to 100 ppb on the Fe measurement. Similarly, only Cu(II) has possible interference on Cu(I)-bathocuprione measurement in the presence of reducing agents (Moffett et al., 1985). In this study, we did not attempt to speciate Cu(I) and Cu(II). Therefore, we also tested the interference of up to 100 ppb Fe, Co and Mn on the Cu measurement. The tables below summarized the results of the control experiments (the concentrations in Table 1-3 represent the concentrations in the liquid):

Table 1: Interference of Fe, Mn and Co on Cu measurement.

	0 ppb Cu	30 ppb Cu
0 ppb mixture*	0.48 ppb	30.38 ppb
20 ppb mixture	-0.13 ppb	30.55 ppb
40 ppb mixture	0.16 ppb	30.77 ppb
60 ppb mixture	0.00 ppb	30.72 ppb
80 ppb mixture	0.53 ppb	30.92 ppb
100 ppb mixture	-0.05 ppb	29.95 ppb

* Mixed solution of equal amounts of Fe, Co and Mn in a solution originally containing 0 or 30 ppb Cu.

Table 2: Interference of Cu, Mn and Co on Fe measurement.

	0 ppb Fe	30 ppb Fe
0 ppb mixture*	-0.12 ppb	30.02 ppb
20 ppb mixture	0.60 ppb	29.04 ppb
40 ppb mixture	1.91 ppb	28.62 ppb
60 ppb mixture	3.88 ppb	28.82 ppb
80 ppb mixture	5.31 ppb	29.94 ppb
100 ppb mixture	6.89 ppb	32.00 ppb

* Mixed solution of equal amounts of Cu, Co and Mn in a solution originally containing 0 or 30 ppb Fe.

According to Table 1, there's no interference of up to 100 ppb Fe, Co or Mn on Cu measurement considering the uncertainty of this method.

According to Table 2, there's possible interference of other metal ions on Fe measurement when Fe concentration is low. To investigate which metal ions cause this interference, we did further experiments and results are shown below:

Table 3: Measured Fe under different interference conditions.

0 ppb Fe			30 ppb Fe			
Cu	Co	Mn	Cu	Co	Mn	
-0.79	-0.90	-0.12	29.37	30.79	30.73	
-0.74	-0.93	0.69	29.85	29.83	30.12	
-0.31	-0.94	-0.02	29.33	28.81	29.85	
0.08	-0.06	-0.45	29.93	29.86	30.65	
	Cu -0.79 -0.74 -0.31 0.08	0 ppb Fe Cu Co -0.79 -0.90 -0.74 -0.93 -0.31 -0.94 0.08 -0.06	0 ppb Fe Cu Co Mn -0.79 -0.90 -0.12 -0.74 -0.93 0.69 -0.31 -0.94 -0.02 0.08 -0.06 -0.45	0 ppb Fe Cu Co Mn Cu -0.79 -0.90 -0.12 29.37 -0.74 -0.93 0.69 29.85 -0.31 -0.94 -0.02 29.33 0.08 -0.06 -0.45 29.93	0 ppb Fe 30 ppb Fe Cu Co Mn Cu Co -0.79 -0.90 -0.12 29.37 30.79 -0.74 -0.93 0.69 29.85 29.83 -0.31 -0.94 -0.02 29.33 28.81 0.08 -0.06 -0.45 29.93 29.86	

40 ppb	1.33	-0.04	-0.58	31.04	30.27	30.72
60 ppb	2.55	0.49	-0.27	32.01	30.38	30.52
80 ppb	3.95	1.17	0.25	32.81	30.44	29.13
100 ppb	6.01	1.62	-0.05	33.34	31.17	29.61

According to Table 3, only high level Cu may have interference (> 2 ppb) on the Fe measurement. Since Cu concentration would be equal or less than Fe concentration in most ambient measurements, and the recommended Fe liquid concentration detected by the system are up to 40 ppb using this colorimetric measurement method, the interference of Cu would be less than 2 ppb, which could be neglected considering the uncertainty of this method.

To address the reviwer's concern, the sentences in p.9, 1.247-253 have been modified to "We also used a series of metal mixtures, which contained different levels of Fe, Cu, Co and Mn to test for possible interferences from the formation of colored complexes with other metals. The results from these experiments are summarized in Table S1-S3. For the Cu measurement, no interference from Fe, Co or Mn was observed with up to 100 ppb of mixture of Fe, Co and Mn present. Similarly, Co or Mn did not interfere with the Fe measurement with Co or Mn concentrations in the liquid up to 100 ppb. Cu had interference less than 2 ppb on the Fe measurement with Cu concentration in the liquid up to 40 ppb. In this study, the liquid concentrations of Cu from ambient samples were lower than 40 ppb, therefore, no interference of other metals on Cu or Fe measurement for the colorimetric methods.".

References

Moffett, J. W., Zika, R. G., and Petasne, R. G.: Evaluation of bathocuproine for the spectrophotometric determination of the copper(I) in copper redox studies with applications in studies of natural waters, Analytical Chimica Acta., 175, 171-179, 1985.

Stookey, L. L.: Ferrozin-a new spectrophotometric reagent for iron, Anal. Chem., 42, 779-781, 1970.