Direct measurement of N₂O₅ heterogeneous uptake coefficients on ambient aerosols via an aerosol flow tube system: design, characterization and performance

4 Xiaorui Chen^{1,a}, Haichao Wang^{3,4*}, Tianyu Zhai¹, Chunmeng Li¹, Keding Lu^{1,2*}

⁵ ¹State Key Joint Laboratory of Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control, College of

6 Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, China.

⁷ ²The State Environmental Protection Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Ozone Pollution Control,

8 College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, China

⁹ ³School of Atmospheric Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Zhuhai, 519082, China

10 ⁴Guangdong Provincial Observation and Research Station for Climate Environment and Air

11 Quality Change in the Pearl River Estuary, Key Laboratory of Tropical Atmosphere-Ocean

12 System, Ministry of Education, Southern Marine Science and Engineering Guangdong

13 Laboratory (Zhuhai), Zhuhai, 519082, China

^anow at: Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic
University, Hong Kong, China

16 Correspondence to: Haichao Wang (wanghch27@mail.sysu.edu.cn), Keding Lu
17 (k.lu@pku.edu.cn)

18

19 Abstract. An improved aerosol flow tube system coupled with detailed box model was 20 developed to measure N₂O₅ heterogeneous uptake coefficients (γ (N₂O₅)) on ambient aerosols 21 directly. This system features sequential measurements of N₂O₅ concentration at the both 22 entrance and exit of the flow tube to ensure an accurate retrieval of N₂O₅ loss in the flow tube. 23 Simulation and laboratory tests demonstrate that this flow tube system is able to overcome the 24 interference from side reactions led by varying reactants (e.g., NO₂, O₃ and NO) and improve the robustness of results with the assistance of box model method. Factors related to $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ 25 26 derivation were extensively characterized, including particle transmission efficiency, mean 27 residence time in the flow tube and wall loss coefficient of N₂O₅, for normal operating 28 condition. The measured $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ model aerosols were in good agreement with 29 literature values over a range of relative humidity (RH). The detection limit of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ was estimated to be 0.0016 at low aerosol surface concentration (Sa) condition of 200 μ m² cm⁻³. 30 31 Given the instrument uncertainties and potential fluctuation of air mass between successive 32 sampling modes, we estimate the overall uncertainty of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ that ranges from 16 to 43% 33 for different ambient conditions. This flow tube system was then successfully deployed for 34 field observations at an urban site of Beijing influenced by anthropogenic emissions. The performance in field observation demonstrates that the current setup of this system is capable 35 36 of obtaining robust $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ amid the switch of air mass.

37 **1 Introduction**

38 Dinitrogen pentoxide (N₂O₅), forming from the reaction of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and nitrate 39 radical (NO₃), acts as an important reservoir of atmospheric nitrogen. The N₂O₅ can undergo 40 either thermal dissociation (back to NO₂ and NO₃; photolysis of NO₃ also generate NO₂) to 41 release NO₂ or hydrolysis (both homogeneous and heterogeneous) to remove nitrogen oxides 42 from the atmosphere (Brown and Stutz, 2012; Chang et al., 2011). Among the budgets of N₂O₅, 43 the uptake on aerosol particles is a highly efficient pathway to be responsible for production 44 of nitrate aerosol in some regions (Fu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2019; Wang et al., 45 2017c;Baasandorj et al., 2017;McDuffie et al., 2019;Prabhakar et al., 2017;Wang et al., 46 2018a; Chen et al., 2020) and promote activation of chlorine via ClNO₂ formation (Bertram 47 and Thornton, 2009a;Osthoff et al., 2008;Tham et al., 2018;Thornton et al., 2010;Wang et al., 48 2017f;Riedel et al., 2012a;Riedel et al., 2013;Gaston and Thornton, 2016;Mitroo et al., 2019). 49 The N₂O₅ uptake coefficient (γ (N₂O₅)) is critical in determining the uptake reaction rate of 50 N₂O₅ on aerosol in addition to aerosol surface area (Sa). It represents the fraction of collisions 51 between gaseous N₂O₅ molecules and particle surfaces that resulted in a loss of N₂O₅. Model 52 simulation showed the variations in $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ can significantly influence the fate of NOx, O₃ and OH radical in a regional (Li et al., 2016;Sarwar et al., 2012;Lowe et al., 2015) and global 53 scale (Dentener and Crutzen, 1993; Evans and Jacob, 2005; Macintyre and Evans, 2010; Murray 54

et al., 2021). However, ambient data of direct observation on $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ is still scarce. It is thereby necessary to develop an accurate equipment or method to quantify this parameter on ambient aerosols.

58 Extensive laboratory experiments have been conducted to derive the values of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on 59 aerosols and understand the mechanism of N_2O_5 uptake by various methods, including aerosol 60 flow reactor (Kane et al., 2001;Mozurkewich and Calvert, 1988;Hu and Abbatt, 61 1997; Thornton and Abbatt, 2005; Thornton et al., 2003; Tang et al., 2014; Bertram and Thornton, 2009a;Cosman et al., 2008;Escoreia et al., 2010;Gaston et al., 2014;Folkers et al., 2003), 62 63 droplet train reactor (Van Doren et al., 1990;Schweitzer et al., 1998), Knudsen flow reactor 64 (Karagulian et al., 2006) and smog chamber (Wahner et al., 1998; Wu et al., 2020). The $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ 65 was found to be highly variable and dependent on particle chemical composition, acidity, size, 66 phase state and the presence of organic coating using these laboratory methods under controllable conditions (Badger et al., 2006;Bertram et al., 2011;Fried et al., 1994;Griffiths et 67 68 al., 2009;Gross et al., 2009;Hallquist et al., 2000;McNeill et al., 2006;Mentel et al., 69 1999; Riemer et al., 2003; Gaston and Thornton, 2016; Escoreia et al., 2010; Gaston et al., 70 2014; Thornton et al., 2003). While laboratory results have contributed to recognize the 71 mechanism of N₂O₅ uptake and develop $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ parameterizations (Anttila et al., 72 2006;Bertram and Thornton, 2009b;Davis et al., 2008;Griffiths et al., 2009;Riemer et al., 73 2009), issues might emerge when quantitatively extended to ambient conditions due to the 74 discrepancy between laboratory conditions and real air mass. For example, much higher 75 reactant and particle concentration usually used in laboratory experiments might induce 76 surface saturation or secondary reactions in a short time period, which lead to the bias of 77 reaction rate used in ambient conditions (Thornton et al., 2003). In addition, the 78 physicochemical properties of ambient aerosol are much more complicated that the model 79 aerosol used in laboratory studies, which led to the laboratory results on model aerosols are 80 difficult to accurately represent what happens on the atmospheric aerosols (Royer et al., 81 2021;Mitroo et al., 2019).

82 There have been several methods implemented for field campaigns to indirectly derive 83 $\gamma(N_2O_5)$, simply based on observation of ambient NO₃, N₂O₅, NO₂, O₃, ClNO₂, pNO₃⁻ and 84 other auxiliary parameters without special equipment to capture the decay of N₂O₅ like 85 laboratory ways. These include (1) the linear fit between N₂O₅ (NO₃) lifetime and the product 86 of NO₂ and Sa concentration according to steady state equations (Brown et al., 2002;Brown et 87 al., 2009;Brown et al., 2006;Platt et al., 1984;Wang et al., 2017b;Wang et al., 2017d;Tham et 88 al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017f; Brown et al., 2016), (2) the analysis of production rates of 89 products (pNO₃⁻ and ClNO₂) resulting from N₂O₅ uptake under a stable condition (Mielke et 90 al., 2013; Phillips et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018b) and (3) box model simulations with an 91 iterative approach to reproduce the evolutions of NO₃-N₂O₅ chemistry within each separate 92 air mass after sunset (McDuffie et al., 2018; Wagner et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2020a; Yun et al., 93 2018). All these methods contain some specific assumptions and are only applicable in a few 94 special cases.

To directly determine the $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on ambient aerosols, Bertram et al. (2009a) firstly design an entrained aerosol flow reactor to adapt for low atmospheric Sa concentration with easy operation. By switching between filtered and bypass sampling mode, the N₂O₅ concentration at the exit of flow tube can be measured in the presence and absence of aerosols, respectively. The pseudo-first-order rate coefficients for N₂O₅ loss on aerosols is thereby derived from the ratio of measured N₂O₅ concentration in these two modes within a duty cycle according to Eq. 1:

$$k_{aerosols} = -\frac{1}{\Delta t} \ln \frac{[N_2 O_5]_{\Delta t}^{w/particles}}{[N_2 O_5]_{\Delta t}^{wo/particles}}$$
Eq. 1

102 where the Δt is the mean residence time of the flow tube, and the $[N_2O_5]^{wo/particles}_{\Delta t}$ and 103 $[N_2O_5]^{w/particles}_{\Delta t}$ are the measured N₂O₅ concentration at the exit of flow tube in filtered and 104 bypass mode, respectively. Assuming the gas-phase diffusion effect is negligible for 105 atmospheric particles and low reaction probability ($\gamma < 0.1$) (Fuchs and Sutugin, 1970), γ (N₂O₅) 106 can then be calculated from Eq. 2:

$$\gamma(N_2O_5) = \frac{4 \times k_{aerosols}}{c \times S_a}$$
 Eq. 2

107 This method was deployed to measure $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on ambient particles during two field 108 campaigns (Bertram et al., 2009b;Riedel et al., 2012b) and on aerosols generated in the 109 laboratory (Ahern et al., 2018). While values of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ were determined to be robust in 110 laboratory experiments, most of data would be dropped under ambient conditions due to the 111 variations of wall loss coefficients (dominated by RH), fresh NO emission, N₂O₅ regeneration 112 and flow pattern inside the flow tube. Based on the above measurement system, Wang et al. (2018c) added NOx, O₃ and Sa measurement on the exit of flow tube and introduce an iterative 113 114 box model to minimize the potential influences from changing air mass and non-linear 115 response of interference reactions. With the assumption of the equilibrium between NO₃ and 116 N₂O₅, the box model runs backward and forward iteratively to obtain the N₂O₅ loss rate constant in the absence $(k_{het}^{wo/particles})$ and presence $(k_{het}^{w/particles})$ of aerosols respectively. 117 The difference between these two parameters can finally derived the $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ according to Eq. 118 119 3, assuming the wall loss effect stays consistent.

$$\gamma(N_2O_5) = \frac{4(k_{het}^{w/particles} - k_{het}^{wo/particles})}{c \times S_q}$$
 Eq. 3

120 This iterative approach was demonstrated to be able to buffer against certain fluctuations of 121 air mass and measure $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ in the polluted atmosphere (Yu et al., 2020b).

122 Until now, only few direct measurements of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on ambient aerosols have been conducted during field campaigns (Bertram et al., 2009b;Riedel et al., 2012b;Yu et al., 2020a). 123 124 Even though combining with dataset from indirect approaches (e.g. steady state 125 approximations), it is still challenging to characterize the temporal and spatial distributions of 126 $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on ambient aerosols. To better investigate the reactive uptake of N₂O₅ on aerosols in 127 different environments, we develop an aerosol flow tube system with newly designed gas 128 circuit and data acquisition procedures to quantify $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on ambient aerosols. In the 129 following sections, the setup of this system and laboratory characterizations for each part are 130 described in details. Procedures of acquiring and processing data are compared to previous methods and discussed with potential uncertainties. Laboratory tests on model aerosols and 131 132 field observations are presented to demonstrate its performance under varying ambient

133 conditions.

134 **2** The aerosol flow tube system

A schematic of the aerosol flow tube system is shown in Figure 1. The ambient air enters 135 the system from the sampling manifold, mixes with gaseous N₂O₅ source in a Y-tee and flows 136 137 to aerosol flow tube and detection instruments, as indicated by arrows in the figure. The design 138 of sampling module and aerosol flow tube in this work follows to previous work for measuring 139 $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on ambient aerosols (e.g. Bertram et al., 2009). The major improvement of this system 140 from previous work are continuous monitor of NOx and O₃ concentration before the inlet of flow tube (after sampling air mixing with N₂O₅ source) and the sequential measurements of 141 N₂O₅ concentration both at the inlet and the exit of flow tube within a duty cycle. To achieve 142 143 the programmed cyclic measurement of these key parameters, we adopted a new design of Y-144 tee with a static mixer inside and cyclic measurement setup. Constraints of these parameters 145 during the data processing can improve the measurement accuracy (see also the discussion in 146 section 3.2).



147

Figure 1. Overall schematic of aerosol flow tube system. The arrows alongside the tube show the flow directions. The black arrows indicate the flow directions consistent during the measurements, green arrows indicate the flow directions active in measuring the exit N_2O_5 and blue arrows indicate the flow directions active in measuring the inlet N_2O_5 .

152 **2.1 Sampling manifold**

153 The sampling tube is made of a 50 cm long and half inch outside diameter (OD) aluminum 154 tubing, with a curve tip (10 cm radius of curvature) turning the inlet straight down in order to 155 avoid precipitation. The ambient air is then pass through a three-way solenoid ball valve, 156 which is controlled by a time relay to either allow the air to flow directly into a following Y-157 tee (filter bypass mode) or divert to a HEPA (high efficiency particulate air filter, Whatman) to remove particles (filter inline mode). We choose a stainless-steel ball valve with the same 158 159 OD as the sampling tube to minimize the particle loss in filter bypass mode. The HEPA can retain particles at a high efficiency (>99.9%) with low pressure drop and RH difference 160 161 between filter inline and bypass mode.

162 **2.2 Gaseous N₂O₅ generation**

163 A home-made temperature-controlled gas generator is used to generate gaseous N_2O_5 in-situ 164 via the reaction of O_3 with NO_2 (R1) and the subsequent reaction of produced NO_3 with NO_2 165 (R2).

$$NO_2 + O_3 \rightarrow NO_3 + O_2$$
 R1

$$NO_2 + NO_3 + M \leftrightarrow N_2O_5 + M$$
 R2

NO₂ is delivered from a compressed gas cylinder (20 ppmv in N₂ diluent gas, Jinghao Corp.). 166 167 O₃ is generated from the photolysis of O₂ in compressed ultra-pure synthetic zero air at 254 nm, using a commercial mercury lamp (UVP, the USA) fixed inside the generator. The 168 169 produced O₃ are then mixed with NO₂ in a small darkened Teflon reaction tube for about 2 170 min under the temperature of 15 °C, stabilized by a Peltier cooler controlled by a proportion 171 integration differentiation algorithm. A PFA tube with polyethylene foam was used to transmit 172 the synthesized N₂O₅ to sampling stream and minimize the influence of ambient temperature 173 variation on N₂O₅ level. The flow rate of NO₂ (20 sccm) and zero air (80 sccm) are controlled 174 by mass flow controller separately at a total of 100 sccm. By changing the flow rate ratio 175 between NO₂ and zero air, the generator can produce N₂O₅ concentration varying from 1 ppbv 176 to 6 ppbv (after dilution in zero air at sampling flow rate of 4.5 slpm). Under the typical

measurement condition, an excess of NO₂ concentration is applied to shift the equilibrium towards N₂O₅ production (R2) and suppress the NO₃ concentration to less than 30 pptv, which is expected to decrease the uncertainty of varying NO₃ reactivity (NO, VOCs and heterogeneous loss). The resulted initial N₂O₅ concentration was 4.0 ppbv at the inlet of aerosol flow tube, together with around 50 ppbv of NO₂ and 15 ppbv of O₃. A stability test on N₂O₅ source showed the variation was within 1% for a 24-h continuous operation, with ambient temperature ranging from 0 to 15 °C.

184 **2.3 Aerosol flow tube**

Air flow enters and exits the flow tube via two identical conical diffuser caps at a diffuser 185 angle of 45° . A 35cm $\times 14$ cm inner diameter (ID) cylindrical tube is mounted in the middle 186 187 of two caps, flanged with screws and nitrile rubber O-rings. All sections of this aerosol flow 188 tube are made of stainless-steel with electro-polished and FEP-coated inside. The exterior of 189 the flow tube is insulated with aluminum coated polyethylene foam 3 cm thick to minimize 190 thermal eddies fluctuation of ambient temperature. The mechanic design of this flow tube 191 follows that used in Bertram et al. (2009), with different length and diffuser angles particularly 192 designed for our typical flow rate. Under the typical flow rate of 2.1 SLPM in the flow tube, the axial velocity in the cylindrical tube section is $0.23 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ which produces a Reynolds 193 numbers (Re) of 22, well below the threshold of laminar flow (Re<2100). 194

195 In front of the flow tube, the synthesized N₂O₅ source is introduced perpendicular to 196 ambient air sampling stream via a regular stainless-steel tee and then the mixture enters a 197 stainless-steel Y-tee for further mixing. The inner surface of both regular tee and Y-tee is electro-polished and coated with SilcoNert 2000 (Silotek Corp.), a technique commonly 198 applied in semiconductor industry, to maintain the transmission efficiency of particles and 199 200 minimize the loss of N₂O₅ in the meantime. A 10 cm long stainless-steel static mixer is 201 mounted inside the Y-tee in order to swirl the flow and thus facilitate the mixing between 202 sampling stream and N₂O₅ source in a relatively short distance. The presence of static mixer 203 at the inlet also help to improve the flow expansion performance after entering the flow tube by minimizing flow recirculating towards the wall, which decreases the wall loss of N2O5 and 204

205 particles (Huang et al., 2017). After passing through the static mixer, the mixture of ambient 206 air and N₂O₅ source is split into two flows at the same flow rate, one of which straightly enters 207 the aerosol flow tube and the other one is diverted to measurements of NOx, O₃ and N₂O₅. We 208 measured the concentrations of NOx, O₃, N₂O₅ and Sa at the both exits of Y-tee under typical 209 flow rate for three repeated experiments (Figure 2). Almost the same gaseous concentrations 210 and particle distributions at both exits of Y-tee demonstrate that the N₂O₅ source has been well mixed with the sampling flow and species concentrations at the inlet of flow tube can be 211 212 accurately determined via the measurements at the other exit of Y-tee.



213

Figure 2. (a) The concentration of N_2O_5 , NO_2 and O_3 in the mixture of N_2O_5 source and sampling aerosols measured at each exit of Y-tee; (b) The size distribution of Sa concentration in the mixture of N_2O_5 gas source and sampling aerosols measured at each exit of Y-tee.

217 **2.4 Detection instruments**

Instruments used in this system are listed in Table 1. A portable cavity-enhanced absorption spectrometer (CEAS) is used to measure N_2O_5 concentration (Wang et al., 2017a) at both inlet and exit of the aerosol flow tube by automatically switching the flow directions (see details in section 2.5). Briefly, the N_2O_5 is thermally decomposed to NO_3 by heating up to 130°C and then quantified according to the extinction coefficient caused by NO_3 absorption in the wavelength window from 640 to 680 nm. A Teflon polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) membrane

224	is placed in front of the CEAS to remove particles, which will be replaced with a new one
225	every two hours by a self-designed membrane auto-changer. Laboratory tests have been
226	conducted to quantified the transmission efficiency of N_2O_5 over the membrane (92±3%),
227	sampling tube of CEAS (99.7%) and the inside of CEAS (93.6%). The use of a filter upstream
228	of the CEAS and the procedures of membrane changing have been successfully applied in
229	many field campaigns to measure ambient N2O5 (Brown et al., 2016;Kennedy et al.,
230	2011; Wang et al., 2017a; Wang et al., 2017b; Wang et al., 2018a). The loss of N_2O_5 on
231	membrane filter, sampling tube and the detection chamber inside the CEAS were corrected
232	according to transmission efficiency. The detection limit of N ₂ O ₅ was determined to be 2.7
233	pptv (1 σ , 60 s) with the measurement uncertainty of 19%. A time-resolution of 60 s for N ₂ O ₅
234	data acquisition is typically used to derive $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ in this study. The CEAS has been
235	successfully applied to measure ambient N2O5 concentration in several field campaigns and
236	laboratory studies (Chen et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020a; Wang et al., 2017b; Wang et al.,
237	2020b;Wang et al., 2018b;Wang et al., 2022).

Parameter	Technique	Time resolution	Detection Limit(1o)	Accuracy
NO	Chemiluminescence ^a	1 min	200 pptv	±10%
NO_2	Chemiluminescence	1 min	300 pptv	±10%
O ₃	UV photometry	1 min	500 pptv	$\pm 5\%$
VOCs	GC-MS/FID ^b	60 min	20-300 pptv	±15%
N_2O_5	CEAS	1 min	2.7 pptv	±19%
Sa	SMPS	5 min	-	±10%
RH&T	Sensor	1 min	-	±0.1%&±0.1K

238 **Table 1.** Performance of related instruments incorporated in the flow tube system.

^a Photolytic conversion to NO through blue light before detection; ^b Gas chromatography
equipped with a mass spectrometer and a flame ionization detector;

At the inlet of flow tube, NOx concentration is measured via chemiluminescence method equipped with a blue-light photolytic converter (Thermo, Model 42i) and O₃ concentration is also measured via chemiluminescence method by adding excessive NO (Teledyne API, Model T265). Both NOx and O₃ concentration are averaged to 1 min time-resolution. The size distribution of particle number density is measured at the exit of flow tube using a scanning

246 mobility particle sizer (SMPS, TSI 3776), which determines the total Sa concentration 247 covering the range from 13 to 730 nm. Particles larger than this range usually contributed less 248 than 5% of total Sa according to our previous field measurements (Chen et al., 2020) and it is 249 included in the uncertainty analysis (see section 5). A cycle of size scanning is set to around 5 250 min and the derived Sa concentration is then interpolated into 1 min for further calculation. 251 Aerosols pass through a Nafion tubing (MD-700) before entering into SMPS to reduce RH to 252 less than 30%. The dry-state Sa is therefore corrected to wet-state at the RH inside the flow tube for particle hygroscopicity. The growth factor, $f(RH)=1+8.77\times(RH/100)^{9.74}$, used for 253 254 correction is valid only when RH is within the range from 30 to 90% (Liu et al., 2013). The 255 RH and temperature of flow are continuously measured both before entering and after leaving 256 the flow tube by commercial sensors (Rotronic, Model HC2A-S). The averages of the values 257 obtained at both locations are used to represent the RH and temperature inside the flow tube. In addition, ambient volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are measured in-situ alongside the 258 259 aerosol flow tube system using an online gas chromatograph mass spectrometer coupled with a flame ionization detector (GCMS-FID) to derive the NO₃ reactivity to VOCs ($k_{NO3-VOCs}$) in 260 the flow tube. 261

262 **2.5 Procedures of data acquisition**

263 The N₂O₅ concentration is acquired at both inlet and exit of the flow tube within a duty cycle 264 via a CEAS instrument, which is different from that only at the exit of the flow tube in previous studies (Bertram et al., 2009a; Wang et al., 2018c). At each duty cycle, consisting of once HEPA 265 266 inline mode for measuring kwall of N2O5 and once HEPA bypass mode for retrieving the N2O5 loss on aerosols, the procedure that measuring N₂O₅ at the inlet of flow tube followed by that 267 at the exit is executed twice with one for each mode. An exemplary case obtained during a 268 field campaign is shown in Figure 3 to explain this procedure. Within the mode of HEPA inline, 269 270 N₂O₅ data is firstly acquired at the inlet of the flow tube and then switch to the exit of the flow tube. The $k_{het}^{wo/particles}$, which is the k_{wall} of N₂O₅, can be therefore derived from a box model 271 272 constrained by these N₂O₅ data (see section 3 for the model description and data processing). 273 The same procedures are executed in the mode of HEPA bypass, except the $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ is derived according to Eq 2. Two three-way valves controlled by a time relay were implemented to realize this procedure in order to avoid the changes of flow condition in the flow tube that could have been caused. As indicated in Figure 1, the blue arrows show the flow directions when measuring the N_2O_5 concentration at the inlet of flow tube, while the green arrows shows that for the exit of flow tube. It should be noted that the concentration of NOx and O_3 are always acquired at the inlet of the flow tube and the Sa concentration always at the exit of the flow tube during the operation.



281

Figure 3. An exemplary case of measured N₂O₅ concentration within a duty cycle. This case 282 was observed on the night of 13 December 2020, with average ambient Sa of 320 μ m² cm⁻³. 283 The derived k_{wall} of N₂O₅ and γ (N₂O₅) were 0.0023 s⁻¹ and 0.035, respectively. The blue dots 284 285 indicate N₂O₅ concentration measured under the mode of HEPA inline either at the inlet or 286 exit of the flow tube (denoted as texts); the respective averages (blue dots of larger size) are 287 used for deriving k_{wall} (blue square). The red dots indicate N₂O₅ concentration measured under 288 the mode of HEPA bypass either at the inlet or exit of the flow tube; the respective averages 289 (red dots of larger size) are used for deriving the overall rate constant of N₂O₅ loss on the wall 290 and aerosols. The data points in gray are excluded from calculation due to unstable conditions 291 in the flow tube.

In addition, laboratory tests were conducted to determine a suited duration for each duty cycle. During a duty cycle, the duration for each mode should last long enough to develop a stable flow condition for particles or empty particles, while a much longer duration could decrease the measurement time-resolution and leads to large uncertainty due to the fluctuations within a long time period. We measured Sa and N_2O_5 concentration continuously at the exit of

297 flow tube when sampling (NH₄)₂SO₄ aerosols. As shown in Figure 4, it took about 15 minutes 298 for particles to rise to a stable level from none or to decrease from a certain level to none, when 299 our system underwent mode switches. The periodical variation of N₂O₅ concentration was 300 consistent with particles. The residence time distribution (RTD) profiles (see in section 4.2) 301 also demonstrated that a pulse injection of NO₂ requires $10 \sim 15$ minutes to be fully released 302 from the flow tube, which to some extent supports the 15-minute time required for complete mixing of N₂O₅. As a result, a typical duration of duty cycle is composed of 40 minutes with 303 304 20 minutes for each mode, which is similar to that in Bertram et al. (2009). The N_2O_5 measurement at the exit of the flow tube in the last 5 minutes of each mode is able to represent 305 306 valid decays of N₂O₅ under this mode and satisfy the requirements of further data processing.



307

Figure 4. Variations of Sa and N_2O_5 concentration (normalized to peak values) measured at the exit of flow tube when switching the sampling mode. The phases of species concentrations in the flow tube approaching stable after a mode switch are denoted as the transition phases.

311 3 Box model for determination of loss rate coefficients of N₂O₅

312 **3.1 Method description**

313 Large uncertainties were found in retrieving $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on ambient particles according to Eq. 1

in a previous flow tube study (Bertram et al., 2009a), due to the dependence of homogeneous

315 reaction rates on sampling modes and the atmospheric variations of parameters related to NO₃-

316 N₂O₅ chemistry (e.g. NO, NO₂, O₃, VOCs, and RH). To minimize these influences, a time-

317 dependent box model constrained by the measurements of N_2O_5 concentration and other 318 auxiliary parameters is applied to calculate loss rate coefficients of N_2O_5 under the mode of 319 HEPA inline and bypass, respectively. The model is able to simulate the reactions related to 320 budgets of NO₃-N₂O₅ chemistry in a dark condition, including R1, R2 and the follows:

$$NO_3 + NO \rightarrow 2 NO_2$$
 R3

$$NO_3 + VOCs \rightarrow products$$
 R4

$$N_2O_5$$
 + aerosols or wall \rightarrow products R5

321 The rate constants for reactions R1 to R3 are referenced to IUPAC database. The reaction of 322 VOCs and NO₃ is treated as pseudo-first-order with a rate constant of $k_{\text{NO3-VOCs}}$, which is the 323 sum of rate constants for reactions of NO₃ with each VOCs scaled by the concentration of 324 VOCs measured by GC-FID. In this work, there are 30 kinds of measured VOCs having known reaction rate constants with NO₃ included in the model (Table A1). Due to low time-resolution 325 326 of VOCs measurements (1 h), the $k_{NO3-VOCs}$ is kept constant for each derivation of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$. The suppressed NO₃ concentration is expected to attenuate the influence resulted from the 327 uncertainty of $k_{\text{NO3-VOCs}}$ (see discussion in section 5). The reaction R5 represents the loss of 328 329 N₂O₅ only on the wall in the mode of HEPA inline or on the both wall and particles in the 330 mode of HEPA bypass. The rate constant of R5 is also treated as pseudo-first-order and it is 331 adjustable among different runs.

332 The same procedures of data screening and model operation are applied to both sampling 333 and bypass modes, as shown in Figure 5. For example, in the mode of HEPA inline, the average 334 of NO concentration less than 6 ppbv and the variation of N_2O_5 measured at the inlet of flow 335 tube less than 10% should be validated prior to the following model operation. Under typical 336 concentration of N₂O₅ source we used in this flow tube system, the exit concentration of N₂O₅ 337 is detected to be under triple detection limit with initial NO large than 6 ppbv according to our 338 laboratory tests. In ambient condition, high level of NO is usually also accompanied by rapid 339 variation due to fresh emission, which disturbs the decay of N_2O_5 in the flow tube and leads to large uncertainty in deriving its loss rate coefficient. Excluding the cases that N₂O₅ 340 341 measured at the inlet of flow tube varies exceeding 10% can further minimize the uncertainty

342 of N_2O_5 loss rate coefficient resulted from rapid change of NO₃ reactants (NO, VOCs). If the 343 measured data within the duration of a sampling mode satisfies the criteria for data screening 344 described above, the model can therefore simulate the reactions starting from the entrance of 345 flow tube and lasting for 156 s (mean residence time) based on these data. The initial concentrations of $[NO]_{t=0}$, $[NO_2]_{t=0}$, $[O_3]_{t=0}$ and $[N_2O_5]_{t=0}$ are the averages of last-5-min values 346 347 measured at the inlet of flow tube. The RH and temperature are constrained by the mean values during this sampling mode. By tuning the loss rate coefficient of N₂O₅ (k_{N2O5}) in the way of 348 349 binary search, we optimized an appropriate k_{N2O5} to ensure that the N₂O₅ concentration output 350 from the simulation is consistent with last-5-min average of N_2O_5 concentration measured at the exit of flow tube within 1 pptv. As a result, this derived k_{N205} (aka. $k_{het}^{wo/particles}$) is 351 expected to be the k_{wall} of N₂O₅. The same procedures above are then applied to the data 352 obtained in the mode of HEPA bypass, except that the derived k_{N2O5} (aka. $k_{het}^{w/particles}$) 353 354 contains the loss rate coefficients of N₂O₅ on the both wall and particles. It should be noted that the above calculation for obtained data is only valid under the variation of RH less than 355 2% within a duty cycle and the k_{wall} of N₂O₅ can then be reasonably assumed to be constant 356 between two successive sampling modes. Therefore, the $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ can be retrieved by the Eq 3, 357 where the last-5-min averages of Sa concentration in the mode of HEPA bypass is used. 358





Figure 5. Flow diagram of γ (N₂O₅) derivation through box model method.

361 3.2 Evaluation of the box model method

362 The box model method is introduced to our flow tube system to overcome the influence from

363 homogeneous reactions and variations of air mass on $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ retrieval. A series of scenarios

364 were provided to evaluate the performance of box model method by both simulations and 365 laboratory experiments. We allow NO, NO₂ and O₃ in the mixture of sampling air at the entrance of the flow tube to vary in a reasonable range, in order to develop the scenarios of 366 367 different gradients of NO concentration and NO₃ production rates (PNO₃). The levels of PNO₃ was adjusted by NO₂ and O₃ concentrations and calculated from the initial NO₂ and O₃ at the 368 369 entrance of the flow tube. In simulation studies, the exit concentration of N₂O₅ would be obtained from the simulated N₂O₅ evolutions with and without particles in the flow tube. To 370 371 corroborate the results estimated by simulations, laboratory tests were performed on 372 $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ aerosols to measure the exit concentration of N_2O_5 under varying NO concentration. The $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on particles are then calculated according to Eq 1&2 or by box model method 373 374 described above.

375 As shown in Figure 6(a), the exit concentration method ($\gamma(N_2O_5)$) exit-conc., derived directly by Eqs. 1-2) underestimates $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ and the extent of underestimation increases with 376 PNO₃ levels in simulation tests. Similarly, the exit concentration method underestimates 377 $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ by 50 to 60% with PNO₃ of 1.0 ppbv h⁻¹ in the laboratory tests (Figure 6(b)). Noted 378 379 that the $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ was determined to be at around 0.01 by box model method over the NO range 380 from 0 to 6 ppbv, which agrees well with previous laboratory observation of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on 381 (NH₄)₂SO₄ aerosols within uncertainty (Badger et al., 2006;Hallquist et al., 2003;Kane et al., 382 2001). The cause of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ exit-conc. underestimation is mainly due to the in situ N₂O₅ production in the flow tube. With a continuous production of NO₃ via the reaction of NO₂ and 383 384 O₃ and rapid heterogeneous loss of N₂O₅ in the flow tube, the equilibrium between NO₃ and 385 N_2O_5 always shifts to the production of N_2O_5 , and masking the actual amount of N_2O_5 removal. 386 In the mode of HEPA bypass, the N₂O₅ consumes faster than the other mode due to the addition 387 of particles, which further facilitates the N₂O₅ formation through the equilibrium. Previous 388 studies also found similar impacts from N₂O₅ production on retrieving γ (N₂O₅) in the aerosol flow tube (Bertram et al., 2009a; Wang et al., 2018c). However, the discrepancy of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ 389 390 derived by two methods is much less dependent on the NO concentration, at least within the prescribed range, due to relatively small ratio of NO₃/N₂O₅ in the N₂O₅ source. The absence 391 392 of dependence between NO concentration and $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ also indicates that this aerosol flow

tube system can buffer against NO within the range from 0 to 6 ppbv under typical operating condition. However, this is not always the case when there is a rapid fluctuation of NO in a real atmosphere, which might lead to intractable uncertainty and is therefore excluded from further analysis according to the criteria of data screening.





397

In comparison to the work by Bertram et al. (2009) and Wang et al. (2018), the combination of above box model method and the improved flow tube system in this study has progress in the following aspects. First, the dynamic quantification of k_{wall} of N₂O₅ within each duty cycle based on the constraint of sequentially measured N₂O₅ source is helpful to provide accurate data for both k_{wall} and γ (N₂O₅) retrieval. The k_{wall} in ambient conditions could deviate from the results from laboratory tests (Figure B1) due to temperature variation and particles adsorption, which leads to large uncertainty when calculating γ (N₂O₅) without the frequent 416 determination of k_{wall} . While the k_{wall} was also determined frequently in the flow tube of Wang 417 et al. (2018), the N₂O₅ source they used for k_{wall} and $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ retrieval is an assumed stable value instead of an observed one. Second, the concentrations of initial NO, NO₂, O₃ and N₂O₅ 418 419 at the entrance of the flow tube, and exit N₂O₅ are obtained through programed cyclic 420 measurements in this work, which can reduce the uncertainties by adding the model constraints. 421 It is different from the iterative box model used in Wang et al. (2018) as we enable a 422 straightforward simulation of NO₃-N₂O₅ chemistry occurring in the flow tube, instead of estimating the initial NO₂ and O₃ with assumed NO profile and stable N₂O₅ source based on 423 424 backward simulations. In ambient conditions, the initial N₂O₅ concentration can be largely 425 influenced by air mass conditions (especially NO concentration and temperature). Figure B2(a) 426 presents box whisker plot of N₂O₅ and NO concentration at the flow tube entrance during a 427 field campaign, which shows a much larger variation of N_2O_5 than in lab condition (<1%). As a result, the box model would underestimate $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ by using a fixed initial N₂O₅ 428 429 concentration under certain circumstances (Figure B2(b)). Third, we simulate NO₃-N₂O₅ 430 relationship via specific reactions rather than approximating it in equilibrium and introducing 431 the equilibrium coefficient (Keq) into calculation. Calculating NO₃ or N_2O_5 concentration by 432 Keq could induce large bias (up to 90%) under the high aerosol loading and low temperature 433 (Chen et al., 2021).

434 **4 Laboratory characterizations**

435 **4.1 Particle transmission efficiency**

The transmission efficiency of particles in the sampling module and flow tube are estimated respectively in Figure 7. In the laboratory, pure ammonia nitrate ($(NH_4)_2SO_4$) aerosols were generated from an atomizer loading with 0.1 M (NH_4)₂SO₄ solution. The RH and concentration of produced aerosols flow was conditioned in a glass bottle (~2 L) by introducing a humidified dilution flow of ultrahigh-purity N₂. As a result, aerosols in different concentrations (1000~4500 µm² cm⁻³) and under a range of RH (20~70%) were applied to test the transmission efficiency. Figure 7(a) shows the loss of total Sa concentration in the sampling

module and flow tube are $8\pm1\%$ and $10\pm2\%$ on average, respectively. We found that the 443 444 fraction of particles loss is mainly caused by particles smaller than 100 nm. This is most likely 445 due to the turbulence generated by static mixer and the recirculation in the flow tube. Large 446 particles are prone to stay within the main flow direction, whereas small particles readily 447 adsorb on the walls by the entrainment of turbulence or recirculation. In addition, the particles 448 distribution measured at the exit of flow tube with HEPA inline (gray line in Figure 7(a)) 449 demonstrated its capability of removing almost all particles (>99.5%) at the typical flow rate. The same transmission efficiency was also found on ambient aerosols (Figure 7(b)) as that on 450 451 laboratory-generated aerosols. The results we obtained from above particle transmission 452 experiments are similar to the findings of Bertram et al. (2009).



454 Figure 7. (a) Particles transmission determined by sampling laboratory-generated (NH₄)₂SO₄ 455 aerosols. Aerosols at different concentrations and RH levels are used in experiments and the 456 size distribution of Sa concentration are normalized to the peak values. The normalized size 457 distribution of Sa concentration measured before sampling inlet (green line), at the inlet of 458 flow tube with HEPA bypass (red line) and at the bottom of flow tube with HEPA bypass 459 (blue line) are shown respectively. Under the mode of HEPA inline, the Sa concentration was 460 almost zero at the bottom of flow tube (gray line). The shadows indicate the standard 461 deviations of the normalized Sa concentration for all experiments. (b) Particles transmission determined by sampling ambient particles. 462

463 **4.2 Residence time in the flow tube**

453

464 The method of residence time distribution (RTD) was applied to estimate the average reaction 465 time of the gas species in the flow tube (residence time). In comparison to ideal plug flow, the RTD method can better describe actual behavior of the flow in practice and determine the
mean residence time more accurately (Danckwerts, 1953). Several studies have also used this
RTD method to determine the residence time in the flow tube (Huang et al., 2017; Wang et al.,
2018c;Lambe et al., 2011).

The RTD profiles were obtained by introducing a 2 s pulse of NO₂ gas diluted in N₂ into the flow tube under RH less than 1%. NO₂ is relatively inert against the flow tube wall coated with FEP and was measured at the exit of the flow tube by a CEAS (Li et al., 2021) at high time-resolution (2 Hz). A three-way solenoid valve combined with a time relay was implemented to control the pulse in order to avoid the disturbance on flow condition from the injection. Experiments were performed under typical operation. The mean residence time (t_{ave}) can be derived from the each RTD profile according to Eq. 4,

$$t_{ave} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{N} C_i \times t_i}{\sum_{i=0}^{N} C_i},$$
 Eq. 4

477 where the C_i is the concentration of NO₂ recorded at the time step t_i. From the RTD profiles of NO₂ injection experiments in Figure 8, the determined tave was 156±3 s. This value is 19% 478 479 less than the space time (τ_{space} , flow tube volume divided by operation flow rate, 192.6 s). It 480 has also been found that the assumption of ideal plug flow overestimated the residence time 481 in previous flow tube experiments (Lambe et al., 2011;Huang et al., 2017;Wang et al., 2018c), 482 which could lead to underestimation of the derived k_{N205} . The residence time of current set up is designed for investigating $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ in typical episode days with medium to high aerosol 483 loadings (the Sa concentration usually larger than 500 um² cm⁻³) in polluted regions. As shown 484 in Section 5, the detection limit of this system is 6.4×10^{-4} with Sa of 500 um² cm⁻³, which is 485 well below the most of previous ambient $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ results ranging from 1×10^{-3} to >0.1 in 486 487 polluted regions of China (Wang et al., 2020a; Wang et al., 2017d; Wang et al., 2017e; Xia et al., 488 2019). The residence time determined in this work is also slightly higher than 149 s that reported in a previous work focusing on investigating $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ in polluted regions (Wang et al., 489 490 2018c). In addition, the residence time for this flow tube can be extended to over 300 s to satisfy the $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ measurement requirements under low Sa by reducing the flow rate of air 491 492 passing through, which is controlled by an extra pump.



493

494 Figure 8. Residence time distribution derived by sampling NO₂ gas. Red solid line indicates
495 the measured RTD profiles. The calculated RTD of ideal laminar flow (without dispersions)
496 and the Taylor dispersion model fitted to measurements are shown as blue dash line and dot497 dash line, respectively.

Two theoretical RTDs were calculated, namely ideal laminar flow and Taylor diffusion, besides the measured RTD, intending to reflect the fluid field inside the flow tube. The ideal laminar flow describes the flow without dispersion. The velocity profile of ideal laminar flow is parabolic, with the fluid in the center of the tube moving the fastest. According to the following Eq. 5, the RTD of ideal laminar flow is scaled by the integrated concentration of NO₂ and presented as the blue dash line in Figure 8.

$$\begin{cases} 0, & t < 0.5\tau_{space} \\ \frac{\tau_{space}^{2}}{2t^{3}}, t \ge 0.5\tau_{space} \end{cases}, \quad \text{Eq. 5} \end{cases}$$

504 While the determined *Re* is well within the laminar flow threshold, the measured RTD occurs 505 earlier than theoretical laminar flow condition and exhibits a broaden distribution. The discrepancy between them indicates that the dispersions or potential secondary flows could 506 507 dominate the flow regime. Instead, an improved Taylor dispersion model (shown as the gray dot-dash line in Figure 8) is able to reproduce the measured RTD, which was previously 508 509 implemented in the characterization of photooxidation flow reactors (Lambe et al., 2011). Two 510 flow patterns with distinct effective diffusivities (0.02 and 0.51 derived from best fit) were 511 considered in this dispersion model. An implication from the characteristics of the model is

that two flow components consist of the flow regime: a direct flow path through the flow tube with less diffusion and a secondary flow path representing the recirculation in the dead zone that induced by temperature gradient and significant diffusions (Huang et al., 2017).

515 **4.3** N₂O₅ wall loss

516 The stainless-steel flow tube in this study is electro-polished and coated by FEP inside to 517 reduce the loss of N₂O₅ and particles on the wall in the meantime. An electro-polished surface could enhance the homogeneity of FEP-coating and reduce the adsorption of H₂O molecule to 518 519 the wall, which influences the loss of N₂O₅. It has been found that the k_{wall} of N₂O₅ increases with the RH (Bertram et al., 2009a; Wang et al., 2018c). Therefore, a less change in k_{wall} of 520 N₂O₅ from RH helps to minimize the uncertainty induced by fluctuations of RH within a duty 521 522 cycle. Laboratory tests were conducted to quantify the k_{wall} of N₂O₅ under different levels of 523 RH with HEPA inline. As shown in Figure 9, the k_{wall} of N₂O₅ gradually increase from 0.002 s⁻¹ in a dry condition to 0.006 s⁻¹ when RH is 70%. The level of k_{wall} is less than the result of 524 525 Wang et al. (2018c) but higher than Bertram et al. (2009a) as indicated in Table 2. In addition, 526 the flow tube was rinsed with deionized water every week during the field campaigns to remove the build-up of particles, which might increase the hygroscopicity of the internal 527 528 surface and thus the k_{wall} of N₂O₅ in a wet condition. Uncertainty in γ (N₂O₅) derivation resulted 529 from the variation of k_{wall} related to RH is discussed in section 5.



530

531 **Figure 9**. The dependence of pseudo-first-order wall loss coefficient (k_{wall}) of N₂O₅ in the

532 FEP-coated aerosol flow tube.

RH range	k_{wall} range (×10 ⁻³ s ⁻¹)	References
5~50%	0.5~3	Bertram et al., 2009
20~70%	4~9	Wang et al., 2018
0~70%	2~6	This work

533 **Table 2**. Summary of the k_{wall} of N₂O₅ for the existing aerosol flow tube deployed in field 534 campaigns.

535 4.4 Demonstration of γ (N₂O₅) measurements on model particles

536 $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ measurements by current aerosol flow tube system equipped with box model method were performed on lab-generated (NH₄)₂SO₄ aerosols over a range of RH. The system was 537 operated at room temperature of 295K with N2O5 concentration of 4.0 ppbv at the entrance of 538 539 flow tube. We conditioned the RH of generated aerosols by introducing dry N₂ gas dilution, which could decrease the RH level down to 10~55%, starting from over 95% where (NH₄)₂SO₄ 540 541 aerosols are expected to be in aqueous state. The resulting Sa concentrations of aerosols were around 600 μ m²·cm⁻³. As shown in Figure 10, the observed γ (N₂O₅) values were below 0.01 542 543 when RH was within 40% and significantly rose up to 0.02 with higher RH. The dependence 544 of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on RH and the exact values are well consistent with previous laboratory results on 545 (NH₄)₂SO₄ aerosols (Badger et al., 2006;Hallquist et al., 2003;Hu and Abbatt, 1997;Kane et al., 2001;Mozurkewich and Calvert, 1988), which shows that the setup of our instrument has 546 good practicability. A large standard deviation of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ found at RH of 39% is possibly due 547 548 to the unstable phase transition of (NH₄)₂SO₄ particles, as its efflorescence RH is reportedly 549 from 35 to 48% (Martin, 2000).



550

551 **Figure 10**. The dependence of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on RH for laboratory-generated $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ aerosols. 552 The red points with standard deviations represent the values measured by current aerosol flow

553 tube system in this work. Previously reported values are indicated in blue marks.

554 **5 Uncertainty analysis and detection limit**

The uncertainty of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ is in relevance to the measurement uncertainties of each instrument 555 556 and rapid fluctuations of various parameters. As outlined before, the 5-min averages of N₂O₅ concentration measured at the inlet and exit of the flow tube were used for calculating $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ 557 via the box model method. The potential variations within these selected time periods would 558 therefore lead to relative errors. For example, the variations of N2O5 concentration is resulted 559 majorly from the rapid changes of ambient NO and less from variations of VOCs, NO₂, O₃ as 560 well as N₂O₅ gas source itself (1% in 24 hours). A cutoff of 10% for N₂O₅ variation was 561 implemented to filter out the air mass that was too unstable for valid analysis, according to our 562 prescribed criteria of data screening. It consequently leads to 10% uncertainty in the average 563 564 of N₂O₅ and can translate into a deviation of 2% in γ (N₂O₅) with the γ (N₂O₅) at 0.02, Sa at 800 µm²·cm⁻³ and other parameters (shown in Table 3) representing the typical inlet values 565 566 measured during the field campaign (described in section 6). Similarly, cases that over 2% 567 variation in RH exists between the HEPA inline and bypass mode are excluded from analysis, 568 owing to its significant influence on k_{wall} of N₂O₅ in the flow tube. By assuming a consistent 569 k_{wall} in successive sampling modes, the potential variations in RH could lead to uncertainty in

 $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ from $\pm 8 \times 10^{-4}$ at RH of 20% to $\pm 2 \times 10^{-3}$ at RH of 70%, respectively, with the Sa at 570 800 μ m² cm⁻³. In addition, the $k_{NO3-VOCs}$ is treated as constant in a duty cycle due to the limit 571 of time resolution of VOCs measurements. A variation of $\pm 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in $k_{\text{NO3-VOCs}}$ only induces 572 less than $\pm 1\%$ uncertainty in $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ for more than 95% cases obtained during the field 573 campaign. All the impacts from inherent instruments uncertainties and variations of different 574 575 parameters are thereby considered in Monte Carlo simulations to assess the overall uncertainty of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$. The basic simulation is initialized with the typical conditions measured at the inlet 576 of the flow tube during the field campaign and repeatedly performs the procedures of 577 determining $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ via the box model method 1000 times. In each run, all parameters were 578 579 allowed to vary independently within a prescribed range. The basic simulation condition and 580 variation range are presented in Table 3.

Parameters	Value ^a	Variation range ^b
NO	1 ppbv	±10%
NO_2	70 ppbv	±10%
O ₃	10 ppbv	$\pm 5\%$
Inlet N ₂ O ₅	4 ppbv	±19%
Exit N ₂ O ₅ °	2.2 ppbv	±19%
Temperature	273 K	±0.1 K
RH ^d	30 %	$\pm 1\%$
kno3-vocs	0.01 s ⁻¹	±0.01 s ⁻¹

581 **Table 3.** Parameters involved in the Monte Carlo simulations.

^a Values used for initializing Monte Carlo simulations in a basic scenario; ^b Ranges within which each parameter can vary independently; ^c Determined from the case that $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ is at 0.02, Sa is at 800 μ m²·cm⁻³ and other parameters are shown in this table; ^d The RH and its variation can be transformed into values in k_{wall} of N₂O₅ via the fitting function derived from Figure 9.

587 The resulting $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ values from Monte Carlo simulations under the basic scenario are 588 shown as frequency distributions in Figure 11(a). This distribution can be fitted by a Gaussian 589 function and the standard deviation (1 σ) of Gaussian distribution is regarded as the overall 590 uncertainty of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$, which is $\pm 9 \times 10^{-4}$ (4.5% relative to true $\gamma(N_2O_5)$). The uncertainty of 591 Sa measurements and unmeasured particles larger than 730 nm (usually less than 5% of total 592 Sa) would together introduce an extra 16% uncertainty to $\gamma(N_2O_5)$.

593 We further found that the uncertainty of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ could be sensitive to the measurement conditions. With higher O₃, potential variations of NO and $k_{\text{NO3-VOCs}}$ will induce larger 594 595 uncertainty of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ (Figure 11(b)), as it enhances the abundance of NO₃ and N₂O₅. In 596 comparison, the low O₃ in the basic scenario suppressed the side formation of NO₃ in the flow 597 tube, limiting the aggravation of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ uncertainty from the increase of NO and NO₂. The 598 $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ uncertainty is also positive correlated with RH and T. As is discussed before, the k_{wall} 599 of N₂O₅ increases with RH level, which can amplify the potential bias of k_{wall} at a higher RH 600 level. The equilibrium between NO₃ and N₂O₅ shifts towards the decomposition of N₂O₅ at 601 higher T, leading to larger uncertainty of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ caused by potential variations of NO and $k_{\text{NO3-VOCs}}$. The overall uncertainty of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ therefore rises to 8.2% at the RH of 70% and to 602 603 14.4% at the temperature of 293K (Figure 11(c)), with NO, NO₂, O₃, γ (N₂O₅) and Sa keeping the same as the basic scenario. In addition, Monte Carlo simulations were also performed for 604 605 different $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ values ranging from 0.01 to 0.08. The uncertainty of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ clearly 606 decreased with the $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ (Figure 11(d)). A lower $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ weaken the impacts N_2O_5 uptakes 607 has on the budgets of NO₃ and N₂O₅, which causes the $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ derivation to be more 608 susceptible to uncertainties of other parameters and then increases the uncertainty of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$.



610 **Figure 11**. The uncertainty of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ determined from the Monte Carlo simulations. (a) 611 Histogram distribution of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ generated from a Monte Carlo simulation (1000 single runs) 612 in the basic scenario (shown as Table 3), where the overall uncertainty of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ was 613 determined to be $\pm 9 \times 10^{-4}$; (b) dependence of the uncertainty of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on NO, NO₂ as well 614 as O₃; (c) dependence of the uncertainty of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on RH and T; (d) dependence of the 615 $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ uncertainty on $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ level.

609

616 In addition, the mean residence time used in the box model method could bias the retrieved $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ due to the non-normal distribution of residence time with a discernable tail. The 617 618 reactants entrained by those slower streamlines close to the wall will take much longer time to 619 reach the exit of the flow tube than that by the centerline. In order to evaluate the uncertainty caused by the distribution of residence time, we first performed simulations of N₂O₅ decay in 620 621 the flow tube under the basic scenarios and calculate the exit N_2O_5 concentration according to the probability distribution function derived from RTD profile. Then the $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ can be 622 retrieved from the box model method running for the duration of mean residence time, 623 constrained by this calculated exit N₂O₅ concentration. The result shows that the use of mean 624 residence time produces 32% underestimation of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ in the basic scenario. The extent of 625

underestimation is most sensitive to the level of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ and RH. In short, when taking all the factors and their corresponding varying ranges discussed above into consideration, the overall uncertainty of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ determined from Monte Carlo simulations is in the range of 16-43%. In order to determine the detection limit of the current aerosol tube system, the continuous blank measurements in zero air were performed with settled operation procedures. Within per duty cycle (40 minutes), one k_{wall} of N₂O₅ and one $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ can be derived in pair. In total, we

obtained 56 sets of result. The detection limit of k_{N2O5} on aerosols is 2.1×10^{-5} s⁻¹, derived from 1 σ of the Gaussian function fitted to this distribution. It is equivalent to 0.0016 for the detection limit of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ with a low Sa condition of 200 μ m² cm⁻³ (Figure 12(a)), and 0.00064 for the detection limit of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ with a moderate Sa condition of 500 μ m² cm⁻³ (Figure 12(b)). This result indicates that the flow tube system has capability of quantifying $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ for most cases even under a low aerosol-loading environment.



638

Figure 12. The $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ derived from blank measurements in histogram distribution plot. The $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ was calculated from k_{N2O5} by Eq 2 with Sa of (a) 200 μ m² cm⁻³ and (b) 500 μ m² cm⁻³, respectively, under the temperature of 293K. The Gaussian function is fitted to the distribution and plotted in black line. The 1 σ from Gaussian fit is regarded as the detection limit.

643 **6 Performance in the field campaign**

644 The aerosol flow tube system was successfully deployed to measure $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on ambient 645 aerosols in Beijing lasting for 20 days during the December of 2020. The sampling site was at

the campus of Peking University, which is located in the city center of Beijing surrounded by 646 647 major roads with heavy traffic. Therefore, this site represents an area with large amount of 648 fresh emission of NOx and other anthropogenic sources. The system was mounted in the top 649 floor of a building, about 15 m height above the ground. The sampling manifold was placed in open air and the ambient aerosols could directly enter the inlet of the manifold without 650 651 additional sampling tubes. During the period of measurement, the averages of ambient temperature, RH, NO, NO₂, O₃ and Sa were 273 ± 3 K, 25 ± 12 %, 23 ± 36 ppbv, 23 ± 12 ppbv, 652 16 ± 15 ppbv and $409 \pm 249 \ \mu\text{m}^2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, respectively. The NO and Sa levels could vary by 2 653 orders of magnitude due to the periodical switch between clean air mass from the north and 654 pollutants accumulated by local emission. 655

656 A total of 99 valid $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ values were determined from the measurements based on the criteria of data screening described in section 3.1. We found that $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ was 0.042±0.026 on 657 average with a median of 0.035, ranging from 0.0045 to 0.12 (Figure 13). These results are 658 comparable to that previously determined in the North of China using various different 659 methods (Wang et al., 2017b; Wang et al., 2018b; Wang et al., 2017d; Wang et al., 2017e; Xia et 660 al., 2019; Yu et al., 2020a). The k_{wall} of N₂O₅ corresponding to valid γ (N₂O₅) measurements 661 was rather stable at an average of 0.0021±0.0007 s⁻¹, which was consistent with the values 662 determined at similar RH levels in the laboratory tests. It somehow reflected the robustness of 663 664 the status of the flow tube system and the derived results.

In the current system, the N₂O₅ concentrations measured at both entrance and exit of the 665 666 flow tube are sensitive to the NO fluctuations within the timescale of one sampling mode, 667 which can induce large uncertainty on calculating $\gamma(N_2O_5)$. With our stringent criteria of data 668 screening, the cases of drastic NO fluctuations were excluded from the analysis. Hence, the 669 majority of valid $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ for this campaign were obtained during the periods of the NO below 670 2 ppbv, when the clean air mass was dominant at this urban site. Meanwhile, the Sa 671 concentration within clean episodes were also lower than other periods, with an average of 159 μ m² cm⁻³. The derived k_{N205} ranged from 2.1 × 10⁻⁵ to 1.6 × 10⁻³ s⁻¹ well above the 672 detection limit, which demonstrated the robustness of results even subject to low ambient Sa 673 conditions. In order to improve the applicability of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ measurements, future 674

675 development is suggested to prioritize the reduction or removal of NO level (at least the

- 676 fluctuation of NO) in the sampling system before the entrance of flow tube without the cost of
- 677 particles transmission efficiency.



678

Figure 13. The histogram distribution of measured $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ for valid cases.

680 7 Summary and conclusion

681 We report a new development of an aerosol flow tube system coupled with detailed box model 682 to derive $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ directly on ambient aerosols. The unique feature of this system is that the sequential N₂O₅ measurement at the both ends of flow tube was applied to improve the 683 684 accuracy in quantifying $\gamma(N_2O_5)$, by taking it as a constraint for the box model to reproduce the decay of introduced N₂O₅ gas source in the flow tube. With the consideration of detailed 685 686 chemistry related to N₂O₅, the proposed approach was testified to refrain from the interference 687 of side reactions, induced by the additional N₂O₅ generation, NO titration in the flow tube and 688 variations of air masses between successive sampling modes.

689 A series of laboratory tests were performed to characterize factors affecting $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ derivation and demonstrate its applicability on (NH₄)₂SO₄ aerosols. The uncertainties 690 691 associated with instruments used in the system and potential fluctuations of various parameters 692 were thoroughly discussed in the uncertainty analysis, and we estimated the overall uncertainty 693 of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ to be 16-43% which is subject to NO, NO₂, O₃, meteorological parameters, 694 residence time and $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ value itself. The detection limit of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ was quantified to be 0.0016 at the aerosol surface concentration (Sa) of 200 μ m² cm⁻³. We deployed this system for 695 field observations of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ at an urban site in Beijing, where strong anthropogenic emission 696

and frequent switch of air mass were encountered. The obtained $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ was in comparable level to previously reported values in northern China and demonstrated the robustness of this system during low NO episodes. Further investigations on N₂O₅ heterogeneous chemistry for both laboratory-generated and ambient particles are also available by the introduced approach. 702Appendix A: Measured VOCs used to calculate NO3 reactivity in the box model method703A total of 59 kinds of VOCs were measured by GC-FID-MS in this work, half of which704had known rate constants that can be used to parameterize the reaction of NO3 with VOCs705(mainly compose of alkenes and aromatics) in $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ retrieval by box model method (see706also section 3). Their rate constants were obtained from MCM331 or IUPAC and the values at707298K are listed in Table A1.

7	0	8
	v	U.

Table A1. VOCs used to calculate NO₃ reactivity (k_{NO3}) in the box model method

Species	k _{NO3} (298 K)	Species	k _{NO3} (298 K)
METHANE	1D-18 ^b	TRANS-2-PENTENE	3.70D-13 ^a
ETHANE	1D-17 ^b	1-HEXENE	1.20D-14 ^a
PROPANE	7D-17 ^b	1-3 BUTADIENE	1.03D-13 ^a
N-BUTANE	4.6D-17 ^b	ISOPRENE	7.0D-13 ^b
I-BUTANE	1.1D-16 ^b	STYRENE	1.50D-12 ^a
ETHYLENE	2.1D-16 ^b	ETHYNE	1D-16 ^b
PROPYLENE	9.5D-15 ^b	BENZENE	3D-17 ^b
1-BUTENE	1.3D-14 ^b	TOLUENE	7.8D-17 ^b
CIS-2-BUTENE	3.50D-13 ^a	O-XYLENE	4.10D-16 ^a
TRANS-2-BUTENE	3.90D-13 ^a	M-XYLENE	2.60D-16 ^a
I-BUTENE	3.4D-13 ^b	P-XYLENE	5.00D-16 ^a
1-PENTENE	1.20D-14 ^a	ETHYL BENZENE	1.20D-16 ^a
CIS-2-PENTENE	3.70D-13 ^a	N-PROPYL BENZENE	1.40D-16 ^a

Note: a. MCM; b. IUPAC

710

709

711

712 Appendix B: Evaluations of box model method by ambient data.



Figure B1. The derived dependence of N_2O_5 wall loss on RH at laboratory condition (red

715 dots) and field measurement (blue square)



Figure B2. (a) the box whisker of N₂O₅ source and NO measured before the entrance; (b)
the inter-comparison of derived N₂O₅ uptake coefficient by using a fixed initial N₂O₅ and a
dynamic measured N₂O₅ at the flow tube entrance in the iterative box model.

724	Code/Data availability. The datasets used in this study are available from the corresponding
725	author upon request (wanghch27@mail.sysu.edu.cn; k.lu@pku.edu.cn).
726	
727	Author contributions. K.D.L. and H.C.W. designed the study. X.R.C and H.C.W. analyzed
728	the data and wrote the paper with input from K.D.L.
729	
730	Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.
731	
732	Acknowledgments. This project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of
733	China (21976006, 42175111); the Beijing Municipal Natural Science Foundation for
734	Distinguished Young Scholars (JQ19031); National State Environmental Protection Key
735	Laboratory of Formation and Prevention of Urban Air Pollution Complex (CX2020080578);
736	the special fund of the State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution
737	Control (21K02ESPCP); the National Research Program for Key Issue in Air Pollution
738	Control (DQGG0103-01, 2019YFC0214800). Thanks for the data contributed by field
739	campaign team.
740	

.....

.1 1 1 0

1.

741 **References**

- Ahern, A. T., Goldberger, L., Jahl, L., Thornton, J., and Sullivan, R. C.: Production of N2O5 and CINO2 through
- Nocturnal Processing of Biomass-Burning Aerosol, Environmental Science & Technology, 52, 550-559,
 10.1021/acs.est.7b04386, 2018.
- 745 Anttila, T., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Tillmann, R., and Mentel, T. F.: On the reactive uptake of gaseous compounds
- by organic-coated aqueous aerosols: Theoretical analysis and application to the heterogeneous hydrolysis of
- 747 N2O5, J. Phys. Chem. A, 110, 10435-10443, 10.1021/jp062403c, 2006.

.

- 748 Baasandorj, M., Hoch, S. W., Bares, R., Lin, J. C., Brown, S. S., Millet, D. B., Martin, R., Kelly, K., Zarzana, K.
- 749 J., Whiteman, C. D., Dube, W. P., Tonnesen, G., Jaramillo, I. C., and Sohl, J.: Coupling between Chemical and
- 750 Meteorological Processes under Persistent Cold-Air Pool Conditions: Evolution of Wintertime PM2.5 Pollution
- 751 Events and N2O5 Observations in Utah's Salt Lake Valley, Environmental Science & Technology, 51, 5941-5950,
- 752 10.1021/acs.est.6b06603, 2017.
- 753 Badger, C. L., Griffiths, P. T., George, I., Abbatt, J. P. D., and Cox, R. A.: Reactive uptake of N2O5 by aerosol
- particles containing mixtures of humic acid and ammonium sulfate, J. Phys. Chem. A, 110, 6986-6994,
 10.1021/jp0562678, 2006.

- 756 Bertram, A. K., Martin, S. T., Hanna, S. J., Smith, M. L., Bodsworth, A., Chen, Q., Kuwata, M., Liu, A., You, Y.,
- 757 and Zorn, S. R.: Predicting the relative humidities of liquid-liquid phase separation, efflorescence, and
- deliquescence of mixed particles of ammonium sulfate, organic material, and water using the organic-to-sulfate
- mass ratio of the particle and the oxygen-to-carbon elemental ratio of the organic component, Atmos. Chem.
- 760 Phys., 11, 10995-11006, 10.5194/acp-11-10995-2011, 2011.
- Bertram, T., and Thornton, J.: Toward a general parameterization of N 2 O 5 reactivity on aqueous particles: the
 competing effects of particle liquid water, nitrate and chloride, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 8351-8363, 2009a.
- 763 Bertram, T. H., and Thornton, J. A.: Toward a general parameterization of N2O5 reactivity on aqueous particles:
- the competing effects of particle liquid water, nitrate and chloride, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 8351-8363,
- 765 10.5194/acp-9-8351-2009, 2009b.
- 766 Bertram, T. H., Thornton, J. A., and Riedel, T. P.: An experimental technique for the direct measurement of N2O5
- reactivity on ambient particles, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 2, 231-242, 10.5194/amt-2-231-2009,
 2009a.
- 769 Bertram, T. H., Thornton, J. A., Riedel, T. P., Middlebrook, A. M., Bahreini, R., Bates, T. S., Quinn, P. K., and
- Coffman, D. J.: Direct observations of N2O5 reactivity on ambient aerosol particles, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36,
 10.1029/2009gl040248, 2009b.
- 772 Brown, S., Stark, H., Ciciora, S., McLaughlin, R., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Simultaneous in situ Detection of
- Atmospheric NO3 and N2O5 via Cavity Ring-down Spectroscopy, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 73, 3291-3301,
 10.1063/1.1499214, 2002.
- 775 Brown, S. S., Ryerson, T. B., Wollny, A. G., Brock, C. A., Peltier, R., Sullivan, A. P., Weber, R. J., Dube, W. P.,
- Trainer, M., Meagher, J. F., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Variability in nocturnal nitrogen oxide
 processing and its role in regional air quality, Science, 311, 67-70, 10.1126/science.1120120, 2006.
- 778 Brown, S. S., Dube, W. P., Fuchs, H., Ryerson, T. B., Wollny, A. G., Brock, C. A., Bahreini, R., Middlebrook, A.
- 779 M., Neuman, J. A., Atlas, E., Roberts, J. M., Osthoff, H. D., Trainer, M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Ravishankara, A.
- 780 R.: Reactive uptake coefficients for N2O5 determined from aircraft measurements during the Second Texas Air
- Quality Study: Comparison to current model parameterizations, J. Geophys. Res.- Atmos., 114, D00F10(01-16),
 Artn D00f10
- 783 10.1029/2008jd011679, 2009.
- Brown, S. S., and Stutz, J.: Nighttime radical observations and chemistry, Chem. Soc. Rev., 41, 6405-6447,
 10.1039/c2cs35181a, 2012.
- 786 Brown, S. S., Dubé, W. P., Tham, Y. J., Zha, Q., Xue, L., Poon, S., Wang, Z., Blake, D. R., Tsui, W., Parrish, D.
- 787 D., and Wang, T.: Nighttime chemistry at a high altitude site above Hong Kong, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 121,
- 788 2457-2475, 10.1002/2015jd024566, 2016.
- 789 Chang, W. L., Bhave, P. V., Brown, S. S., Riemer, N., Stutz, J., and Dabdub, D.: Heterogeneous atmospheric
- chemistry, ambient measurements, and model calculations of N2O5: A review, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 45, 665-695, 2011.
- 792 Chen, X., Wang, H., Lu, K., Li, C., Zhai, T., Tan, Z., Ma, X., Yang, X., Liu, Y., Chen, S., Dong, H., Li, X., Wu,
- 793 Z., Hu, M., Zeng, L., and Zhang, Y.: Field Determination of Nitrate Formation Pathway in Winter Beijing,
- 794 Environmental Science & Technology, 54, 9243-9253, 10.1021/acs.est.0c00972, 2020.
- 795 Chen, X., Wang, H., and Lu, K.: Interpretation of NO 3–N 2 O 5 observation via steady state in high aerosol air
- 796 mass: The impact of equilibrium coefficient in ambient conditions, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics
- 797 Discussions, 1-14, 2021.
- 798 Cosman, L. M., Knopf, D. A., and Bertram, A. K.: N2O5 reactive uptake on aqueous sulfuric acid solutions

- coated with branched and straight-chain insoluble organic surfactants, J. Phys. Chem. A, 112, 2386-2396,
 10.1021/jp710685r, 2008.
- 801 Danckwerts, P. V.: Continuous flow systems: distribution of residence times, Chem. Eng. Sci., 2, 1-13, 1953.
- 802 Davis, J. M., Bhave, P. V., and Foley, K. M.: Parameterization of N2O5 reaction probabilities on the surface of
- particles containing ammonium, sulfate, and nitrate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 5295-5311, 10.5194/acp-8-52952008, 2008.
- 805 Dentener, F. J., and Crutzen, P. J.: Reaction Of N2O5 On Tropospheric Aerosols Impact On The Global
- Distributions Of NOx, O3, And OH, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 98, 7149-7163, 1993.
- 807 Escoreia, E. N., Sjostedt, S. J., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Kinetics of N2O5 Hydrolysis on Secondary Organic Aerosol
- and Mixed Ammonium Bisulfate-Secondary Organic Aerosol Particles, J. Phys. Chem. A, 114, 13113-13121,
 10.1021/jp107721v, 2010.
- Evans, M., and Jacob, D. J.: Impact of new laboratory studies of N2O5 hydrolysis on global model budgets of
 tropospheric nitrogen oxides, ozone, and OH, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, 2005.
- 812 Folkers, M., Mentel, T. F., and Wahner, A.: Influence of an organic coating on the reactivity of aqueous aerosols
- 813 probed by the heterogeneous hydrolysis of N2O5, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, Artn 1644
- 814 10.1029/2003gl017168, 2003.
- 815 Fried, A., Henry, B. E., Calvert, J. G., and Mozurkewich, M.: THE REACTION PROBABILITY OF N₂O₅ WITH
- 816 SULFURIC-ACID AEROSOLS AT STRATOSPHERIC TEMPERATURES AND COMPOSITIONS, J.
- 817 Geophys. Res.- Atmos., 99, 3517-3532, 10.1029/93jd01907, 1994.
- 818 Fu, X., Wang, T., Gao, J., Wang, P., Liu, Y., Wang, S., Zhao, B., and Xue, L.: Persistent Heavy Winter Nitrate
- 819 Pollution Driven by Increased Photochemical Oxidants in Northern China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 3881-3889,
- 820 10.1021/acs.est.9b07248, 2020.
- Fuchs, N. A., and Sutugin, A. G.: Highly Dispersed Aerosol, Halsted Press, 1970.
- 822 Gaston, C. J., Thornton, J. A., and Ng, N. L.: Reactive uptake of N2O5 to internally mixed inorganic and organic
- particles: the role of organic carbon oxidation state and inferred organic phase separations, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
 14, 5693-5707, 10.5194/acp-14-5693-2014, 2014.
- Gaston, C. J., and Thornton, J. A.: Reacto-Diffusive Length of N2O5 in Aqueous Sulfate- and ChlorideContaining Aerosol Particles, J. Phys. Chem. A, 120, 1039-1045, 10.1021/acs.jpca.5b11914, 2016.
- 827 Griffiths, P. T., Badger, C. L., Cox, R. A., Folkers, M., Henk, H. H., and Mentel, T. F.: Reactive Uptake of N2O5
- 828 by Aerosols Containing Dicarboxylic Acids. Effect of Particle Phase, Composition, and Nitrate Content, J. Phys.
- 829 Chem. A, 113, 5082-5090, 10.1021/jp8096814, 2009.
- 830 Gross, S., Iannone, R., Xiao, S., and Bertram, A. K.: Reactive uptake studies of NO3 and N2O5 on alkenoic acid,
- alkanoate, and polyalcohol substrates to probe nighttime aerosol chemistry, PCCP, 11, 7792-7803,
 10.1039/b904741g, 2009.
- 833 Hallquist, M., Stewart, D. J., Baker, J., and Cox, R. A.: Hydrolysis of N₂O₅ on submicron sulfuric acid aerosols,
- 834 J. Phys. Chem. A, 104, 3984-3990, 10.1021/jp9939625, 2000.
- 835 Hallquist, M., Stewart, D. J., Stephenson, S. K., and Anthony Cox, R.: Hydrolysis of N₂O₅ on sub-micron sulfate
- 836 aerosols, PCCP, 5, 3453, 10.1039/b301827j, 2003.
- 837 Hu, J. H., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Reaction probabilities for N2O5 hydrolysis on sulfuric acid and ammonium sulfate
- 838 aerosols at room temperature, J. Phys. Chem. A, 101, 871-878, DOI 10.1021/jp9627436, 1997.
- 839 Huang, Y., Coggon, M., Zhao, R., Lignell, H., Bauer, M., Flagan, R., and Seinfeld, J.: The Caltech Photooxidation
- 840 Flow Tube reactor: Design, fluid dynamics and characterization, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 10, 839-
- 841 867, 10.5194/amt-10-839-2017, 2017.

- Kane, S. M., Caloz, F., and Leu, M. T.: Heterogeneous uptake of gaseous N_2O_5 by $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, NH_4HSO_4 , and H_2SO_4 aerosols, J. Phys. Chem. A, 105, 6465-6470, 10.1021/jp010490x, 2001.
- Karagulian, F., Santschi, C., and Rossi, M.: The heterogeneous chemical kinetics of N 2 O 5 on CaCO 3 and other atmospheric mineral dust surrogates, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 1373-1388, 2006.
- Lambe, A., Ahern, A., Williams, L., Slowik, J., Wong, J., Abbatt, J., Brune, W., Ng, N., Wright, J., and Croasdale,
- 847 D.: Characterization of aerosol photooxidation flow reactors: heterogeneous oxidation, secondary organic aerosol
- 848 formation and cloud condensation nuclei activity measurements, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 4, 445-
- 849 461, 2011.
- Li, C. M., Wang, H. C., Chen, X. R., Zhai, T. Y., Chen, S. Y., Li, X., Zeng, L. M., and Lu, K. D.: Thermal dissociation cavity-enhanced absorption spectrometer for measuring NO2, RO2NO2, and RONO2 in the atmosphere, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 14, 4033-4051, 10.5194/amt-14-4033-2021, 2021.
- 853 Li, Q., Zhang, L., Wang, T., Tham, Y. J., Ahmadov, R., Xue, L., Zhang, Q., and Zheng, J.: Impacts of
- heterogeneous uptake of dinitrogen pentoxide and chlorine activation on ozone and reactive nitrogen partitioning:
- improvement and application of the WRF-Chem model in southern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 14875-14890,
- 856 10.5194/acp-16-14875-2016, 2016.
- Liu, X., Gu, J., Li, Y., Cheng, Y., Qu, Y., Han, T., Wang, J., Tian, H., Chen, J., and Zhang, Y.: Increase of aerosol
- scattering by hygroscopic growth: Observation, modeling, and implications on visibility, Atmos. Res., 132, 91101, 2013.
- 860 Lowe, D., Archer-Nicholls, S., Morgan, W., Allan, J., Utembe, S., Ouyang, B., Aruffo, E., Le Breton, M., Zaveri,
- R. A., and Di Carlo, P.: WRF-Chem model predictions of the regional impacts of N 2 O 5 heterogeneous processes
 on night-time chemistry over north-western Europe, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 1385-1409, 2015.
- 863 Macintyre, H., and Evans, M.: Sensitivity of a global model to the uptake of N 2 O 5 by tropospheric aerosol,
- 864 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 7409-7414, 2010.
- 865 Martin, S. T.: Phase transitions of aqueous atmospheric particles, Chem. Rev., 100, 3403-3454, 2000.
- 866 McDuffie, E. E., Fibiger, D. L., Dubé, W. P., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Lee, B. H., Thornton, J. A., Shah, V., Jaeglé, L.,
- 867 Guo, H., Weber, R. J., Michael Reeves, J., Weinheimer, A. J., Schroder, J. C., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J. L.,
- Dibb, J. E., Veres, P., Ebben, C., Sparks, T. L., Wooldridge, P. J., Cohen, R. C., Hornbrook, R. S., Apel, E. C.,
- 869 Campos, T., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., and Brown, S. S.: Heterogeneous N₂O₅ Uptake During Winter: Aircraft
- 870 Measurements During the 2015 WINTER Campaign and Critical Evaluation of Current Parameterizations, J.
- 871 Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 123, 4345-4372, 10.1002/2018jd028336, 2018.
- 872 McDuffie, E. E., Womack, C. C., Fibiger, D. L., Dube, W. P., Franchin, A., Middlebrook, A. M., Goldberger, L.,
- Ee, B. H., Thornton, J. A., Moravek, A., Murphy, J. G., Baasandorj, M., and Brown, S. S.: On the contribution
- 874 of nocturnal heterogeneous reactive nitrogen chemistry to particulate matter formation during wintertime
- 875 pollution events in Northern Utah, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 9287-9308, 10.5194/acp-19-9287-2019, 2019.
- 876 McNeill, V. F., Patterson, J., Wolfe, G. M., and Thornton, J. A.: The effect of varying levels of surfactant on the
- 877 reactive uptake of N2O5 to aqueous aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 1635-1644, 10.5194/acp-6-1635-2006, 2006.
- 878 Mentel, T. F., Sohn, M., and Wahner, A.: Nitrate effect in the heterogeneous hydrolysis of dinitrogen pentoxide
- 879 on aqueous aerosols, PCCP, 1, 5451-5457, 10.1039/a905338g, 1999.
- 880 Mielke, L. H., Stutz, J., Tsai, C., Hurlock, S. C., Roberts, J. M., Veres, P. R., Froyd, K. D., Hayes, P. L., Cubison,
- 881 M. J., Jimenez, J. L., Washenfelder, R. A., Young, C. J., Gilman, J. B., de Gouw, J. A., Flynn, J. H., Grossberg,
- 882 N., Lefer, B. L., Liu, J., Weber, R. J., and Osthoff, H. D.: Heterogeneous formation of nitryl chloride and its role
- as a nocturnal NOx reservoir species during CalNex-LA 2010, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 118, 10,638-610,652,
- 884 10.1002/jgrd.50783, 2013.

- 885 Mitroo, D., Gill, T. E., Haas, S., Pratt, K. A., and Gaston, C. J.: CINO2 Production from N2O5 Uptake on Saline
- Playa Dusts: New Insights into Potential Inland Sources of CINO2, Environmental Science & Technology, 53,
 7442-7452, 10.1021/acs.est.9b01112, 2019.
- 888 Mozurkewich, M., and Calvert, J. G.: REACTION PROBABILITY OF N2O5 ON AQUEOUS AEROSOLS, J.
- 889 Geophys. Res.- Atmos., 93, 15889-15896, 10.1029/JD093iD12p15889, 1988.
- 890 Murray, L. T., Fiore, A. M., Shindell, D. T., Naik, V., and Horowitz, L. W.: Large uncertainties in global hydroxyl
- projections tied to fate of reactive nitrogen and carbon, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118,2021.
- 893 Osthoff, H. D., Roberts, J. M., Ravishankara, A. R., Williams, E. J., Lerner, B. M., Sommariva, R., Bates, T. S.,
- 894 Coffman, D., Quinn, P. K., Dibb, J. E., Stark, H., Burkholder, J. B., Talukdar, R. K., Meagher, J., Fehsenfeld, F.
- C., and Brown, S. S.: High levels of nitryl chloride in the polluted subtropical marine boundary layer, Nat.
 Geosci., 1, 324-328, 10.1038/ngeo177, 2008.
- 897 Phillips, G. J., Thieser, J., Tang, M., Sobanski, N., Schuster, G., Fachinger, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S.,
- 898 Bingemer, H., Lelieveld, J., and Crowley, J. N.: Estimating N₂O₅ uptake coefficients using ambient measurements
- of NO₃, N₂O₅, ClNO₂ and particle-phase nitrate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 13231-13249, 10.5194/acp-16-13231-
- 900 2016, 2016.
- 901 Platt, U. F., Winer, A. M., Biermann, H. W., Atkinson, R., and Pitts, J. N.: Measurement of nitrate radical
- 902 concentrations in continental air, Environmental Science & Technology, 18, 365-369, 10.1021/es00123a015,
 903 1984.
- Prabhakar, G., Parworth, C. L., Zhang, X. L., Kim, H., Young, D. E., Beyersdorf, A. J., Ziemba, L. D., Nowak,
 J. B., Bertram, T. H., Faloona, I. C., Zhang, O., and Cappa, C. D.: Observational assessment of the role of
- J. B., Bertram, T. H., Faloona, I. C., Zhang, Q., and Cappa, C. D.: Observational assessment of the role of nocturnal residual-layer chemistry in determining daytime surface particulate nitrate concentrations, Atmos.
- 907 Chem. Phys., 17, 14747-14770, 10.5194/acp-17-14747-2017, 2017.
- 908 Riedel, T. P., Bertram, T. H., Crisp, T. A., Williams, E. J., Lerner, B. M., Vlasenko, A., Li, S. M., Gilman, J., de
- 909 Gouw, J., Bon, D. M., Wagner, N. L., Brown, S. S., and Thornton, J. A.: Nitryl Chloride and Molecular Chlorine
- 910 in the Coastal Marine Boundary Layer, Environmental Science & Technology, 46, 10463-10470,
- 911 10.1021/es204632r, 2012a.
- 912 Riedel, T. P., Bertram, T. H., Ryder, O. S., Liu, S., Day, D. A., Russell, L. M., Gaston, C. J., Prather, K. A., and
- Thornton, J. A.: Direct N₂O₅ reactivity measurements at a polluted coastal site, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 29592968, 10.5194/acp-12-2959-2012, 2012b.
- 915 Riedel, T. P., Wagner, N. L., Dube, W. P., Middlebrook, A. M., Young, C. J., Ozturk, F., Bahreini, R., VandenBoer,
- 916 T. C., Wolfe, D. E., Williams, E. J., Roberts, J. M., Brown, S. S., and Thornton, J. A.: Chlorine activation within
- 917 urban or power plant plumes: Vertically resolved ClNO2 and Cl-2 measurements from a tall tower in a polluted
- 918 continental setting, J. Geophys. Res.- Atmos., 118, 8702-8715, 10.1002/jgrd.50637, 2013.
- 919 Riemer, N., Vogel, H., Vogel, B., Schell, B., Ackermann, I., Kessler, C., and Hass, H.: Impact of the heterogeneous
- 920 hydrolysis of N2O5 on chemistry and nitrate aerosol formation in the lower troposphere under photosmog
- 921 conditions, J. Geophys. Res.- Atmos., 108, 10.1029/2002jd002436, 2003.
- 922 Riemer, N., Vogel, H., Vogel, B., Anttila, T., Kiendler-Scharr, A., and Mentel, T. F.: Relative importance of
- 923 organic coatings for the heterogeneous hydrolysis of N2O5during summer in Europe, J. Geophys. Res., 114,
 924 10.1029/2008jd011369, 2009.
- 925 Royer, H. M., Mitroo, D., Hayes, S. M., Haas, S. M., Pratt, K. A., Blackwelder, P. L., Gill, T. E., and Gaston, C.
- 926 J.: The Role of Hydrates, Competing Chemical Constituents, and Surface Composition on CINO2 Formation,
- 927 Environmental Science & Technology, 55, 2869-2877, 10.1021/acs.est.0c06067, 2021.

- 928 Sarwar, G., Simon, H., Bhave, P., and Yarwood, G.: Examining the impact of heterogeneous nitryl chloride
- production on air quality across the United States, Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics, 12, 6455-6473,
 10.5194/acp-12-6455-2012, 2012.
- Schweitzer, F., Mirabel, P., and George, C.: Multiphase chemistry of N2O5, ClNO2, and BrNO2, The Journal of
- 932 Physical Chemistry A, 102, 3942-3952, 1998.
- 933 Tang, M., Telford, P., Pope, F. D., Rkiouak, L., Abraham, N., Archibald, A. T., Braesicke, P., Pyle, J., McGregor,
- J., and Watson, I.: Heterogeneous reaction of N 2 O 5 with airborne TiO 2 particles and its implication for
- 935 stratospheric particle injection, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 6035-6048, 2014.
- 936 Tham, Y. J., Wang, Z., Li, Q. Y., Yun, H., Wang, W. H., Wang, X. F., Xue, L. K., Lu, K. D., Ma, N., Bohn, B., Li,
- 937 X., Kecorius, S., Gross, J., Shao, M., Wiedensohler, A., Zhang, Y. H., and Wang, T.: Significant concentrations
- 938 of nitryl chloride sustained in the morning: investigations of the causes and impacts on ozone production in a
- 939 polluted region of northern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 14959-14977, 10.5194/acp-16-14959-2016, 2016.
- 940 Tham, Y. J., Wang, Z., Li, Q. Y., Wang, W. H., Wang, X. F., Lu, K. D., Ma, N., Yan, C., Kecorius, S., Wiedensohler,
- 941 A., Zhang, Y. H., and Wang, T.: Heterogeneous N2O5 uptake coefficient and production yield of CINO2 in
- 942 polluted northern China: roles of aerosol water content and chemical composition, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18,
- 943 13155-13171, 10.5194/acp-18-13155-2018, 2018.
- 944 Thornton, J. A., Braban, C. F., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: N2O5 hydrolysis on sub-micron organic aerosols: the effect
- 945 of relative humidity, particle phase, and particle size, PCCP, 5, 4593, 10.1039/b307498f, 2003.
- Thornton, J. A., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: N2O5 reaction on submicron sea salt aerosol: Kinetics, products, and the
 effect of surface active organics, J. Phys. Chem. A, 109, 10004-10012, 10.1021/jp054183t, 2005.
- 948 Thornton, J. A., Kercher, J. P., Riedel, T. P., Wagner, N. L., Cozic, J., Holloway, J. S., Dube, W. P., Wolfe, G. M.,
- 949 Quinn, P. K., Middlebrook, A. M., Alexander, B., and Brown, S. S.: A large atomic chlorine source inferred from
- 950 mid-continental reactive nitrogen chemistry, Nature, 464, 271-274, 10.1038/nature08905, 2010.
- 951 Van Doren, J. M., Watson, L. R., Davidovits, P., Worsnop, D. R., Zahniser, M. S., and Kolb, C. E.: Temperature
- 952 dependence of the uptake coefficients of nitric acid, hydrochloric acid and nitrogen oxide (N2O5) by water
- 953 droplets, J. Phys. Chem., 94, 3265-3269, 1990.
- Wagner, N. L., Riedel, T. P., Young, C. J., Bahreini, R., Brock, C. A., Dubé, W. P., Kim, S., Middlebrook, A. M.,
- 955 Öztürk, F., Roberts, J. M., Russo, R., Sive, B., Swarthout, R., Thornton, J. A., VandenBoer, T. C., Zhou, Y., and
- 956 Brown, S. S.: N2O5 uptake coefficients and nocturnal NO2 removal rates determined from ambient wintertime
- 957 measurements, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 118, 9331-9350, 10.1002/jgrd.50653, 2013.
- Wahner, A., Mentel, T. F., Sohn, M., and Stier, J.: Heterogeneous reaction of N2O5 on sodium nitrate aerosol, J.
- 959 Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 103, 31103-31112, 10.1029/1998jd100022, 1998.
- 960 Wang, H., Chen, J., and Lu, K.: Development of a portable cavity-enhanced absorption spectrometer for the
- 961 measurement of ambient NO<sub>3</sub> and
- 962 N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: experimental setup, lab characterizations, and field
- applications in a polluted urban environment, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 10, 1465-1479,
 10.5194/amt-10-1465-2017, 2017a.
- 965 Wang, H., Lu, K., Chen, X., Zhu, Q., Chen, Q., Guo, S., Jiang, M., Li, X., Shang, D., Tan, Z., Wu, Y., Wu, Z.,
- 2066 Zou, Q., Zheng, Y., Zeng, L., Zhu, T., Hu, M., and Zhang, Y.: High N₂O₅ Concentrations Observed in Urban
- 967 Beijing: Implications of a Large Nitrate Formation Pathway, Environ Sci Tech Let, 4, 416-420, 968 10.1021/acs.estlett.7b00341, 2017b.
- 969 Wang, H., Chen, X., Lu, K., Tan, Z., Ma, X., Wu, Z., Li, X., Liu, Y., Shang, D., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Hu, M., Schmitt,
- 970 S., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Wintertime N2O5 uptake coefficients over the North China

- 971 Plain, Science Bulletin, 65, 765-774, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2020.02.006, 2020a.
- 972 Wang, H. C., Lu, K. D., Chen, X. R., Zhu, Q. D., Chen, Q., Guo, S., Jiang, M. Q., Li, X., Shang, D. J., Tan, Z. F.,
- 973 Wu, Y. S., Wu, Z. J., Zou, Q., Zheng, Y., Zeng, L. M., Zhu, T., Hu, M., and Zhang, Y. H.: High N2O5
- 974 Concentrations Observed in Urban Beijing: Implications of a Large Nitrate Formation Pathway, Environ Sci Tech
- 975 Let, 4, 416-420, 10.1021/acs.estlett.7b00341, 2017c.
- 976 Wang, H. C., Lu, K. D., Chen, X. R., Zhu, Q. D., Wu, Z. J., Wu, Y. S., and Sun, K.: Fast particulate nitrate
- 977 formation via N2O5 uptake aloft in winter in Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 10483-10495, 10.5194/acp-18-
- 978 10483-2018, 2018a.
- 979 Wang, H. C., Lu, K. D., Guo, S., Wu, Z. J., Shang, D. J., Tan, Z. F., Wang, Y. J., Le Breton, M., Lou, S. R., Tang,
- 980 M. J., Wu, Y. S., Zhu, W. F., Zheng, J., Zeng, L. M., Hallquist, M., Hu, M., and Zhang, Y. H.: Efficient N2O5 981 uptake and NO3 oxidation in the outflow of urban Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 9705-9721, 10.5194/acp-982 18-9705-2018, 2018b.
- 983 Wang, H. C., Chen, X. R., Lu, K. D., Hu, R. Z., Li, Z. Y., Wang, H. L., Ma, X. F., Yang, X. P., Chen, S. Y., Dong,
- 984 H. B., Liu, Y., Fang, X., Zeng, L. M., Hu, M., and Zhang, Y. H.: NO3 and N2O5 chemistry at a suburban site
- 985 during the EXPLORE-YRD campaign in 2018, Atmos. Environ., 224, ARTN 117180
- 986 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.117180, 2020b.
- 987 Wang, H. C., Peng, C., Wang, X., Lou, S. R., Lu, K. D., Gan, G. C., Jia, X. H., Chen, X. R., Chen, J., Wang, H.
- 988 L., Fan, S. J., Wang, X. M., and Tang, M. J.: N2O5 uptake onto saline mineral dust: a potential missing source of
- 989 tropospheric CINO2 in inland China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 1845-1859, 10.5194/acp-22-1845-2022, 2022.
- 990 Wang, W., Wang, Z., Yu, C., Xia, M., Peng, X., Zhou, Y., Yue, D., Ou, Y., and Wang, T.: An in situ flow tube 991 system for direct measurement of N2O5 heterogeneous uptake coefficients in polluted environments, 992
- Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 11, 5643-5655, 10.5194/amt-11-5643-2018, 2018c.
- 993 Wang, X., Wang, H., Xue, L., Wang, T., Wang, L., Gu, R., Wang, W., Tham, Y. J., Wang, Z., Yang, L., Chen, J.,
- 994 and Wang, W.: Observations of N₂O₅ and ClNO₂ at a polluted urban surface site in North China: High N₂O₅
- 995 uptake coefficients and low $CINO_2$ product yields, Atmos. Environ., 156. 125-134, 996 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.02.035, 2017d.
- 997 Wang, Y. L., Song, W., Yang, W., Sun, X. C., Tong, Y. D., Wang, X. M., Liu, C. Q., Bai, Z. P., and Liu, X. Y.:
- 998 Influences of atmospheric pollution on the contributions of major oxidation pathways to PM2. 5 nitrate formation
- 999 in Beijing, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 124, 4174-4185, 2019.
- 1000 Wang, Z., Wang, W., Tham, Y. J., Li, Q., Wang, H., Wen, L., Wang, X., and Wang, T.: Fast heterogeneous N2O5
- 1001 uptake and CINO2 production in power plant and industrial plumes observed in the nocturnal residual layer over
- 1002 the North China Plain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 12361-12378, 10.5194/acp-17-12361-2017, 2017e.
- 1003 Wang, Z., Wang, W. H., Tham, Y. J., Li, Q. Y., Wang, H., Wen, L., Wang, X. F., and Wang, T.: Fast heterogeneous
- 1004 N2O5 uptake and CINO2 production in power plant and industrial plumes observed in the nocturnal residual
- 1005 layer over the North China Plain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 12361-12378, 10.5194/acp-17-12361-2017, 2017f.
- 1006 Wu, C., Zhang, S., Wang, G., Lv, S., Li, D., Liu, L., Li, J., Liu, S., Du, W., and Meng, J.: Efficient heterogeneous
- 1007 formation of ammonium nitrate on the saline mineral particle surface in the atmosphere of East Asia during dust 1008 storm periods, Environmental Science & Technology, 54, 15622-15630, 2020.
- 1009 Xia, M., Wang, W., Wang, Z., Gao, J., Li, H., Liang, Y., Yu, C., Zhang, Y., Wang, P., Zhang, Y., Bi, F., Cheng, X.,
- 1010 and Tao, W.: Heterogeneous Uptake of N2O5 in Sand Dust and Urban Aerosols Observed during the Dry Season
- 1011 in Beijing, Atmosphere, 10, 204, 10.3390/atmos10040204, 2019.
- 1012 Yu, C., Wang, Z., Xia, M., Fu, X., Wang, W., Yee Jun, T., Chen, T., Zheng, P., Li, H., Shan, Y., Wang, X., Xue,
- 1013 L., Zhou, Y., Yue, D., Ou, Y., Gao, J., Lu, K., Brown, S., Zhang, Y., and Tao, W.: Heterogeneous N2O5 reactions

- 1014 on atmospheric aerosols at four Chinese sites: improving model representation of uptake parameters, Atmos.
- 1015 Chem. Phys., 20, 4367-4378, 10.5194/acp-20-4367-2020, 2020a.
- 1016 Yu, C., Wang, Z., Xia, M., Fu, X., Wang, W. H., Tham, Y. J., Chen, T. S., Zheng, P. G., Li, H. Y., Shan, Y., Wang,
- 1017 X. F., Xue, L. K., Zhou, Y., Yue, D. L., Ou, Y. B., Gao, J., Lu, K. D., Brown, S. S., Zhang, Y. H., and Wang, T.:
- 1018 Heterogeneous N2O5 reactions on atmospheric aerosols at four Chinese sites: improving model representation
- 1019 of uptake parameters, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 4367-4378, 10.5194/acp-20-4367-2020, 2020b.
- 1020 Yun, H., Wang, T., Wang, W. H., Tham, Y. J., Li, Q. Y., Wang, Z., and Poon, S. C. N.: Nighttime NOx loss and
- 1021 CINO2 formation in the residual layer of a polluted region: Insights from field measurements and an iterative
- 1022 box model, Sci. Total Environ., 622, 727-734, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.352, 2018.

1023