

The authors wish to thank the reviewer for the effort of carefully reading the our manuscript for a second time. We fixed all the errors the reviewer listed (see below):

- Lines 87-88: "T here"
- Line 353: "in Colorado in July and August 2014 in Colorado in 2014"
- Line 395: "due its high reactivity"
- Lines 421-422: "as as the most..."
- Line 584: "to currently to determine"
- Line 599: "the bulk of the bulk of the NH₃"

We also thank the associate editor for recommending larger fonts on some of the figures. Accordingly we revised Figures 2, 4, 5 and 6.