

Automatic quality control of telemetric rain gauge data providing quantitative quality information (RainGaugeQC)

By

Katarzyna Ośródk, Irena Otop, and Jan Szturc

Overview for the second review

The reviewer pays respect to the authors' efforts in thorough revision and recognizes that the manuscript has been largely improved. The reviewer thinks that the manuscript will almost meet the criterion for publication in *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*. However, there are 3 parts with room for improvement, listed below:

Minor comments:

II. 119–121 (old I. 116 in my previous review):

I still feel a sense of incongruity in the phrase "in numbers". I found (missed in the first review) that you have already mentioned that these are "quantitative" indicators, so you can omit "expressed in numbers".

II. 206–208 (old I. 193)

There is still no definition for G (Gh and Guh); I wanted to say that you should explain that G shows precipitation (amount).

Many readers can guess that the G (Gh and Guh) means "precipitation amount" observed by the heated and the unheated gauges, respectively, at the same station. However, you should clearly give a definition for all the variables appearing in the paper.

II. 524–527 (old II. 495–496)

I confirmed a paragraph in lines 524–527 in the new manuscript and I understood what you mean. However, it may be difficult for readers to understand it from this sentence structure. If you feel the necessity, please reconsider it.