



1 Optimizing a twin-chamber system for direct ozone production rate

2 measurement

3 Yaru Wang^{1#}, Yi Chen^{2#}, Suzhen Chi^{1#}, Jianshu Wang¹, Chong Zhang¹, Weixiong Zhao³, Weili Lin²,
4 Chunxiang Ye^{1*}

¹State Key Joint Laboratory for Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control, Center for Environment and Health, and
 ⁶ College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China.

⁷ ²Key Laboratory of Ecology and Environment in Minority Areas (Minzu University of China), National Ethnic Affairs
 ⁸ Commission, Beijing, 100081, China.

9 ³Laboratory of Atmospheric Physico-Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences Hefei Institutes of Physical Science Anhui

10 Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei, 230031, Anhui, China.

#Yaru Wang, Yi Chen and Suzhen Chi contribute equally to this work.

**Correspondence to*: Chunxiang Ye (c.ye@pku.edu.cn)

A manuscript submitted to AMT





Abstract. High Ozone Production Rate (OPR) leads to O_3 pollution episodes and adverse human health outcomes. Discrepancies between OPR observation (Obs-OPR) and OPR modeling (Mod-OPR) as calculated from observed and modeled peroxy radical and nitrogen oxides reminds of a yet-perfect understanding of O₃ photochemistry. Direct measurement of OPR (Mea-OPR) by a twin-chamber system emerges with the optimization required for suppressing the wall effect. Herein, we minimized the chamber surface area to volume ratio (S/V) to 9.8 m⁻¹ and the dark uptake coefficient of O₃ to the order of 10^{-9} . Condition experiments further revealed a photo-enhanced O₃ uptake and recommended an essential correction. We finally characterized a measurement uncertainty of $\pm 27\%$ and a detection limit of 2.8 ppbv h⁻¹ (3SD), which suggests that Mea-OPR is sensitive enough to measure OPR in urban or suburban environments. Application of this system in urban Beijing during the Winter Olympic Games recorded a noontime OPR of 7.4 (± 3.8 , 1SD) ppbv h⁻¹, which indicates fairly active O₃ photochemistry despite the pollution control policy implemented. Mea-OPR versus $j(O^{1}D)$ slope of 6.1×10^{5} ppbv h⁻¹ s⁻¹ confirmed fairly active O₃ photochemistry, which was assisted by a high abundance of VOCs and NO_x, atypically high Mea-OPR even under high-NO_x conditions, but mediated by relatively weak ultraviolet (UV) radiation. Short summary. We reported an optimized system (Mea-OPR) for direct measurement of ozone production rate, which showed a precise, sensitive and reliable measurement of OPR for at least urban and suburban atmosphere, and active O₃ photochemical production in winter Beijing. Herein, the Mea-OPR system also shows its potential in exploring the fundamental O₃ photochemistry, i.e., surprisingly high ozone production even under high-NO_x conditions.





(2)

63 1 Introduction

Tropospheric ozone (O_3) is a hazardous air pollutant and a key product of photochemical smog (Prinn, 2003; Sillman, 2003). The growing abundance of O_3 due to primarily photochemical production has long been associated with the human health risk (Ho et al., 2007), plant growth issues (Ashmore, 2005), and climate change (Forster et al., 2007). Understanding why the O_3 level continues increasing in some regions, despite rigorous emissions control policy (Tarasick et al., 2019), is vital to reverse the O_3 increasing trend.

69 In favorable meteorological scenarios for high ozone production rate such as no or light wind under strong solar radiation, 70 O_3 pollution episodes have been repeatedly recorded. The mixing ratio of O_3 (8-hour maximum average) could reach over 100 ppbv, doubling or tripling its background values. Photochemical production reactions of O₃ mainly involve volatile organic 71 72 compounds (VOCs), nitrogen oxides (NO_x = NO+NO₂) and solar radiation (Finlayson-Pitts and Jr. Pittes, 1999; Seinfeld and 73 Pandis, 2006). NO₂ photolysis produces NO and O₃ while O₃ reacts with NO to recycle NO₂. These rapidly cycling processes 74 (within minutes) are defined as the Leighton cycle. Under the photostationary-state (PSS) assumption for the Leighton cycle, 75 neither O_3 production nor loss is occurring. Production rate of O_3 , referred to as $P(O_3)$, increases as peroxy radicals (HO₂+RO₂) 76 efficiently oxidize NO to produce extra NO₂ (Eq. 1). Additionally, photochemical consumption of O_3 , referred to as $L(O_3)$ (Eq. 77 2), represents a considerable fraction of a comprehensive chemical budget of O_3 to offset O_3 production:

78
$$P(O_3) = k_{HO_2+NO}[HO_2][NO] + \sum_i (\alpha_i k_{RO_2 + NO}[RO_{2i}][NO])$$
(1)

79 $L(O_3) = f_{O(^1D) + H_2O}j_{O_3}[O_3] + k_{OH+O_3}[OH][O_3] + k_{HO_2+O_3}[HO_2][O_3] + \sum_i (k_{O_3 + Alkene_i}[O_3][Alkene_i]) +$

80 $k_{\text{OH}+\text{NO}_2}[\text{OH}][\text{NO}_2] + L(O_3 + \text{halogens})$

81
$$OPR = P(O_3) - L(O_3)$$
 (3)

where $k_{\text{RO}_2i+\text{NO}}$ defines the rate coefficient of the reaction between a specific peroxy radical and NO. Alkyl nitrate formation from molecule isomerization of [R-O-O-NO] competes with O₃ production. The yield of O₃ production is defined as α_i for a specific RO₂ as it reacts with NO, while corresponding yield of alkyl nitrate is defined as $1 - \alpha_i \cdot f_{O(^1D)+H_2O}$ is defined as the probability of an oxygen atom, a photo-fragment of O₃ photolysis, reacting with water vapor to produce two OH radicals. OPR represents the effective or net production rate of O₃.

NO_x and VOCs regulate the photochemical cycling of radicals and therefore OPR. Under low-NO_x conditions, bimolecular reactions among peroxy radicals buffer the accumulation and chain propagation of peroxy radicals. Increasing abundance of NO_x thus favors chain propagation of peroxy radicals, outcompetes bimolecular reactions among peroxy radicals and favors photochemical production of O₃. Under high-NO_x conditions, chain termination reaction of NO₂ with OH radical, one major route of O₃ photochemical consumption, competes with the chain propagation of peroxy radicals and photochemical production of O₃. This scenario analysis reveals the nonlinear relationship between OPR and its precursor of NO_x (Cazorla et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2021). The role of VOCs in O₃ production and the common sources of both VOCs and NO_x in some areas further





94 complicate the OPR-NO_x relationship and its temporal-spatial variability (Schroeder et al., 2017). High time resolution 95 characterization of OPR in the specific atmosphere of concern will be essentially helpful to characterize OPR-NO_x relationship 96 and its environmental variability.

97 In view of aforementioned expressions, Obs-OPR or Mod-OPR can be obtained from the measured or model-simulated HO_2 and RO₂, given mixing ratio of NO is usually measured in field campaigns (Ren et al., 2003, 2013; Green et al., 2006; Kanaya, 98 2002; Griffith et al., 2015; Tan et al., 2019b). A NOx-dependent representation of Mod-OPR was now summarized with 99 consistent and considerable underestimation in high-NO_x areas (Ren et al., 2013; Tan et al., 2019b, 2017; Whalley et al., 2018, 100 2021; Brune et al., 2016) while slightly underestimation or even possible overestimation in low-NO_x areas (Ren et al., 2003; 101 102 Whalley et al., 2018). For instance, Ren et al. developed an observation-prescribed box model and outputted a underestimated Mod-OPR by up to one order of magnitude compared with Obs-OPR when the median NO mixing ratio reached 17 ppbv (Ren 103 104 et al., 2013), while overestimated Mod-OPR by 1.4–1.7 times when NO was below 1.0 ppbv was also reported (Ren et al., 2003). Evidently, such observation-model discrepancy on OPR decreased our confidence for understanding both the O₃ budget 105 and policy efficiency of regional O₃ pollution control. 106

The policy efficiency of regional 03 political control.

107 The idea of direct measurement of OPR, Mea-OPR, which dated as far back as 1971, was first proposed by Jeffries (1971).

108 The principle is based on differential O₃ signal when ambient air continuously flows into two identical and horizontally

109 oriented chambers, one of which (called reaction chamber) is enough transparent for UV and visible radiation to mimic ambient

110 photochemistry and the other one (named reference chamber) is covered or coated by UV-blocking film to filter UV to suppress

the O₃ photochemical production (Cazorla and Brune, 2010; Baier et al., 2015; Sadanaga et al., 2017; Sklaveniti et al., 2018).

112 As simultaneous measurements of NO_2 and O_3 (= O_x) in the reaction chamber and reference chamber can cancel out any ozone

113 difference resulting from the rapid interconversion between NO_2 and O_3 in two chambers, a differential O_x signal rather than

114 O_3 was further adopted. We refer to the twin-chamber method for direct measurement of OPR or the measurement result by 115 such method as Mea-OPR in the context.

116 Accurate and precise Mea-OPR relies on several assumptions implied from the twin-chamber measurement scheme. The 117 most important one is that photochemistry in the reaction chamber should be able to mimic the ambient condition while O_3 photochemistry in the reference chamber is totally suppressed. Several versions of Mea-OPR, or named MOPS in literature, 118 119 have been managed to mimic photochemistry in both chambers. Teflon film and quartz glass are usually adopted for the 120 chamber body to guarantee UV transmittance in the reaction chamber (Cazorla and Brune, 2010; Sadanaga et al., 2017; 121 Sklaveniti et al., 2018). Light transmittance achieves easily above 90% for either Teflon (FEP Teflon film, 0.05 mm thick) or quartz tube, providing ideal UV conditions in the reaction chamber. UV blocking film is also effective in creating a "dark" 122 123 condition for O₃ photochemistry.

124 Another precondition for Mea-OPR is that measurement of O_x could precisely represent the differential O_x signal, ΔO_x , 125 between chambers. Measurement uncertainties in O_x might be enlarged as transferred to be the measurement uncertainties in

126 ΔO_x , thus further amplifying the measurement uncertainties of Mea-OPR in a unit of ppbv h⁻¹ because the gas residence time

127 in Mea-OPR chambers is typically much shorter than 1 hour. Both insensitivity and the drift in measurement baseline of O₃





and NO₂ instruments contribute to the measurement uncertainties of ΔO_x (Cazorla and Brune, 2010; Baier et al., 2015). Such stringent requirement in precise ΔO_x measurements requires advanced and tested measurement techniques and well trained technicians to perform the measurement, which might limit the widespread deployment of Mea-OPR. Reliable and sensitive measurement technique for O₃ and NO₂ might be a savior in this situation.

Last but not least, the chamber wall effect must be suppressed and quantified. The chamber wall effect might change the 132 133 abundance of O_x , which presents false signal of ΔO_x that is difficult to be decoupled from photochemical ΔO_x . The chamber wall effect might also change the abundance of photochemical intermediates, leading to unwanted perturbation on O₃ 134 photochemistry in the reaction chamber. Sklaveniti et al. (2018) estimated that O₃ uptake loss in their version of Mea-OPR 135 would lead to false Mea-OPR signal of ~20 ppbv h^{-1} assuming ambient O₃ to be 50 ppbv. It was also found that HONO 136 production in uptake experiment of NO₂ on quartz chamber and Teflon chamber can reach up to tens of ppby h^{-1} under 137 irradiated conditions, which would lead to an overestimation of Mea-OPR by approximately 27% on average (Sklaveniti et 138 al., 2018) or Mea-OPR error of around 10 ppbv h^{-1} (Baier et al., 2015). Effective design to suppress the wall effect is, therefore, 139 the key to ensure the data quality of Mea-OPR. Previous designs are devoted to keeping the air in plug flow motion and a 140 141 small-diameter (14.0–17.8 cm) chamber is usually chosen for it is easier to manipulate (Cazorla and Brune, 2010; Baier et al., 142 2015; Sadanaga et al., 2017; Sklaveniti et al., 2018). However, a small diameter works against the wall effect suppression. The S/V ratio was decreased by 20% by employing a larger chamber volume (26.9 L) in the second version of Mea-OPR (Baier et 143 al., 2015), relative to the first generation (11.3 L) (Cazorla and Brune, 2010). Referring to the uptake coefficient calculation 144 145 formula (Eq. 4), a 20% decrease of S/V will result in a 20% reduction in false Mea-OPR signal due to uptake loss of O_3 for a 146 given uptake coefficient and O₃ abundance. Previous research has also confirmed less O_x loss on Teflon wall surface (Sadanaga et al., 2017) than on quartz surface (Sklaveniti et al., 2018). Sadanaga et al. (2017) first managed to coat the inner wall surface 147 of the quartz chamber with transparent Teflon and effectively reduced the wall effect. 148

149
$$\gamma_{0_3} = \frac{4 \times \Delta 0_{3,\text{uptake}}}{0_{3,\text{amb}} \times \omega_{03} \times \tau \times S/V}$$
(4)

To date, these effective designs have not yet been integrated and evaluated in a state-of-the-art version of Mea-OPR. In this article, we will present our construction of a state-of-the-art Mea-OPR and condition experiments to characterize this Mea-OPR. Later, the employment of Mea-OPR system in Beijing, a megacity in China, provides further validation of it.

153 2 Experimental section

154 2.1 Construction of state-of-the-art Mea-OPR

The schematic of our Mea-OPR is shown in Fig. S1. The quartz chamber inner wall was coated with the transparent Teflon. It was chosen over the Teflon film chamber for Teflon film chamber showed its weakness in working in high wind velocity conditions. The quartz chamber and the Teflon coating together presented an ideal transmittance (> 88%) for both UV and





visible light (Fig. S2). The difference in $j(O^1D)$ between the ambient and the reaction chamber calculated by measured solar flux (Metcon CCD-Spectrograph) in Beijing winter was within 4% (Table S1). UV filter was adopted for the reference chamber (transmittance for UV was 0, Fig. S3) and enabled small temperature and RH differences in both chambers (Fig. S4). Parallel and accurate measurements of ΔO_x were supported by two identical sets of O₃ analyzer (Thermo Scientific, Model

- 161 49i, LOD: 1.0 ppbv) and NO₂ analyzer (Los Gatos Research, Inc., Model 911-0009, LOD: 50 pptv). To calculate the wall loss 162 of O_x in both chambers in real time, another set of O₃ analyzer (Thermo Scientific, Model 49i, LOD: 1.0 ppbv) and homemade 163 164 iBBCES-NO₂ instrument (AIOFM, LOD: 168 pptv; 30 min background shifted: 100 pptv) (Fang et al., 2017) were sampling the ambient air. The system was running with a duty cycle in a 23 h plus 1 h, which included 1 h instrument alignment as all 165 166 instruments shifted to the ambient sampling line at midnight. The purpose of the instrument alignment was to check the working status of the measurements on a daily base, rather than data correction. ΔO_x up to 1 ppbv in a 1 h alignment reminded 167 168 of instrument calibration and maintenance, which were otherwise conducted on a weekly basis. Under the working protocol, the system performed stably in a 1-month measurement duty from February 5 to March 12, 2022. Multiple calibrations 169 suggested the instrument response shifted within $\pm 0.4\%$ and $\pm 1.9\%$ for O₃ and NO₂, respectively, in this period (Table S2). 170 171 The instrument alignment experiment suggested that nightime ΔO_x was 0.07 (±0.26) ppby, within the instrument detection 172 limits (Fig. S5).
- 173 Our Mea-OPR development put emphasis on wall effect management. The diameter of our Mea-OPR was 41 cm, which 174 produced a S/V ratio that was 1.8 fold less than the lowest value ever published in the literature (Cazorla and Brune, 2010; 175 Baier et al., 2015). This reduced the O_3 wall effect by 1.8 folds even though the same uptake coefficient of O_3 was taken into 176 consideration (Eq. 4), and so did for wall effect of other species, such as NO₂ and HONO. Larger diameter of our Mea-OPR also gave the flexibility of the working flow rate, which spanned from 5 to 20 L min⁻¹ while enabling multiple sampling 177 instruments equipped and a residence time of up to 30 min in a plug flow mode (Fig. S1). Another key design to suppress the 178 179 wall effect was the transparent Teflon coating, which was essential for accurate Mea-OPR by reducing the uptake coefficient of O₃ from 10⁻⁸ on quartz wall (Sklaveniti et al., 2018) to 10⁻⁹ on Teflon coating wall under dark conditions. 180
- Mea-OPR can be calculated in Eq. (5), wherein ΔNO_2 and ΔO_3 are the difference values of NO₂ and O₃ between both chambers, respectively. τ is the mean gas residence time in chambers (Fig. S6). γ is the uptake coefficient of O₃ in the chamber. ω represents the mean molecular velocity of O₃ in m s⁻¹. D is the diameter of chambers in m. O_{3, amb} represents the ambient O₃ concentration in ppbv. φ_{trans} is the ratio of in-chamber *j*(O¹D) to ambient *j*(O¹D) as determined by the UV transmittance of Mea-OPR system. $\varphi_{\Delta HONO \text{ or } \Delta NOx}$ is the ratio of OPR in the reaction chamber to that in the ambient owing to the presence of Δ HONO or Δ NOx between the reaction chamber and the ambient.

187
$$\operatorname{Mea-OPR} = \left(\frac{\Delta NO_2 + \Delta O_3}{\tau} + \frac{(\gamma_{\operatorname{Rea}} \cdot \omega_{\operatorname{Rea}} - \gamma_{\operatorname{Ref}} \cdot \omega_{\operatorname{Ref}}) \cdot O_{3, \operatorname{amb}}}{D}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\varphi_{\operatorname{trans}} \cdot \varphi_{\Delta \operatorname{HONO} \operatorname{or} \Delta \operatorname{NOx}}}$$
(5)





188 **2.2** Condition experiments to characterize wall effect

189 As Mea-OPR was sampling the ambient air, O₃ wall loss and photochemical production were occurring simultaneously and, 190 thus, cannot be decoupled from each other. A condition experiment of zero-NOx-and-zero-VOCs (referred to as zero-NOxand-high-O₃ experiment) was designed and, thus, zero OPR was assumed. High O₃ control (also referred to as ambient O₃ for 191 192 simplicity) in zero-NO_x-and-high-O₃ experiment favored measurement of O_3 wall losses directly in both chambers on 6 March, 193 2022. In addition, HONO production from NO₂ uptake (Eq. 6) on the Teflon film and quartz surface have been proposed by 194 Baier et al. (2015) and Sklaveniti et al. (2018) as a potential perturbation on photochemical production of O_3 in the reaction chamber. To obtain differential NOx and HONO signals in chambers relative to the ambient, additional measurements of 195 HONO in the chambers and in the ambient were equipped in a 1-week HONO production experiment as Mea-OPR was 196 197 deployed in 11–18 February, 2022. The above condition experiments to characterize wall effect were described in detail in the 198 Supplement (S2.6).

(6)

199
$$2NO_2 + H_2O (ads) \rightarrow HONO + HNO_3$$

200 2.3 Field application of Mea-OPR

201 Our Mea-OPR system was deployed on the top floor of an academic building on the campus of Peking University (39°59'23" N, 116°18′25″ E). The observatory as a typical urban and polluted site in Beijing City, China, was impacted by considerable 202 fresh, anthropogenic emissions in the surroundings, such as the 4th ring-road traffic emission. More details about this site were 203 204 also described elsewhere (Guo et al., 2010; Tang et al., 2018). Notably, the measurement period coincided with the Beijing 2022 Winter Olympic Games from 4 to 20 February, when the Municipal Government of Beijing implemented a package of 205 factory and transportation controls to improve air quality. Owing to the aggressive control measures and favorable 206 meteorological conditions, no haze events and substantial reductions in gas pollutant concentrations were observed (Guo et 207 al., 2023; Liu et al., 2022). Therefore, the air pollution conditions during this period can be regarded as relatively clean 208 209 compared to those polluted episodes in the same period of other years.

210 3 Results and discussion

211 **3.1 O₃ uptake evaluation**

A zero-NO_x-and-high-O₃ experiment was conducted for directly measuring the O₃ wall loss in both chambers with little perturbation from O₃ photochemical production in chambers. As shown in Fig. 1a, evident O₃ wall loss ($\Delta O_{3, raw} = O_{3}$ in the

ambient – O₃ in the chamber) were observed and higher O₃ wall loss was observed for the reaction chamber ($\Delta O_{3, raw} = 7.7$

215 ppbv) relative to the reference chamber ($\Delta O_{3, raw} = 2.3$ ppbv) at noon. The ambient O_3 control was measured at approximately

216 113.0 ppbv just before entering chambers. NO_x concentration was measured around 0.03–1.05 ppbv to double-check the low

217 level of NO_x in the control experiment. A slight increase in NO_x from the morning to the noon was accompanied with increasing





 $j(O^{1}D)$, which might be attributed to the previously-identified unknown source of HONO and NO_x in Teflon chamber (Zhou et al., 2003). The unknown chamber source of HONO was also confirmed later during the 1-week HONO production experiment (see below). The MCM model was conducted to calculate O₃ production in chambers. NO_x and CO were both major prescribed chemical parameters. At noon, the corresponding O₃ production in the reaction chamber, $\Delta O_{3, \text{ photochemistry}}$, was found to be 2.7 ppbv in the residence time of 20 min (Fig. S7), which comprises 35% of the $\Delta O_{3, \text{ raw}}$ for the reaction chamber. O₃ production was negligible in the reference chamber at noon because stray light in the reference chamber was too weak to be meaningful for O₃ photochemistry.

After eliminating the photochemical contribution, $\Delta O_{3, uptake}$ (= $\Delta O_{3, raw} - \Delta O_{3, photochemistry}$) was obtained and the uptake 225 226 coefficient of O_3 on chambers could be calculated according to Eq. (4). The uptake coefficient from a typical value of 7.11 \times 10^{-8} on quartz wall (Sklaveniti et al., 2018) (Table S3) was successfully reduced to the present average value of 8.12×10^{-9} 227 on the transparent Teflon coating under dark conditions. Under typical working conditions of Mea-OPR, O₃ uptake loss 228 contributes to a false Mea-OPR signal of 20.3 ppbv h^{-1} at uptake coefficient of 7.11 × 10⁻⁸ and S/V ratio of 18 m⁻¹ (the least 229 in the literature), relative to a false Mea-OPR signal of 1.29 ppbv h⁻¹ at uptake coefficient of 8.12×10^{-9} and S/V ratio of 9.76 230 231 m^{-1} in our Mea-OPR, assuming ambient O₃ concentration of 50 ppby. This calculation suggests the essential success in wall 232 effect suppression by our strategy, i.e., to minimize chamber S/V ratio and uptake coefficient of O_3 on the chamber wall, for 233 assuring the data quality of Mea-OPR.

234 During the daytime, UV-dependent γ was observed and inferred a photo-enhanced uptake of O₃ of up to 8.98 × 10⁻⁸ at noon, nearly one order of magnitude higher relative to 8.12×10^{-9} at night (Fig. 1b). Low uptake coefficient of 5.17×10^{-9} under 235 dark condition was observed for Teflon surface due to its inert nature (Sadanaga et al., 2017). However, photosensitization 236 reactions of O_3 on organic coating was observed with an uptake coefficient in the range of 10^{-6} – 10^{-5} under near-UV and visible 237 irradiation relative to 10^{-7} - 10^{-6} in the dark (Styler et al., 2009; D'Anna et al., 2009). This suggested that the aerosol particles 238 deposited on the inner wall surface might substantially contribute to the photo-enhanced wall loss of O₃. A routine water flush 239 240 cleaning and UV-photochemical-aging cleaning of both chambers were then scheduled after occurrence of heavy pollution episodes ($PM_{2.5} > 80 \ \mu g \ cm^{-3}$) (Juda-Rezler et al., 2020). RH might modify aerosol phase state and thus was another parameter 241 242 affecting the uptake coefficient on aerosol particles and apparent wall loss of O₃. The daytime RH was typically below 50%, 243 approximately the threshold RH of particle phase shift in the city of Beijing (Liu et al., 2016). A relatively stable γ at low RH 244 was also observed. The daytime γ was then expressed as a function of merely $j(O^1D)$, not considering the complex influence 245 from RH variation below 50% (Fig. 1c). An increasing γ as a function of RH for the nighttime was summarized, with a

threshold RH of ca. 60% (Fig. 1d).







247

Figure 1: Results of condition experiment designed to measure O₃ wall loss. (a) O₃ concentrations in the ambient (approximately 113.0 ppbv) and in both chambers as zero-NO_x-and-high-O₃ air was introduced to both chambers; (b) Calculated half-hour resolution uptake coefficient (γ) of O₃ in both chambers; (c) Fitted exponential relationship between γ and j(O¹D) in daytime, i.e., j(O¹D) > 1 × 10⁻⁷ s⁻¹ (R² = 0.93 and 0.96, respectively); (d) Fitted polynomial relationship between γ and RH during night, i.e., j(O¹D) < 1 × 10⁻⁷ s⁻¹ (R² = 0.82 and 0.82, respectively). Error bar represents standard deviation of γ in each RH interval of 5%. Fitting method is ordinary least squares estimation.

Sklaveniti et al. (2018) also suspected photo-enhanced O₃ uptake in the reaction chamber as a major measurement interference for their Mea-OPR system utilizing quartz wall without Teflon coating. However, no quantification and correction of Mea-OPR interference from the O₃ uptake was suggested. The *j*(O¹D)-dependent γ for the daytime and RH-dependent γ for the nighttime as determined in our control experiments provided the first reliable correction for Mea-OPR (Eqs. 7–10). For the correction, O₃ concentrations measured in the ambient could be used to calculate the $\Delta O_{3, uptake}$ (Eq. 4). After multiple control experiments (not shown), we could also assume the uptake coefficient of O₃ being stable between two adjacent control experiments.

261
$$\gamma_{\text{Ref}} = -5.53 \times 10^{-9} \times \exp\left(-\frac{i(0^{1}\text{D})}{5.41 \times 10^{-6}}\right) + 1.96 \times 10^{-8}$$
 (7)

262
$$\gamma_{\text{Rea}} = -8.21 \times 10^{-8} \times \exp\left(-\frac{j(0^1 \text{D})}{9.76 \times 10^{-6}}\right) + 1.10 \times 10^{-7}$$
 (8)

263
$$\gamma_{\text{Ref}} = 1.28 \times 10^{-11} \times \text{RH}^2 - 1.28 \times 10^{-9} \times \text{RH} + 3.60 \times 10^{-8}$$
 (9)





264 $\gamma_{Rea} = 2.37 \times 10^{-11} \times RH^2 - 2.56 \times 10^{-9} \times RH + 7.50 \times 10^{-8}$

(10)

265 3.2 In-chamber HONO production evaluation

In the 1-week HONO production experiment, ΔNO_x (= NO_x in the ambient – NO_x in the chamber) showed no obvious 266 267 diurnal variation, with an average of 1.01 and 0.77 ppbv in the reaction and reference chamber, respectively (Fig. 2a). Based on Eq. (S3), the average uptake coefficient of NO₂ in the reaction and reference chamber were calculated as 8.66×10^{-8} and 268 6.43×10^{-8} , respectively. Despite of considerable uptake coefficient of NO₂, compared with that of O₃, much lower NO₂ level 269 (12.9 ppbv) relative to O₃ inferred negligible wall loss of NO₂, which was transferred to *ca*. 1.79 ppbv h^{-1} false signal of Mea-270 271 OPR at most during the 1-week HONO production experiment. The perturbation on O₃ photochemistry in the reaction chamber 272 by such ΔNO_x was evaluated by MCM model to be negligible due to the insensitive response of O₃ photochemistry to NO_x 273 abundance in the range around 10 ppbv.

274 Uptake loss during the nighttime and production of HONO during the daytime in both chambers were spotted (Fig. 2b). 275 Nighttime loss of HONO on the wall surface, as observed in the lower HONO in both chambers relative to the ambient HONO $(\Delta HONO = -0.22 \text{ ppbv} \text{ on average for the reaction chamber})$, was not suspected even assuming that uptake loss of NO₂ and 276 277 heterogenous production of HONO were negligible (Sadanaga et al., 2017). However, Sklaveniti et al. (2018) reported HONO production rate of up to 9 ppbv h^{-1} in controlled ambient concentration of NO₂ under dark condition. HONO uptake on 278 279 deliquescent aerosol particles at night might account for the HONO loss here (Ren et al., 2020). RH in the reaction chamber 280 scattered at approximately 61% (±14%) and was much higher than the ambient air of 36% (±14%) during the nighttime, which 281 might lead to deliquescence of deposited aerosol particles on the wall surface. As the temperature rose and RH dropped in the 282 early morning, uptake loss of HONO on the wall surface was diminishing. Further decrease in the zenith angle even led to 283 production or release of HONO in both chambers, resulting in a higher HONO concentration in both chambers relative to the ambient air during the daytime ($\Delta HONO = 0.09$ ppbv on average for the reaction chamber). Either heterogeneous uptake of 284 285 NO₂ or unknown temperature-related or UV-related chamber source of HONO or releasing of nighttime uptaken HONO might 286 account for the daytime Δ HONO in chambers. Daytime Δ HONO herein appeared to be less than previous reports in laboratory 287 condition (Sklaveniti et al., 2018) and in the ambient of Houston (Baier et al., 2015). Both the inert surface coating and less abundant NO₂ in 1-week HONO production experiment might justify the result. 288

289 While the small ΔNO_2 and $\Delta HONO$ contribute slightly to the measurement uncertainty of ΔO_x , daytime HONO production in the reaction chamber might perturb the O_3 photochemistry therein and challenge the mimic of O_3 photochemistry by the 290 291 reaction chamber (Baier et al., 2015; Sklaveniti et al., 2018). We then evaluated the O₃ production difference in the reaction 292 chamber relative to the ambient air. Since the radical budget is closely related to O₃ chemistry (Tan et al., 2018a; Ma et al., 293 2022), the primary RO_x source budget and O_3 production in the reaction chamber and the ambient air were calculated and 294 compared, based on the MCM models prescribed with HONO in the ambient air and the reaction chamber, respectively. When 295 the HONO difference between the reaction chamber and the ambient air was maximum in the daytime, HONO photolysis comprised 22.2% of the total primary RO_x source budget for the ambient air, while constituted 28.2% of the total primary RO_x 296





297 source budget for the reaction chamber. The overall primary RO_x source budget was at most 8.1% higher in the reaction chamber than in the ambient air, which revealed that Δ HONO considerably perturbed the RO_x source budget owing to the 298 299 considerable contribution from HONO photolysis. Furthermore, O₃ production enhancement owing to HONO production in 300 the reaction chamber, relative to the ambient air, was calculated as 4.5% at most during the 1-week HONO production experiment (Fig. 2c). It could be seen that although the HONO production in the reaction chamber significantly increased the 301 302 RO_x source budget and promoted the O₃ production, it might also increase the sink of O₃ accordingly, and in general, it had little effect on the net O₃ production. While in less polluted environments, the perturbation of HONO on O₃ photochemistry 303 was assumed to be less and, therefore, negligible. Currently, NO2 uptake and HONO production correction are not applied for 304 305 our Mea-OPR.



306

Figure 2: Diurnal variation of average hourly (a) NO_x and (b) HONO concentrations in ambient air and both chambers. (c) 308 Comparison of O₃ production simulation as prescribed with HONO in ambient air and reaction chamber during condition





experiment of HONO production. Shaded areas represent 1SD variation of NO_x, HONO, and OPR for the 1-week HONO production experiment.

311 3.3 Uncertainty evaluation for Mea-OPR

The detection limit of 2.8 ppbv h^{-1} of our Mea-OPR was determined by three times the standard deviation of Mea-OPR during nighttime (21:00–04:00) when OPR was supposed to be near null. Currently, tropospheric OPR in remote and rural areas is believed to be lower than 5 ppbv h^{-1} (Kanaya, 2002; Xue et al., 2013; Bozem et al., 2017). In polluted regions, OPR can be up to tens of ppbv h^{-1} (Baier et al., 2015; Kleinman, 2005; Xue et al., 2021; Tan et al., 2021; Whalley et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2014; Cazorla et al., 2012). Hence, our system is sensitive enough to detect OPR in polluted urban sites, but might not be so in the clean remote sites.

318 The uncertainties of Mea-OPR arise from UV transmittance of the chamber wall, measurements of gas residence time and ΔO_{x_3} and correction from O₃ and NO₂ wall loss, or HONO production in the reaction chamber (Table S4). Assuming a linear 319 relationship among Mea-OPR and $j(O^{1}D)$, reduction in $j(O^{1}D)$ in the reaction chamber and stray light in the reference chamber 320 321 contribute to uncertainties of -4% and -5%, respectively (Table S1). The measurement uncertainty of gas residence time is 322 evaluated from the multiple residence time measurements to be better than $\pm 0.45\%$. Evaluated from 1-hour consistency 323 measurements every night, the measurement uncertainties for ΔNO_2 and ΔO_3 are $\pm 3.8\%$ and $\pm 1.1\%$, respectively. Higher 324 uncertainties were found at low levels of NO_x or O₃, which suggests that continuous improvement in ΔO_x measurement 325 precision will benefit our measurement. The largest uncertainty of Mea-OPR comes from the wall effect of O₃ and in our case 326 the wall effect correction of O₃. The wall effect correction functions of O₃ indicate a higher wall effect of O₃ as $[O_3] \times i(O^1D)$ 327 is higher. As a strong O₃ production is also presumed when $[O_3] \times i(O^1D)$ is higher, the wall effect correction of O₃ does not 328 indicate lower measurement quality in strong O₃ production scenario. The uncertainties of the fitted O₃ uptake coefficient in both chambers (Eqs. 7–10) are $\pm 4.4\%$ and $\pm 23\%$, respectively. Wall effect of HONO contributes to an uncertainty of $\pm 4.5\%$, 329 330 evaluated from the condition experiment. The total uncertainty of our Mea-OPR can be obtained by error propagation as 331 described in detail in the Supplement (S2.7). As shown in Fig. S8, the total uncertainty of the Mea-OPR system decreases with 332 the increase of Mea-OPR. When Mea-OPR is above the detection limit of 2.8 ppbv h^{-1} , the uncertainty of Mea-OPR system is stable and low at an average value of $\pm 27\%$. In addition, when the O₃ uptake coefficient decreases, the uncertainty of Mea-333 334 OPR also clearly reduces, which further indicates the importance of reducing O_x uptake in the chambers for the accurate 335 measurement of Mea-OPR.

336 3.4 Field application in urban site of Beijing

The mean diurnal profile of ambient j(O¹D), NO, NO₂, O₃ and Mea-OPR during the Beijing 2022 Winter Olympic Games are shown in Fig. 3. NO_x levels ranged from approximately 0.5 to 112.8 ppbv, with an averaged value about 18.2 (±16.7) ppbv, which was similar to that observed at the suburban site (Huairou Station) of Beijing from January to March, 2016 (Tan et al., 2018b), but much lower than our measurement of 32.0 (±22.4) ppbv in the winter of 2021 (not shown). Specific pollution





control measures for the Beijing 2022 Winter Olympic Games reconcile such a discrepancy. The maximum daily concentration 341 342 of NO₂ climbed to 26.6 ppbv during the end of our field campaign, which was normal as compared to the winter 2021 343 campaign. O₃ concentration showed afternoon (14:00–16:00) maxima and early morning (6:00–8:00) minima (Fig. 3b), the 344 former of which was a typical feature of photochemical production. The O₃ levels varied from 1.2 to 90.5 ppbv in the daytime, and the mean and median values were approximately 34.4 (±13.9) ppbv and 36.6 ppbv, respectively. In fact, O₃ titration by 345 NO in this urban site was apparent during the morning/afternoon rush hours, but less evident at noon as it was seen from the 346 NO/NO_2 ratio during rush hours (0.20) and noon (0.46), in comparison with the photo-steady-state ratio of 0.14 and 0.44, 347 348 respectively. Considering the O_3 titration, the urban diurnal O_x concentration was 43.5 (±3.1) ppbv, higher than the regional 349 background of 38.0 ppbv (Xu et al., 2020), suggesting the urban area was still the regional source of O₃ pollution. Noontime $j(O^{1}D)$ peaked at approximately 1.0×10^{-5} s⁻¹ on average (Fig. 3c), less than half of the value during the summer (2.6×10^{-5} 350 s⁻¹) (Tan et al., 2019a). Mea-OPR showed similar diurnal variation to $i(O^{1}D)$. Mean Mea-OPR peaked at 7.4 ppbv h⁻¹ at around 351 12:30 (Fig. 3c), which was smaller than the O₃ production peak of 20 ppby h^{-1} measured using the Obs-OPR method in summer 352 at the PKU urban site (Tan et al., 2019a). The nighttime Mea-OPR approached zero as it was also expected from the low levels 353 354 of O₃, NO₃, and RO₂ (not shown), accompanied with a nighttime NO of 2.3 ppbv. Therefore, such high Mea-OPR confirmed active O₃ photochemistry and that urban Beijing was still a regional source of O₃ pollution even though the pollution control 355 356 policy was implemented during the Winter Olympic Games.







357

Figure 3: Mean diel profiles of (a) NO and NO₂, (b) O₃, and (c) Mea-OPR and *j*(O¹D) at PKU urban site during field campaign. Shaded areas represent 1SD variation of measurement parameters.

360 A linear relationship was established for Mea-OPR versus *j*(O¹D), but an atypical relationship was established for Mea-OPR versus NO from the Gaussian-shaped ones (Fig. 4) (Whalley et al., 2021; Cazorla et al., 2012). The linear relationship between 361 362 Mea-OPR and $j(O^{1}D)$ justified that our Mea-OPR measurement captured its photochemical pattern well. The fitting slope of the Mea-OPR versus $j(O^1D)$ plot was 6.1×10^5 ppbv h⁻¹ s⁻¹. Compared with a study of Xue et al. (2013) in a global background 363 364 site of Waliguan, the fitting slope in this study was higher, which might suggest the relatively active nature of O_3 photochemistry in winter in Beijing. With relatively high NO_x level and assumed VOCs-limited regime for O₃ production in 365 Beijing, O_3 photochemistry was expected to be suppressed under high-NO_x conditions. However, an atypical relationship was 366 observed here, as also being validated in Obs-OPR versus NO plot (Whalley et al., 2021; Cazorla et al., 2012). The continuous 367 increase in OPR as NO_x increased even in high-NO_x conditions might have accounted for the active O₃ photochemistry in 368 369 urban Beijing. Detailed reasons for such atypical relationship are not yet clear, but our Mea-OPR shows its promising capacity 370 to capture both expected and atypical patterns of O_3 photochemistry alongside the change of $j(O^1D)$ and NO.







371

Figure 4: Plot of Mea-OPR against (a) $j(O^1D)$ and (b) NO for daytime conditions ($j(O^1D) > 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}$) during field campaign. The interval of NO bin is $\Delta \ln(NO) = 0.57$ ppby. Panel (c) shows number of datapoints included in each NO interval.

374 4 Conclusions

375 Accurate quantification of OPR is an important premise to effective O₃ pollution control strategy and to control the adverse 376 effects of O₃ pollution on human health and climate. Previous studies have shown discrepancies between Obs-OPR and Mod-377 OPR, which indicates that our understanding of O_3 photochemistry is yet-perfect. Direct measurement of OPR using a twin-378 chamber system (Mea-OPR) could provide an accurate measurement of OPR, shining light on the emerging conceptual 379 framework of O₃ photochemistry. In this work, we reported an optimized system for direct measurement of ozone production 380 rate, Mea-OPR, and its employment at an urban site of Beijing. Our study optimized the chamber design in several ways, i.e., 381 considerably increased the chamber volume and, thus, broadened the optional range of flow rate; employment of a large chamber diameter and the Teflon coating on the inner wall of the chamber to suppress the wall effect. We minimized the 382 383 chamber surface area to volume ratio to 9.8 m⁻¹ and the dark uptake coefficient of O_3 to the order of 10^{-9} . In addition, photo-





384	enhanced uptake of O3 on deposited particulate matter was found to be the major error source of Mea-OPR. Condition
385	experiments further revealed a photo-enhanced O3 uptake and recommended a quantitative correction. We finally characterized
386	a detection limit of 2.8 ppbv h^{-1} and a measurement uncertainty of ±27%, which suggests that Mea-OPR is sensitive enough
387	to measure OPR in urban or suburban environments. Application of this system in urban Beijing during the Winter Olympic
388	Games recorded a noontime Mea-OPR of 7.4 (\pm 3.8) ppbv h ⁻¹ and Mea-OPR versus <i>j</i> (O ¹ D) slope of 6.1 × 10 ⁵ ppbv h ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ ,
389	which indicates fairly active O ₃ photochemistry despite the pollution control policy. The fairly active O ₃ photochemistry was
390	mainly assisted by a high abundance of VOCs and NOx, atypically high Mea-OPR even under high-NOx conditions, but
391	mediated by relatively weak ultraviolet radiation.
392	
393	Data availability. Contact the corresponding author for data.
394	
395	Supplement. The following file is available free of charge. Optimizing a twin-chamber system for direct ozone production rate
396	measurement_SI
397	
398	Author Contributions. Y.W. and C.Y. built the Mea-OPR system, Y.C. and S.C. conducted the condition experiments,
399	interpreted the data, and wrote the manuscript with revision mainly from Y.W., C.Y., and other authors. Y.W., Y.C., and S.C.
400	contributed equally to this work and should be considered co-first authors. All authors have given approval to the final version
401	of the manuscript.
402	
403	Competing interests. The authors declare no competing financial interest.
404	
405	Acknowledgment. We would like to thank all group members in @Beijing 2022 Winter Olympics campaign.
406	
407	Financial support. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants Nos. 41875151 and
408	91744206).
409	
410	
411	
412	
413	
414	
415	
416	
417	





418 References

- Ashmore, M. R.: Assessing the future global impacts of ozone on vegetation, Plant. Cell. Environ., 28, 949–964,
 https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1365-3040.2005.01341.X, 2005.
- 421 Baier, B. C., Brune, W. H., Lefer, B. L., Miller, D. O., and Martins, D. K.: Direct ozone production rate measurements and
- their use in assessing ozone source and receptor regions for Houston in 2013, Atmos. Environ., 114, 83–91,
 https://doi.org/10/gnxf26, 2015.
- 424 Bozem, H., Butler, T. M., Lawrence, M. G., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Kubistin, D., Lelieveld, J., and Fischer, H.: Chemical
- processes related to net ozone tendencies in the free troposphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 10565–10582,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-10565-2017, 2017.
- 427 Brune, W. H., Baier, B. C., Thomas, J., Ren, X., Cohen, R. C., Pusede, S. E., Browne, E. C., Goldstein, A. H., Gentner, D. R.,
- Keutsch, F. N., Thornton, J. A., Harrold, S., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., and Wennberg, P. O.: Ozone production chemistry in the
 presence of urban plumes, Faraday Discuss., 189, 169–189, https://doi.org/10.1039/C5FD00204D, 2016.
- 430 Cazorla, M. and Brune, W. H.: Measurement of Ozone Production Sensor, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 545–555,
 431 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-3-545-2010, 2010.
- 432 Cazorla, M., Brune, W. H., Ren, X., and Lefer, B.: Direct measurement of ozone production rates in Houston in 2009 and
- comparison with two estimation methods, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 1203–1212, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-1203-2012,
 2012.
- D'Anna, B., Jammoul, A., George, C., Stemmler, K., Fahrni, S., Ammann, M., and Wisthaler, A.: Light-induced ozone
 depletion by humic acid films and submicron aerosol particles, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D12301,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD011237, 2009.
- Fang, B., Zhao, W., Xu, X., Zhou, J., Ma, X., Wang, S., Zhang, W., Venables, D. S., and Chen, W.: Portable broadband cavityenhanced spectrometer utilizing Kalman filtering: application to real-time, in situ monitoring of glyoxal and nitrogen
 dioxide, Opt. Express, 25, 26910, https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.25.026910, 2017.
- Finlayson-Pitts, B. J. and Jr. Pittes, J. N.: Chemistry of the Upper and Lower Atmosphere, academic press, San Diego,
 California, 1999.
- 443 Forster, P., Ramaswamy, V., Artaxo, P., Berntsen, T., and Dorland, R. V.: Changes in atmospheric constituents and in radiative

444 forcing, in: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment

- Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York,USA, 2007.
- 447 Green, T. J., Reeves, C. E., Fleming, Z. L., Brough, N., Rickard, A. R., Bandy, B. J., Monks, P. S., and Penkett, S. A.: An
- 448 improved dual channel PERCA instrument for atmospheric measurements of peroxy radicals, J. Environ. Monit., 8, 530,
- 449 https://doi.org/10/d95fhs, 2006.





- Griffith, S. M., Huang, X. H. H., Louie, P. K. K., and Yu, J. Z.: Characterizing the thermodynamic and chemical composition
 factors controlling PM_{2.5} nitrate: Insights gained from two years of online measurements in Hong Kong, Atmos. Environ.,
 122, 864–875, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.02.009, 2015.
- 453 Guo, F., Bui, A. A. T., Schulze, B. C., Yoon, S., Shrestha, S., Wallace, H. W., Sakai, Y., Actkinson, B. W., Erickson, M. H.,
- Alvarez, S., Sheesley, R., Usenko, S., Flynn, J., and Griffin, R. J.: Urban core-downwind differences and relationships
 related to ozone production in a major urban area in Texas, Atmos. Environ., 262, 118624,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2021.118624, 2021.
- Guo, S., Hu, M., Wang, Z. B., Slanina, J., and Zhao, Y. L.: Size-resolved aerosol water-soluble ionic compositions in the
 summer of Beijing: implication of regional secondary formation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 947–959,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-947-2010, 2010.
- 460 Guo, Y., Deng, C., Ovaska, A., Zheng, F., Hua, C., Zhan, J., Li, Y., Wu, J., Wang, Z., Xie, J., Zhang, Y., Liu, T., Zhang, Y.,
- 461 Song, B., Ma, W., Liu, Y., Yan, C., Jiang, J., Kerminen, V.-M., Xia, M., Nieminen, T., Du, W., Kokkonen, T., and Kulmala,
- 462 M.: Measurement report: The 4-year variability and influence of the Winter Olympics and other special events on air quality
- in urban Beijing during wintertime, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 6663–6690, https://doi.org/DOI10.5194/acp-23-6663-2023,
 2023.
- 465 Ho, W. C., Hartley, W. R., Myers, L., Lin, M. H., Lin, Y. S., Lien, C. H., and Lin, R. S.: Air pollution, weather, and associated related asthma 104, 466 risk factors to prevalence and attack rate, Environ. Res., 402-409, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2007.01.007, 2007. 467
- Jeffries, H. E.: An exprimental method for measuring the rate of synthesis, destruction, and transport of ozone in the lower
 atmosphere, Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, University of North Carolina, E.S.E.
 Publication No.285, 1971.
- Juda-Rezler, K., Reizer, M., Maciejewska, K., Błaszczak, B., and Klejnowski, K.: Characterization of atmospheric PM_{2.5} 471 472 at а Central European urban background site, Sci. Total Environ., 713, 136729, sources 473 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136729, 2020.
- Kanaya, Y.: Photochemical ozone production at a subtropical island of Okinawa, Japan: Implications from simultaneous
 observations of HO₂ radical and NO_x, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, 4368, https://doi.org/10/ckvjkc, 2002.
- Kleinman, L. I.: A comparative study of ozone production in five U.S. metropolitan areas, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D02301,
 https://doi.org/10/ft8qfj, 2005.
- Liu, Y., Xu, X., Yang, X., He, J., Ji, D., and Wang, Y.: Significant reduction in fine particulate matter in Beijing during 2022
 Beijing Winter Olympics, Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett., 9, 822–828, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.2c00532, 2022.
- 480 Liu, Z., Hu, B., Zhang, J., Yu, Y., and Wang, Y.: Characteristics of aerosol size distributions and chemical compositions during
- 481 wintertime pollution episodes in Beijing, Atmos. Res., 168, 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2015.08.013, 2016.





- Ma, X., Tan, Z., Lu, K., Yang, X., Chen, X., Wang, H., Chen, S., Fang, X., Li, S., Li, X., Liu, J., Liu, Y., Lou, S., Qiu, W.,
 Wang, H., Zeng, L., and Zhang, Y.: OH and HO₂ radical chemistry at a suburban site during the EXPLORE-YRD campaign
- 484 in 2018, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 7005–7028, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-7005-2022, 2022.
- Prinn, R. G.: The cleansing capacity of the atmosphere, Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour., 28, 29–57, https://doi.org/10/b8kg65,
 2003.
- Ren, X., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Lesher, R. L., Oliger, A., Simpas, J. B., Brune, W. H., Schwab, J. J., Demerjian, K. L., and
 He, Y.: OH and HO₂ chemistry in the urban atmosphere of New York City, Atmos. Environ., 3639–3651,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/s1352-2310(03)00459-x, 2003.
- 490 Ren, X., van Duin, D., Cazorla, M., Chen, S., Mao, J., Zhang, L., Brune, W. H., Flynn, J. H., Grossberg, N., Lefer, B. L.,
- 491 Rappenglück, B., Wong, K. W., Tsai, C., Stutz, J., Dibb, J. E., Thomas Jobson, B., Luke, W. T., and Kelley, P.: Atmospheric
- 492 oxidation chemistry and ozone production: Results from SHARP 2009 in Houston, Texas, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118,
 493 5770–5780, https://doi.org/10/f5cwzr, 2013.
- Ren, Y., Stieger, B., Spindler, G., Grosselin, B., Mellouki, A., Tuch, T., Wiedensohler, A., and Herrmann, H.: Role of the dew
 water on the ground surface in HONO distribution: a case measurement in Melpitz, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 13069–13089,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-13069-2020, 2020.
- 497 Sadanaga, Y., Kawasaki, S., Tanaka, Y., Kajii, Y., and Bandow, H.: New system for measuring the photochemical ozone
 498 production rate in the atmosphere, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 2871–2878, https://doi.org/10/f9qw59, 2017.
- Schroeder, J. R., Crawford, J. H., Fried, A., Walega, J., Weinheimer, A., Wisthaler, A., Müller, M., Mikoviny, T., Chen, G.,
 Shook, M., Blake, D. R., and Tonnesen, G. S.: New insights into the column CH₂O/NO₂ ratio as an indicator of near-surface
 ozone sensitivity, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122, 8885–8907, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD026781, 2017.
- Seinfeld, J. H. and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric chemistry and physics: from air pollution to climate change, 2nd ed., John
 Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, N.J, 1203 pp., 2006.
- Sillman, S.: 9.11 Tropospheric ozone and photochemical smog, in: Treatise on Geochemistry, edited by: Holland, H. D. and
 Turekian, K. K., Pergamon, Oxford, 407–431, https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-08-043751-6/09053-8, 2003.
- Sklaveniti, S., Locoge, N., Stevens, P. S., Wood, E., Kundu, S., and Dusanter, S.: Development of an instrument for direct
 ozone production rate measurements: measurement reliability and current limitations, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 741–761,
 https://doi.org/10/gmttxc, 2018.
- Styler, S. A., Brigante, M., D'Anna, B., George, C., and Donaldson, D. J.: Photoenhanced ozone loss on solid pyrene films,
 Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 11, 7876, https://doi.org/10.1039/b904180j, 2009.
- 511 Tan, Z., Fuchs, H., Lu, K., Hofzumahaus, A., Bohn, B., Broch, S., Dong, H., Gomm, S., Häseler, R., He, L., Holland, F., Li,
- 512 X., Liu, Y., Lu, S., Rohrer, F., Shao, M., Wang, B., Wang, M., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Zhang, Y., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.:
- 513 Radical chemistry at a rural site (Wangdu) in the North China Plain: observation and model calculations of OH, HO₂ and
- 514 RO₂ radicals, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 663–690, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-663-2017, 2017.





- Tan, Z., Lu, K., Jiang, M., Su, R., Dong, H., Zeng, L., Xie, S., Tan, Q., and Zhang, Y.: Exploring ozone pollution in Chengdu,
 southwestern China: A case study from radical chemistry to O₃-VOC-NO_x sensitivity, Sci. Total Environ., 636, 775–786,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.286, 2018a.
- 518 Tan, Z., Rohrer, F., Lu, K., Ma, X., Bohn, B., Broch, S., Dong, H., Fuchs, H., Gkatzelis, G. I., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F.,
- 519 Li, X., Liu, Y., Liu, Y., Novelli, A., Shao, M., Wang, H., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Hu, M., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Wahner, A., and
- 520 Zhang, Y.: Wintertime photochemistry in Beijing: observations of RO_x radical concentrations in the North China Plain
- during the BEST-ONE campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 12391–12411, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-12391-2018,
 2018b.
- 523 Tan, Z., Lu, K., Jiang, M., Su, R., Wang, H., Lou, S., Fu, Q., Zhai, C., Tan, Q., Yue, D., Chen, D., Wang, Z., Xie, S., Zeng,
- 524 L., and Zhang, Y.: Daytime atmospheric oxidation capacity in four Chinese megacities during the photochemically polluted
- season: a case study based on box model simulation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 3493–3513, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-193493-2019, 2019a.
- Tan, Z., Lu, K., Hofzumahaus, A., Fuchs, H., Bohn, B., Holland, F., Liu, Y., Rohrer, F., Shao, M., Sun, K., Wu, Y., Zeng, L.,
 Zhang, Y., Zou, Q., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Experimental budgets of OH, HO₂, and RO₂ radicals
 and implications for ozone formation in the Pearl River Delta in China 2014, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 7129–7150,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-7129-2019, 2019b.
- Tan, Z., Ma, X., Lu, K., Jiang, M., Zou, Q., Wang, H., Zeng, L., and Zhang, Y.: Direct evidence of local photochemical
 production driven ozone episode in Beijing: A case study, Sci. Total Environ., 800, 148868, https://doi.org/10/gnzczm,
 2021.
- Tang, R., Wu, Z., Li, X., Wang, Y., Shang, D., Xiao, Y., Li, M., Zeng, L., Wu, Z., Hallquist, M., Hu, M., and Guo, S.: Primary
 and secondary organic aerosols in summer 2016 in Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 4055–4068,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-4055-2018, 2018.
- 537 Tarasick, D., Galbally, I. E., Cooper, O. R., Schultz, M. G., Ancellet, G., Leblanc, T., Wallington, T. J., Ziemke, J., Liu, X.,
- 538 Steinbacher, M., Staehelin, J., Vigouroux, C., Hannigan, J. W., García, O., Foret, G., Zanis, P., Weatherhead, E.,
- 539 Petropavlovskikh, I., Worden, H., Osman, M., Liu, J., Chang, K.-L., Gaudel, A., Lin, M., Granados-Muñoz, M., Thompson,
- 540 A. M., Oltmans, S. J., Cuesta, J., Dufour, G., Thouret, V., Hassler, B., Trickl, T., and Neu, J. L.: Tropospheric Ozone
- Assessment Report: Tropospheric ozone from 1877 to 2016, observed levels, trends and uncertainties, Elemen. Sci. Anthro.,
- 542 7, 39, https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.376, 2019.
- 543 Whalley, L. K., Stone, D., Dunmore, R., Hamilton, J., Hopkins, J. R., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., Williams, P., Kleffmann, J.,
- 544 Laufs, S., Woodward-Massey, R., and Heard, D. E.: Understanding in situ ozone production in the summertime through
- radical observations and modelling studies during the Clean air for London project (ClearfLo), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18,
- 546 2547–2571, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-2547-2018, 2018.
- 547 Whalley, L. K., Slater, E. J., Woodward-Massey, R., Ye, C., Lee, J. D., Squires, F., Hopkins, J. R., Dunmore, R. E., Shaw, M.,
- 548 Hamilton, J. F., Lewis, A. C., Mehra, A., Worrall, S. D., Bacak, A., Bannan, T. J., Coe, H., Percival, C. J., Ouyang, B.,





- Jones, R. L., Crilley, L. R., Kramer, L. J., Bloss, W. J., Vu, T., Kotthaus, S., Grimmond, S., Sun, Y., Xu, W., Yue, S., Ren,
- L., Acton, W. J. F., Hewitt, C. N., Wang, X., Fu, P., and Heard, D. E.: Evaluating the sensitivity of radical chemistry and ozone formation to ambient VOCs and NO_x in Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 2125–2147, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-
- 552 21-2125-2021, 2021.
- Xu, X., Lin, W., Xu, W., Jin, J., Wang, Y., Zhang, G., Zhang, X., Ma, Z., Dong, Y., Ma, Q., Yu, D., Li, Z., Wang, D., and
 Zhao, H.: Long-term changes of regional ozone in China: implications for human health and ecosystem impacts, Elem. Sci.
 Anth., 8, 1–27, https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.409, 2020.
- Xue, L. K., Wang, T., Guo, H., Blake, D. R., Tang, J., Zhang, X. C., Saunders, S. M., and Wang, W. X.: Sources and
 photochemistry of volatile organic compounds in the remote atmosphere of western China: results from the Mt. Waliguan
 Observatory, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 8551–8567, https://doi.org/10/f49wrr, 2013.
- Xue, M., Ma, J., Tang, G., Tong, S., Hu, B., Zhang, X., Li, X., and Wang, Y.: RO_x budgets and O₃ formation during
 summertime at Xianghe suburban site in the North China Plain, Adv. Atmos. Sci., 38, 1209–1222, https://doi.org/10/gkf965,
 2021.
- Zhou, W., Cohan, D. S., and Henderson, B. H.: Slower ozone production in Houston, Texas following emission reductions:
 evidence from Texas Air Quality Studies in 2000 and 2006, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 2777–2788,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-2777-2014, 2014.
- Zhou, X., Gao, H., He, Y., Huang, G., Bertman, S. B., Civerolo, K., and Schwab, J.: Nitric acid photolysis on surfaces in low NO_x environments: Significant atmospheric implications, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, n/a-n/a,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL018620, 2003.

568