First Atmospheric Aerosol Monitoring Results from Geostationary Environment Monitoring Spectrometer (GEMS) over Asia

Yeseul Cho¹, Jhoon Kim¹, Sujung Go^{2,3}, Mijin Kim⁴, Seoyoung Lee^{2,3}, Minseok Kim¹, Heesung Chong⁵, Won-Jin Lee⁶, Dong-Won Lee⁶, Omar Torres³, Sang Seo Park⁷

¹Department of Atmospheric Sciences, Yonsei University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

²Goddard Earth Sciences Technology and Research (GESTAR) II, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD 21250, USA

³NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA

⁴Goddard Earth Sciences Technology and Research (GESTAR) II, Morgan state university, Baltimore, MD 21251, USA

⁵Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

⁶National Institute of Environmental Research, Incheon, Republic of Korea

⁷Department of Civil, Urban, Earth and Environmental Engineering, Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology, Ulsan, Republic of Korea



Figure S1: Monthly BAOD at 443 nm from 2-year AERONET AOD and interpolated to a $0.1 \times 0.1^{\circ}$ box. The lowest fifth percentiles of the AERONET AOD 443 nm values at each AERONET site are plotted as circles for comparison.



Figure S2: Hourly comparison of GEMS and AERONET AOD. The dashed lines indicate an uncertainty envelope of maximum (0.1 or 30%) in AOD. The dotted lines represent the 1:1 line.



Figure S3: Average variable ranking from RF model for the post-processing correction of GEMS AOD.



Figure S4: Hourly comparison of GEMS and AERONET SSA. The red circles represent the pixels when AOD > 0.4, and the blue circles represent the pixels when AOD > 1.0. The gray dashed lines indicate an uncertainty envelope of ± 0.03 in SSA, the black dashed lines indicate an uncertainty envelope of ± 0.05 in SSA, and the dotted lines represent the 1:1 line.



Figure S5: Average variable ranking from RF model for the post-processing correction of GEMS SSA.