



A directional surface reflectance climatology determined from TROPOMI observations

Lieuwe G. Tilstra¹, Martin de Graaf¹, Victor J. H. Trees¹, Pavel Litvinov², Oleg Dubovik³, and Piet Stammes¹

¹Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI), De Bilt, the Netherlands
 ²GRASP SAS, Remote Sensing Developments, 59260 Lezennes, France
 ³Laboratoire d'Optique Atmosphérique, CNRS/Université de Lille, Villeneuve-d'Ascq, 59650, France
 Correspondence: Lieuwe G. Tilstra (tilstra@knmi.nl)

Abstract. In this paper we introduce a spectral surface reflectivity climatology based on observations made by the TROPOMI instrument on board the Sentinel-5P satellite. The database contains the directionally dependent Lambertian-equivalent reflectivity (DLER) of the Earth's surface for 21 wavelength bands ranging from 328 nm to 2314 nm and for each calendar month. The spatial resolution of the database grid is $0.125^{\circ} \times 0.125^{\circ}$. A recently developed cloud shadow detection technique is im-

- 5 plemented to avoid dark scenes due to cloud shadow. In the database, the anisotropy of the surface reflection is described using a third-order parameterisation of the viewing angle dependence. The viewing angle dependence of the DLER is analysed globally and for a selection of surface type regions. The dependence is found to agree with the viewing angle dependence found in the GOME-2 surface DLER database. Differences exist, related to the actual solar position. On average, the viewing angle dependence in TROPOMI DLER is weaker than for GOME-2 DLER, but still important.
- Validation of the new database was first performed by comparison of the non-directional TROPOMI surface LER with heritage LER databases based on GOME-1, OMI, SCIAMACHY, and GOME-2 data. Agreement was found within 0.002– 0.02 in the UV-VIS (below 500 nm), up to 0.003 in the NIR (670–772 nm), and below 0.001 in the SWIR (2314 nm). These performance numbers are dominated by the performance over ocean, but they are in most cases also representative for land surfaces. For the validation of the directional TROPOMI surface DLER we made use of comparison with MODIS surface
- 15 BRDF for a selection of surface type regions. In all cases the DLER performed significantly better than the traditional LER and we found good agreement with MODIS surface BRDF.

The TROPOMI surface DLER database is a clear improvement on previous surface albedo databases and can be used as input not only for satellite retrievals from TROPOMI observations, but also for retrievals from observations from other polarorbiting satellite instruments provided that their equator crossing time is close to that of TROPOMI. The algorithm that is

20 introduced in this paper can be used for the retrieval of surface reflectivity climatologies from other polar satellite missions as well, including OLCI on the Sentinel-3 satellites, Sentinel-5 and 3MI on the MetOp-SG-A1 satellite to be launched in 2025, and the future CO2M mission.





1 Introduction

The reflectivity of the Earth's surface is important input for many satellite retrievals of atmospheric composition. Examples of
retrievals for which this is the case are the retrievals of ozone (O₃), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), methane (CH₄), formaldehyde (CH₂O), bromine oxide (BrO), water vapour (H₂O), carbon monoxide (CO) and carbon dioxide (CO₂), and of cloud and aerosol information. In many retrieval codes, the surface is described as a Lambertian reflector, meaning that the surface reflection is assumed to be isotropic. This is a simplified approach which may be justified in some cases, but certainly not in all. For instance, Lorente et al. (2018) show that relying on traditional Lambertian surface reflection databases can result in
errors of a factor of two in the assumed surface reflection for vegetated surfaces – at least for certain viewing geometries – due to their lack of directional dependence.

Generally speaking, it is better to describe the surface reflection as a function of incidence and reflection angles, using a bi-directional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) (Nicodemus et al., 1992; Schaepman-Strub et al., 2006). The MODIS surface BRDF database (Gao et al., 2005), an established product, is available for land surfaces and is widely used. However,

35 inserting a BRDF where the radiative transfer code expects a Lambertian surface reflection would lead to errors, especially for the shorter wavelengths (Tilstra et al., 2021, Sect. 3.3). Apart from that, the MODIS BRDF wavelength bands are not positioned spectrally such that they can support all atmospheric retrievals mentioned above.

Recently, a number of new databases have appeared that provide Lambertian surface albedos which include a directional dependence of the albedo values. The geometry-dependent surface Lambertian-equivalent reflectivity (GLER) (Qin et al., 2019)

- 40 uses MODIS surface BRDF information and converts it to a Lambertian surface albedo at 466 nm for satellite footprints of the OMI instrument, respecting the viewing and solar directions of the OMI observations. This is done for the land-covered footprints. For the water-covered footprints model calculations are used (Fasnacht et al., 2019). The geometry-dependent effective Lambertian-equivalent reflectivity (GE_LER) database (Loyola et al., 2020) also provides Lambertian surface albedos with a directional dependence. The surface albedo in this case is derived from level-1 data from GOME-2 or TROPOMI. The
- 45 GE_LER provides daily maps of the surface properties for land, ocean, and snow/ice in one database. The directionally dependent Lambertian-equivalent reflectivity (DLER) derived from GOME-2 (Tilstra et al., 2021) is a monthly surface reflectivity climatology derived from GOME-2 level-1 observations. This database also provides the directional dependence of the surface reflectivity but via a parameterisation of the viewing angle dependence. That is, the provided directional surface albedo is not only available for a specific satellite footprint or for a specific satellite instrument, but for any observation from any satellite
- 50 instrument provided that the overpass time of the satellite instrument is close to that of the GOME-2 orbit (09:30 LT). Because the surface albedos provided by the GLER, GE_LER, and DLER databases are Lambertian (despite their directional dependence), those albedos can be used as input for radiative transfer codes that rely on Lambertian surface reflection. The addition of directionality is in all cases a large improvement on traditional non-directional surface albedo databases based on, for instance, TOMS (Herman and Celarier, 1997), GOME (Koelemeijer et al., 2003), OMI (Kleipool et al., 2008), and

55 SCIAMACHY (Tilstra et al., 2017). However, a specific TROPOMI DLER database exploiting the small footprint size of





TROPOMI did not exist, and the existing GOME-2 DLER database cannot be used as input for TROPOMI retrieval algorithms because of the different overpass time of the GOME-2 orbit.

This paper introduces a new surface albedo database based on measurements performed by the Tropospheric Monitor-ing Instrument (TROPOMI) (Veefkind et al., 2012). The database contains the TROPOMI surface DLER retrieved for 21
wavelength bands ranging from 328 to 2314 nm on a two-dimensional grid of the Earth's surface with a spatial resolution of 0.125° × 0.125°. This spatial resolution is higher than that of the heritage databases. The database includes a directional description of the surface reflection, as does the GOME-2 surface DLER database, but the angular dependence is improved with a third-order instead of a second-order parameterisation. The use of the TROPOMI instrument allows a wavelength band in the SWIR (at 2314 nm). The retrieval approach that was followed relies on heritage from previous climatologies, but has

65 been improved in a number of ways. The retrieval algorithm employs a combination of active and statistical cloud filtering which results in less cloud contamination in the database. Also, cloud shadows are not ignored but removed using a recently developed cloud shadow detection technique (Trees et al., 2022).

This paper has the following structure. Section 2 briefly introduces the TROPOMI instrument. Section 3 introduces the theory behind (directional) Lambertian surface reflection. In Sect. 4 the algorithm set-up and the retrieval code are discussed.

70 Examples of the anisotropic surface reflectivity observed by TROPOMI, and of cloud and aerosol features in the database, are presented in Sect. 5. Section 6 presents the results of a validation of the new TROPOMI surface DLER database. The paper ends in Sect. 7 with conclusions and an outlook to the future.

2 Description of TROPOMI

The TROPOMI instrument (Veefkind et al., 2012) was launched on 13 October 2017 on board the Sentinel-5 Precursor (S5P)
satellite. TROPOMI is the only instrument on S5P. The S5P satellite was brought into a near-polar, Sun-synchronous orbit, on average 824 km above the Earth's surface, with an orbital period of about 101 minutes. The local equator crossing time of the S5P satellite is 13:30 LT for the ascending node, which is very close to that of the Aura satellite hosting TROPOMI's predecessor OMI (Levelt et al., 2006).

TROPOMI is a nadir-looking spectrometer equipped with two-dimensional CCD and CMOS detectors (Ludewig et al., 2020). The spectral domain is observed in one dimension and an across-track slice of the Earth in the other. The orbit is scanned in by the forward movement of the satellite while the instrument is carrying out its measurements every 1.08 s. The footprint size was 7.2×3.6 km² from the start of the mission until 6 August 2018, on which day the footprint size was reduced to 5.6×3.6 km². The orbit swath is 2600 nm wide, which allows global coverage in one day.

As a spectrometer, TROPOMI covers the ultraviolet-visible wavelength range (UV-VIS, 267–499 nm), the near-infrared wavelength range (NIR, 661–786 nm), and the shortwave infrared wavelength range (SWIR, 2300–2389 nm). This is a large improvement compared to OMI, which only observes the UV-VIS wavelength range (270–500 nm). The extended wavelength range of TROPOMI (compared to OMI) makes it possible to retrieve additional trace gases, for example CO and CH₄, and to perform retrievals of cloud and aerosol properties using the O₂-A and O₂-B absorption bands.





3 Theory

90 3.1 Definitions

The top of the atmosphere (TOA) reflectance R is defined in this paper as follows:

$$R = \frac{\pi I}{\mu_0 E_0}.\tag{1}$$

In Eq. (1), I is the Earth radiance at the TOA, given in units of Wm⁻²sr⁻¹nm⁻¹. The symbol E_0 refers to the extraterrestrial solar irradiance perpendicular to the beam, given in units of Wm⁻²nm⁻¹. We have $\mu_0 = \cos\theta_0$, where θ_0 represents the solar

95 zenith angle. For the viewing direction we have in a similar way $\mu = \cos \theta$, with θ the viewing zenith angle. The viewing and solar azimuth angles are denoted by ϕ and ϕ_0 , respectively.

3.2 Lambertian-equivalent reflectivity

For clear-sky situations, the following relationship is known to be valid (Chandrasekhar, 1960):

$$R(\mu,\mu_0,\phi-\phi_0,A_{\rm s}) = R^0(\mu,\mu_0,\phi-\phi_0) + \frac{A_{\rm s}T(\mu,\mu_0)}{1-A_{\rm s}s^{\star}}.$$
(2)

- 100 The quantity R^0 is the path reflectance, which represents the contribution of the atmosphere to the TOA reflectance in the absence of surface reflection. That is, it is the reflectance of a Rayleigh atmosphere which is bounded below by a black surface. The second term in Eq. (2) represents the contribution of the surface to the TOA reflectance. It contains the (Lambertian) surface albedo A_s , the total transmission of the atmosphere T, and the spherical albedo s^* of the atmosphere illuminated from below by light reflected by the surface.
- From a measured TOA reflectance R^{obs} , the (Lambertian) surface albedo A_{s} can then be determined using Eq. (2):

$$A_{\rm s} = \frac{R_{\lambda}^{\rm obs} - R_{\lambda}^{\rm 0}}{T_{\lambda}(\mu, \mu_0) + s_{\lambda}^{\star}(R_{\lambda}^{\rm obs} - R_{\lambda}^{\rm 0})}.$$
(3)

The parameter A_s found in this approach is the so-called Lambertian-equivalent reflectivity (LER) of the surface.

3.3 Directional surface LER

115

The Lambertian-equivalent reflectivity (LER) of the surface as defined in Sect. 3.2 is in principle meant to be an isotropic property. In most situations in reality surface reflection is not isotropic. Indeed, for a better description of surface reflection one needs to use a BRDF, which is able to fully describe the dependence on the angles associated with the radiation reaching and leaving the surface. However, BRDFs cannot be used in algorithms that assume a Lambertian surface.

In a recent paper (Tilstra et al., 2021), the concept of directionally dependent Lambertian-equivalent reflectivity (DLER) was introduced. The surface DLER can be defined as a Lambertian surface albedo (LER) retrieved as a function of the viewing angle θ_v , which in this paper is defined as:

$$\theta_{\rm v} = \begin{cases} -\theta &, \text{ for the east viewing direction} \\ \theta &, \text{ for the west viewing direction} \end{cases}$$
(4)



120



The dependence on θ_0 and $\phi - \phi_0$ is effectively linked to the combination of θ_v and the geographical latitude via the orbit of the satellite instrument. This is a good approximation over the coarse of a single month. Because the DLER, like the traditional non-directional LER, is a Lambertian property, it can still be used as input in radiative transfer calculations in which Lambertian surface reflection is applied. The strength of the DLER is that it describes the anisotropy of the surface reflection in a very concise manner.

4 Algorithm set-up

The algorithm set-up is close to algorithm set-ups described earlier (Tilstra et al., 2017, 2021): The reflectance spectrum of each satellite footprint is transformed into a set of reflectances for 21 carefully defined wavelength bands (see Sect. 4.1). Next,

- 125 following Sect. 4.2, the band reflectances are converted into scene LER values by applying the atmospheric correction described in Sect. 3.2 and expressed by Eq. (3). After that, all scene LER observations that belong to a certain calendar month (e.g. March) are distributed onto a latitude/longitude grid. The surface LER is then retrieved for each grid cell from the distribution of the scene LER values, in the way described in Sect. 4.6. During the gridding of the data, active and statistical cloud filtering (see Sect. 4.3) and filtering for absorbing aerosol (see Sect. 4.5) is applied. Cloud shadows are also filtered out (see Sect. 4.4) as
- 130 well as data affected by solar eclipses. The additional steps needed to retrieve DLER, the directional LER, are discussed in Sect. 4.7. Finally, various post-processing corrections handle issues like cloud contamination and gaps due to polar night (see Sect. 4.8).

There are quite some differences of the algorithm compared to the previous algorithms. One important difference is the use of active cloud screening instead of relying purely on statistical cloud screening (see Sect. 4.3). This improves the quality of

- the database, especially for regions where cloud contamination is an issue. Note that the algorithm still uses statistical cloud screening as a second-stage cloud filter. As a result of the different cloud filtering procedure, the algorithm does not provide the so-called MIN-LER and MODE-LER fields that were part of earlier databases (e.g. Kleipool et al., 2008; Tilstra et al., 2017). Instead, the algorithm distinguishes between two types of grids representing snow/ice and snow/ice-free conditions (see Sect. 4.6). Another improvement is the cloud shadow detection and filtering (see Sect. 4.4). More detailed information about
 the algorithm cat up can be found in the ATRD (Tilstra et al., 2023).
- 140 the algorithm set-up can be found in the ATBD (Tilstra et al., 2023).

4.1 Wavelength bands

First, we decide on the best set of wavelength bands for the DLER database. In Table 1, the central wavelength and the bandwidth of the wavelength bands are presented, along with the instrument channel, or TROPOMI band, from which the wavelength bands originate. The 21 wavelength bands were mostly selected based on heritage considerations, i.e. their selection

145 was motivated by their presence in the heritage databases mentioned in the introduction of this paper. For the calculation of the reflectance bands from the reflectance spectrum a triangular weighting function w is used, which is defined in the following





Wavelength band	328	335	340	354	367	380	388	402	416	425	440
Instrument channel	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4
Central wavelength (nm)	328.0	335.0	340.0	354.0	367.0	380.0	388.0	402.0	416.0	425.0	440.0
Bandwidth (nm)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Spectral/monochromatic	S	М	М	М	М	М	М	М	М	М	М
O ₃ absorption	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
NO ₂ absorption	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
O ₂ -O ₂ absorption	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
O ₂ absorption	-	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
H ₂ O absorption	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Wavelength band	463	494	670	685	697	712	747	758	772	2314	
Instrument channel	4	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	7	
Central wavelength (nm)	463.0	494.0	670.0	685.0	696.97	712.7	747.0	758.0	772.0	2314.0	
Bandwidth (nm)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.5	
Spectral/monochromatic	М	М	М	М	S	S	М	S	S	М	
O ₃ absorption	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
NO ₂ absorption	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
O ₂ -O ₂ absorption	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
O ₂ absorption	_	_	_	_	+	+	_	+	+	_	
H ₂ O absorption	_	_	_	_	+	+	_	_	_	_	

Table 1. Definition of the wavelength bands and details of the radiative transfer calculations for atmospheric correction.

The reflectance calculations are performed using spectral band integration or monochromatically. For all wavelength bands absorption by ozone, NO_2 , and O_2 - O_2 is included. Absorption by oxygen is included for the 758 and 772-nm wavelength bands. For the 697 and 712-nm wavelength bands absorption by oxygen and water vapour is included.

way:

$$w_i^j = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{|\lambda_i - \lambda_j^{\rm c}|}{\omega_j} &, \quad \text{for } |\lambda_i - \lambda_j^{\rm c}| \le \omega_j \\ 0 &, \quad \text{for } |\lambda_i - \lambda_j^{\rm c}| > \omega_j \end{cases}$$
(5)

150

In Eq. (5), λ_i denotes the wavelength associated to detector pixel *i*, the parameter λ_j^c refers to the central wavelength of wavelength band *j*, as indicated in the third row of Table 1, and the parameter $2\omega_j$ is the full bandwidth of wavelength band *j*, as indicated in the fourth row of Table 1.

4.2 Calculating the scene LER

From the TOA reflectances, we compute the scene LER using Eq. (3). The parameters R^0 , T and s^* needed for that are calculated by the radiative transfer model "Doubling-Adding KNMI" (DAK) (de Haan, 1987; Stammes, 2001) and stored in look-up tables (LUTs) in a manner described in Tilstra et al. (2021). For the majority of the wavelength bands, monochromatic





calculations of the reflectances suffice. This is indicated in the fifth row of Table 1 with an "M". Monochromatic calculations are in these cases justified because the wavelength bands were positioned in the continuum parts of the spectrum. For a number of the wavelength bands, however, spectral calculations are needed. This is indicated in the fifth row of Table 1 with an "S". These wavelength bands are affected, to a small degree, by absorption of near-by absorption bands. Table 1 also lists the atmospheric species which are taken into account by the radiative transfer calculations. For instance, the wavelength bands at 697 and 712 nm, which are relevant to retrievals using the O_2 -B band (see e.g. Desmons et al., 2019), are under the influence

160

4.3 Cloud screening

of absorption by oxygen and water vapour.

Cloud screening on the scene LER is performed in two ways. First, active cloud filtering is applied by using cloud information
from the S5P NPP-VIIRS cloud information product, which is derived from observations by the Visible Infrared Imaging
Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) instrument located on the Suomi National Polar-orbiting Partnership (Suomi NPP) satellite. The
Suomi NPP satellite is in an orbit close to that of the S5P satellite, with a relatively small overpass time difference of 3
minutes. The S5P NPP-VIIRS product can provide accurate cloud information for each of the TROPOMI footprints. We use
the number of VIIRS observations which were confidently clear (N_{c.clr}), probably clear (N_{p.clr}), probably cloudy (N_{p.cld}),
and confidently cloudy (N_{c.cld}) (Siddans, 2016) to calculate a geometrical cloud fraction c_f:

$$c_{\rm f} = \frac{N_{\rm c.cld}}{N_{\rm c.clr} + N_{\rm p.clr} + N_{\rm c.cld} + N_{\rm p.cld}}.$$
(6)

This definition is different from previous definitions used in e.g. Tilstra et al. (2020). Because of this, the cloud filtering is less strict and only the undisputed cases of cloud cover are removed. The reason for selecting a less strict filtering is that too many scene LER observations were deleted as a result of incorrect cloud flagging because of, for instance, the 3-minute time
difference between Suomi NPP and S5P. Also, this first filtering is primarily used to reduce the amount of data involved and to remove the most obvious cases of cloud cover. The threshold for c_f was set to 0.03. After this initial active cloud filtering, statistical cloud filtering is applied, in the manner explained in Sect. 4.6.

4.4 Cloud shadow screening

180

Cloud shadows can significantly reduce the reflectance measured by TROPOMI and can lower the retrieved scene LER such that it can even become negative (Trees et al., 2022, Fig. 5).¹ To be able to filter out scene LER observations affected by cloud shadows we implemented the cloud shadow detection algorithm DARCLOS by Trees et al. (2022). This algorithm is based on a two-step approach. In the first step, a potential cloud shadow flag (PCSF) is calculated. This flag is based on the geometrical situation at hand: the position of the Sun, the viewing direction, and the height of the cloud responsible for the cloud shadow. The PCSF is designed to filter out cloud shadows, but it does it very rigorously, thereby throwing away too many observations.

¹Cloud shadow was not a serious problem for earlier surface albedo databases based on, for instance, SCIAMACHY or GOME-2, because of the large footprint sizes of the measurements. The fraction of the footprint area covered in shadow is therefore relatively low for these instruments.





185 For that reason, we do not use it as a direct filter, but use it in combination with the second step of the cloud shadow filtering approach.

In this second step, the spectral cloud shadow flag (SCSF) is calculated. The SCSF is determined using a contrast parameter which is based on the measured scene LER value and the expected surface DLER value:

$$\Gamma(\lambda) = \frac{A_{\text{scene}}(\lambda) - A_{\text{DLER}}(\lambda)}{A_{\text{DLER}}(\lambda)} \times 100\%.$$
(7)

- 190 According to Trees et al. (2022), observations with Γ smaller than -15% are most likely affected by cloud shadows. The combined cloud shadow filtering approach consists of filtering out observations for which (1) the PCSF was raised while at the same time (2) the contrast parameter Γ is smaller than -15%. This works well, but the complication here is that we have to use the DLER as input for the DLER algorithm itself. This hurdle is by-passed by using a version of the DLER created without cloud shadow filtering. As a result, only the strongest cases of cloud shadow are removed.
- For the cloud information needed by the cloud shadow detection algorithm (cloud fraction and cloud height) we use the TROPOMI FRESCO cloud product (Wang et al., 2008, 2012). The settings that were used were taken from Trees et al. (2022). Cloud shadows are removed in almost all cases, at least to the level that they can no longer be detected by eye.

4.5 Aerosol screening

For aerosol detection and subsequent filtering of the scene LER observations we make use the absorbing aerosol index (AAI)
(Torres et al., 1998; de Graaf et al., 2005) to detect high levels of absorbing aerosols. The threshold on the AAI was set to 2 index points. This type of filtering does not remove scattering aerosol, but scattering aerosol increases the scene LER and is therefore removed automatically because the algorithm is looking for the lowest scene LER values to determine the surface LER.

4.6 Calculating the surface LER

- 205 For each calendar month, the observations from all available mission years which are considered cloud-free, clouds shadowfree, and aerosol-free by the screening steps are mapped onto a 0.125 by 0.125 degrees latitude/longitude grid. The distribution of the scene LER values of each grid cell is then analysed at a reference wavelength band. This reference wavelength is the longest wavelength in the band duo: 494 nm for band duo 3/4, 772 nm for band duo 5/6 and 2314 nm for band 7 (see Table 1). There are actually two latitude/longitude grids involved: the first grid, called the "clear" grid, only receives observations of
- 210 snow/ice-free scenes. The second grid only receives observations of scenes which contain snow, permanent ice, or sea ice, and is called the "snice" grid.

For the "clear" grid, the observed scene LER values are sorted at the reference wavelength and the 10% observations having the lowest scene LER values at the reference wavelength are taken apart. From these the average scene LER spectrum is determined, and the result is considered to be the snow/ice-free surface LER spectrum of the grid cell in question. For

215 the "snice" grid, the histogram of the scene LER distribution is analysed and the histogram bin containing the mode of the distribution is determined. The scenes which fall in the "mode" histogram bin at the reference wavelength are taken apart and







Figure 1. Polar maps of the "clear" and "snice" surface LER fields at 772 nm for two different calendar months. To be more specific: (a) March clear field, (b) September clear field, (c) March snice field, (d) September snice field.

the average scene LER spectrum is determined from these. For snow/ice scenes, the mode of the scene LER distribution is considered to be representative for the surface LER (Kleipool et al., 2008; Tilstra et al., 2017). The result is therefore assumed to be the snow/ice surface LER spectrum of the grid cell in question.

220

Both the "clear" and "snice" grids are incomplete because the requirements w.r.t. the presence or absence of snow/ice cannot always be fulfilled. Gaps are corrected afterwards by copying the missing information from one grid to the other. Figure 1 shows examples of the two grids. The four images show the "clear" and "snice" surface LER grids in the polar regions for two months. Figures 1a and b show the "clear" field for the months March and September, while Figs. 1c and d show the "snice" field for these months. The four figures illustrate that the surface condition extremes (snow or snow-free; ice or icefree) are contained in the TROPOMI surface DLER climatology. The user of the TROPOMI surface DLER database receives 225 two complete grids to choose from. The "clear" grid is to be used if the user needs snow/ice-free surface albedo, and the "snice" grid is to be used if the user needs surface albedo for snow/ice-presence.

Calculating the DLER 4.7

The directional dependence of the surface LER is retrieved in the way best explained by Fig. 2. The figure shows an artificial BRDF, representative for vegetated surfaces. TROPOMI is able to observe such a scene from many different viewing angles 230 over the course of a month. In the retrieval code, the viewing angle range available for this is cut up into nine viewing angle







Figure 2. Illustration of an artificial BRDF, representative for vegetated surfaces, and the TROPOMI viewing angle range cut up into nine viewing angle containers. A scene is observed multiple times in the course of a month from these nine viewing angle segments.

containers and the normal surface LER retrieval is performed for each of these nine containers. This results in nine surface LER values, which, as a function of viewing angle θ_{y} , are fitted by a third-order polynomial. The DLER can then be parameterised in the following way. We parameterise the DLER as in Tilstra et al. (2021), but add a third order term:

$$235 \quad A_{\text{DLER}} = A_{\text{LER}} + c_0 + c_1 \cdot \theta_{\text{v}} + c_2 \cdot \theta_{\text{v}}^2 + c_3 \cdot \theta_{\text{v}}^3. \tag{8}$$

In Eq. (8), the directional surface LER is expressed as an extension on top of the non-directional surface LER. The values of A_{LER} and of the polynomial coefficients c_0, c_1, c_2 , and c_3 are contained in the database file. The polynomial coefficients are equal to zero for water surfaces. That is, over water surfaces the DLER is identical to the LER and as such represents the diffuse component of the reflection by the water surface (Liu et al., 2020).

240 4.8 Post-processing the surface LER and DLER

Several post-processing corrections are conducted to remove imperfections from the surface LER and DLER database. Cloud contamination over the oceans can be detected relatively easily, by checking if the surface LER value exceeds a certain threshold. This is done per band duo, at the longest wavelength band of the band duo. If cloud contamination is detected, then the post-processing correction starts looking for suitable, near-by donor cells. In all cases such a donor cell can be found and the surface LER from the donor cell is copied to the cloud contaminated grid cell. Flags are set to log the situation. This correction

is particularly important for the ocean region near 60°S, where the view to the surface is almost always obstructed by clouds. Another issue that needs to be addressed is that of missing data due to polar night. Correcting for this phenomenon is mostly a cosmetic procedure, and not relevant for retrievals based on passive instruments. The issue of missing data (empty grid cells) is remedied by the post-processing step by searching for donor cells in other months at exactly the same location. The month nearest in time is used for that.

250

245

Other tasks by the post-processing step are performing sanity checking and performing the error calculation. These tasks are described in the ATBD (Tilstra et al., 2023).





5 Examples and results

Here, we analyse various properties of the surface LER and DLER database. First, in Sect. 5.1 we study the anisotropy of the
Earth's land surface reflectance. In Sect. 5.2 we study the retrieved directional dependence for several surface type regions, as
well as its seasonal dependence. In Sect. 5.3 we search for signs of cloud and aerosol contamination in the database. Section 5.4
illustrates how cloud shadows are removed successfully while creating the database.

5.1 Surface anisotropy

The directional dependence of the surface reflection can be studied by examining the surface anisotropy parameter. In this paper, this parameter is defined as the difference between the TROPOMI surface DLER at viewing angles θ_v of -45° (east viewing direction) and $+45^\circ$ (west viewing direction). The results that are shown in Sect. 5.2 confirm that this is a proper definition. In Fig. 3 we have plotted the surface anisotropy parameter for calendar month March and for three wavelength bands: 772, 670, and 463 nm. For 772 nm the anisotropy is quite large, with values reaching 0.16, which corresponds to about 45–80% of the surface DLER at the west viewing direction. These high values are reached for vegetated surfaces and latitudes between 60°S and 60°N. For desert surfaces the anisotropy is much smaller, with values reaching 0.04 or 10–20%. The findings

- 265 between 60°S and 60°N. For desert surfaces the anisotropy is much smaller, with values reaching 0.04 or 10–20%. The findings are in agreement with earlier findings reported in a previous paper about surface DLER from the GOME-2 instruments (see Tilstra et al., 2021, Sect. 6.1). Here surface anisotropy values of 0.2 (vegetation) and 0.05–0.10 (desert) were reported. The values observed by GOME-2 are larger because of the different overpass time of the GOME-2 instruments (09:30 LT), which results in much more asymmetry in the scattering geometries going from east to west across the orbit swath.
- For 670 nm, the surface anisotropy parameter derived from the TROPOMI surface DLER database is much smaller than at 772 nm. This is partly because the surface reflectance itself is smaller. For vegetated surfaces the anisotropy parameter now reaches 0.04 (30–40%); for desert surfaces the numbers are 0.04 (10–20%). At 463 nm, the land surface anisotropy is mild. Values reach about 0.02 for both vegetation and desert, but because of the different reflectance levels the percentages are different (vegetation: 20–40%; desert: ~10%). Looking at all these numbers and comparing these with previous values found for the GOME-2 surface DLER database, we conclude that the surface anisotropy observed by TROPOMI is smaller than that observed by GOME-2, but still significant. The surface anisotropy will be studied more closely in the next section.

5.2 Directional dependence

280

The anisotropy of the reflectivity of the Earth's surface as observed by the TROPOMI instrument is illustrated in Fig. 4 for a number of land surface types. Table 2 lists the nine land surface type regions that were defined for this purpose. Next to the indicated constraint on the Matthews land usage (Matthews, 1983), restrictions were also set on latitude and longitude. Figure 4 presents the TROPOMI surface DLER as a function of the viewing angle θ_v defined in Eq. (4) for the nine surface types regions and for four calendar months. The solid curves represent the average surface DLER, which is the mean of the surface DLER values of the participating grid cells. The colour of the curves relates to one of the calendar months as indicated







Figure 3. Global maps of the surface anisotropy parameter, which is defined as the difference between the TROPOMI surface DLER at viewing angles of -45° and $+45^{\circ}$. The results are shown for calendar month March and for three of the wavelength bands. For vegetated surfaces the surface anisotropy parameter can be quite large. This is especially the case for wavelengths beyond 700 nm.

285

by the legend provided in the "Australian desert" window. The grey curves in Fig. 4 were added to give an idea of the spread in the DLER values. This spread is defined as 2.35 times the standard deviation in the surface DLER data.

The three desert surface regions all show more or less similar behaviour. The dependence on the viewing angle is mild, with variations over the viewing range between 8 and 15% for the "Sahara desert" and the "Arabian Peninsula" regions, and between 20 and 40% for the "Australian desert" region. The average surface DLER is the lowest for the Australian desert. This is most





Description	Matthews land type	Latitude range	Longitude range
Sahara desert	30	16–27°N	$12^{\circ}W-15^{\circ}E$
Arabian Peninsula	30	15–34°N	37–61°E
Australian desert	30	15-30°S	114–145°E
Shrubland	21	36–52°N	45–114°E
Evergreen woodland	13	36–45°N	$10^{\circ}W-4^{\circ}E$
Amazonian tropical rainforests	1	15° S -10° N	$40-85^{\circ}W$
Asian (sub-)tropical forests	2,5,7,9	10-35°N	70–125°E
Deciduous forests	9–11	$0-40^{\circ}N$	_
Grasslands	23–28	35°S-35°N	_

Table 2. Definition of the surface type regions studied in Fig. 4.

likely caused by the higher levels of vegetation for this region. The temporal behaviour of the Australian desert is different,however, as it is shifted with respect to that of the "Sahara desert" and "Arabian Peninsula" regions. The explanation for this is that the Australian desert is located on the Southern hemisphere. This not only results in a seasonal variation in the surface BRDF due to e.g. seasonal changes in vegetation, but also due to the seasonal variation in the solar angles that go into the surface BRDF.

The "Shrubland" and "Evergreen woodland" surface type regions are both located in the Northern Hemisphere. They show similar behaviour, with a mild to strong directional dependence and a fairly strong temporal dependence. The surface albedo values are highest for the months May and August, but the angular variation is strongest for the months February and November.

The "Amazonian tropical rainforests" surface type shows different behaviour than the other vegetated surface type regions. The dependence on viewing angle is quite strong. For instance, for the month November (blue curve) the mean surface DLER varies from 0.25 for θ_v of +55° to 0.46 for θ_v of -55°. This corresponds to an increase of 83%. Also the temporal behaviour is different than that of the other vegetated surface type regions. The calendar months February and November show higher values and a stronger anisotropy than the calendar months May and August. This is reversed with respect to the other vegetated surface type region. Note that all other vegetated surface types regions except the "Grasslands" one are located in the Northern hemisphere.

305

300

The "Asian (sub-)tropical forest" and the "Deciduous forests" surface type regions both show maximum surface DLER in calendar month August. The temporal variation is large. The "Grasslands" surface type region on the other hand shows a very low temporal variation. Similar behaviour was also found earlier from the GOME-2 surface DLER database (Tilstra et al., 2021, Sect. 6.2). In general, the surface anisotropy found for TROPOMI is weaker than that found for GOME-2. This is directly related to the different equator crossing times of the two instruments (TROPOMI: 13:30 LT; GOME-2: 09:30 LT). Results for some of the other wavelength bands can be found in Figs. S1–S3 in the Supplement.







Figure 4. TROPOMI surface DLER at 772 nm as a function of the viewing angle θ_v for the nine land surface type regions defined in Table 2. The coloured curves represent the average surface DLER for the calendar months indicated by the legend (February, May, August, November). The grey curves represent the spread in the surface DLER values, calculated as 2.35 times the standard deviation.

310 5.3 Cloud and aerosol contamination

Despite cloud and aerosol screening and post-processing steps, some degree of cloud and aerosol contamination is unavoidably still left in the final database. Figure 5 presents false colour composite images determined from the retrieved TROPOMI surface LER values, for four calendar months. The images were created using the 402, 494, and 670-nm surface LER intensities serving







Figure 5. False colour composite images, created using the 402, 494, and 670-nm TROPOMI surface LER values, for four calendar months. While producing images, the surface reflectivity was increased to better emphasise the presence of cloud and aerosol contamination, leading to saturation and discolouration over some of the desert areas. Certain regions show signs of cloud contamination. The impact of persistent aerosol plumes can also been seen in some cases. In window (b) signs of cloud shadow impact can be seen in the southern regions.

as the blue, green, and red components, respectively. Cloud contamination would reveal itself by leaving a grey haze in Fig. 5.
From earlier analyses performed using previous surface LER databases (see e.g. Koelemeijer et al., 2003; Kleipool et al., 2008; Tilstra et al., 2017) it is known which areas are likely to suffer from cloud contamination. These areas include (i) the northern part of South America, mainly from June till October, (ii) tropical Africa, near the Gulf of Guinea, mainly from January till April, and (iii) certain parts of the ocean.

320

Indeed, in Fig. 5a one can observe a grey haze in the northern part of South America, near Ecuador. The extent and thickness of the grey haze is smaller than observed for the previous surface LER databases, but it shows that cloud contamination does occur. In the same way, cloud contamination can be observed in Figs. 5b,c near the coast of Guinea. Also in this case the cloud contamination is less strong compared to the previous surface LER databases. This is mainly owing to the smaller footprint size of the TROPOMI instrument, but also the use of active cloud filtering (on top of the statistical approach taken for the previous surface LER databases) may have resulted in less severe residual cloud contamination.





Over the ocean, several examples of cloud contamination can be found, at locations depending on the calendar month. In September (Fig. 5c) a clear grey feature near Angola can be seen. This feature is related to aerosol and cloud presence. The aerosols are biomass burning aerosols which are transported westward over the ocean. Note that the grey feature is also visible over the continent itself, not just over the ocean. In Fig. 5a,b one can also see a grey feature between the north of Africa and the north of South America. These are dust aerosols originating from the desert regions in the north of the African continent and travelling over the Atlantic Ocean. In conclusion, cloud and aerosol contamination are both present in the TROPOMI surface LER database, although less severe than in previous LER databases.

5.4 Impact of cloud shadows

The impact of cloud shadows is not clearly visible in Fig. 5. This is a direct consequence of the cloud shadow screening method introduced in Sect. 4.4. To prove this, Fig. 6 demonstrates the necessity for including cloud shadow filtering in the retrieval. In the left window, a 494-nm "clear" surface LER field is shown which was retrieved from TROPOMI observations from February 2021. The field is based on only one month of data and no post-processing corrections were applied. The field is therefore a very raw field, derived from a low number of observations. This is needed for Fig. 6, however, to be able to emphasise the impact of cloud shadows can be seen, taken into account by the retrieval algorithm which is focused on the left window, individual cloud shadows. Note that because of the large solar zenith angles involved, the dark stripes caused by cloud shadows are relatively thick. In the right window of Fig. 6 the surface LER field was derived from the same data as in



Figure 6. Left: The presence of cloud shadows (recognisable as dark stripes) in raw and incomplete surface LER fields of February 2021. The 494-nm "clear" LER field is shown. Right: The same, but now with cloud shadow detection and filtering applied.





the left window, but now with the cloud shadow filtering applied in the retrieval. The black stripes were removed in almost all cases. This means, that the cloud shadow screening is successful.

345 6 Validation

The validation of the (non-directional) TROPOMI surface LER database was based on comparison with several heritage surface LER databases. The validation results are presented in Sect. 6.1. The validation of the (directional) TROPOMI surface DLER database was based on comparison with the established MODIS surface BRDF database. These validation results are presented in Sect. 6.2.

350 6.1 Comparison with heritage LER databases

6.1.1 Approach

In this section we compare the non-directional TROPOMI surface LER with heritage databases based on the GOME-1, OMI, SCIAMACHY, and GOME-2 instruments. These databases are non-directional, except for the GOME-2 DLER database. However, the DLER expansion of the GOME-2 DLER is valid for the 09:30 LT overpass time of GOME-2, and not for the 13:30 LT overpass time of TROPOMI. We perform the comparisons for all wavelength bands that can be compared. The results will con-

sist of global maps and histograms of the differences. In the Supplement, tables with detailed validation results will additionally be provided.

6.1.2 Results

355

- Figure 7 presents global maps of the differences between the TROPOMI surface LER and the SCIAMACHY surface LER
 databases. The differences were determined for the month March and for the four indicated mutual wavelength bands. For the TROPOMI database the snow/ice-free "clear" field was selected; for the SCIAMACHY database the "MIN-LER" field was selected. A grey colour is used for grid cells in the TROPOMI surface LER database which were flagged as corrected for missing data. These are typically grid cells that encountered snow cover during the entire month. From Fig. 7 it can be seen that there is good agreement over the oceans but for the longer wavelengths there are issues over land. For 758 nm,
- 365 TROPOMI shows higher values over the desert areas, but lower values over vegetated regions. Here the deviations could be caused by the different overpass times of the TROPOMI and SCIAMACHY satellite instruments, because the surface reflection strongly depends on the solar geometry. For 2314 nm, the differences are harder to explain and surface type alone cannot be the only explanation for the differences because Sahara desert (blue, negative) and Australian desert (red, positive) deviate very differently.
- 370 In Fig. 8 the results for the comparison with the OMI surface LER are shown. Note the different set of wavelength bands compared to Fig. 7. For the shortest wavelength, 380 nm, the differences are similar to the TROPOMI–SCIAMACHY result for 380 nm shown in Fig. 7. This is not surprising as the SCIAMACHY and OMI surface LER databases were found to agree





375

380



Figure 7. Global maps of the differences between the TROPOMI surface LER and the SCIAMACHY surface LER for the month March, for four of the wavelength bands. A grey colour is used for donor grid cells and also for grid cells with snow or ice presence.

quite well in the past (see e.g. Tilstra et al., 2017, Fig. 12). Nevertheless, the agreement with OMI is clearly better. For the longer wavelength bands, the agreement between TROPOMI and OMI is also good, also for both land and sea surfaces. The good agreement between TROPOMI and OMI could be expected, because of the orbital similarities and the similar equator passing times. Some mild features are nevertheless visible in Fig. 8.

Finally, Fig. 9 presents results from the intercomparison between surface LER databases from TROPOMI and GOME-2. There are now some stronger differences visible. At 380 nm, the thick blue haze suggest a systematic difference between TROPOMI and GOME-2 surface LER. The difference, of up to about -0.02, is caused primarily by a known offset of 0.01–0.02 in the GOME-2 surface LER due to calibration errors (Tilstra et al., 2017). This means that we can conclude that the TROPOMI surface LER should be fairly ok at 380 nm. For the longer wavelengths, there is better agreement between TROPOMI and GOME-2. In fact, compared to the TROPOMI–SCIAMACHY LER comparison in Fig. 7, there are very few differences.

To further study the differences, we determine histograms of the differences. Figure 10 presents a selection of the results. The histograms were based on the grid cells between 60°S and 60°N in order to skip the polar regions and to avoid variable snow/ice conditions. In Fig. 10a the histogram is that of the difference between the TROPOMI surface LER and the GOME-1 surface LER, for 380, 440, 494 and 758 nm. The agreement is rather poor: the distributions are fairly wide and they are shifted with respect to the expected zero difference. It is at this point a bit premature to blame the GOME-1 surface LER database for the







Figure 8. Global maps of the differences between the TROPOMI surface LER and the OMI surface LER for the month March, for four of the wavelength bands. For TROPOMI the snow/ice-free "clear" field is used, and for OMI the "MIN-LER" field.

differences. Nevertheless, the GOME-1 surface LER database is the most likely candidate to blame because similar behaviour was seen when this database was compared to the SCIAMACHY, GOME-2, and OMI surface LER databases (Tilstra et al., 2017).

Fig. 10b presents the differences between the TROPOMI surface LER and the GOME-2 surface LER. Here the distributions are less wide and, more importantly, they are becoming less wide with increasing wavelength. This is the expected behaviour, because the surface albedo over water decreases rapidly with increasing wavelength. Additionally, the centre positions of the histograms are close to zero, with the exception of the 380-nm histogram. As reported earlier (Tilstra et al., 2017), there is a radiometric calibration error impacting the retrieved GOME-2 surface LER for wavelengths up to 400 nm. This explains the

395

390

In Fig. 10c the surface LER difference histograms of the TROPOMI–SCIAMACHY intercomparison are presented. Note that a fifth histogram for the 2314-nm wavelength band was added. The agreement is good for all wavelength bands, with systematic deviations smaller than 0.01 in all cases. Finally, in Fig. 10d the histograms from the TROPOMI–OMI surface LER comparison are shown. The agreement is again good for all wavelengths and the systematic deviations are within 0.01.

shift of the 380-nm histogram with respect to zero. For the longer wavelength bands, however, there clearly is good agreement.

400







Figure 9. Global maps of the differences between the TROPOMI surface LER and the GOME-2 surface LER for the month March, for four of the wavelength bands.

For each of the reference databases, histograms were analysed for each calendar month and the central position and width (FHWM) of the distributions were recorded for each wavelength band. In the Supplement, Tables S1–S3 present these results. No dependence on calendar month was found.

6.2 Comparison with MODIS BRDF

405 **6.2.1** Approach

In this section we compare the TROPOMI surface DLER with MODIS surface BRDF data. Because DLER and BRDF are fundamentally different properties (see Tilstra et al., 2021, Sect. 3.3), we cannot expect to find a perfect agreement between the two. For the UV wavelength range, the Rayleigh optical thickness is high, and there is substantial multiple scattering. In these circumstances there will be quite some light which visits the surface more than once. But, for the longest wavelengths

most radiation is only scattered once (and only at the surface). In such cases the DLER and BRDF should be much more alike.

410

We will, therefore, restrict ourselves to the longer wavelengths in the comparisons.

We will compare the TROPOMI DLER and MODIS BRDF databases for the following surface type regions:

1. Libyan desert (25–29°N ; 23–27°E ; February)







Figure 10. Histograms of the differences between the TROPOMI surface LER database and (i) the GOME-1 surface LER database, (b) the GOME-2 surface LER database, (c) the SCIAMACHY surface LER database, and (d) the OMI surface LER database. The histograms were determined for land and water surfaces, for the month of March, for the indicated wavelength bands. To avoid the polar regions, the histograms were based only on grid cells between 60° S and 60° N. In general, there is good agreement.

- 2. Sahara desert (16–20°N; 11–15°E; February)
- 415
- 3. North America (32–40°N ; 85–100°W ; February)
 - 4. Equatorial Africa (1–7°S ; 17–29°E ; February)
 - 5. Amazonian rainforest (5–15°S ; 55–65°W ; February)
 - 6. Northern Africa (16–29°N ; $8^{\circ}W$ –30°E ; February)
 - 7. Australia (21–29°S ; 121–143°E ; February)
- 420 8. Greenland (67–78°N ; 35–49°W ; August)

The comparison for cases 1–7 were performed for 15 February 2019. This means that the snow-free MODIS MCD43C2 product from 15 February 2019 was used, and that calendar month February from the TROPOMI DLER database was used.





For case 8 the results were obtained for 16 August 2019. For this particular case the snow/ice-containing MODIS MCD43C1 product was used. The more technical details are described in Appendix A.

425 6.2.2 Results

430

Figure 11 presents a number of representative results from the comparisons between TROPOMI surface DLER and MODIS surface BRDF. To study the performance of the DLER with respect to the LER, also the TROPOMI LER is compared to the MODIS BRDF. In Fig. 11, the first and third column present TROPOMI LER versus MODIS BRDF, for the eight geographical regions defined in Sect. 6.2.1. In a similar way, the second and fourth column in Fig. 11 present TROPOMI DLER against MODIS BRDF. For the Libyan and Sahara desert regions, the optimal wavelength bands are 670 nm for the LER/DLER and 645 nm for the MODIS BRDF. These two wavelength bands are relatively close to each other and long enough to expect a decent agreement between DLER and BRDF. Notice that the LER data points are clustered in horizontal stripes with colours ranging from blue to red, where blue indicates east viewing direction and red indicates west viewing direction. This is because

435 definition, causing the occurrence of the horizontal stripes.

The improvement in going from LER to DLER is already clear by looking at the data points and the linear fits to the data points (represented by the black solid lines). It can be quantified by calculating Pearson's correlation coefficient (r), the standard deviation of the data points with respect to the linear fit (σ) and the slope and intercept of the linear fit. All these properties are shown in Fig. 11. For the Libyan and Sahara desert, Pearson's r was already pointing to a high correlation for

we simulate the TROPOMI viewing angle range from east to west (see Appendix A), and the LER is non-directional by

the LER–BRDF comparison but it increases further to strong correlation for the DLER–BRDF comparison. Furthermore, the standard deviation σ is reduced considerably, which also points to a better agreement between DLER and BRDF than between LER and BRDF.

The region named "North America" in Fig. 11 is mainly covered by vegetation, which is reflected by the larger viewing angle dependence. The improvement in going from LER to DLER is therefore quite large. For the region named "Equatorial Africa"

- 445 we selected different wavelength bands: 772 nm for the LER/DLER and 859 nm for MODIS BRDF. We do this to pass by the vegetation red edge, so that the surface albedo and its anisotropy are larger and therefore better to observe. The downside is that there is a larger mismatch between the two wavelength bands, which reduces the expected agreement. However, the improvement in going from LER to DLER is still quite clear. This is also the case for the Amazonia region. Surfaces with vegetation benefit the most from the transition from LER to DLER.
- To study the performance for snow/ice situations the Greenland region was included. The comparison could only be performed for the months March–September, because of missing data due to polar night in the other months. The results were obtained for the month August. For this month, melting of the Greenland ice sheet causes a larger variation in the surface albedo values, which is good for the analysis that we want to perform. For the Greenland site, both r and σ indicate improvement going from LER to DLER.







Figure 11. TROPOMI surface LER (first and third column) and DLER (second and fourth column) versus MODIS surface BRDF for several geographical regions (indicated by the plot titles). The wavelength bands that were used are indicated in the axes labels. The intercomparison were performed for the month February, except for the Greenland region, for which August was used. In each window, the dashed line indicates the one-to-one relationship and the black line represents a linear fit to the data points. The fit results are discussed in Sect. 6.2.2.



460



6.3 Discussion of results 455

In general, there is good agreement between the TROPOMI surface LER and the heritage surface LER databases based on GOME-1, OMI, SCIAMACHY and GOME-2. From the global difference maps presented in Figs. 7–9 we concluded that the best agreement is found when the TROPOMI surface LER is compared with the OMI surface LER. This can be understood by looking at the orbital and instrumental similarities of the two satellite instruments. The TROPOMI and OMI instruments have similar designs and their orbits have comparable local equator crossing times (13:30 and 13:45 LT, respectively). The similarity in overpass time means that the solar geometries are more or less the same. This means that the viewing and solar angles that define the surface BRDF are similar, resulting in a reliable comparison. But also the agreement with the SCIAMACHY and GOME-2 surface LER databases is satisfactory.

- More quantitative results, derived from histograms such as the ones presented in Fig. 10, were derived for all calendar 465 months. For the wavelength bands from 328 to 494 nm we find accuracies within 0.01–0.02 when we compare with the OMI and SCIAMACHY surface LER databases. This is well within the accuracy requirements of 0.03+10%. For the longer wavelengths (670–2314 nm) we found biases below 0.003, which is better and well within the accuracy requirements of 0.02+10%. A dependence on calendar month was not found. Detailed results can be found in the Supplement (Figs. S4–S6 and Tables S1-S3).
- 470 Good agreement between TROPOMI surface DLER and MODIS surface BRDF was found for a range of surface type regions. In all cases, the DLER performed significantly better than the LER. The comparison was in most cases performed for the DLER wavelength band at 670 nm and the MODIS band at 645 nm. This is the only combination of wavelength bands which can be used for a quantitative analysis, so the conclusion of good agreement in principle only applies to the 670 nm wavelength band. However, combined with the qualitative results from longer wavelength bands we expect that the angular dependence is correct for all wavelength bands. 475

The comparison showed that there is a good correlation between DLER and BRDF, with correlation coefficients close to one. The slopes of the linear fits were in most cases also close to one, but it is not easy to draw conclusions from them for various reasons. One reason is the already mentioned mismatch between wavelength bands of TROPOMI DLER and MODIS BRDF. Another factor may be the existence of radiometric calibration errors. Calibration errors were found in the past for TROPOMI

480 spectral band 3 and 4, with reported errors between 6% and 10% (Tilstra et al., 2020). However, these numbers apply to an older version of the TROPOMI level-1 data (v1.0.0). The calibration should have been improved significantly for the version of the level-1 data that were used for the creation of the DLER database (v2.1.0). Moreover, the DLER wavelength bands that were compared with MODIS BRDF were derived from TROPOMI spectral bands 5-7, not from spectral bands 3 or 4.

In the comparison the MODIS BRDF from one day was compared with the DLER representative for the entire month. This 485 is not optimal and can cause differences. Another factor to take into account is the fact that DLER and BRDF are fundamentally different properties (cf. Sect. 6.2.1). There are, in practice, many factors that prevent a perfect comparison between TROPOMI DLER and MODIS BRDF. However, the goal of the comparison was not to perform a very reliable validation. The comparison





with MODIS BRDF does clearly show that the DLER is a considerable improvement on the LER. In the Supplement, more detailed results can be found in Figs. S7–S15.

490 7 Conclusions

This paper introduced a new surface albedo climatology of directionally dependent Lambertian-equivalent reflectivity (DLER) observed by the TROPOMI instrument on the Sentinel-5 Precursor satellite. The database contains monthly fields of DLER for 21 wavelength bands at a relatively high spatial resolution of $0.125^{\circ} \times 0.125^{\circ}$. The anisotropy of the surface reflection is handled by parameterisation of the viewing angle dependence.

- 495 The surface anisotropy and the seasonal cycle captured by the DLER were studied for various surface types. The behaviour was found to be similar to behaviour also found earlier in the GOME-2 surface DLER climatology. Differences in behaviour were also found but these could be related to the difference in solar position due to difference in the overpass times of GOME-2 and TROPOMI.
- The TROPOMI surface DLER database was validated first by intercomparison of the non-directional surface LER with 500 traditional surface LER databases based on GOME-1, OMI, SCIAMACHY and GOME-2. The comparisons with OMI and SCIAMACHY showed good qualitative and quantitative agreement for all wavelength bands and for all calendar months. The accuracies were found to be below 0.01–0.02 for the shorter wavelengths (328–494 nm). These accuracies are below the requirements that were set (0.03+10%). For the longer wavelengths (670–2314 nm) we found biases below 0.003. These accuracies are well below the accuracy requirements (0.02+10%). The comparison with GOME-2 showed good agreement
- 505 for the longer wavelengths. For the shorter wavelengths, biases were found which could be linked to systematic biases in the GOME-2 surface LER database for these wavelengths. These systematic biases for the GOME-2 surface LER database were reported earlier (Tilstra et al., 2017). For the TROPOMI surface LER database, the overall conclusion is that all requirements are met.
- Next, the TROPOMI surface DLER database was compared with MODIS surface BRDF for a collection of scenes. The non-directional TROPOMI LER, which is also available in the DLER database, was also compared with MODIS BRDF to study the improvement in going from LER to DLER. The LER shows fair to reasonable correlation with MODIS BRDF, but the DLER is clearly better and has good to very good correlation with MODIS BRDF. The comparison was performed for various wavelength bands, but only the results from the comparison at 670 nm can be interpreted in a fairly quantitative way. Even for this wavelength band there are complications preventing a quantitative comparison, such as the difference in
- 515 wavelength between the TROPOMI wavelength band used (670 nm) and the MODIS BRDF wavelength band used (645 nm). Nevertheless, the numbers that are found point to a good correlation between TROPOMI DLER and MODIS BRDF, and the conclusion is that the DLER is an important improvement on the traditional, non-directional LER. Detailed information about the results is provided in the Supplement.

The TROPOMI surface DLER database is being used in the official TROPOMI data processing as input for various level-2 products, including the ozone profile retrieval, the retrieval of nitrogen dioxide, the FRESCO cloud product retrieval, and the





retrievals of aerosol layer height and aerosol optical thickness. The TROPOMI surface DLER database can also be used for the support of retrievals from observations made by the OMI instrument, because the overpass times of TROPOMI and OMI are very similar. The DLER algorithm setup that was presented in this paper can be adapted to retrieve DLER from other instruments as well, for instance, from Sentinel-5 and 3MI on the MetOp-SG-A1 satellite to be launched in 2025, from OLCI on the Sentinel-3 satellites, and from the future CO2M mission.

525

Data availability. The TROPOMI surface DLER database can be downloaded from the TEMIS website via the following URL: https://www.temis.nl/surface/albedo/tropomi_ler.php.

Appendix A: Definition of viewing and solar geometries

To be able to compare TROPOMI surface DLER and MODIS surface BRDF we first need to define the viewing and solar angles that are involved. We start out with an artificial array of (signed) viewing angles θ_v which range between -66.3° and +66.3° in 101 steps, where the minus sign indicates an east viewing direction and the plus sign indicates a west viewing direction. Already this definition of θ_v is enough to calculate the TROPOMI DLER for any of the grid cells inside the TROPOMI surface DLER field.

Finding the complete set of angles needed for calculating MODIS surface BRDF (θ, θ₀, φ - φ₀) is a bit more complicated.
For every artificial θ_v of the grid cell at hand we determine the associated solar zenith angle θ₀ and relative azimuth angle φ - φ₀. This is done on the basis of the TROPOMI viewing and solar geometry from a TROPOMI orbit of the same day, using only θ_v and the central latitude of the grid cell as input. The viewing zenith angle θ is by definition the absolute value of θ_v. In other words: θ = |θ_v|. With all three angles known, the kernels K_{vol} and K_{geo} can be calculated using the equations provided in Appendix A of the Supplement. The kernel coefficients f_{iso}, f_{vol}, f_{geo} are then determined from the MODIS MCD43C1/2
product of the given day. After that, the MODIS surface BRDF can be calculated according to:

$$A_{g}(\lambda, \theta, \theta_{0}, \phi - \phi_{0}) = f_{iso}(\lambda)$$

$$+ f_{vol}(\lambda) \cdot K_{vol}(\theta, \theta_{0}, \phi - \phi_{0})$$

$$+ f_{geo}(\lambda) \cdot K_{geo}(\theta, \theta_{0}, \phi - \phi_{0})$$
(A1)

The TROPOMI DLER and MODIS BRDF can then be compared for the many grid cells in the geographical regions that were defined in Sect. 6.2.1. More precisely, this step involves binning of the smaller MODIS BRDF grid cells $(0.05^{\circ} \times 0.05^{\circ})$ and the larger TROPOMI DLER grid cells $(0.125^{\circ} \times 0.125^{\circ})$ to common grid cells of $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$.

⁵⁴⁵ *Author contributions.* LGT wrote the manuscript, developed the algorithm, and performed the validation. MdG helped with aerosol retrieval and filtering and supported the development. VJHT contributed the cloud shadow detection algorithm. PL and OD advised during the





development phase of the project. PS and MdG helped with the radiative transfer modelling. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Competing interests. At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of Atmospheric Measurement Techniques.

550 *Acknowledgements.* The work that was presented in this paper was supported by ESA via the Sentinel-5p+ Innovation project. ESA is also acknowledged for providing the TROPOMI data. Data processing was performed primarily on the Sentinel-5P Product Algorithm Laboratory (S5P-PAL) developed and hosted by S[&]T.





References

Chandrasekhar, S.: Radiative Transfer, Dover Publications, Mineola, New York, 1960.

- 555 de Graaf, M., Stammes, P., Torres, O., and Koelemeijer, R. B. A.: Absorbing Aerosol Index: Sensitivity analysis, application to GOME and comparison with TOMS, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D01201, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD005178, 2005.
 - de Haan, J. F., Bosma, P. B., and Hovenier, J. W.: The adding method for multiple scattering calculations of polarized light, Astron. Astrophys., 183, 371–391, 1987.

Desmons, M., Wang, P., Stammes, P., and Tilstra, L. G.: FRESCO-B: a fast cloud retrieval algorithm using oxygen B-band measurements

- 560 from GOME-2, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 2485–2498, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-2485-2019, 2019.
- Fasnacht, Z., Vasilkov, A., Haffner, D., Qin, W., Joiner, J., Krotkov, N., Sayer, A. M., and Spurr, R.: A geometry-dependent surface Lambertian-equivalent reflectivity product for UV–Vis retrievals – Part 2: Evaluation over open ocean, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 6749– 6769, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-6749-2019, 2019.

Gao, F., Schaaf, C. B., Strahler, A. H., Roesch, A., Lucht, W., and Dickinson, R.: MODIS bidirectional reflectance distribution function and

- albedo Climate Modeling Grid products and the variability of albedo for major global vegetation types, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D01104, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD005190, 2005.
 - Herman, J. R. and Celarier, E. A.: Earth surface reflectivity climatology at 340–380 nm from TOMS data, J. Geophys. Res., 102(D23), 28003–28011, https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD02074, 1997.

Kleipool, Q. L., Dobber, M. R., de Haan, J. F., and Levelt, P. F.: Earth surface reflectance climatology from 3 years of OMI data, J. Geophys.
70 Res., 113, D18308, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010290, 2008.

- Koelemeijer, R. B. A., de Haan, J. F., and Stammes, P.: A database of spectral surface reflectivity in the range 335–772 nm derived from 5.5 years of GOME observations, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4070, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002429, 2003.
 - Levelt, P. F., van den Oord, G. H. J., Dobber, M. R., Mälkki, A., Visser, H., de Vries, J., Stammes, P., Lundell, J. O. V., and Saari, H.: The Ozone Monitoring Instrument, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 44, 1093–1101, https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2006.872333, 2006.
- 575 Liu, S., Valks, P., Pinardi, G., Xu, J., Argyrouli, A., Lutz, R., Tilstra, L. G., Huijnen, V., Hendrick, F., and Van Roozendael, M.: An improved air mass factor calculation for nitrogen dioxide measurements from the Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment-2 (GOME-2), Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 755–787, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-755-2020, 2020.
 - Lorente, A., Boersma, K. F., Stammes, P., Tilstra, L. G., Richter, A., Yu, H., Kharbouche, S., and Muller, J.-P.: The importance of surface reflectance anisotropy for cloud and NO2 retrievals from GOME-2 and OMI, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 4509–4529,
- 580 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-4509-2018, 2018.
 - Loyola, D. G., Xu, J., Heue, K.-P., and Zimmer, W.: Applying FP_ILM to the retrieval of geometry-dependent effective Lambertian equivalent reflectivity (GE_LER) daily maps from UVN satellite measurements, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 985–999, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-985-2020, 2020.
- Ludewig, A., Kleipool, Q., Bartstra, R., Landzaat, R., Leloux, J., Loots, E., Meijering, P., van der Plas, E., Rozemeijer, N., Vonk, F., and
- 585 Veefkind, P.: In-flight calibration results of the TROPOMI payload on board the Sentinel-5 Precursor satellite, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 3561–3580, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-3561-2020, 2020.
 - Matthews, E.: Global vegetation and land use: New high-resolution data bases for climate studies, J. Clim. Appl. Meteor., 22, 474–487, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1983)022<0474:GVALUN>2.0.CO;2, 1983.



590



- Nicodemus, F. E., Richmond, J. C., Hsia, J. J., Ginsberg, I. W., and Limperis, T.: Geometrical Considerations and Nomenclature for Reflectance, in: Radiometry, edited by: Wolff, L. B., Shafer, S. A., and Healey, G., Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Inc., USA, 94–145, 1992.
- Qin, W., Fasnacht, Z., Haffner, D., Vasilkov, A., Joiner, J., Krotkov, N., Fisher, B., and Spurr, R.: A geometry-dependent surface Lambertianequivalent reflectivity product for UV–Vis retrievals – Part 1: Evaluation over land surfaces using measurements from OMI at 466 nm, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 3997–4017, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-3997-2019, 2019.
- Schaepman-Strub, G., Schaepman, M. E., Painter, T. H., Dangel, S., and Martonchik, J. V.: Reflectance quantities in optical remote sensing–
 definitions and case studies, Remote Sens. Environ., 103, 27–42, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2006.03.002, 2006.
 - Siddans, R.: S5P-NPP Cloud Processor ATBD, Doc. No. S5P-NPPC-RAL-ATBD-0001, Issue 1.0.0, 12 February, RAL Space, Harwell, United Kingdom, available at: https://sentinels.copernicus.eu/documents/247904/2476257/Sentinel-5P-NPP-ATBD-NPP-Clouds, 2016.
 - Stammes, P.: Spectral radiance modelling in the UV-visible range, in: IRS 2000: Current Problems in Atmospheric Radiation, edited by: Smith, W. L. and Timofeyev, Y. M., A. Deepak Publishing, Hampton, Virginia, 385–388, 2001.
- 600 Tilstra, L. G., Tuinder, O. N. E., Wang, P., and Stammes, P.: Surface reflectivity climatologies from UV to NIR determined from Earth observations by GOME-2 and SCIAMACHY, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 122, 4084–4111, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025940, 2017. Tilstra, L. G., de Graaf, M., Wang, P., and Stammes, P.: In-orbit Earth reflectance validation of TROPOMI on board the Sentinel-5 Precursor satellite, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 4479–4497, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-4479-2020, 2020.
 - Tilstra, L. G., Tuinder, O. N. E., Wang, P., and Stammes, P.: Directionally dependent Lambertian-equivalent reflectivity (DLER) of the Earth's
- surface measured by the GOME-2 satellite instruments, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 14, 4219–4238, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-4219-2021, 2021.
 - Tilstra, L. G.: TROPOMI ATBD of the directionally dependent surface Lambertian-equivalent reflectivity, Doc. No. S5P-KNMI-L3-0301-RP, Issue 2.1.0, 4 September, Koninklijk Ned. Meteorol. Inst., De Bilt, the Netherlands, available at: https://d37onar3vnbj2y.cloudfront. net/static/surface/albedo/documents/s5p_dler_atbd_v2.1.0_2023-09-04_signed.pdf, 2023.
- 610 Torres, O., Bhartia, P. K., Herman, J. R., Ahmad, Z., and Gleason, J.: Derivation of aerosol properties from satellite measurements of backscattered ultraviolet radiation: Theoretical basis, J. Geophys. Res., 103(D14), 17099–17110, https://doi.org/10.1029/98JD00900, 1998.
 - Trees, V. J. H., Wang, P., Stammes, P., Tilstra, L. G., Donovan, D. P., and Siebesma, A. P.: DARCLOS: a cloud shadow detection algorithm for TROPOMI, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 15, 3121–3140, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-15-3121-2022, 2022.
- Veefkind, J. P., Aben, I., McMullan, K., Förster, H., de Vries, J., Otter, G., Claas, J., Eskes, H. J., de Haan, J. F., Kleipool, Q., van Weele,
 M., Hasekamp, O., Hoogeveen, R., Landgraf, J., Snel, R., Tol, P., Ingmann, P., Voors, R., Kruizinga, B., Vink, R., Visser, H., and Levelt,
 P. F.: TROPOMI on the ESA Sentinel-5 Precursor: A GMES mission for global observations of the atmospheric composition for climate, air quality and ozone layer applications, Remote Sens. Environ., 120, 70–83, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2011.09.027, 2012.
 - Wang, P., Stammes, P., van der A, R., Pinardi, G., and van Roozendael, M.: FRESCO+: an improved O₂ A-band cloud retrieval algorithm for tropospheric trace gas retrievals, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 6565–6576, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-6565-2008, 2008.
- 620 Wang, P., Tuinder, O. N. E., Tilstra, L. G., de Graaf, M., and Stammes, P.: Interpretation of FRESCO cloud retrievals in case of absorbing aerosol events, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 9057–9077, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-9057-2012, 2012.