Reply to the editor's comments.

Thank you for coordinating the review process and for your comments. Our responses to your comments are as follows.

#### Comment;

In the abstract "The combined standard uncertainties  $(u_c(x))$  of time-averaged data.." should be improved with "The combined standard uncertainties  $(u_c(x))$  of time-averaged ambient air measurements.." - please check/agree.

## Reply;

We have corrected it.

#### Comment:

Your new sections on Reproducibility and Summary of uncertainties:

Suggest "Full uncertainty estimates" rather than "Summary of uncertainties". 3.1 and 3.2 condition or conditions? Probably "conditions".

# Reply;

We have replaced them with "Full uncertainty estimates" and "conditions".

### Comment;

The new Table 3: What does "~" mean? Please use more words to make your calculations understandable. e.g. NDIR (SnO2) – CRDS: Does this mean the calculated difference between the measurements by these systems?

# Reply;

The following corrections have been made.

Table 3. Summary of uncertainties.

	CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)	CH <sub>4</sub> (ppb)	Method
Sensor	0.05	5	Combined standard uncertainty of
repeatability			SWS meas. in 3 min.
Reproducibility	< 0.2	<5	SD of SWS measurements for one

(Time)			week.
		$-2\pm3$ (min.) to	Difference in NDIR (or SnO <sub>2</sub>
Reproducibility (Sensor, Time)	$0.1^{1}$	$2\pm2$ (max.) as	sensor) values from CRDS values
		annual mean	(Fig.6-7).
		-10 (min.) to 5	
		(max.) as	
		interval mean at	
		every 10 ppb	
Scale uncertainty	0.02	2.2	See Section 2.4.2 and Supplement
		3.2	for CH <sub>4</sub> .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Isotope effect in the NDIR. We plan to apply this correction to the NDIR measurements.