

The authors have done a nice job of addressing the reviewer's comments in the first round of reviews. I do have a few additional things I would like to see the authors address.

- 1) If the authors are going to keep CE and CF, they need to define each of them in the paper. Many in the precipitation measurement community consider them to be the same so if the authors wish to define them differently, they need to do so in the manuscript.
- 2) I believe your figure numbers are off in the manuscript (there is no figure 3 for example).
- 3) Your equation numbers similarly need to be revisited (your first equation shows up as equation 14 now instead of equation 1).
- 4) The addition of Figure 1 is excellent. My only suggestion is that the arrows on 1b are so thin, it's hard to see where they are pointing to. I'd suggest making them thicker and/or changing the color.
- 5) In Figure 1b, it might be useful to also depict the wind direction most commonly associated with the precipitation. I'm assuming it's from the bottom of the picture towards the top since the shorter gauges are located near the bottom of the picture? It would help alleviate any questions about wind impacts from the taller sensors on the shorter sensors.