

¹ **Global retrieval of TROPOMI tropospheric HCHO and NO²**

² **columns with improved consistency based on updated Peking**

³ **University OMI NO² algorithm**

4 Yuhang Zhang^{1,2}, Huan Yu², Isabelle De Smedt², Jintai Lin¹, Nicolas Theys², Michel Van 5 Roozendael², Gaia Pinardi², Steven Compernolle², Ruijing Ni³, Fangxuan Ren¹, Sijie Wang¹,

6 Lulu Chen¹, Jos Van Geffen⁴, Mengyao Liu⁴, Alexander M. Cede⁵, Alexis Merlaud², Martina

7 M. Friedrich², Andreas Richter⁶, Ankie Piters⁴, Vinod Kumar^{3,7}, Vinayak Sinha⁷, Thomas

8 Wagner³, Yongjoo Choi⁸, Hisahiro Takashima⁹, Yugo Kanaya¹⁰, Hitoshi Irie¹¹, Robert Spurr¹²,

9 Wenfu Sun², Lorenzo Fabris²

10 ¹Laboratory for Climate and Ocean-Atmosphere Studies, Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

- 11 School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
12 ²Roval Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy, BIRA-IASB, R
- ² Royal Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy, BIRA-IASB, Ringlaan 3, 1180 Uccle, Belgium ³ Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz 55128, Germany
- ³Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz 55128, Germany
⁴Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI), Utrech
- ⁴ Hoyal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI), Utrechtseweg 297, 3730 AE De Bilt, the Netherlands
15 ⁵ NASA Goddard Space Flight Center. Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
- ⁵NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
- ⁶ Institute of Environmental Physics (IUP), University of Bremen, Otto-Hahn-Allee 1, Bremen 28359, Germany
17 ⁷ Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Mohal
- 17 ⁷Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Mohali, Punjab, India 18 Punjab, India
19 ⁸Hankuk Univ
- ⁸Hankuk University of Foreign Studies, Yongin, Republic of Korea
20 ⁹Fukuoka University, Fukuoka, Japan
- 20 ⁹ Fukuoka University, Fukuoka, Japan
21 ¹⁰ Research Institute for Global Chang
- ¹⁰ Research Institute for Global Change, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC), Yokohama, Japan
- 22 Yokohama, Japan
23 $\frac{11}{2}$ Center for Envir
- ¹¹ 23 ^{Center} for Environmental Remote Sensing, Chiba University (Chiba U), Chiba, Japan

¹²RT Solutions Inc.. Cambridge. MA 02138, USA
- ¹²RT Solutions Inc., Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
- 25 *Correspondence to*: Jintai Lin (linjt@pku.edu.cn), Michel Van Roozendael (michel.vanroozendael@aeronomie.be)

 Abstract. The TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI), onboard the Sentinel-5 Precursor (S5P) satellite launched in October 2017, is dedicated to monitoring the atmospheric composition associated with air quality and climate change. This paper presents the global retrieval of TROPOMI tropospheric formaldehyde (HCHO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO2) vertical columns using an updated version of the Peking University OMI NO² (POMINO) algorithm, which focuses on improving the calculation of air mass factors(AMFs). The algorithm features explicit corrections for the surface reflectance anisotropy and aerosol optical effects, and uses daily high- resolution (0.25º×0.25º) a priori HCHO and NO² profiles from the Global Earth Observing System Composition Forecast (GEOS-CF) dataset. For cloud correction, a consistent approach is used for both HCHO and NO² retrievals, where (1) the cloud fraction is re-calculated at 440 nm using the same ancillary parameters as those used in the NO² AMF calculation, and (2) the cloud top pressure is taken from the operational FRESCO-S cloud product. The comparison between POMINO and reprocessed (RPRO) operational products in April, July, October 2021 38 and January 2022 exhibits high spatial agreement, but RPRO tropospheric HCHO and NO₂ columns are lower by

39 10% to 20% over polluted regions. Sensitivity tests with POMINO show that the HCHO retrieval differences are

- 40 mainly caused by different aerosol correction methods (implicit versus explicit), prior information of vertical
- 41 profile shapes and background corrections; while the $NO₂$ retrieval discrepancies result from different aerosol
- 42 corrections, surface reflectances and a priori vertical profile shapes as well as their non-linear interactions. With
- 43 explicit aerosol corrections, the HCHO structural uncertainty due to the cloud correction using different cloud

 parameters is within ± 20%, mainly caused by cloud height differences. Validation against ground-based measurements from global Multi-Axis Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (MAX-DOAS) observations and the Pandonia Global Network (PGN) shows that in April, July, October 2021 and January 2022, POMINO retrievals present a comparable day-to-day correlation but a reduced bias compared to the RPRO products (HCHO: *R* = 0.62, NMB = −30.8% versus *R* = 0.68, NMB = −35.0%; NO2: *R* = 0.84, NMB = −9.5% versus *R* = 0.85, NMB = −19.4%). An improved agreement of HCHO/NO² ratio (FNR) with PGN measurements based on POMINO retrievals is also found (*R* = 0.83, NMB = −18.4% versus *R* = 0.82, NMB = −24.1%). Our POMINO retrieval provides a useful source of information particularly for studies combining HCHO and NO2.

1 Introduction

 Formaldehyde (HCHO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO2) are important trace gases in the troposphere. They play a 54 critical role in the processes of tropospheric ozone $(O₃)$ and aerosol formation, and have significant influences on air quality, climate and human health (Beelen et al., 2014; Crutzen, 1970; Shindell et al., 2009). Methods to retrieve tropospheric HCHO and NO² vertical column densities (VCDs), respectively in the ultraviolet (UV) and visible (VIS) spectral ranges, have rapidly developed in the last decades, based on sensors mounted on both sun- synchronous and geostationary satellites such as the Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment (GOME; Burrows et al., 1999), SCanning Imaging Absorption spectroMeter for Atmospheric CHartographY (SCIAMACHY; Bovensmann et al., 1999), Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI; Levelt et al., 2006), Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment-2 (GOME-2; Callies et al., 2000), TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI; Veefkind et al., 2012), Environmental Trace Gases Monitoring Instrument (EMI; Zhang et al., 2020) and Geostationary Environment Monitoring Spectrometer (GEMS; Kim et al., 2020). Such satellite observations have been extensively used in studies related to long-term trend and variabilities (De Smedt et al., 2010; Jiang et al., 2022; Richter et al., 2005), estimation of surface-level concentrations (Cooper et al., 2022; Wei et al., 2022), constraining emissions of non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NO*^x* ≡ NO + NO2) (Kong et al., 2022; Lin, 2012; Stavrakou et al., 2018), non-linear ozone chemistry (Jin et al., 2017, 2023; Jin and Holloway, 2015) and impacts on the environment and human health (Chen et al., 2022; Li et al., 2023).

 The retrieval algorithms of tropospheric HCHO and NO² VCDs based on observations from spaceborne instruments share many retrieval concepts. First, the slant column density (SCD) representing the trace gas concentration integrated along the average light path is obtained by performing a spectral fit from backscattered radiance and irradiance spectra. Second the SCD is converted to a VCD using air mass factors (AMFs) obtained from radiative transfer (RT) calculations, which are a function of the observation geometry, cloud information, aerosol properties, surface conditions and the shape of a priori vertical profiles. The main intrinsic differences between HCHO and NO² retrievals are that (1) different wavelength ranges are used for each retrieval, and (2) the final tropospheric HCHO VCDs are determined with additional background correction based on modelled HCHO columns in the reference region in the Field of Regard (FOR) of satellite instruments, while for NO² a stratosphere- troposphere separation is performed before AMF application to obtain tropospheric columns. 79 Many studies have focused on improving or developing retrieval algorithms to generate scientific HCHO or NO₂

80 products for comparison with operational products and for applications (e.g., Liu et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2021; Su et al., 2020). However, little attention has been paid to fixing the systematic differences in ancillary parameters

82 between HCHO and NO₂ AMF calculations. For instance, the TROPOMI reprocessed (RPRO) HCHO version

 2.4.1 and NO² version 2.4.0 operational products make use of cloud information from different sources: the Optical Cloud Recognition Algorithm/Retrieval of Cloud information using Neural Networks (OCRA/ROCINN) - Cloud as Reflecting Boundaries (CRB) product is used for HCHO, while the Fast Retrieval Scheme for Clouds from Oxygen absorptions bands - Sentinels (FRESCO-S) product is used for NO2. Besides, the surface albedo used in the current HCHO retrieval is the OMI-based monthly minimum Lambertian-equivalent reflectivity 88 (MLER) at 340 nm with a spatial resolution of $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ (lat. \times long.), whereas the one used in the NO₂ retrieval 89 has been updated with the KNMI TROPOMI directionally dependent Lambertian-equivalent reflectivity (DLER) 90 v1.0 database at 440 nm with a spatial resolution of $0.125° \times 0.125°$. Finally, the radiative transfer model used for HCHO AMF calculation is the linearized pseudo-spherical scalar and vector discrete ordinate radiative transfer 92 code (VLIDORT) version 2.6, whereas that used for NO₂ AMF calculation is the Double-Adding KNMI (DAK) polarized radiative transfer code version 3.2. Such inconsistencies are an important limitation for studies combining satellite HCHO and NO² products, such as analysis of ozone chemistry and wildfires (Jin et al., 2020, 95 2023). Therefore, there is a need for consistent retrievals of tropospheric HCHO and NO₂ VCDs. Moreover, the TROPOMI operational HCHO and NO² products do not explicitly account for the optical effect of aerosols, and use a priori profile shapes from the massively parallel version of the Tracer Model 5 (TM5-MP; Williams et al., 98 2017) with a relatively coarse spatial resolution $(1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ})$. 99 The Peking University OMI NO₂ (POMINO) algorithm offers a potential tool to address these limitations.

 Founded by Lin et al. (2014), POMINO has been continuously developed and applied to the OMI, TROPOMI and GEMS instruments (Lin et al., 2014, 2015; Liu et al., 2019, 2020; Zhang et al., 2023). POMINO features an explicit treatment of aerosol optical effects and surface reflectance anisotropy, as well as a re-calculation of cloud information using ancillary parameters consistent with those used for NO² AMF calculation. A smaller bias of POMINO NO² data than the operational products has been reported from validation against independent ground- based measurements (Liu et al., 2019, 2020; Zhang et al., 2023). However, the previous POMINO-TROPOMI algorithm was limited to Asia, and its potential for HCHO retrieval remained unexplored.

 In this paper, we present the global retrieval of TROPOMI tropospheric HCHO and NO² VCDs with much improved consistency, based on an updated version of the POMINO algorithm. After describing the methods and 109 data in Section 2, we present the quantitative comparison of tropospheric HCHO and NO₂ columns between 110 POMINO and RPRO products (Sect. 3). We then discuss the structural uncertainty of HCHO and NO₂ retrieval based on the POMINO algorithm, by conducting a series of sensitivity tests on cloud correction, aerosol correction, surface reflectance and a priori profile shapes (Sect. 4). Tentative estimates of POMINO retrieval uncertainty are given in Sect. 5. Finally, we use independent ground-based measurements from a global network of Multi-Axis Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (MAX-DOAS) instruments and the Pandonia Global Network (PGN) to validate the tropospheric HCHO and NO² columns from the POMINO and RPRO products (Sect. 6).

2 Method and data

2.1 TROPOMI instrument and operational algorithms for HCHO and NO² retrieval

- TROPOMI is an imaging spectrometer onboard the European Space Agency (ESA) Copernicus Sentinel-5
- Precursor (S5P) satellite launched on 13th October 2017, crossing the equator at around 13:30 local time (LT)
- (Veefkind et al., 2012). Its wide spectral range includes the ultraviolet (UV), visible (VIS), near-infrared (NIR)

 and shortwave infrared (SWIR), allowing monitoring of atmospheric trace gases, aerosols, clouds and surface 122 properties. The original spatial resolution of 7 km \times 3.5 km (along-track \times across-track) at nadir was refined to 5.5 km × 3.5 km on the 6th of August 2019 by means of a reduction of the along-track integration time. The wide swath of about 2600 km in the across-track direction enables global coverage on a daily basis.

125 The TROPOMI operational HCHO and NO₂ retrieval algorithms have been fully described in De Smedt (2022) and Van Geffen et al. (2022b), respectively. The first common step is to derive slant columns by performing a spectral fit using the Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS) method. Specifics for the SCD retrieval are provided in Table S1. After the DOAS spectral fitting, a two-step normalization of the HCHO slant columns is performed to remove any remaining global offset and possible stripes. Then the corrected differential SCDs (dSCDs) are converted to vertical columns using AMFs at 340 nm. The AMFs are derived from a pre- calculated look-up table (LUT) storing altitude-dependent AMFs calculated with the VLIDORT v2.6 radiative transfer model (Table 1). This approach implements implicit aerosol corrections by assuming that aerosols can be simply treated as "effective clouds", and uses the OMI-based monthly MLER dataset for surface reflectance. The HCHO vertical profile shape is specified from TM5-MP daily analyses. For pixels with partly cloudy scenes, a cloud correction is applied based on the independent pixel approximation (IPA) (Martin et al., 2002), using cloud fraction (CF), cloud top pressure (CP) and cloud albedo information from the OCRA/ROCINN-CRB product:

$$
M = w \cdot M_{\text{cld}} + (1 - w) \cdot M_{\text{clr}} \tag{1}
$$

138 In Eq. (1), w is the cloud radiance fraction (CRF), M_{cld} the cloudy-sky AMF and M_{clr} the clear-sky AMF. In the final step, TM5-MP HCHO vertical columns in the reference region are added as the compensation for the background HCHO from methane (CH4) oxidation in the equatorial Pacific. The final tropospheric HCHO VCD, N_V , can be written as follows:

$$
N_{\rm V} = \frac{N_S - N_{S,0}}{M} + \frac{M_{clear,0}}{M} N_{V,0}^{\rm TMS-MP}
$$
(2)

143 with $(N_S - N_{S,0})$ being the corrected HCHO differential slant column, M the HCHO AMF, $M_{clear,0}$ the HCHO clear-144 sky AMF in the reference region ([90°S, 90°N], [180°W, 120°W]), and $N_{V,0}^{TMS-MP}$ the HCHO vertical column from 145 a daily latitude-dependent polynomial, which is fitted through 5° latitude bin means of TM5-MP HCHO vertical 146 columns in the reference region (De Smedt, 2022).

147 For $NO₂$, a de-striping is also applied to the fitted slant columns even though the systematic across-track features are very small (Van Geffen et al., 2020). The second step is the stratosphere-troposphere separation, where TM5- 149 MP is used to assimilate TROPOMI total NO₂ SCDs, determine the stratospheric NO₂ SCDs and, by subtraction, infer the tropospheric NO² SCDs. To calculate tropospheric NO² AMFs, the operational algorithm applies implicit aerosol corrections, uses NO² a priori profile shapes from TM5-MP daily analyses, and adopts a DLER at 440 nm from the KNMI TROPOMI DLER v1.0 surface reflectance database. For the cloud correction, it takes the cloud top pressure from the FRESCO-S product and retrieves an effective cloud fraction (ECF) by fitting the observed continuum reflectance to a simulated reflectance at 440 nm, assuming an optically thick Lambertian cloud with a 155 fixed cloud albedo of 0.8. The tropospheric NO₂ VCD, N_V^{trop} , can be written as follows:

$$
N_{\rm V}^{\rm trop} = \frac{N_S^{\rm total} - N_S^{\rm strat}}{M}
$$
 (3)

157 with $(N_S^{\text{total}} - N_S^{\text{strat}})$ the tropospheric NO₂ slant column and *M* the tropospheric NO₂ AMF.

2.2 Improved POMINO-TROPOMI algorithm for global HCHO and NO² AMF calculations

 Focusing on the improvement of global HCHO and NO² AMF calculations as well as their consistency, we use an updated POMINO-TROPOMI parallelized AMFv6 package (Figure S1) driven by the LInearized Discrete Ordinate Radiative Transfer code (LIDORT) version 3.6 directly inherited from previous POMINO products (Liu et al., 2020). POMINO calculates the AMFs with online pixel-by-pixel RT simulations rather than using the LUT. As listed in Table 1, explicit aerosol corrections are implemented at the corresponding wavelengths of HCHO and NO2, respectively, based on the aerosol information from Global Earth Observing System Composition Forecast (GEOS-CF; Keller et al., 2021) v1.0 and Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) satellite data. We convert GEOS-CF vertical volume mixing ratio profiles to optical depth profiles for each aerosol type, i.e., dust, sulfate-nitrate-ammonium (SNA), organic carbon (OC), black carbon (BC) and sea salt, by using high- spectral-resolution aerosol optical parameters from the GEOS-Chem website 169 (https://ftp.as.harvard.edu/gcgrid/data/aerosol_optics/hi_spectral_res/v9-02/, last access: 23 July 2024). We then convert component-specific aerosol information to vertical profiles of aerosol extinction coefficient, single scattering albedo and phase function. We further use monthly aerosol optical depth (AOD) data from MODIS/Aqua Collection 6.1 MYD04_L2 dataset, with spatial and temporal interpolation for missing values, to 173 constrain the model AOD (Lin et al., 2014). Daily a priori HCHO and NO₂ profile shapes at TROPOMI overpass 174 time are also obtained from GEOS-CF v1.0 at the spatial resolution of $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$. In NO² AMF calculations, to account for the surface reflectance anisotropy over lands and coastal ocean regions, we use bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) coefficients around 470 nm (band 3; bandwidth: 459 – 479 nm) from the MODIS MCD43C2.006 dataset. The reason for the choice of MODIS BRDF over KNMI TROPOMI DLER is that the operational MODIS BRDF algorithm fully characterizes the dependence of surface reflectance on the solar zenith angle (SZA), viewing zenith angle (VZA) and relative azimuth angle (RAA) by a linear combination of an isotropic parameter plus the volumetric and geometric scattering kernels (Roujean et al., 1992; Zhou et al., 2010), while the DLER model only considers the satellite viewing angle (Tilstra et al., 2024). For HCHO, given that the UV spectral band is not included in the MODIS instrument, we decided to use the climatological DLER at 340 nm from the KNMI TROPOMI DLER v2.0 database. To allow a consistent cloud correction, we use the same cloud information for both HCHO and NO² AMF calculation. For each pixel, we acquire the cloud parameters by (1) taking the cloud top pressure from the FRESCO-S cloud product, and (2) re-calculating the cloud fraction at 440 nm in a similar way as used in the operational NO² algorithm. To simulate the TOA reflectance at 440 nm to derive cloud fraction, we use the 188 ancillary parameters fully consistent with those used in NO₂ AMF calculation, i.e., a surface reflectance derived from MODIS BRDF coefficients and explicit aerosol information. Previous studies have demonstrated that in most cases, explicit aerosol corrections lead to reduced cloud (radiance) fractions, especially over regions with heavy aerosol loads such as the North China Plain in winter (Lin et al., 2015); while over regions where frequent aerosol-cloud overlap occurs such as Southeast China in spring, the explicit corrections for absorbing aerosols overlying the cloud deck lead to increased cloud fraction (Jethva et al., 2018). Such differences are because the optical effects of aerosols are separated from those of clouds. Based on the POMINO structure, we implemented a series of sensitivity tests to assess the importance of structural uncertainties that arise when different ancillary parameters or methodologies are applied to the same data. For

HCHO, we first conducted the test "Fst_ORcp" (Case F1) by (1) re-calculating the cloud fraction at 340 nm based

198 on the reflectance derived using TROPOMI L1B radiance dataset version 2.1 in TROPOMI spectral band 3 (305- 199 400 nm), and irradiance dataset version 2.1 for the Ultra-violet, Visible and Near-Infrared (UVN) module post-200 processed by BIRA-IASB, and (2) using the cloud top pressure from OCRA/ROCINN-CRB product. Therefore, 201 the differences between POMINO HCHO columns (Case F0) and those of the test "Fst ORcp" represent the 202 structural uncertainty from the cloud correction using different cloud products. Based on the test "Fst_ORcp", we 203 separately evaluate the effect of aerosol correction, surface reflectance and a priori profile shapes by conducting 204 the tests "Fst_imaer" (Case F2), "Fst_mler" (Case F3) and "Fst_tm5" (Case F4), respectively. Note that in all 205 sensitivity tests, only HCHO AMFs are changed accordingly, while we keep using GEOS-CF HCHO columns for 206 background correction. 207 Similarly, for NO₂ AMF calculations, based on POMINO NO₂ retrievals as the reference (Case N0), tests

208 "Nst_imaer" (Case N1), "Nst_dler" (Case N2) and "Nst_tm5" (Case N3) are used to quantify the individual effect 209 of aerosol correction, surface reflectance and a priori profile shapes. However, we noticed that the NO₂ differences 210 between POMINO and RPRO products can hardly be explained by the linear combination of the individual effect 211 of each ancillary parameter as in the HCHO analysis. Therefore, we further conducted an additional test "Nst_joint" 212 (Case N4) to "mimic" the AMF calculation in the RPRO algorithm, quantifying the joint effect of implicit aerosol

213 corrections, KNMI TROPOMI DLER and TM5-MP a priori NO₂ profile shapes.

(1) KNMI TROPOMI v2.0 DLER at 340 nm over lands and coastal ocean regions, and MLER at 340 nm over open oceans. (2) Fst_imaer (Case F2) cloud fraction is re-calculated with implicit aerosol corrections and different from that of Case F1. (3) Fst_mler (Case F3) cloud fraction is re-calculated with KNMI TROPOMI v2.0 MLER and different from that of Case F1.

(4) MODIS MCD43C2.006 BRDF around 470 nm over lands and coastal ocean regions, and KNMI TROPOMI v2.0 MLER at 440 nm over open oceans.

216

217 **2.3 Ground-based MAX-DOAS datasets**

 Ground-based MAX-DOAS instruments can provide vertical columns and profiles of trace gases from the surface up to the lower free troposphere (around 4 km). The measurement sensitivity is the highest near the surface and decreases at higher altitudes. Information on ground-based MAX-DOAS measurements used in this study is summarized in Table 2 with locations specified in Figure S2. For each site, we use Fiducial Reference Measurements for Ground-based DOAS Air-Quality Observations (FRM4DOAS; https://frm4doas.aeronomie.be/) 223 version 01.01 harmonized HCHO and NO₂ data if available, otherwise we use data generated by principal investigators of each instrument using non-harmonized retrieval settings. The aim of the FRM4DOAS project is to minimize inhomogeneities in the current MAX-DOAS network to provide reference datasets for satellite data validation. According to previous studies, the total estimated uncertainty of ground-based MAX-DOAS measurements in 228 polluted conditions is about 30% for HCHO and NO₂ VCDs (De Smedt et al., 2021; Verhoelst et al., 2021). The

229 mean bias is due mainly to systematic uncertainties related to AMF calculations. The uncertainty may also vary 230 when different report strategies are used. Routine validation results show an overall bias of −37% for HCHO and 231 −28% for NO² in the operational TROPOMI products compared to MAX-DOAS measurements in the validation

- 232 report (available at https://mpc-vdaf.tropomi.eu/).
- 233 **Table 2.** MAX-DOAS datasets used for the validation. The sites are listed in the alphabetical order based on the first letter of the site name.
- the site name.

⁽⁵⁾ KNMI TROPOMI v2.0 DLER at 440 nm over lands and coastal ocean regions, and MLER at 440 nm over open oceans. (6) Nst_imaer (Case N1) cloud fraction is re-calculated with implicit aerosol corrections and different from that of Case N0. (7) Nst_dler (Case N2) cloud fraction is re-calculated with KNMI TROPOMI v2.0 DLER and different from that of Case N0. (8) Nst_joint (Case N4) cloud fraction is re-calculated with implicit aerosol corrections and KNMI TROPOMI v2.0 DLER, and different from that of Case N0.

(2) Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute

(3) Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology

(4) Chiba University

(5) Royal Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy

(6) Indian Institute of Science Education and Research

(7) Max Planck Institute for Chemistry

235

236 **2.4 PGN/Pandora datasets**

237 The Pandonia Global Network (PGN) is a large-scale global network providing ground-based observations of 238 multiple atmospheric reactive trace gases, including HCHO and NO₂, and associated uncertainty values for 239 satellite validation and other scientific activities. It is based on ground-based passive spectrometer systems called 240 "Pandora" that can perform sun, moon and sky observations. The datasets have been widely used to validate 241 HCHO and NO² measurements from satellite instruments and field campaigns (Herman et al., 2019; Kai-242 Sikhakhane et al., 2024; Li et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2024a; Verhoelst et al., 2021; Yombo Phaka et al., 2023). The 243 nominal estimated uncertainty of total NO₂ columns is 0.27×10^{15} molec.cm⁻² for the random part and 2.7×10^{15} 244 molec.cm⁻² for the systematic part, and an uncertainty of 20% is reported by comparisons with in-situ 245 measurements (Verhoelst et al., 2021).

246 In this work, we only use HCHO and NO₂ direct sun total column measurements from the ESA Validation Data 247 Centre (EVDC) (https://evdc.esa.int, last access: 17 July 2024). A total of 22 sites across the globe are selected 248 for HCHO and $NO₂$ validation (Figure S2).

249 **2.5 Data use and validation statistics**

250 For comparison between satellite HCHO data, we filter out the retrieved data based on the following criteria: we 251 exclude pixels with RPRO quality assurance values (QA) \leq 0.5, which includes SZA or VZA > 70° or activated 252 snow/ice flag. We also exclude pixels with POMINO-derived CRFs at 440 nm greater than 0.5, to minimize the 253 impact of cloud contamination. The same criteria are applied to the NO₂ comparison as well. To examine the 254 spatial distribution, gridded tropospheric HCHO and NO² VCDs in April, July, October 2021, and January 2022 255 at a resolution of $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$ are calculated using an area-weighted oversampling technique (Zhang et al., 2023). 256 For comparisons between satellite and ground-based HCHO data, we take two successive steps for data processing. 257 First, we calculate the daily average HCHO columns from ground-based MAX-DOAS or PGN measurements 258 within the time window between 11:00 and 16:00 LT. For PGN data, we only use those with the flag "assured 259 high quality" or "not-assured high quality". Then we calculate daily average satellite HCHO columns based on 260 pixels selected using the cloud information from POMINO retrieval, with the pixel center located within a radius 261 of 20 km to the instruments. The daily collocated data pair is considered valid only if 10 satellite pixels or more 262 are used for calculation. The processing for $NO₂$ data is different from that of HCHO in three aspects: (1) the time 263 window for NO₂ is between 13:00 to 14:00 LT, as the diurnal variation of NO₂ is much stronger than that of HCHO;

264 (2) the radius between the satellite pixel center and the instrument is 5 km, considering the much larger spatial 265 gradient of the NO₂ distribution and less noise in the NO₂ retrieval; (3) we derive PGN tropospheric NO₂ columns 266 each day by subtracting stratospheric $NO₂$ columns from the RPRO $NO₂$ v2.4.0 L2 product over the instrument 267 from the total NO₂ columns, in order to make them comparable with satellite tropospheric NO₂ columns. Based 268 on collocated HCHO and NO₂ columns, we further compare the daily tropospheric column ratio of formaldehyde 269 to nitrogen dioxide (FNR) derived from satellite products and PGN measurements. 270 To quantify the performance of satellite products relative to ground-based measurements, we derive slope, offset 271 and correlation of the linear regression using the robust Theil-Sen estimator (Sen, 1968), which is insensitive to

272 occasional outliers. In a relative sense, we use normalized mean bias (NMB) to quantify the deviation between 273 satellite and ground-based measurements:

$$
NMB = \frac{\overline{\Omega^{SAT} - \Omega^{ground-based}}}{\overline{\Omega^{ground-based}}} \times 100\%
$$
 (4)

275 with Ω being the HCHO or NO₂ vertical column in Sects. 6.1 and 6.2, and FNR in Sect. 6.3.

276 **3 Comparison of HCHO and NO² columns between POMINO and RPRO products**

277 Figures 1a and c illustrate the global distribution of tropospheric HCHO VCDs averaged over April, July, October 278 2021 and January 2022 from POMINO and RPRO retrieval, respectively. High levels of tropospheric HCHO 279 columns ($> 10 \times 10^{15}$ molec.cm⁻²) are evident over the Amazonia Rainforest, Sub-Saharan Africa, South and East 280 Asia as well as North Australia. Enhanced HCHO concentrations are also noticeable in the southeastern United 281 States of America (USA) and Mexico, while localized hotspots with lower magnitudes are evident in the Middle 282 East and Europe. Over the remote background regions, HCHO is primarily from CH₄ oxidation, and the abundance 283 is about 3×10^{15} molec.cm⁻² at maximum. Similarly, Figs. 1b and d show the POMINO and RPRO tropospheric 284 NO₂ VCDs in April, July, October 2021 and January 2022. High NO₂ columns are visible over three well-known 285 polluted regions, i.e., North China Plain, West Europe, and East USA, with strong hotspot signals over megacities 286 and metropolitan areas across the globe. Low NO₂ content in the remote atmosphere comes from aviation and 287 ship emissions, natural biogenic emissions, lightning and oxidation of long-lifetime species such as peroxyacetyl 288 nitrate (PAN).

289

290 Figure 1. Spatial distribution of POMINO tropospheric HCHO and NO₂ VCDs (**a** and **b**), RPRO tropospheric HCHO and **291** NO₂ VCDs (**c** and **d**), and respective absolute differences (**e** and **f**) at a spatial reso 291 NO₂ VCDs (**c** and **d**), and respective absolute differences (**e** and **f**) at a spatial resolution of 0.25[°] × 0.25[°] averaged in April,
292 Inly October 2021, and January 2022. The black dashed rectangles illustra 292 July, October 2021, and January 2022. The black dashed rectangles illustrate the spatial range of the regions used for 293 comparison. The regions in gray mean that there are no valid observations. comparison. The regions in gray mean that there are no valid observations.

294 A high qualitative agreement is observed for both HCHO and NO₂ VCDs between RPRO and POMINO retrievals, 295 as the same HCHO dSCDs and tropospheric NO₂ SCDs are used. However, as shown in Fig. 1e, RPRO HCHO 296 tropospheric columns are lower by 2×10^{15} molec.cm⁻² or more over almost all regions with elevated HCHO 297 columns except North India and North China Plain; RPRO NO₂ columns are also lower than those of POMINO over most East China, India, Europe, and North America by up to about 20% in a relative sense, despite the positive differences over Sub-Saharan Africa and some cities such as Xi'an, Teheran, and Los Angeles (Fig. 1f). We further make the comparison in seven specific regions(bounded by black rectangles in Fig. 1a): North America (125ºW-60ºW, 10ºN-65ºN), South America (85ºW-35ºW, 40ºS-10ºN), Europe (10ºW-35ºE, 35ºN-60ºN), Sub- Saharan Africa (15ºW-35ºE, 35ºS-20ºN), Middle East (30ºE-60ºE, 10ºN-40ºN), Asia (60ºE-145ºE, 5ºN-55ºN), and Oceania (100ºE-160ºE, 40ºS-0º). Figure 2 shows the comparison results over the most polluted areas in each region, defined as where the POMINO tropospheric HCHO or NO² VCDs averaged over April, July, October 2021 and January 2022 exceed their 99 percentiles; results for regional mean comparisons are shown in Figure S3. For HCHO, RPRO data are consistently lower than POMINO by around 15% over polluted areas in five regions, although the difference is small over the Middle East and Asia because of the cancellation between

- positive and negative differences on the finer spatial scale. For NO2, RPRO is smaller than POMINO by −19.4% for North America and −23.3% for Europe. Detailed comparisons for each month are shown in Figure S4 and S5. Overall, POMINO and RPRO HCHO and NO² retrievals show excellent agreement in a qualitative sense, but the column values differ by 10% to 20% on average over polluted areas around the world. Such differences result
- from the different cloud correction, aerosol correction, surface reflectance and vertical profile shapes used in AMF
- calculations, which will be further discussed in Sect. 4.

315 Figure 2. Absolute and relative differences between POMINO and RPRO (**a**) HCHO and (**b**) NO₂ tropospheric columns **316** averaged in April, July, October 2021, and January 2022 over polluted areas (defined as where 316 averaged in April, July, October 2021, and January 2022 over polluted areas (defined as where POMINO mean HCHO or NO₂ 317 columns exceed their 99 percentiles) in seven regions. Regions are sorted as a function of POM 317 columns exceed their 99 percentiles) in seven regions. Regions are sorted as a function of POMINO mean HCHO or NO₂ 318 columns, with values (in the unit of "P" as Pmolec.cm⁻² = 1×10^{15} molec.cm⁻²) shown in 318 columns, with values (in the unit of "P" as Pmolec.cm⁻² = 1 × 10¹⁵ molec.cm⁻²) shown in the brackets in the bottom axis. Mean 319 POMINO (red) and RPRO (black) columns are also plotted with the absolute differen 319 POMINO (red) and RPRO (black) columns are also plotted with the absolute differences in the upper panel. Error bars 320 renresent the standard deviations of the columns. Pink areas indicate 10% and 20% relative differe represent the standard deviations of the columns. Pink areas indicate 10% and 20% relative differences.

4 Sensitivity tests on AMF input parameters

 As listed in Table 1, we implement a series of sensitivity tests to quantify the structural uncertainty from either 323 individual or joint effect of using different ancillary parameters in the HCHO and NO₂ AMF calculation. The time period selected for the sensitivity analysis is July 2021 and January 2022, representing the summer and winter 325 time, respectively. Note that one of the most important features of the POMINO HCHO and NO₂ retrievals is that they use the same cloud parameters for consistent cloud correction. Therefore, besides discussing the effect of cloud correction based on POMINO cloud parameters, we also compare the differences between HCHO columns retrieved using different cloud parameters, especially the cloud top pressures, which has never been discussed before. The influences of aerosol correction, surface reflectance, a priori profile shapes and their joint effect are discussed in the subsequent sub-sections.

4.1 Cloud correction

4.1.1 Effect of cloud correction based on POMINO cloud parameters

When calculating tropospheric AMFs, it is important to account for the influence of clouds on the radiative transfer

- process in the atmosphere (Boersma et al., 2011; De Smedt et al., 2021; Lorente et al., 2017; Martin et al., 2002).
- Clouds can either enhance or reduce the sensitivity to the trace gas molecules depending on their height relative
- to the trace gas layers (the so-called "albedo" or "shielding" effect, respectively). Despite the relatively large

- 337 uncertainty of retrieved cloud parameters in near-cloud-free scenario (defined here as CF \leq 0.1 or CRF \leq 0.4) 338 (Richter and Burrows, 2002), most HCHO and NO² AMF algorithms make use of the IPA method (Sect. 2.1) to
- 339 explicitly account for the cloud effect.
- 340 Figure 3 shows the differences between clear-sky AMF and total AMF of all pixels with HCHO or NO₂ QA > 0.5
- 341 in July 2021 and January 2022, based on the FRESCO-S cloud top pressures and POMINO re-calculated cloud
- 342 fractions at 440 nm with explicit aerosol corrections. For both HCHO and NO₂, the differences between clear-sky
- 343 AMF and total AMF are negative when cloud top pressures are higher than 700 hPa, and their magnitudes continue
- 344 to increase along with the cloud top pressures. The negative differences can be as large as −30% for HCHO and
- 345 -20% for NO₂ when the CRFs are in the interval of 0.45 to 0.5 and cloud top pressures are higher than 900 hPa.
- 346 This illustrates the "albedo" effect of low clouds by increasing the contribution of photons from near-surface
- 347 layers to the ensemble of photons received at the satellite instrument and thus leading to higher total AMF.

348

- **349 Figure 3.** Differences of (**a**) HCHO and (**b**) NO₂ clear-sky AMF to total AMF for different cloud radiance fraction with an
350 interval of 0.05 in different cloud top pressure ranges (shown in different colors). 350 interval of 0.05 in different cloud top pressure ranges (shown in different colors). All pixels with HCHO or NO₂ QA > 0.5 in July 2021 and January 2022 are included. July 2021 and January 2022 are included.
- 352 On the contrary, clouds with cloud top pressure lower than 700 hPa reflect most photons back to the top of 353 atmosphere as a "shield" before they reach the HCHO or NO₂ abundant layers. As a result, positive differences of 354 clear-sky AMF to total AMF occur, and they increase as the cloud top pressures decrease, reaching 50% or more 355 when CRFs are in the interval of 0.4 to 0.5 and cloud top pressures are lower than 400 hPa. This result is also in 356 line with the previous study by Lorente et al. (2017).
- 357 In the global view (Figure 4), for both HCHO and $NO₂$ columns, the difference due to cloud correction (i.e., using 358 clear-sky AMF versus total AMF) is ±10% on average over high-value regions and can reach 40% over specific 359 areas. Note that all these comparisons are based on HCHO and NO² a priori profile shapes from GEOS-CF. The 360 signs and values of the differences might be different when using the profile shapes from another model, along
- 361 with the structural uncertainty discussed in Sect. 4.1.2.

363 Figure 4. Relative differences of tropospheric HCHO (**a** and **b**) and NO₂ (**c** and **d**) columns derived using clear-sky POMINO **364** AMF to those using total POMINO AMF in July 2021 and January 2022. The regions AMF to those using total POMINO AMF in July 2021 and January 2022. The regions in gray mean that there are no valid observations.

4.1.2 Structural uncertainty of cloud correction based on different cloud parameters

 The structural uncertainty of the cloud correction can be evaluated using cloud parameters from different cloud products. Lorente et al. (2017) have demonstrated that the systematic differences in cloud top pressure can lead to substantial differences in tropospheric NO² AMFs and VCDs. Focusing on HCHO in this section, we first compare the effective cloud fractions and cloud top pressures either calculated in different ways or from different products. As shown in the left column of Figure S6, POMINO-based ECF calculated at 440 nm and 340 nm as well as OCRA/ROCINN-CRB ECF show similar global patterns in July 2021. Despite the differences over certain areas, great agreement is exhibited between OCRA/ROCINN-CRB ECF and POMINO-based ECF calculated at 440 nm (linear regression slope of 0.92, offset of 0.02 and correlation coefficient of 0.80), and between POMINO- based ECF calculated at 340 nm and 440 nm (linear regression slope of 0.93, offset of 0.01 and correlation coefficient of 0.93). However, the OCRA/ROCINN-CRB cloud top pressures are significantly higher than those of the FRESCO-S product over the Amazonia Rainforest, Equatorial Africa and East China by 100-300 hPa, while the FRESCO-S cloud top pressures tend to be higher over many other places such as the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) over the oceans (Fig. S6f). Such differences are systematic and are caused by different methodologies and ancillary parameters used in each cloud retrieval (Loyola et al., 2018; Van Geffen et al., 2022a), which are also reported in recent validation exercises using independent cloud measurements (Compernolle et al., 2021). As shown in Fig. 5, by comparing the result of POMINO to the test "Fst_ORcp" (Case F1, using the OCRA/ROCINN-CRB cloud top pressures and the POMINO-based ECFs calculated at 340 nm), we find differences of HCHO columns by up to 20% on average over highly polluted regions, as well as a positive

- increment over South America. Over remote background regions such as the Pacific Ocean, however, negative
- 386 differences are found of 0.5 -1 \times 10¹⁵ molec.cm⁻². We attribute these differences to different OCRA/ROCINN-

- CRB and FRESCO-S cloud top pressures, as ECFs in POMINO and Case "Fst_ORcp" are very close. Note that
- this is a tentative estimate of HCHO column structural uncertainty from the choices of cloud parameters for cloud
- correction, because the results are dependent on the explicit aerosol corrections and HCHO priori profile shapes
- used in the tests.

Figure 5. Absolute (first row) and relative differences (second row) of tropospheric HCHO columns of POMINO (using 393 FRESCO-S cloud top pressures) to those of the sensitivity test "Fst ORcn" (using OCRA/ROCINN-CRB 393 FRESCO-S cloud top pressures) to those of the sensitivity test "Fst_ORcp" (using OCRA/ROCINN-CRB cloud top pressures)
394 in July 2021 and January 2022. Different cloud top pressures are emphasized in the title. The re 394 in July 2021 and January 2022. Different cloud top pressures are emphasized in the title. The regions in gray mean that there 395 are no valid observations. are no valid observations.

4.2 Aerosol correction

 The influence of aerosols on AMF calculations is very complicated because they depend on the type of aerosols (scattering or absorbing) and their height relative to the trace gases. The AMFs are generally increased when non- absorbing aerosols are vertically collocated with or lower than the trace gases, while an opposite effect arises when the non-absorbing aerosols reside vertically higher than the trace gases; On the other hand, absorbing aerosols (e.g., black carbon) always reduce the sensitivity of the satellite instruments to the trace gases (Leitão et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2014, 2015; Liu et al., 2024b). Figure S7 shows a global map of AOD at 340 nm and 440 nm used in POMINO retrievals. Areas with heavy aerosol loads in July 2021 include North America, Equatorial Africa, Middle East, India and East China due to biomass burning and/or anthropogenic activities; while in January 2022, the aerosol content is significant in Equatorial Africa, North India and North China Plain. Different aerosol corrections can directly change the clear-sky AMF, affect the retrieval of cloud information (cloud fraction in particular) and modulate the AMF in the cloudy portion of the pixel. The latter two effects influence the total AMF in an indirect way, and the impact on cloud information is often more significant than on cloudy-sky AMF (Vasilkov et al., 2021). Figure 6 shows that when using clear-sky AMFs to derive vertical columns, implicit aerosol corrections lead to

- higher HCHO columns by 10% to 20 % over North America in July 2021, and the differences exceed 20% over
- 412 North India and East China in January 2022. A similar pattern is shown in the NO₂ comparison. This is because

- 413 when aerosols that reside vertically lower than or are mixed with HCHO and NO₂ molecules are excluded (i.e., in 414 the case of implicit corrections), the calculated AMFs are lower than those with explicit aerosol corrections. On 415 the other hand, for scenarios with strong anthropogenic emissions or biomass burning, where most HCHO and 416 NO₂ molecules are near the surface while aerosols reside above these trace gases, implicit aerosol corrections 417 neglect the strong "shielding" effect of the scattering aerosols and the strong absorption of photons by the 418 absorbing aerosols (e.g., BC), which leads to higher AMFs and lower vertical columns. The negative differences 419 of HCHO columns over the Democratic Republic of Congo in July 2021 (Fig. 6a) can be explained by the second
- 420 case. (a) HCHO column difference in July 2021 (b) HCHO column difference in January 2022: implicit- explicit (using AMF_{cir}) implicit-explicit (using AMF_{clr}) $80°$ 40° $\overline{0}$ $40°$ $60°W$ $18¹$ $120°$ $120°v$ 120° 18 (c) NO₂ column difference in July 2021: (d) NO₂ column difference in January 2022: implicit- explicit (using AMF_{clr}) implicit-explicit (using AMF_{clr}) $80°$ $40°$ $\overline{0}$ 40^o $60°W$ 18_C $60°W$ $60°E$ $120°E$ $120°V$ $60°E$ $120°E$ 180 120°W 0° 180 -100 -75 -50 -25 $\overline{0}$ $\overline{25}$ 50 $\overline{75}$ 100 $\lceil \frac{9}{6} \rceil$

421

422 **Figure 6.** Relative differences of tropospheric HCHO (**a** and **b**) and NO² (**c** and **d**) columns retrieved using clear-sky AMF 423 with implicit aerosol corrections to those with explicit aerosol corrections in July 2021 and January 2022. The regions in gray 424 mean that there are no valid observations. mean that there are no valid observations.

 For cloudy-sky AMF, the impact of non-absorbing aerosols above a cloud is negligible since we assume the cloud 426 to be an optically thick Lambertian reflectivity with a high albedo of 0.8 (Vasilkov et al., 2021). For absorbing aerosols above the clouds, they can reduce the backscattered radiance and hence affect the cloudy-sky AMF. However, Jethva et al. (2018) show that the occurrence of above-cloud absorbing aerosols is most frequent over coastal and oceanic regions because of the long-range transport of aerosols and low-level stratocumulus clouds. Over Southeast Asia during the springtime, the cloudy-sky frequency of occurrence of above-cloud absorbing aerosols is 20% to 40%, probably caused by biomass burning activities. Retrievals under these conditions are mostly discarded because the cloud fractions are too high to meet the filtering criteria for valid pixels (Sect. 2.5). Therefore, the overall influence of implicit aerosol corrections on the cloud-sky AMF can be neglected and the influence on the retrieval of cloud information, especially cloud fraction, is much more significant. As explained in Sect. 2.2, explicit aerosol corrections affect the retrieved cloud (radiance) fraction due to the inclusion of aerosol radiative contribution. This is also confirmed in Figure S8 that compares retrieved cloud

- 437 radiance fractions for the implicit versus explicit aerosol correction settings, in both UV and visible bands. As
- 438 shown in Figure 7, when using cloud-corrected AMFs to consider both direct and indirect aerosol optical effects

439 on the retrieval, the sign of HCHO relative differences over many regions is reversed from positive to negative 440 compared to Figs. 6a and b, such as North and South America. This reflects the enhanced cloud "albedo" effect 441 that increases the calculated HCHO scattering weights over the areas where cloud layers are vertically near or 442 below the HCHO layers. As for NO₂, similar results due to enhanced cloud "albedo" effect are found over North 443 America and East Russia in July 2021 (Fig. 7c), but the overall pattern in January 2022 remains the same as that 444 in Fig. 6d. Over the polluted regions in Asia and Europe, implicit aerosol corrections increase the retrieved $NO₂$ 445 columns by 20% to 40% on average. This is because most $NO₂$ molecules over these polluted areas reside within 446 1 km above the ground and below the FRESCO-S cloud layers during wintertime, so the increased cloud fractions 447 due to implicit aerosol corrections enhance the "shielding" effect on tropospheric NO₂ AMF calculation and hence 448 higher NO₂ columns. The signs of the HCHO and NO₂ differences over North China Plain are not the same, 449 probably because of the differences between HCHO and $NO₂$ vertical profile shapes.

450

451 **Figure 7.** Relative differences of tropospheric HCHO (**a** and **b**) and NO² (**c** and **d**) columns retrieved using cloud-corrected 452 total AMF with implicit aerosol corrections (Cases "Fst_imaer") and "Nst_imaer") to those with explicit aerosol corrections (Cases "Fst_imaer") to those with explicit aerosol corrections (Case "Fst_ORcp" and POMINO NO 453 (Case "Fst_ORcp" and POMINO NO₂) in July 2021 and January 2022. The regions in gray mean that there are no valid observations. observations.

455 **4.3 Surface reflectance**

 Compared to the LER model, which simply assumes the surface to be a Lambertian reflector, DLER partly accounts for the anisotropy of the surface reflectance by building a certain relationship between the reflectance and the satellite VZA, but its dependence on the SZA and RAA is still not included. The BRDF model fully considers the surface optical property as a function of SZA, VZA, RAA and wavelength. At 340 nm, the directionality of the surface reflectance is small over most regions (Kleipool et al., 2008). Figure S9 compares the MODIS BRDF-derived blue-sky albedo (BSA, Schaepman-Strub et al., 2006) around 470 nm and KNMI TROPOMI DLER at 440 nm over lands and coastal ocean regions. In both months, DLER shows higher values than MODIS BSA except over desert and mountain regions, and the positive differences are larger than 0.1 over

- 464 India in July 2021 and East Europe in January 2022. Reasons for these differences are not clear yet, but they are
- 465 likely associated with different parameters and corrections for aerosols and snow/ice cover in the algorithm.
- 466 Figures 8a and b present the influence of surface reflectance on HCHO retrievals. As it is well known that the
- 467 directionality of surface reflectance plays a marginal role in the retrieval based on the UV band, nearly no
- 468 difference is shown between HCHO columns retrieved using KNMI TROPOMI DLER and MLER at 340 nm.
- 469 However, the systematic differences between different MLER products are a more important source of the
- 470 structural uncertainty in HCHO AMFs. For example, KNMI TROPOMI MLER albedo at 340 nm is found to be
- 471 consistently lower than OMI climatology monthly MLER albedo used in the RPRO product by 0.01–0.05
- 472 (Kleipool et al., 2008; Tilstra et al., 2024).

473

474 **Figure 8.** Relative differences of tropospheric HCHO columns retrieved using KNMI TROPOMI v2.0 MLER at 340 nm (Case
475 "Fst. mler") to those using KNMI TROPOMI v2.0 DLER at 340 nm (Case "Est. ORcn") (a and b), and re 475 "Fst_mler") to those using KNMI TROPOMI v2.0 DLER at 340 nm (Case "Fst_ORcp") (**a** and **b**), and relative differences of 476 tropospheric NO₂ columns retrieved using KNMI TROPOMI v2.0 DLER at 440 nm (Case "Nst dler") 476 tropospheric NO₂ columns retrieved using KNMI TROPOMI v2.0 DLER at 440 nm (Case "Nst_dler") to those using MODIS
477 BRDF at 440 nm (POMINO NO₂) (c and **d**) in July 2021 and January 2022. The regions in gray mean 477 BRDF at 440 nm (POMINO NO2) (**c** and **d**) in July 2021 and January 2022. The regions in gray mean that there are no valid observations

479 As for NO₂, Figs. 8c and d show significantly lower tropospheric NO₂ VCDs in the test "Nst dler" (Case N2) 480 than those in the reference POMINO retrieval (Case N0) over most land areas. In January 2022, the NO₂ columns 481 retrieved using KNMI TROPOMI DLER are lower by 30% on average over the polluted regions with NO₂ 482 columns larger than 10×10^{15} molec.cm⁻² in Europe and North America. Like aerosols, the influence of surface 483 reflectance on AMFs is also a combination of the direct effect on clear-sky AMF and the indirect effect through 484 cloud correction (Boersma et al., 2011). As discussed by Tilstra (2024), DLER should not be considered as the 485 optimal replacement for the BRDF in the VIS wavelength. If the directional surface reflection can be modelled in 486 the RT calculation, it is better to use BRDF to derive surface reflectance for tropospheric NO₂ AMF calculation.

487 **4.4 A priori profiles**

488 In POMINO, we consistently use GEOS-CF HCHO and NO₂ vertical profile shapes as the prior information for

489 AMF calculations. Compared with TM5-MP model of which the spatial resolution is $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$, GEOS-CF features

490 a much finer spatial resolution ($0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$). The horizontal distributions of GEOS-CF and TM5-MP 491 tropospheric HCHO and NO₂ VCDs are shown in Figure S10, and comparisons of monthly mean HCHO and NO₂ vertical profiles between the models and the ground-based MAX-DOAS measurements are shown in Figure S11. The differences between GEOS-CF, TM5-MP and MAX-DOAS profiles reflect the imperfections in these data yet to be fully characterized (Keller et al., 2021; Williams et al., 2017), and they are also an important source of 495 structural uncertainty in HCHO and $NO₂$ retrievals.

 Figure 9 shows the differences in retrieved HCHO and NO² VCDs caused by using different a priori vertical profile shapes. The HCHO and NO² columns retrieved with TM5-MP prior information are obtained using AMFs re-calculated by combining interpolated POMINO averaging kernels (AK) and TM5-MP a priori profile shapes. As shown in Figs. 9a and b, the spatial patterns of HCHO relative differences are variable over different places and in different months, and are generally more significant than the individual effects of clouds, aerosols and surface reflectance changes (Figs. 4, 7 and 8). At the regional level, the HCHO structural uncertainty from a priori profile shapes is 20% to 30% over the background clean areas, and 10% to 20% over the polluted areas. In contrast, the NO² differences caused by different a priori profile shapes are around 10% over the clean areas and reach 30% or more over the polluted areas. Over East China, India and the Middle East, localized differences over cities and polluted regions are obvious (Figs. 9c and d), reflecting the significant differences between TM5-MP and GEOS- CF NO² profile shapes. Besides, distinctive patterns along the coastal lines are visible, especially in the HCHO relative differences. This is caused by the relatively coarse horizontal resolution of TM5-MP, in which the large 508 heterogeneity of HCHO vertical distribution is smoothed in the $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ grid.

 Figure 9. Relative differences of tropospheric HCHO (**a** and **b**) and NO² (**c** and **d**) columns retrieved with TM5-MP priori 511 profiles (Cases "Fst_tm5" and "Nst_tm5") to those with GEOS-CF priori profiles (Case "Fst_ORcp" and POMINO NO₂) in 512 July 2021 and January 2022. The regions in gray mean that there are no valid observations. July 2021 and January 2022. The regions in gray mean that there are no valid observations.

4.5 Summarizing the impacts of input parameters

As shown in each sub-figure of Figure 10, the first three columns summarize the structural uncertainty of aerosol

correction, surface reflectance and a priori profile shapes on the HCHO retrieval in the corresponding region and

- 516 month. As noted in Sect. 2.2, we consistently use GEOS-CF HCHO columns for background correction in every 517 HCHO sensitivity test case. The TM5-MP HCHO columns over background regions are systematically lower than 518 those of GEOS-CF by about 0.5×10^{15} molec.cm⁻² on average (Fig. S10), which strongly affects the comparisons 519 over the low-HCHO regions. 520 Over clean areas (HCHO columns $< 5 \times 10^{15}$ molec.cm⁻²), a priori profile shapes are the primary source of the 521 HCHO structural uncertainty (third column in Fig. 10). However, the differences between "Fst tm5" and the 522 reference case "Fst_ORcp" are not in alignment with those of RPRO to the reference case, as manifested in the 523 consistent drop of the blue line from the third ("Fst_tm5" – reference) to the fourth column (RPRO − reference). 524 This drop can be attributed to the systematic issue in the background correction. Over most areas with HCHO 525 columns larger than 5×10^{15} molec.cm⁻², relative to the same reference case, the HCHO differences caused by 526 using implicit aerosol corrections and TM5-MP priori profile shapes match well with those of RPRO product (the 527 fourth column). However, the lower values of RPRO than the reference case in Europe in January 2022 do not 528 agree with the combined results of tests "Fst imaer" and "Fst tm5". This indicates that the higher OMI-based
- 529 climatology monthly MLER used in RPRO retrieval is probably the dominant factor. Furthermore, the influence
- 530 of cloud correction using different cloud parameters, especially the cloud top pressures, varies from −20% to 20% 531 depending on the specific regions and seasons. This is also an important factor for the HCHO differences between
- 532 POMINO and RPRO retrievals.

533

Freprint. Discussion started: 11 November 2024

C Author(s) 2024. CC BY 4.0 License.

C Q C C C C BY 4.0 License.

S34 Figure 10. HCHO relative differences of the sensitivity test "Fst_imaer" (Case F2, fi

535 column), "Fs Preprint. Discussion started: 11 November 2024 c Author(s) 2024. CC BY 4.0 License.

- 534 **Figure 10.** HCHO relative differences of the sensitivity test "Fst_imaer" (Case F2, first column), "Fst_mler" (Case F3, second column), "Fst_tm5" (Case F4, third column), RPRO product (fourth column) and POMINO produc
- 535 column), "Fst_tm5" (Case F4, third column), RPRO product (fourth column) and POMINO product (fifth column) to the
-

538 For NO₂, the first three columns in Figure 11 show the individual effect of each input parameter on the NO₂ retrieval in each region. Apparently, the relative differences between RPRO and POMINO (the fifth column) are 540 in discrepancy with the sum of the differences between each of the three cases ("Nst_imaer", "Nst_dler" and "Nst_tm5") and the reference POMINO retrieval, especially over polluted areas in North America, Europe and 542 Asia in January 2022. However, the NO₂ columns of the test "Nst joint" (Case N4) show high agreement with those of the RPRO product when compared to the POMINO retrieval (fourth column in Fig. 11); a similar result is shown for the spatial distribution in Figure S12. Therefore, the NO² differences between POMINO and RPRO are the result of compensation effects between different aerosol corrections on one hand, and different surface reflectances as well as vertical profile shapes on the other hand. These results demonstrate the non-linear joint effects of aerosols, surface reflectance, clouds and a priori profiles in the AMF calculation, which are consistent with the previous findings (Lin et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2020). The remaining differences between "Nst_joint" and RPRO NO² columns are caused by their different ways to obtain tropospheric NO² AMFs, i.e., online pixel-specific RT calculation versus LUT-based interpolation (Lin et al., 2014).

551

552 **Figure 11.** NO₂ relative differences of the sensitivity test "Nst_imaer" (Case N1, first column), "Nst_dler" (Case N2, second column), "Nst_tm5" (Case N3, third column), "Nst_joint" (Case N4, fourth column) and RPRO 553 column), "Nst_tm5" (Case N3, third column), "Nst_joint" (Case N4, fourth column) and RPRO product (fifth column) to 554 pomment of the reference (Case N0) over seven regions in July 2021 and January 2022. POMINO product as the reference (Case N0) over seven regions in July 2021 and January 2022.

5 Uncertainty estimates

 The theoretical uncertainties of the POMINO retrievals can be analytically derived by uncertainty propagation based on the Eqs. 2 and 3 (Boersma et al., 2004). However, it is difficult to estimate the overall AMF uncertainty for each pixel, as one challenge is the amount of computational costs of sensitivity calculations with the online pixel-by-pixel RT simulations. Nonetheless, random uncertainties of the observations can be reduced by spatial and temporal averaging, although the systematic uncertainties from the main retrieval steps remain. There remains lack of information to separate random and systematic uncertainties accurately. Here we provide a preliminary estimate of the uncertainty budget for monthly averaged HCHO and NO² columns from POMINO retrievals, based on our sensitivity tests and validations as well as previous work.

 For HCHO, the contribution from the slant column uncertainty to the vertical column uncertainty is 25% for regions with low columns and 15% for regions with elevated columns (De Smedt, 2022; De Smedt et al., 2018). The contribution from the background correction uncertainty is significant for low columns (around 40%), in 567 which the systematic uncertainty from the dSCD normalization is estimated to be 0 to 4×10^{15} molec.cm⁻², and 568 the uncertainty from the model background is 0 to 2×10^{15} molec.cm⁻². The AMF uncertainty, which is the largest contributor to the vertical column uncertainty, is mainly dependent on the errors of the ancillary parameters tested 570 in Sect. 4. The AMF uncertainty induced by the error of a priori profile shapes is the largest with 30% to 60% over clean regions and around 20% over polluted regions. The errors of cloud parameters and surface reflectance are assumed to contribute to the AMF uncertainty by 10% to 20%, and the errors in the aerosol parameters contribute to the AMF uncertainty by about 5% for regions with low columns and 10% for regions with elevated columns. Overall, the HCHO AMF uncertainty is estimated to be about 50% for clean regions and 30% for polluted regions, respectively.

576 For NO₂, the total SCD uncertainty is reported to be 0.5 to 0.6×10^{15} molec.cm⁻² and a constant value of 0.2 \times 10^{15} molec.cm⁻² is assigned to the uncertainty of the stratospheric SCDs (Van Geffen et al., 2022b). For tropospheric AMF, the uncertainty caused by aerosol-related errors is estimated to be 10% to 20% on average, and 579 the errors in a priori $NO₂$ profile shapes is estimated to cause an AMF uncertainty of 20% based on the sensitivity 580 test. The contribution from cloud parameters and surface reflectance to the $NO₂ AMF$ uncertainty is estimated to be on the same level as that to the HCHO AMF uncertainty discussed above. By adding these errors in quadrature, the overall NO² AMF uncertainty is 10% to 20% for clean regions and 20% to 30% for polluted regions.

 By wrapping up the estimated relative contributions to the vertical column uncertainty, the total uncertainty of POMINO HCHO VCDs is estimated to be 50% to 70% over regions with low columns, and 30% to 40% over regions with high columns. For the POMINO NO² retrieval, the total uncertainty is around 50% over remote 586 regions with low NO₂ abundances, and 20% to 30% over polluted regions with high NO₂ abundances. This tentative estimation of the POMINO retrieval uncertainties is supported by the validation results against the independent ground-based measurements (Sect. 6.1). To quantify the errors for individual pixels, artificial-intelligence-based methods are an appealing approach to be tried in our future work.

6 Validation against global MAX-DOAS network and PGN measurements

- In this section, we present the validation results of POMINO and RPRO retrievals against independent ground-
- based measurements from the global MAX-DOAS network and PGN. Separate comparisons of tropospheric
- HCHO and NO² columns are given in Sect. 6.1, the effect of vertical smoothing is discussed in Sect. 6.2, and the
- satellite-based and ground-based FNRs are evaluated in Sect. 6.3.

6.1 Validation of tropospheric HCHO and NO² columns

 Figures 12a and b present the scatterplots of daily satellite HCHO columns against ground-based measurements in April, July, October 2021 and January 2022. Each data point represents a day and site. There is a lower slope and higher positive offset for POMINO compared with those of RPRO product (slope: 0.56 versus 0.61; offset: 1.17 versus 0.24). This is in line with the discussion in Sect. 4.5 that POMINO employs higher HCHO columns from GEOS-CF for background correction, which is the major component of HCHO columns over areas with low 601 HCHO level. Furthermore, at 13 polluted ground-based sites where HCHO columns are higher than 10×10^{15} 602 molec.cm⁻², POMINO HCHO columns show smaller bias at 8 sites (Figure S13). Overall, POMINO exhibits a smaller negative NNB (−30.8%) than RPRO (−35.0%). Statistics of separate validation results against MAX-DOAS and PGN measurements are given in Table S2.

605

606 Figure 12. Scatterplots of tropospheric HCHO (**a** and **b**) and NO₂ (**c** and **d**) columns between satellite products (POMINO and **607** RPRO) and ground-based measurements in April, July, October 2021 and January 2 607 RPRO) and ground-based measurements in April, July, October 2021 and January 2022. The slope, offset and correlation from 608 a linear regression using the robust Theil-Sen estimator and normalized mean bias (NMB) are given in each panel and plotted 609 as the red line. The black dashed line is the 1:1 line. Each MAX-DOAS (marked by circles) and PGN site (marked by squares) is color-coded and listed on the right side.

611 For NO2, a better agreement with ground-based measurements is found for POMINO tropospheric columns than 612 for RPRO (slope: 0.72 versus 0.64; offset: 0.72 versus 0.77; NMB: −9.5% versus −19.4%). At remote MAX-613 DOAS sites where tropospheric NO₂ columns are around 1×10^{15} molec.cm⁻² or less (Fig. S13), satellite 614 tropospheric NO₂ columns are higher by 0.3-1 \times 10¹⁵ molec.cm⁻². This is in line with the previous validation 615 studies (Kanaya et al., 2014; Verhoelst et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2023), and is probably because that a majority of 616 NO₂ molecules over remote regions are in the free troposphere, which are above the detection height of ground-617 based MAX-DOAS instruments but can be well observed by spaceborne instruments. At the six most-polluted 618 sites with mean tropospheric NO₂ columns higher than 10×10^{15} molec.cm⁻², POMINO features a much-reduced 619 bias of −14.5% compared with RPRO product (−22.0%). This is because of the explicit correction for aerosol 620 "shielding" effect over highly polluted sites and lower surface reflectance, which reduces the NO₂ scattering 621 weights near the surface and hence increases the retrieved $NO₂$ columns.

6.2 Effect of vertical smoothing for validation

 To test the impact of different vertical sensitivity from the ground and space, MAX-DOAS FRM4DOAS v01.01 624 harmonized HCHO and NO₂ datasets were used. The data provides 20-layer-resolved (from surface to \sim 600 hPa) MAX-DOAS averaging kernels and vertical profiles (posterior and prior to the retrievals). Following the "vertical smoothing" technique (Rodgers and Connor, 2003) described in detail by Vigouroux et al. (2020), we first substituted the priori profile shapes used in MAX-DOAS retrieval with either GEOS-CF or TM5-MP profile shapes to get corrected MAX-DOAS retrieved profiles: $x'_{MD} = x_{MD} + (A_{MD} - I)(x_{MD,a} - x_{Sat,a})$ (5) 630 with x'_{MD} denoting the corrected MAX-DOAS retrieved profile, x_{MD} the original MAX-DOAS profile, A_{MD} the 631 MAX-DOAS averaging kernel matrix, **I** the unit matrix, $x_{MD,a}$ the MAX-DOAS a priori profile and $x_{Sat,a}$ the satellite a priori profile (i.e., from GEOS-CF or TM5-MP) re-gridded to the MAX-DOAS retrieval resolution from the surface to 600 hPa. To account for the trace gas content in the free troposphere, especially for HCHO, we further extend the corrected MAX-DOAS profile to the tropopause with the satellite profile above 600 hPa that is scaled to ensure vertical continuity of the overall tropospheric profile. After that, we perform the smoothing process using either POMINO or RPRO averaging kernels: $c_{\text{MD}}^{\text{smoothed}} = a_{\text{Sat}} \cdot x'_{\text{MD}}$ (6) 638 with c_{MD}^{smoothed} the smoothed MAX-DOAS column, a_{Sat} the satellite averaging kernel vector and x'_{MD} the corrected MAX-DOAS retrieved profile from Eq. (5). We compare the smoothed MAX-DOAS data with satellite retrievals and the statistics are summarized in Table 3. For the five MAX-DOAS sites available (Table 2), we find that after smoothing, the linear regression slope gets improved for both HCHO products. The negative bias of POMINO is reduced by about 10% but that of RPRO product is increased by about 4%. This is because POMINO HCHO averaging kernels are smaller than those of RPRO between the surface to about 800 hPa, resulting in lower smoothed MAX-DOAS HCHO columns compared to those using RPRO HCHO averaging kernels. Smaller POMINO HCHO averaging kernels at low altitudes are due to enhanced "shielding" effect from explicit aerosol corrections and lower KNMI TROPOMI MLER than OMI-based climatological monthly MLER used in RPRO HCHO. For NO2, among the six sites (Table 2), after applying the vertical smoothing technique, the negative NMB increases from −7.3% to −15.7% for POMINO and decreases from −24.6% to −8.5% for RPRO, even though a better day-to-day correlation is found for both products. Again, such changes are caused by the different averaging kernels used in the two satellite products. Due to the scarcity of the MAX-DOAS sites for analysis here (Tables 2 and 3) and the under-representativeness in their spatial distribution (Table 2), a general conclusion cannot be made on the overall impact of vertical smoothing now. Nevertheless, the comparison results indicate the importance of considering the different vertical sensitivity between spaceborne and ground-based MAX-DOAS instruments, and different a priori profile shapes used to derive the vertical columns during the validation practice (De Smedt et al., 2021; Dimitropoulou et al., 2022; Yombo Phaka et al., 2023). **Table 3.** Effect of vertical smoothing on the comparisons of TROPOMI and MAX-DOAS data.

659

660 **6.3 Comparisons of FNR**

669

670 **Figure 13.** Scatterplots of daily tropospheric column ratio of formaldehyde to nitrogen dioxide (FNR) derived from satellite 671 products (**a** for POMINO and **b** for RPRO) and PGN measurements in April, July, October 2021 and January 2022. The slope, 671 products (a for POMINO and b for RPRO) and PGN measurements in April, July, October 2021 and January 2022. The slope,
672 offset and correlation from a linear regression using the robust Theil-Sen estimator and normal in each panel and plotted as the red line.

- Note that more than half of the PGN stations used here are in the North America (Figure S2), thus further validation with ground-based measurements in combination with model simulations is needed over other regions, especially
- those where ozone chemistry regimes change rapidly.

7 Conclusions

678 We developed an updated version of the POMINO algorithm providing HCHO and NO₂ AMF calculations, which offers global tropospheric HCHO and NO² VCDs retrievals of TROPOMI with improved consistency compared to current products. Compared to the independently developed RPRO HCHO and NO² operational algorithms using different ancillary parameters, the POMINO algorithm includes: (1) the surface reflectance anisotropy by using KNMI TROPOMI v2.0 DLER at 340 nm for HCHO and MODIS BRDF coefficients around 470 nm for NO2, (2) an explicit aerosol correction for both species based on GEOS-CF aerosol information and MODIS AOD 684 at corresponding wavelengths, (3) high-resolution (0.25° × 0.25°) a priori HCHO and NO₂ profile shapes from GEOS-CF dataset and (4) a consistent cloud correction based on cloud top pressures taken from the FRESCO-S cloud product and cloud fractions re-calculated at 440 nm using the same ancillary parameters as those used in NO² AMF calculation.

 High qualitative agreement of tropospheric HCHO and NO² columns is found between POMINO and RPRO products in April, July, October 2021 and January 2022. However, RPRO HCHO columns are lower by 15% on average than the POMINO HCHO columns over the polluted areas around the world, and the negative differences of RPRO tropospheric NO² columns can reach −20% over specific areas.

 To clarify the reasons for the differences between POMINO and RPRO columns and quantify the structural uncertainty from ancillary parameters in the AMF calculation, we performed a series of sensitivity tests on the cloud correction, aerosol correction, surface reflectance and a priori profile shapes. We find that based on POMINO-recalculated cloud fraction at 440 nm and FRESCO-S cloud top pressures, differences between clear- sky AMFs and total AMFs vary from −25% to more than 50% for both HCHO and NO2, depending on the cloud fraction and the relative height between clouds and trace gases. When using cloud top pressure data from 698 OCRA/ROCINN-CRB instead of FRESCO-S, a large decrease of tropospheric HCHO columns is found ($> 2 \times$ 699 10¹⁵ molec.cm⁻²) over Amazonia Rainforest and southeast China, and the negative differences over polluted 700 regions are about 20% on average.

 The influence of the implicit aerosol corrections used in operational products is within 10% on the HCHO retrieval, while higher NO² columns by 20% to 40% over the polluted areas in January 2022 are found with implicit aerosol 703 corrections. Comparisons of retrieved NO₂ columns using clear-sky AMFs and total AMFs with implicit aerosol corrections prove that the positive difference for NO² is dominated by the enhanced "shielding" effect of clouds over NO² layers. The directionality of the surface reflectance has a very small impact on the HCHO retrieval in the UV band, but the structural uncertainty of surface reflectance for NO² over polluted areas can reach 30%. The HCHO structural uncertainty from a priori profile shapes is 20% to 30% over the background areas and 10% to 20% over the polluted areas. In contrast, the NO² differences due to different a priori profile shapes reach 30% or more over the polluted areas. The additional test on the joint effect of these parameters shows notable non-linear influences from aerosol correction, surface reflectance, cloud correction and a priori profile shapes in the RT calculation.

 Direct comparisons of tropospheric HCHO and NO² columns between satellite retrievals and ground-based 713 measurements from the global MAX-DOAS network and PGN show that both POMINO HCHO and NO₂ retrievals feature a reduced bias in comparison to RPRO products (HCHO: −30.8% versus −35.0%; NO2: −9.5% versus −19.4%), especially at the polluted sites. The effect of the vertical smoothing is significant and strongly depends on the satellite averaging kernels. A better agreement of daily FNR with smaller bias is also found between POMINO products and PGN measurements in comparison to results obtained with RPRO products (*R* = 718 0.83, NMB = -18.4% versus $R = 0.82$, NMB = -24.1%). Overall, we demonstrate the promising performance of TROPOMI-based POMINO algorithm for global HCHO

 and NO² retrieval. However, there are still several limitations in our study. First, in the process of cloud correction in the POMINO retrieval, only the cloud fraction is re-calculated with explicit aerosol corrections, while the cloud top pressure is taken from the external dataset, i.e., the FRESCO-S cloud product, in which the aerosols are implicitly accounted for (at least partly). Therefore, this step leads to a potential double counting of aerosols in 724 the cloud information, as discussed in detail in Liu et al. (2020). Given that TROPOMI-based $O₂-O₂$ cloud data 725 have become available, we plan to improve the current POMINO algorithm by performing O_2-O_2 cloud retrieval for both cloud fraction and cloud top pressure with explicit aerosol corrections in the future, as has been done in the POMINO-OMI and POMINO-GEMS products (Lin et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2023).

 Second, it should be noted that the indirect aerosol effect on HCHO and NO² retrievals through clouds is strongly 729 sensitive to the cloud top pressures and the trace gas profile shapes. Using OMI O₂-O₂ based cloud parameters or FRESCO-S cloud top pressures stored in the operational NO² L2 product before version 1.4.0, previous studies have shown lower NO² columns over polluted North China Plain when retrieved with implicit aerosol corrections (Lin et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2020). This is because the cloud top pressures in those studies are higher, which result in larger AMF values when implicit (instead of explicit) aerosol corrections are used. Besides, certain biases still exist in the current FRESCO-S cloud top pressures, such as the overestimation over the ITCZ. The effect of a priori profile shapes is also significant for both HCHO and NO² retrievals, and it deserves more attention in the future analysis. Comprehensive evaluations of cloud retrievals and model performance with independent measurements are needed in future studies.

 Nevertheless, the POMINO algorithm that aims at improving the consistency in multi-gas retrieval shows great potential and can be easily adapted to other satellite instruments, e.g. GEMS, the Tropospheric Emissions: Monitoring Pollution (TEMPO), as well as Sentinel-4 and Sentinel-5 missions. The global tropospheric HCHO and NO² VCD retrievals presented in our study are also of value for subsequent applications such as ozone chemistry analysis and emission controls.

Data availability. The POMINO HCHO and NO₂ datasets presented in the study will be available soon on our website (http://www.pku-atmos-acm.org/acmProduct.php/). The S5p TROPOMI RPRO HCHO v2.4.1 L2 product and RPRO NO² v2.4.0 L2 product are available at Copernicus Data Space Ecosystem | Europe's eyes on Earth (https://dataspace.copernicus.eu/, last access: 17 July 2024). The ground-based MAX-DOAS measurements can be provided upon request to the corresponding authors. The PGN/Pandora direct sun measurements are available 749 at the ESA Validation Data Centre (EVDC, 2024) (https://evdc.esa.int, last access: 7 July 2024) and Pandonia Global Network (2024) (https://www.pandonia-global-network.org/, last access: 17 July 2024).

Supplement.

Institute for Space Aeronomy (BIRA-IASB) (project code: 3TEAMUVVIS).

References

 Beelen, R., Stafoggia, M., Raaschou-Nielsen, O., Andersen, Z. J., Xun, W. W., Katsouyanni, K., Dimakopoulou, K., Brunekreef, B., Weinmayr, G., Hoffmann, B., Wolf, K., Samoli, E., Houthuijs, D., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., Oudin, A., Forsberg, B., Olsson, D., Salomaa, V., Lanki, T., Yli-Tuomi, T., Oftedal, B., Aamodt, G., Nafstad, P., De Faire, U., Pedersen, N. L., Östenson, C.-G., Fratiglioni, L., Penell, J., Korek, M., Pyko, A., Eriksen, K. T., Tjønneland, A., Becker, T., Eeftens, M., Bots, M., Meliefste, K., Wang, M., Bueno-de-Mesquita, B., Sugiri, D., Krämer, U., Heinrich, J., de Hoogh, K., Key, T., Peters, A., Cyrys, J., Concin, H., Nagel, G., Ineichen, A., Schaffner, E., Probst-Hensch, N., Dratva, J., Ducret-Stich, R., Vilier, A., Clavel-Chapelon, F., Stempfelet, M., Grioni, S., Krogh, V., Tsai, M.-Y., Marcon, A., Ricceri, F., Sacerdote, C., Galassi, C., Migliore, E., Ranzi, A., Cesaroni, G., Badaloni, C., Forastiere, F., Tamayo, I., Amiano, P., Dorronsoro, M., Katsoulis, M., Trichopoulou, A., Vineis, P., and Hoek, G.: Long-term Exposure to Air Pollution and Cardiovascular Mortality: An Analysis of 22 European Cohorts, Epidemiology, 25, 368,

-
- https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0000000000000076, 2014.

- Boersma, K. F., Eskes, H. J., and Brinksma, E. J.: Error analysis for tropospheric NO2 retrieval from
- space, AGU J., 2004.
- Boersma, K. F., Eskes, H. J., Dirksen, R. J., van der A, R. J., Veefkind, J. P., Stammes, P., Huijnen, V.,
- Kleipool, Q. L., Sneep, M., Claas, J., Leitão, J., Richter, A., Zhou, Y., and Brunner, D.: An improved
- tropospheric NO² column retrieval algorithm for the Ozone Monitoring Instrument, Atmospheric
- Meas. Tech., 4, 1905–1928, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-1905-2011, 2011.
- Bovensmann, H., Burrows, J. P., Buchwitz, M., Frerick, J., Noël, S., Rozanov, V. V., Chance, K. V.,
- and Goede, A. P. H.: SCIAMACHY: Mission Objectives and Measurement Modes, 1999.
- Burrows, J. P., Weber, M., Buchwitz, M., Rozanov, V., Ladstätter-Weißenmayer, A., Richter, A.,
- DeBeek, R., Hoogen, R., Bramstedt, K., Eichmann, K.-U., Eisinger, M., and Perner, D.: The Global
- Ozone Monitoring Experiment (GOME): Mission Concept and First Scientific Results, 1999.
- Callies, J., Corpaccioli, E., Eisinger, M., Hahne, A., and Lefebvre, A.: GOME-2 Metop's Second-
- Generation Sensor for Operational Ozone Monitoring, 2000.
- Chen, L., Lin, J., Martin, R., Du, M., Weng, H., Kong, H., Ni, R., Meng, J., Zhang, Y., Zhang, L., and van Donkelaar, A.: Inequality in historical transboundary anthropogenic PM2.5 health impacts, Sci. Bull., 67, 437–444, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2021.11.007, 2022.
- Compernolle, S., Argyrouli, A., Lutz, R., Sneep, M., Lambert, J.-C., Fjæraa, A. M., Hubert, D., Keppens,
- A., Loyola, D., O'Connor, E., Romahn, F., Stammes, P., Verhoelst, T., and Wang, P.: Validation of
- 806 the Sentinel-5 Precursor TROPOMI cloud data with Cloudnet, Aura OMI O₂–O₂, MODIS, and Suomi-
- NPP VIIRS, Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 14, 2451–2476, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-2451-2021, 2021.
- Cooper, M. J., Martin, R. V., Hammer, M. S., Levelt, P. F., Veefkind, P., Lamsal, L. N., Krotkov, N.
- A., Brook, J. R., and McLinden, C. A.: Global fine-scale changes in ambient NO2 during COVID-19
- lockdowns, Nature, 601, 380–387, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04229-0, 2022.
- Crutzen, P. J.: The influence of nitrogen oxides on the atmospheric ozone content, Q. J. R. Meteorol.
- Soc., 96, 320–325, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49709640815, 1970.
- De Smedt, I.: TROPOMI ATBD of HCHO data products version 2.4.1, 2022.
- De Smedt, I., Stavrakou, T., Müller, J.-F., van der A, R. J., and Van Roozendael, M.: Trend detection
- in satellite observations of formaldehyde tropospheric columns, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL044245, 2010.
- De Smedt, I., Theys, N., Yu, H., Danckaert, T., Lerot, C., Compernolle, S., Van Roozendael, M., Richter,
- A., Hilboll, A., Peters, E., Pedergnana, M., Loyola, D., Beirle, S., Wagner, T., Eskes, H., van Geffen,
- J., Boersma, K. F., and Veefkind, P.: Algorithm theoretical baseline for formaldehyde retrievals from
- S5P TROPOMI and from the QA4ECV project, Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 11, 2395–2426,
- https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-2395-2018, 2018.
- De Smedt, I., Pinardi, G., Vigouroux, C., Compernolle, S., Bais, A., Benavent, N., Boersma, F., Chan,
- K.-L., Donner, S., Eichmann, K.-U., Hedelt, P., Hendrick, F., Irie, H., Kumar, V., Lambert, J.-C.,

- Langerock, B., Lerot, C., Liu, C., Loyola, D., Piters, A., Richter, A., Rivera Cárdenas, C., Romahn, F., Ryan, R. G., Sinha, V., Theys, N., Vlietinck, J., Wagner, T., Wang, T., Yu, H., and Van Roozendael, M.: Comparative assessment of TROPOMI and OMI formaldehyde observations and validation against MAX-DOAS network column measurements, Atmospheric Chem. Phys., 21, 12561–12593, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-12561-2021, 2021. Dimitropoulou, E., Hendrick, F., Friedrich, M. M., Tack, F., Pinardi, G., Merlaud, A., Fayt, C., Hermans, 831 C., Fierens, F., and Van Roozendael, M.: Horizontal distribution of tropospheric NO₂ and aerosols derived by dual-scan multi-wavelength multi-axis differential optical absorption spectroscopy (MAX- DOAS) measurements in Uccle, Belgium, Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 15, 4503–4529, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-15-4503-2022, 2022. Herman, J., Abuhassan, N., Kim, J., Kim, J., Dubey, M., Raponi, M., and Tzortziou, M.: 836 Underestimation of column NO₂ amounts from the OMI satellite compared to diurnally varying ground-based retrievals from multiple PANDORA spectrometer instruments, Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 12, 5593–5612, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-5593-2019, 2019. Irie, H., Takashima, H., Kanaya, Y., Boersma, K. F., Gast, L., Wittrock, F., Brunner, D., Zhou, Y., and Van Roozendael, M.: Eight-component retrievals from ground-based MAX-DOAS observations, Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 4, 1027–1044, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-1027-2011, 2011. 842 Irie, H., Boersma, K. F., Kanaya, Y., Takashima, H., Pan, X., and Wang, Z. F.: Quantitative bias 843 estimates for tropospheric NO₂ columns retrieved from SCIAMACHY, OMI, and GOME-2 using a common standard for East Asia, Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 5, 2403–2411, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-5-2403-2012, 2012. Irie, H., Nakayama, T., Shimizu, A., Yamazaki, A., Nagai, T., Uchiyama, A., Zaizen, Y., Kagamitani, 847 S., and Matsumi, Y.: Evaluation of MAX-DOAS aerosol retrievals by coincident observations using CRDS, lidar, and sky radiometer inTsukuba, Japan, Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 8, 2775–2788, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-2775-2015, 2015. Jethva, H., Torres, O., and Ahn, C.: A 12-year long global record of optical depth of absorbing aerosols above the clouds derived from the OMI/OMACA algorithm, Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 11, 5837– 5864, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-5837-2018, 2018. Jiang, Z., Zhu, R., Miyazaki, K., McDonald, B. C., Klimont, Z., Zheng, B., Boersma, K. F., Zhang, Q., Worden, H., Worden, J. R., Henze, D. K., Jones, D. B. A., Denier van der Gon, H. A. C., and Eskes, H.: Decadal Variabilities in Tropospheric Nitrogen Oxides Over United States, Europe, and China, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 127, e2021JD035872, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035872, 2022.
- Jin, X. and Holloway, T.: Spatial and temporal variability of ozone sensitivity over China observed from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 120, 7229–7246, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023250, 2015.
- Jin, X., Fiore, A. M., Murray, L. T., Valin, L. C., Lamsal, L. N., Duncan, B., Folkert Boersma, K., De
- 861 Smedt, I., Abad, G. G., Chance, K., and Tonnesen, G. S.: Evaluating a Space-Based Indicator of

- Surface Ozone-NO-VOC Sensitivity Over Midlatitude Source Regions and Application to Decadal Trends, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 122, 10,439-10,461, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD026720, 2017. Jin, X., Fiore, A., Boersma, K. F., Smedt, I. D., and Valin, L.: Inferring Changes in Summertime Surface Ozone–NOx–VOC Chemistry over U.S. Urban Areas from Two Decades of Satellite and Ground- Based Observations, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 6518–6529, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b07785, 2020. Jin, X., Fiore, A. M., and Cohen, R. C.: Space-Based Observations of Ozone Precursors within California Wildfire Plumes and the Impacts on Ozone-NOx-VOC Chemistry, Environ. Sci. Technol., 57, 14648–14660, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.3c04411, 2023. Kai-Sikhakhane, R. F., Scholes, M. C., Piketh, S. J., van Geffen, J., Garland, R. M., Havenga, H., and Scholes, R. J.: Assessing Nitrogen Dioxide in the Highveld Troposphere: Pandora Insights and TROPOMI Sentinel-5P Evaluation, Atmosphere, 15, 1187, https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos15101187, 2024. Kanaya, Y., Irie, H., Takashima, H., Iwabuchi, H., Akimoto, H., Sudo, K., Gu, M., Chong, J., Kim, Y. J., Lee, H., Li, A., Si, F., Xu, J., Xie, P.-H., Liu, W.-Q., Dzhola, A., Postylyakov, O., Ivanov, V., Grechko, E., Terpugova, S., and Panchenko, M.: Long-term MAX-DOAS network observations of 879 $NO₂$ in Russia and Asia (MADRAS) during the period 2007– 2012: instrumentation, elucidation of climatology, and comparisons with OMI satellite observations and global model simulations, Atmospheric Chem. Phys., 14, 7909–7927, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-7909-2014, 2014. Keller, C. A., Knowland, K. E., Duncan, B. N., Liu, J., Anderson, D. C., Das, S., Lucchesi, R. A., Lundgren, E. W., Nicely, J. M., Nielsen, E., Ott, L. E., Saunders, E., Strode, S. A., Wales, P. A., Jacob, D. J., and Pawson, S.: Description of the NASA GEOS Composition Forecast Modeling System
- GEOS-CF v1.0, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 13, e2020MS002413, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020MS002413, 2021.
- Kim, J., Jeong, U., Ahn, M.-H., Kim, J. H., Park, R. J., Lee, H., Song, C. H., Choi, Y.-S., Lee, K.-H.,
- Yoo, J.-M., Jeong, M.-J., Park, S. K., Lee, K.-M., Song, C.-K., Kim, S.-W., Kim, Y. J., Kim, S.-W.,
- Kim, M., Go, S., Liu, X., Chance, K., Miller, C. C., Al-Saadi, J., Veihelmann, B., Bhartia, P. K.,
- Torres, O., Abad, G. G., Haffner, D. P., Ko, D. H., Lee, S. H., Woo, J.-H., Chong, H., Park, S. S.,
- Nicks, D., Choi, W. J., Moon, K.-J., Cho, A., Yoon, J., Kim, S., Hong, H., Lee, K., Lee, H., Lee, S.,
- Choi, M., Veefkind, P., Levelt, P. F., Edwards, D. P., Kang, M., Eo, M., Bak, J., Baek, K., Kwon, H.-
- A., Yang, J., Park, J., Han, K. M., Kim, B.-R., Shin, H.-W., Choi, H., Lee, E., Chong, J., Cha, Y., Koo,
- J.-H., Irie, H., Hayashida, S., Kasai, Y., Kanaya, Y., Liu, C., Lin, J., Crawford, J. H., Carmichael, G.
- R., Newchurch, M. J., Lefer, B. L., Herman, J. R., Swap, R. J., Lau, A. K. H., Kurosu, T. P., Jaross,
- G., Ahlers, B., Dobber, M., McElroy, C. T., and Choi, Y.: New Era of Air Quality Monitoring from

 Space: Geostationary Environment Monitoring Spectrometer (GEMS), https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-18-0013.1, 2020. Kleipool, Q. L., Dobber, M. R., de Haan, J. F., and Levelt, P. F.: Earth surface reflectance climatology from 3 years of OMI data, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 113, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010290, 2008. Kong, H., Lin, J., Chen, L., Zhang, Y., Yan, Y., Liu, M., Ni, R., Liu, Z., and Weng, H.: Considerable Unaccounted Local Sources of NOx Emissions in China Revealed from Satellite, Environ. Sci. Technol., 56, 7131–7142, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c07723, 2022. Kumar, V., Beirle, S., Dörner, S., Mishra, A. K., Donner, S., Wang, Y., Sinha, V., and Wagner, T.: Long-term MAX-DOAS measurements of NO2, HCHO, and aerosols and evaluation of corresponding satellite data products over Mohali in the Indo-Gangetic Plain, Atmospheric Chem. Phys., 20, 14183– 14235, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-14183-2020, 2020. Leitão, J., Richter, A., Vrekoussis, M., Kokhanovsky, A., Zhang, Q. J., Beekmann, M., and Burrows, J. 911 P.: On the improvement of NO₂ satellite retrievals – aerosol impact on the airmass factors, Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 3, 475–493, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-3-475-2010, 2010. Levelt, P. F., van den Oord, G. H. J., Dobber, M. R., Malkki, A., Visser, H., Vries, J. de, Stammes, P., Lundell, J. O. V., and Saari, H.: The ozone monitoring instrument, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 44, 1093–1101, https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2006.872333, 2006. Li, J., Wang, Y., Zhang, R., Smeltzer, C., Weinheimer, A., Herman, J., Boersma, K. F., Celarier, E. A., Long, R. W., Szykman, J. J., Delgado, R., Thompson, A. M., Knepp, T. N., Lamsal, L. N., Janz, S. J., 918 Kowalewski, M. G., Liu, X., and Nowlan, C. R.: Comprehensive evaluations of diurnal NO₂ measurements during DISCOVER-AQ 2011: effects of resolution-dependent representation of NO*^x* emissions, Atmospheric Chem. Phys., 21, 11133–11160, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-11133-2021, 2021. Li, X., Wang, P., Wang, W., Zhang, H., Shi, S., Xue, T., Lin, J., Zhang, Y., Liu, M., Chen, R., Kan, H., and Meng, X.: Mortality burden due to ambient nitrogen dioxide pollution in China: Application of high-resolution models, Environ. Int., 176, 107967, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2023.107967, 2023. Lin, J.-T.: Satellite constraint for emissions of nitrogen oxides from anthropogenic, lightning and soil sources over East China on a high-resolution grid, Atmospheric Chem. Phys., 12, 2881–2898, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-2881-2012, 2012. Lin, J.-T., Martin, R. V., Boersma, K. F., Sneep, M., Stammes, P., Spurr, R., Wang, P., Van Roozendael, M., Clémer, K., and Irie, H.: Retrieving tropospheric nitrogen dioxide from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument: effects of aerosols, surface reflectance anisotropy, and vertical profile of nitrogen dioxide, Atmospheric Chem. Phys., 14, 1441–1461, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-1441-2014, 2014. Lin, J.-T., Liu, M.-Y., Xin, J.-Y., Boersma, K. F., Spurr, R., Martin, R., and Zhang, Q.: Influence of 934 aerosols and surface reflectance on satellite NO₂ retrieval: seasonal and spatial characteristics and

- implications for NO*^x* emission constraints, Atmospheric Chem. Phys., 15, 11217–11241, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-11217-2015, 2015.
- Liu, M., Lin, J., Boersma, K. F., Pinardi, G., Wang, Y., Chimot, J., Wagner, T., Xie, P., Eskes, H., Van
- Roozendael, M., Hendrick, F., Wang, P., Wang, T., Yan, Y., Chen, L., and Ni, R.: Improved aerosol
- 939 correction for OMI tropospheric NO₂ retrieval over East Asia: constraint from CALIOP aerosol
- vertical profile, Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 12, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-1-2019, 2019.
- Liu, M., Lin, J., Kong, H., Boersma, K. F., Eskes, H., Kanaya, Y., He, Q., Tian, X., Qin, K., Xie, P.,
- 942 Spurr, R., Ni, R., Yan, Y., Weng, H., and Wang, J.: A new TROPOMI product for tropospheric NO₂
- columns over East Asia with explicit aerosol corrections, Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 13, 4247–4259, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-4247-2020, 2020.
- Liu, O., Li, Z., Lin, Y., Fan, C., Zhang, Y., Li, K., Zhang, P., Wei, Y., Chen, T., Dong, J., and de Leeuw,
- 946 G.: Evaluation of the first year of Pandora NO₂ measurements over Beijing and application to satellite
- validation, Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 17, 377–395, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-17-377-2024, 2024a.
- Liu, S., Valks, P., Pinardi, G., Xu, J., Chan, K. L., Argyrouli, A., Lutz, R., Beirle, S., Khorsandi, E.,
- Baier, F., Huijnen, V., Bais, A., Donner, S., Dörner, S., Gratsea, M., Hendrick, F., Karagkiozidis, D.,
- Lange, K., Piters, A. J. M., Remmers, J., Richter, A., Van Roozendael, M., Wagner, T., Wenig, M.,
- 951 and Loyola, D. G.: An improved TROPOMI tropospheric NO₂ research product over Europe,
- Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 14, 7297–7327, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-7297-2021, 2021.
- Liu, S., Valks, P., Curci, G., Chen, Y., Shu, L., Jin, J., Sun, S., Pu, D., Li, X., Li, J., Zuo, X., Fu, W.,
- Li, Y., Zhang, P., Yang, X., Fu, T.-M., and Zhu, L.: Satellite NO2 Retrieval Complicated by Aerosol
- Composition over Global Urban Agglomerations: Seasonal Variations and Long-Term Trends (2001–
- 2018), Environ. Sci. Technol., 58, 7891–7903, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.3c02111, 2024b.
- Lorente, A., Folkert Boersma, K., Yu, H., Dörner, S., Hilboll, A., Richter, A., Liu, M., Lamsal, L. N.,
- Barkley, M., De Smedt, I., Van Roozendael, M., Wang, Y., Wagner, T., Beirle, S., Lin, J.-T., Krotkov, N., Stammes, P., Wang, P., Eskes, H. J., and Krol, M.: Structural uncertainty in air mass factor calculation for NO² and HCHO satellite retrievals, Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 10, 759–782, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-759-2017, 2017.
- Loyola, D. G., Gimeno García, S., Lutz, R., Argyrouli, A., Romahn, F., Spurr, R. J. D., Pedergnana, M.,
- Doicu, A., Molina García, V., and Schüssler, O.: The operational cloud retrieval algorithms from TROPOMI on board Sentinel-5 Precursor, Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 11, 409–427, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-409-2018, 2018.
- Martin, R. V., Chance, K., Jacob, D. J., Kurosu, T. P., Spurr, R. J. D., Bucsela, E., Gleason, J. F., Palmer,
- P. I., Bey, I., Fiore, A. M., Li, Q., Yantosca, R. M., and Koelemeijer, R. B. A.: An improved retrieval
- of tropospheric nitrogen dioxide from GOME, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 107, ACH 9-1-ACH 9-
- 21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001027, 2002.
- Richter, A. and Burrows, J. P.: Tropospheric NO2 from GOME measurements, Adv. Space Res., 29,
- 1673–1683, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0273-1177(02)00100-X, 2002.

- Richter, A., Burrows, J. P., Nüß, H., Granier, C., and Niemeier, U.: Increase in tropospheric nitrogen
- dioxide over China observed from space, Nature, 437, 129–132, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04092,
- 2005.
- Rodgers, C. D. and Connor, B. J.: Intercomparison of remote sounding instruments, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 108, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002299, 2003.
- Roujean, J.-L., Leroy, M., and Deschamps, P.-Y.: A bidirectional reflectance model of the Earth's
- surface for the correction of remote sensing data, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 97, 20455–20468,
- https://doi.org/10.1029/92JD01411, 1992.
- Schaepman-Strub, G., Schaepman, M. E., Painter, T. H., Dangel, S., and Martonchik, J. V.: Reflectance
- quantities in optical remote sensing—definitions and case studies, Remote Sens. Environ., 103, 27–
- 42, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2006.03.002, 2006.
- Sen, P. K.: Estimates of the Regression Coefficient Based on Kendall's Tau, J. Am. Stat. Assoc., 63, 1379–1389, https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1968.10480934, 1968.
- Shindell, D. T., Faluvegi, G., Koch, D. M., Schmidt, G. A., Unger, N., and Bauer, S. E.: Improved Attribution of Climate Forcing to Emissions, Science, 326, 716–718, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1174760, 2009.
- Stavrakou, T., Müller, J.-F., Bauwens, M., De Smedt, I., Van Roozendael, M., and Guenther, A.: Impact of Short-Term Climate Variability on Volatile Organic Compounds Emissions Assessed Using OMI Satellite Formaldehyde Observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 45, 8681–8689,
- https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL078676, 2018.
- Su, W., Liu, C., Chan, K. L., Hu, Q., Liu, H., Ji, X., Zhu, Y., Liu, T., Zhang, C., Chen, Y., and Liu, J.:
- An improved TROPOMI tropospheric HCHO retrieval over China, Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 13,
- 6271–6292, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-6271-2020, 2020.
- Tilstra, G.: TROPOMI ATBD of the directionally dependent surface Lambertian-equivalent reflectivity, 2024.
- Tilstra, L. G., de Graaf, M., Trees, V. J. H., Litvinov, P., Dubovik, O., and Stammes, P.: A directional surface reflectance climatology determined from TROPOMI observations, Atmospheric Meas. Tech.,
- 17, 2235–2256, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-17-2235-2024, 2024.
- Van Geffen, J., Boersma, K. F., Eskes, H., Sneep, M., ter Linden, M., Zara, M., and Veefkind, J. P.: S5P TROPOMI NO² slant column retrieval: method, stability, uncertainties and comparisons with
- OMI, Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 13, 1315–1335, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-1315-2020, 2020.
- Van Geffen, J., Eskes, H., Compernolle, S., Pinardi, G., Verhoelst, T., Lambert, J.-C., Sneep, M., ter
- Linden, M., Ludewig, A., Boersma, K. F., and Veefkind, J. P.: Sentinel-5P TROPOMI NO² retrieval:
- impact of version v2.2 improvements and comparisons with OMI and ground-based data, Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 15, 2037–2060, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-15-2037-2022, 2022a.
- Van Geffen, J. H. G. M., Eskes, H. J., Boersma, K. F., and Veefkind, P.: TROPOMI ATBD of the total
- and tropospheric NO2 data products version 2.4.0, 2022b.

Stavrakou, T., De Smedt, I., Hendrick, F., Dimitropoulou, E., Bopili Mbotia Lepiba, R., Phuku Phuati,

