



# 1 Atmospheric stability from microwave radiometer

# 2 observations for on/offshore wind energy applications

- 3 Domenico Cimini<sup>1,2</sup>, Rémi Gandoin<sup>3</sup>, Stephanie Fiedler<sup>4,a</sup>, Claudia Acquistapace<sup>5</sup>, Andrea
- 4 Balotti<sup>2</sup>, Sabrina Gentile<sup>1,2</sup>, Edoardo Geraldi<sup>1</sup>, Christine Knist<sup>6</sup>, Pauline Martinet<sup>7</sup>, Saverio T.
- 5 Nilo<sup>1</sup>, Giandomenico Pace<sup>8</sup>, Bernhard Pospichal<sup>5</sup>, Filomena Romano<sup>1</sup>
- 6 <sup>1</sup> CNR-IMAA, C.da S.Loja, Potenza, 85100, Italy
- 7 <sup>2</sup> CETEMPS, University of L'Aquila, L'Aquila, 67100, Italy
- 8 <sup>3</sup> C2Wind, Fredericia, 7000, Danmark
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>4</sup> University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany
- 10 <sup>5</sup> Institute for Geophysics and Meteorology, University of Cologne, 50969 Cologne, Germany
- <sup>6</sup> DWD, Meteorological Observatory Lindenberg Richard Aßmann Observatory, Tauche OT Lindenberg, 15848,
   Germany
- <sup>13</sup> <sup>7</sup> CNRM, Université de Toulouse, Météo-France, CNRS, Toulouse, France.
- 14 <sup>8</sup> ENEA, Observations and Measurements for Environment and Climate Laboratory, Rome, 00123, Italy
- 15 a Now at Institute of Environmental Physics, University Heidelberg, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany
- 16
- 17 Correspondence to: Domenico Cimini (domenico.cimini@imaa.cnr.it)
- 18 Abstract. Atmospheric stability controls the evolution of wind turbine wakes, and thus the yield and performance

19 of wind parks. For estimations of wind park power output and for improving analyses of wind park wakes, crucial

20 parameters were found to be profiles of atmospheric temperature and stability metrics. Atmospheric temperature

21 profiles are available from numerical weather prediction (NWP) models or are measured in-situ by balloon-borne

- 22 sensors, but can also be estimated from the ground using radiometric observations. This paper reviews the stability
- 23 metrics useful for monitoring wind park performances and provides a quantitative assessment of the value of NWP
- 24 model data for estimating these metrics. This paper also extends previous work, quantifying the performances of
- 25 microwave radiometer (MWR) observations to estimate stability metrics from surface-based observations in three
- 26 climatological conditions (marine, continental, and polar) and with different instrument types, either situated on
- 27 land or ocean. Two NWP systems (DOWA and NEWA) have been evaluated against temperature profiles
- 28 measured by offshore met masts in the 30-100 m layer from the surface. Systematic differences are ~0.3-0.5 K,
- 29 with no clear dependence on the stability class. Conversely, both models show larger random differences in stable
- 30 than in unstable conditions. Root-mean-square (RMS) differences were within 1 K for DOWA, while it exceeded
- 31 2 K for NEWA in very stable conditions. For temperature gradients in the 50-100 m vertical layer, the mean
- 32 absolute error (MAE) was ~3.4-4.2 K/km, with 5.8-8.4 RMS, and 0.7-0.8 correlation. From the six datasets of
- 33 MWR and radiosonde observations considered here, temperature profiles mostly agree within ~0.5 K near the
- 34 surface increasing to ~1.5 K at 2 km. Substantial differences are found between MWR performances in retrieving





35 temperature and potential temperature gradients (50-300 m) onshore and offshore. Onshore, potential temperature 36 gradients agree with 2.1-3.4 K/km MAE and 0.7-0.9 correlation. Offshore, both MAE (0.9-1.9 K/km) and 37 correlation (0.3-0.4) are relatively lower, although performances tend to improve using elevation scanning retrievals. Considering all the datasets, reported MAE are 0.9-3.4 K/km, while RMS are 1.2-5.1 K/km. Thus, the 38 39 uncertainty of MWR for temperature and potential temperature gradients in the 50-300 m vertical layer is ~0.5-4.3 K/km. The relatively lower performances off-shore may be attributed to the training of the inversion method, 40 41 which may under-represent the peculiar off-shore conditions, and the ship movements, which can impact low-42 elevation observations. These considerations suggest that appropriate dedicated training and elevation scanning 43 with ship movement compensation may be required for MWR to better catch potential temperature gradients 44 typical of offshore conditions.

### 45 1 Introduction

46 Stability is a characteristic of how a system reacts to small disturbances. If the disturbance is damped, the system 47 is considered to be stable. If the disturbance causes an amplifying response, the system is unstable (Stull, 2017). 48 Atmospheric stability is a measure of the atmospheric state which determines whether air will tend to rise or sink (Spiridonov & Ćurić, 2021). In simple words, a layer is considered as stable when vertical motion is suppressed, 49 50 and as unstable (or convective), when vertical motion is enhanced (Stull, 2012). Stability conditions are often 51 mainly driven by the balance between momentum and heat fluxes close to the surface and can be described by 52 similarity laws (Gryning er al, 2007). However, there are conditions under which the characterisation of stability 53 requires detailed information of the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) across height, for instance when warm air 54 is advected aloft over a colder surface. In fact, the buoyancy (B), that is the acceleration of an air parcel after a 55 certain displacement over height ( $\Delta z$ ) is proportional to the atmospheric potential temperature ( $\theta$ ) and its vertical gradient  $(\frac{d\theta}{dz})$ , as: 56

57 
$$B = -\frac{g\Delta z}{\theta} \frac{d\theta}{dz}$$

58

59 where g is the gravitational acceleration and  $\theta$  is defined through air temperature (T) and pressure (P) as (Stull, 60 2012): 61  $\theta = T(\frac{P_0}{P})^{R/c_p}$ 62 (2)

(1)

63 with  $P_0$  as reference pressure (e.g., 1000 hPa), and  $R/c_p$  the ratio between the gas constant and the specific heat

64 capacity at a constant pressure for air. If the parcel is moved up  $(\Delta z > \theta)$  and  $\frac{d\theta}{dz} < \theta$ , the buoyancy tends to lift the

65 parcel further (B>0, instability); conversely, if  $\frac{d\theta}{dz} > 0$  the buoyancy moves the parcel back towards its original



66



67 including air quality, and renewable energy yield. In particular, atmospheric stability is relevant for the prediction 68 of vertical wind shear (larger during stable conditions) and turbulence (larger during unstable conditions). Wind 69 turbine rotors span a relatively large range of elevations (between approx 23 to 250 m ASL for a modern turbine), 70 so the thermodynamic conditions in the lowest 300 m are the most relevant for this application. In particular, 71 atmospheric stability has a major impact on the characteristics of wind turbine wakes and thus on the yield and 72 performance of offshore wind parks (Hansen et al, 2012). However, simple approaches for defining stability, e.g., 73 using surface layer stability metrics such as the Obukhov length (Obukhov, 1971; Foken, 2006) or the temperature 74 difference between the sea surface and the atmosphere at one particular altitude, are not always suitable for 75 describing stability conditions and wake development. For the estimation of wind park power output and for 76 improving analyses of offshore wind park wakes, atmospheric temperature profiles and stability metrics were 77 found to be crucial parameters. In fact, improved characterisation of wind farm output can be produced if the 78 boundary layer stability is considered, indicating the need for temperature measurements at separate heights 79 (Vanderwende and Lundquist, 2012). Different power curves shall be calculated for different stability conditions, 80 leading to more accurate and reliable performances of energy production calculations (St. Martin et al., 2016). 81 For example, for a wind energy farm in a coastal region, Perez et al. (2023) reported that unstable atmospheric 82 conditions deliver up to 8% more power than stable conditions, while neutral conditions deliver up to 9% more 83 energy than stable conditions. As a small percent difference leads to a large deviation in cost for both operators 84 and manufacturers, calculating different power curves for different atmospheric conditions lowers the financial 85 risks for both operators and manufacturers (St. Martin et al., 2016). In particular, temperature inversions are 86 important, which may occur above, below, and within the wind turbine rotor area. These conditions would affect 87 the wake development in different ways, e.g., (i) decoupling the wake from the surface or (ii) preventing the wake 88 vertical spreading for inversions below/above the rotor area, respectively (Platis et al., 2020). 89 Atmospheric temperature profiles can be measured in situ by sensors located on instrumented towers, drones, and 90 balloons. Instrumented towers have the advantage of providing temperature profiles nearly continuously in time. 91 However, the costs for their installation and maintenance are quite demanding, and particularly impractical on 92 offshore platforms, resulting in limited deployment (up to ~100 m height, to our knowledge). Also drones have 93 limited range in altitude with about 120 m in US and Europe, unless special waiver by corresponding aviation 94 safety agencies (Pinto et al., 2021; Hervo et al., 2023), and in addition their use requires attended service. 95 Conversely, sondes attached to balloons, referred to as radiosondes, can nowadays be launched by automatic 96 stations (Madonna et al., 2021) and usually reach elevations well above the ABL (25 km altitude or more). Each

location (B < 0, stability). Atmospheric stability is relevant for meteorological processes and applications,

97 radiosonde measures one instantaneous and vertically high-resolution profile of atmospheric temperature,

98 humidity, wind speed and direction. However, the cost of a radiosonde launch is such that they are typically



99



100 up to four radiosondes per day or during field campaigns with a radiosondes program to meet research needs. 101 Remote sensing technology has the potential to overcome some of the limits of in-situ measurements. Ground-102 based measurements of atmospheric temperature and humidity profiles are possible using microwave radiometers 103 (MWR, Cimini et al., 2006), infrared spectrometers (IRS, Feltz et al., 2003), and radio-acoustic sounding systems 104 (RASS, Bianco et al., 2017). These remote sensing systems provide unattended operations and high temporal 105 resolution (order of minutes) measurements that are used for a range of applications, including operational 106 meteorology (Cimini et al., 2015; Shrestha et al., 2021), atmospheric study processes (Martinet et al., 2017; 107 Martinet et al., 2020; Wagner et al., 2022), and weather forecast (Caumont et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2023; Cao et 108 al., 2023). Conversely, atmospheric thermodynamic profilers have not been exploited extensively for wind energy 109 applications, despite the general recognition of the importance of temperature profiles and atmospheric stability 110 regimes for the characterization of wind energy production (Vanderwende and Lundquist, 2012; St. Martin et al., 111 2016; Perez et al., 2023). Ongoing efforts in this direction include the series of on/offshore field campaigns 112 performed within the Wind Forecast Improvement Projects (WFIP, Wilczak et al., 2015; Shaw et al., 2019; 113 Wilczak et al., 2019). Although the uncertainty requirements for atmospheric stability measurements to serve 114 wind energy applications have not been assessed yet, it is useful to assess the accuracy currently achievable by 115 remote sensing thermodynamic profilers. To this end, Bianco et al. (2017) assessed the accuracy of MWR and 116 RASS in light of onshore wind energy applications. This study proved that these remote-sensing instruments can 117 provide accurate information on atmospheric stability conditions in the ABL, with 0.87-0.95 correlation between 118 temperature lapse rate in the 50-300 m range as measured by a MWR and tower sensors (note that here and 119 throughout this paper correlation is evaluated with the Pearson's linear correlation coefficient, not to be confused 120 with the determination coefficient  $R^2$  used elsewhere, e.g., by Bianco et al., 2017). Combining this with the need 121 for temperature gradients for onshore and offshore wind energy (e.g., Platis et al. 2020; Perez et al., 2023), it 122 seems natural to extend the investigation of MWR performances to other environmental conditions. 123 Building on these premises, the Carbon Trust Offshore Wind Accelerator (OWA) funded the Radiometry and 124 Atmospheric Profiling (RAP) scoping study. RAP aimed at assessing existing MWR technology and its 125 performances for atmospheric profiling and stability measurements. This paper presents the main outcomes of the 126 RAP project. Section 2 presents a review of capabilities from numerical weather prediction (NWP) modelling 127 systems (hereafter: NWP models), which represent the default option in the absence of measurement data. Section 128 3 briefly introduces MWR technology currently available and the datasets exploited for this analysis. Section 4 129 presents the validation of temperature gradients measured by MWR units with respect to reference radiosonde 130 data. Section 5 presents a summary, conclusions, and plans for dedicated onshore and offshore field campaigns. 131

launched once or twice a day, except at major atmospheric observatories run by meteorological services that have





## 132 2 Validity assessment of NWP datasets

133 As part of the RAP project, the validity of NWP models for assessing atmospheric stability for the purposes of

134 offshore wind engineering was investigated. The following model datasets were used: (i) ERA5 from the ECMWF

135 (Hersbach et al., 2020) obtained via the Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S, 2021), (*ii*) the New European

136 Wind Atlas (Lundtang Petersen, 2014; NEWA, 2021), and (*iii*) the Dutch Offshore Wind Atlas (Wijnant et al.,

2019; DOWA, 2021). NEWA and DOWA have been produced using two different mesoscale NWP models, and
both use ERA5 as input. Measurement data came from the FINO1, FINO2 and FINO3 met masts, via the German

139 Federal Maritime and Hydrographic Agency (https://www.bsh.de/EN/), and from the IJmuiden met mast as well

140 as floating lidar measurements in the Southern North Sea, via The Netherlands Enterprise Agency

141 (https://english.rvo.nl/).

## 142 2.1 Surface stability metrics

For characterising atmospheric stability in the surface layer, pre-existing validation studies have been used (i.e., Peña et al., 2008; Peña and Hahmann, 2011; Sathe et al, 2011). In order to validate the wind speed profile analytical models proposed originally by Gryning et al. (2007), the focus was set on the Obukhov length (*L*):

146 
$$L = \frac{-u_{*0}^2}{\kappa(g/T)\underline{w'T'_0}}$$
147 (3)

where  $u_{*0}$  and  $w'T'_0$  are respectively the friction velocity and kinematic heat flux at the surface,  $\kappa$  is the von Karman constant ( $\approx 0.4$ ), *T* the temperature, and g/T the buoyancy parameter. The NEWA Obukhov length time series are readily available, while for ERA5 it was derived from the single levels datasets using two methods: firstly using the turbulent fluxes, and secondly computing the bulk Richardson number ( $Ri_b$ ) from sea surface temperature, air temperature and wind speed at 2 and 10 m ASL, respectively, and relating  $Ri_b$  to the dimensionless stability parameter z/L (where z is the height above ground level), i.e.:

154 
$$\frac{z}{l} = C_1 R i_b$$

155

$$156 \qquad \frac{z}{L} = \frac{C_2 R i_b}{1 - C_3 R i_b}$$

(4)

(5)

for unstable and stable conditions, respectively (Peña et al., 2008). The values of *C* constants are adopted from Grachev and Fairall (1997):  $C_1 = C_2 \approx 10$  and  $C_3 \approx 5$ . Similarly, the Obukhov length was derived from measurements, i.e., the HKZA floating lidar dataset (de Montera et al., 2022) using the same method (via the bulk Richardson number) mentioned above for ERA5: the 10 m ASL wind speed was derived from the 4 m ASL sonic anemometer and three smallest lidar elevations at 20, 30 and 50 m ASL. The results from the models and





163 measurements are compared in Figure 1. Overall, the best match between model data and measurements is 164 observed for ERA5 datasets computed using the fluxes for unstable conditions (i.e. 10/L < -0.03). For stable 165 conditions (10/L > 0.03), the best match is observed when using the bulk Richardson number-derived ERA5 166 time series. These results confirm that when the main drivers of atmospheric stability (i.e., air- and surface 167 temperature difference, wind speed) are correctly characterised by the bulk formulations used in NWP models, 168 the modelled Obukhov length time series compare well - in an average sense - to those derived from 169 measurements. This implies that such results are hardly generalisable, that is: the user of model datasets should 170 check, across the region of interest, the validity of these key variables. This can for instance be done using buoy 171 measurements, where available.





176 Bottom: Comparison between measured (*Ri*<sub>b</sub>-based) values and modelled values (see y-axes) of z / L, at the HKZA FLS

177 location, and using ERA5 and NEWA model data, for 10 m MSL wind speeds larger than 10 m/s. The measurements have

been averaged to hourly values.





180 Practitioners are primarily interested in how these modelled Obukhov length time series can improve wind-related 181 analyses. Two examples are provided in Figure 2 and Figure 3; they both use ERA5-derived Obukhov length time 182 series derived from fluxes. The first example focuses on turbulence intensities (TI), i.e., the ratio of the root-mean-183 square of the eddy velocity to the mean wind speed, and mean horizontal wind speed (WS). Figure 2 shows how 184 measured TI and WS spectra vary with the atmospheric stability class indicated by the modelled Obukhov length: 185 as classically reported in the literature, turbulence intensities are smaller in stable  $(z/L \ge -0.1)$  than in unstable 186  $(z/L \leq \sim -0.1)$  conditions. In addition, the WS spectra progressively increase as conditions shift from stable to 187 neutral to unstable. The second example shows how the set of analytical expressions proposed by Gryning et al. 188 (2007) and the method outlined in Peña et al. (2008) compare with simpler, surface-layer expressions, such as the 189 Monin-Obukhov Similarity Theory (MOST). Note that the expressions from Gryning et al. (2007) basically form 190 an extension of the MOST above the surface layer (SL). Figure 3 confirms that MOST predicts well the wind 191 speed profile in neutral and unstable conditions, while it significantly overpredicts the measurements above 30 m 192 in very stable conditions. This is due to the influence of other scaling parameters such as the boundary-layer 193 height, which is not accounted for in surface-layer scaling. Figure 3 also corroborates the findings from Peña et 194 al. (2008), showing that accounting for the effect of the boundary-layer height in stable conditions is essential to 195 better capture the wind speed above 30 m with respect to MOST, correcting the overprediction up to the boundary-196 layer height. This improvement is due to better modelling of characteristic length scales of the turbulent eddies 197 for the ABL layers located above the surface layer, especially in stable conditions when the surface layer is very 198 shallow (i.e., less than 100 m in depth).







201

202Figure 2. Left: Dependence of the turbulence intensity (TI) on the atmospheric stability, for the IJmuiden met mast dataset.203Here, the stability is expressed on the x-axis using the Monin-Obukhov length L and the ratio z/L with z = 10 m MSL. Different204line colours indicate TI measured at different measurement heights. The full lines are mean values, the dashed lines are 10-205and 90-percent quantiles. Two right plots: mean hourly power spectra measured at the top of the IJmuiden met mast (91.1 m206MSL), for various stability classes (blue: stable, red: unstable, black: neutral), and two wind speed bins (8 and 12 m/s,207respectively). The vertical magenta lines indicate 3.3 mHz frequency, corresponding to 5-minute interval (1/300s).









Figure 3. Left: histograms of the boundary layer height (*h*) as defined in Gryning et al. (2007). Different colours correspond to different stability classes: purple is very stable, blue is stable, red is unstable, black is near-neutral and neutral, and grey shows all data. Center: profiles of wind speed; dots are measurements from the HKZA floating lidar, full lines are from the DOWA dataset, while the dashed lines are from the MOST. Right: Same as in the centre, but for the MOST SL-extended model from Gryning et al. (2007).

215

It is concluded that for offshore areas during cases when the main drivers of atmospheric stability are correctly characterised by NWP models, these results can provide wind energy practitioners with valid (in an average sense) Obukhov length time series which can be used for a range of analyses, including estimates of turbulence and wind shear. However, in specific cases, the simulated profiles need to be carefully assessed with observations, since the wind speed profiles and hence the vertical shear and associated turbulence characterization may not be sufficiently accurate. This is a long-standing limitation especially for stably stratified boundary layers (Sandu et al., 2013).

#### 223 2.2 Temperature profiles across the ABL

224 The validity of NWP model data to characterise the air temperature profile in different stability conditions was 225 assessed using air temperature measurements from tower sensors located approximately from 30 to 100 m ASL. 226 Only DOWA and NEWA data were available at the same elevations as the measurements, while the ERA5 227 provides only few samples at these elevations. Tower measurements and model data have been divided in five 228 classes of stability conditions: very unstable (10/L < -0.1), unstable  $(-0.1 \le 10/L < -0.05)$ , neutral  $(-0.05 \le 10/L < -0.05)$ 229 10/L < 0.05), stable ( $0.05 \le 10/L < 0.1$ ), and very stable ( $10/L \ge 0.2$ ). Figure 4 shows mean temperature 230 profiles from measurements and model data and their mean and RMS differences in those five classes. DOWA 231 and NEWA models give similar results, providing temperature profiles close to measurements in average for all 232 stability conditions. Mean differences range from ~0.3 to 0.5 K, with no clear pattern with respect to stability 233 class. Conversely, both DOWA and NEWA models show increased RMS in stable conditions with respect to 234 unstable conditions, with minimum RMS in neutral conditions. DOWA seems to perform better (RMS within 1





- 235 K throughout the 30-100 m range) than NEWA, especially in very stable conditions (RMS up to 2.2 K). To 236 measure the NWP overall performances in modelling atmospheric stability, one may look at the performances in predicting the vertical gradient of temperature  $\left(\frac{dT}{dz}\right)$ . In fact, recalling Eq.(1), stability directly depends upon the 237 vertical gradient of potential temperature  $(\frac{d\theta}{dz})$ , which is well correlated with  $\frac{dT}{dz}$ . This is shown in Figure 5, 238 reporting the scatter of  $\frac{dT}{dz}$  between 50 and 100 m ASL as modelled by the DOWA and NEWA datasets and 239 measured by the tower sensors at the FINO1 and FINO3 platforms. Data points are quite scattered, with model 240 data covering a range (~100 K/km) lower than measurements (~200 K/km). As for the temperature profiles, the 241 242 DOWA dataset performs better than the NEWA, in terms of mean absolute error (MAE, 3.4 to 4.0 K/km for 243 DOWA, 3.5 to 4.2 K/km for NEWA), RMS (5.8 to 7.3 K/km for DOWA, 6.4 to 8.4 K/km for NEWA), and correlation (0.77 to 0.80 for DOWA, 0.70 to 0.71 for NEWA). 244
- 245 FINO1; *WD* ∈ [0 °N;360°N] 10 10 MA: / tetter z [mMSL] 10 10 6027 : 2391 3759 1500 906 10 6 10 12 14 16 18 10<sup>0</sup> -0.2 4 8 10<sup>0 L</sup> 0.5 0 0.2 mean bias [°C] 0.4 1.5 RMSE [°C] 2 2.5 T<sub>FINO1</sub> [°C] 246 FINO2; WD ∈ [0 °N;360°N] 10 10 10 10 í z [mMSL] ij, l 10 9126 3779 6118 4407 10 10 • 3088 10 10 12 10<sup>0</sup> 6 14 10<sup>0 L</sup> 0.5 8 -0.2 -0.1 0 n bias [°C] 0.1 1.5 247  $T_{\rm FINO2}$ [°C] RMSE [°C]









Figure 4. Left: Temperature profiles from measurements (dots) and model data (full lines: DOWA, dashed lines: NEWA, dash dotted lines: ERA5) at three measurement locations: FINO1 (top), FINO2 (middle), and FINO3 (bottom). Center: mean model minus measurement temperature differences. Right: temperature RMS differences. Colours indicate stability class: very unstable (red), near-neutral and unstable (orange), neutral (black), near-neutral and stable (blue) and very stable (purple). DOWA data are not shown in the middle panels as DOWA's domain does not cover FINO2 area.





Figure 5. Scatter plots of atmospheric temperature lapse rate (~50–100 m) from tower measurements at FINO1 (left) and FINO3 (right) and model data (DOWA: blue crosses; NEWA: red Xs). N indicates the sample size, AVG the average difference (± 95% confidence interval), STD the standard deviation, SLP and INT the slope and intercept of a linear fit (± 95% confidence interval), RMS the root-mean-square, MAE the mean absolute error, and COR the correlation coefficient *R*. Units for AVG, STD, RMS, and MAE are in K/km.





## 262 2.3 Conditions for difficult stability characterization

263 The results from Sections 2.1 and 2.2 show that surface stability metric can suffice for a number of analyses, 264 where the model results are validated in an average sense (mean- wind speed or turbulence intensity, for instance). 265 Other purposes require investigating short-lasted events, characterised by different stability conditions at the surface compared with higher elevations. This is for instance the case for the interpretation of wind maps from 266 267 synthetic aperture radar (SAR) observations or in-situ profile measurements from uncrewed aircraft systems 268 (UAS) as in, e.g., the WInd PArk Far Fields (WIPAFF) project where both of these measurement types were used (Platis et al., 2020). An illustrative example is provided in Figure 6, where SAR-derived 10 mASL wind speeds 269 270 are plotted over an area covering the Belgian offshore wind farm cluster. Figure 6 also shows the SAR-derived 271 wind speeds across the cluster, as well as mean wind speed profiles measured at the BWFZ01 location together 272 with model data (which do not include the wind farms), and the vertical temperature profiles from ERA5 and 273 DOWA NWP models. The situation seems to correspond, according to the DOWA and ERA5 data, to neutral 274 conditions at the surface, with a stable inversion cap at ~150 mASL. The SAR-derived winds show that the wakes 275 from the Belgian cluster extend over a long distance (tens of kilometres), and the reason is likely the very steep gradient in potential temperature (27 K/km between 120 and 150 mMSL), capping the lowest (neutral in this case) 276 277 layer of the atmosphere. This at least what the DOWA model indicates, as there are no air temperature 278 measurements which can confirm this. In any case, the observed, and modelled surface stability metrics indicate 279 unstable to neutral conditions at the surface; this would be an incorrect way to characterise the wind flow 280 controlling the wind farm wake, which is very likely located in a stable layer. 281







282

283 Figure 6. Example of a long wake episode across an offshore wind cluster in the Belgian North Sea on July 25th 2016. 284 Clockwise from top-left: (a) SAR-derived 10 mASL wind speeds mapped over an area covering the Belgian offshore wind 285 farm cluster (white areas indicate lease areas; red dots indicates the location of wind speeds reported in panel (b); blue circles 286 indicate the location of two floating lidars, BWF01 and BWF02). (b) SAR-derived wind speeds crossing the offshore cluster 287 (from -60 to 60 km distance, where 0 indicates the centre of the cluster). (c) Wind speed profiles from floating lidar 288 measurements and DOWA model at the two downwind sites shown in panel (a). (d) Temperature and potential temperature 289 profiles from NWP models ERA5 and DOWA at the time of the SAR image. The red square indicates the sea surface 290 temperature (SST) from ERA5, while the diamonds indicate SST (empty) and 2-m temperature (filled) from measurements at 291 BWFZ02. Dashed and dot-dashed grey lines indicate +1K and -0.5K per 100 m gradients. The estimated Obukhov length at 292 the surface is reported, indicating unstable to neutral conditions (10/L=-0.120). (e) Vertical temperature profiles from NWP 293 models from 10 to 600 m (DOWA) and 160 to 1600 m (ERA5) in the 12 hours before and after the SAR image. 294

To further investigate the uncertainty associated with the NWP models for such transient flow events, air temperature data from the NEWA dataset have been compared with measurements from the WIPAFF project (Bärfuss et al, 2019). For each of the WIPAFF flights, the NEWA air temperature data have been spatially and temporally interpolated at the UAS locations (down-sampled, from the original dataset). Figures such as Figure 7 have been produced for each WIPAFF flight and are provided as supplement material. The plots indicate the need for temperature measurements above 100 mMSL, as they suggest that such measurements could help understand whether such important phenomena for wind farm wake modelling as temperature inversions are well captured





- 302 by mesoscale models when they occur above 100 mMSL, where measurements are often not available. Such a
- 303 need may be satisfied by nearly continuous observations from a microwave radiometer profiler. The ability to
- 304 profile atmospheric temperature continuously within the first 2 km and to provide potential temperature gradients
- 305 in the vertical range of wind turbine rotors is assessed in the next Section.
- 306



308 Figure 7: Comparison of temperature from in-situ measurements and NEWA model data over the German Bight from the 309 WIPAFF campaign on September 10, 2016. Clockwise from top-left: (a) Flight path with the location of existing wind farms 310 (indicated by magenta shapes) and the two met masts at FINO1 and FINO3 locations (black triangles in the southern and 311 northern part of the map, respectively). Line colour indicates time from flight start. (b) Comparison of temperature profiles 312 from in-situ measurements (flights) and NEWA model datasets (color-coded according to the corresponding flight time in 313 panel (a)). (c) Time series of ABL height, wind speed and direction at 100 m, and Obukhov length at surface provided by 314 ERA5 during the flight time period (blue line: FINO1; red line: FINO2). Wind speed and direction measured at 100 m from 315 met masts are also shown (dotted lines). (d) Comparison between temperature profiles from in-site measurements (met masts) 316 and NEWA model data during the flight time period (color-coded according to the corresponding flight time in panel (a)).





317

## 318 3 Datasets and methodology

## 319 **3.1 Microwave radiometer technology**

320 Microwave radiometry is a passive technique that has been used for several decades to observe atmospheric 321 thermodynamic profiles. Ground-based microwave radiometers (MWR) are instruments measuring the down-322 welling natural thermal emission from the Earth's atmosphere, conveniently expressed in terms of brightness 323 temperature  $(T_B)$ , which is inverted into atmospheric thermodynamic products using statistical regression, neural 324 network, or optimal estimation (Cimini et al., 2006). The ability to retrieve atmospheric variables depends upon 325 the number and spectral allocation of the frequency channels at which the MWR measures  $T_B$ . The ability to 326 retrieve atmospheric temperature profiles is related to thermal emission from oxygen, a well-stratified gas whose 327 concentration is nearly constant in space, time, and height. Thus, radiation emitted by oxygen depends primarily 328 on temperature, and  $T_B$  measurements at channel frequencies exhibiting strong oxygen emission are highly 329 correlated with atmospheric temperature. This is the case for the strong oxygen absorption complex at 50-70 GHz, 330 which is well established and widely used for probing atmospheric temperature from the ground as well as from 331 space. At channels in the centre of the absorption band (~60 GHz) the atmosphere is highly opaque and the observed  $T_B$  carries information on the temperature near the instrument. Conversely, at channels away from the 332 333 centre (e.g., 50-55 GHz), the atmosphere is less opaque and the signal systematically stems from atmospheric 334 layers further from the instrument. Thus, vertical temperature profiles of the lower atmosphere are estimated from 335 observations corresponding to different atmospheric absorption. The required information content can be obtained 336 by multi-channel observations in the 50-60 GHz range but also by single-channel observations at several elevation 337 angles. Similarly, observations at 22-32 GHz provide information on atmospheric humidity and column integrated water vapour (IWV) and liquid water path (LWP) simultaneously. Thus, ground-based MWR units operating in 338 339 both the 22-32 GHz and 50-60 GHz bands are sometimes called MWR profilers (MWRP) and are commonly used 340 to estimate atmospheric temperature and humidity profiles (Rüfenacht et al., 2021; Shrestha et al. 2021). A handful of MWR profiling types are currently available as off-the-shelf commercial products. Also a few research 341 342 prototypes have been developed or are currently under development. For the scope of RAP, i.e. atmospheric 343 profiling related to stability, only the temperature profilers and the MWRP are of interest. In our survey, we found 344 only five commercially-available MWR products corresponding to these characteristics. These are listed in Table 345 1, together with their main characteristics. In addition, a prototype for marine deployment on a floating buoy or 346 offshore platform is considered, though not commercially available yet. 347

348 Table 1: Main features of MWR types identified for potential interest for the atmospheric profiling related to stability (listed 349 in alphabetical order of manufacturer). An estimate of the technology readiness level (TRL) is also shown. TLR 4-5 indicates





350 technology validated in the laboratory and relevant environment; TLR 9 indicates actual system proven in the operational 2 5 1

351	environment
351	environment

Manufacturer	MWR name	Atmospheric retrievals	Range (km)	Туре	TRL
Attex	MTP-5	Temperature profile	<1 km	Single-channel; continuous elevation scanning.	9
BEST	MPR	Temperature profile IWV, LWP	<10 km	Multi-channel (2 polarisation); continuous elevation scanning.	4-5
Radiometrics	MP-2500A	Temperature profile	<10 km	Multi-channel; elevation scanning; optional azimuthal scanning.	9
Radiometrics	MP-3000A	Temperature profile Humidity profile IWV, LWP	<10 km	Multi-channel; elevation scanning; optional azimuthal scanning.	9
RPG	HATPRO	Temperature profile Humidity profile IWV, LWP	<10 km	Multi-channel; elevation scanning; optional azimuthal scanning.	9
RPG	TEMPRO	Temperature profile	<10 km	Multi-channel; elevation scanning; optional azimuthal scanning.	9

352

353 For temperature profiles most of the information and the resolution resides in the first 2 km. Different methods 354 are used to quantify the vertical resolution of radiometric profiling. Using the inter-level covariance, Cimini et al. (2006) reported that the vertical resolution of retrieved temperature profiles in the 0-3 km vertical range decreases 355 linearly with height z as approximately  $\sim 0.44 \cdot z$ . Measurements at different elevation angles enhance the vertical 356 resolution of ABL temperature profile retrievals. Thus, elevation-angle scanning capability is often available in 357 358 MWRP units. 359 MWR units operate in all weather conditions. However, retrieved products may be unrealistic in case of water

360 accumulation over the radome, which produces additional microwave radiation not related with the atmospheric

state. A number of solutions for detecting and mitigating dew and precipitation effects are used in current MWR 361





instruments, including rain sensor, hydrophobic coating, tangent blower, heaters, shutter, and side-views. These mitigation solutions effectively avoid water accumulation on the radome or mitigate its effect on the retrieved products in most of the cases. However, chances are that mitigation solutions fail during intense rainfall or snowfall. Proper maintenance (cleaning and replacing) of the radome helps in reducing cases of precipitation mitigation failures. This requires regular services and replacement (e.g., every few months, depending upon environment conditions). Off-shore conditions (high likelihood of sea sprays) may require more frequent intervention.

A thorough assessment of MWR ability to provide atmospheric stability is given in Bianco et al. (2017), 369 specifically addressing wind energy applications. They report the outcome of a remote-sensing system evaluation 370 371 study, called XPIA (eXperimental Planetary boundary layer Instrument Assessment), held in spring 2015 at 372 NOAA's Boulder Atmospheric Observatory (BAO; Wolfe & Lataitis, 2018). BAO is equipped with a 300 m tower 373 mounting temperature and relative humidity sensors at six levels (50, 100, 150, 200, 250, and 300 m). In addition, 374 some 60 radiosondes were launched during the XPIA 2-month period. Two MWR of the same type (Radiometrics 375 MP3000-A, see Table 1) were deployed. To assess the MWR's ability to estimate atmospheric stability, they 376 compared MWR with tower measurements, analysing the vertical gradient of temperature T and potential 377 temperature  $\theta$  for 50-300 m. For T gradient (dT/dz), they reported mean absolute error (MAE) within 2.1 K/km 378 and bias within 0.1 K/km, with 0.95 correlation. For potential temperature gradient ( $d\theta/dz$ ), they reported MAE 379 within 2.2 K/km and bias within 0.1 K/km, with 0.95 correlation. They also investigated gradients for thinner atmospheric layers (i.e., 50-150, 50-200, 50-250 m), reporting performances slightly degraded with respect to the 380 381 50-300 m layer. They also investigated the temperature profiling performances during rainy and non-rainy periods, 382 reporting no significant difference. They concluded that MWR can be useful for understanding conditions leading 383 to strong vertical windshear or turbulence, which can affect the loads on rotors. The next section extends the 384 results of Bianco et al. (2017) to other measurement conditions, including onshore and offshore.

### 386 3.2 Datasets

385

387 The results of Bianco et al. (2017) are obtained in a continental high-elevation site (Eire, Colorado, USA, ~1500 388 m altitude), using one of the MWR types in Table 1. This section aims to extend the analysis of Bianco et al. 389 (2017) to other environmental conditions and to the most common commercially available MWR system types in 390 Table 1. Thus, we identified datasets that would fit the purpose of validating MWR retrievals in different 391 environments, possibly both for onshore and offshore deployments. Several research and operational networks 392 operate onshore MWR continuously and provide open access to their data, e.g., the U.S. Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM, <u>www.arm.gov</u>) programme (Cadeddu et al., 2013), the European E-PROFILE programme 393 394 (Rüfenacht et., 2021), the New York State Mesonet (Shrestha et al., 2021). However, none of these MWR sites





395 are equipped with a 300 m tower as in BAO. Thus, the validation of MWR retrievals is here performed against in 396 situ measurements performed by balloon-borne radiosonde temperature sensors. Radiosondes are launched 397 routinely at a limited number of MWR sites and usually extend well above the altitude range relevant to wind 398 energy applications. Thus, we selected four datasets of colocated MWR and radiosonde observations taken at four 399 onshore sites including marine, continental, and Arctic environments: Graciosa island (Azores Archipelago, 400 Portugal), Saint-Symphorien (France), Lindenberg (Germany), and Pituffik (Greenland). Conversely, offshore 401 MWR deployments are rare, despite their potential for wind energy industry. To our knowledge, the only MWR 402 deployment on a fixed offshore platform was in the framework of the Offshore Boundary-Layer EXperiment at 403 FINO1 (OBLEX-F1, https://oblo.w.uib.no/activities/the-oblex-f1-measurment-campaign/), which took place 404 from May 2015 to September 2016 at the German wind energy research platform FINO1, in close vicinity to the 405 offshore wind park Alpha Ventus in the North Sea. The main purpose of the campaign was to improve 406 understanding of the marine boundary-layer in the vicinity of an offshore wind farm with respect to wind speed 407 profiles, atmospheric stability regimes, single turbine and wind farm wake propagation effects, under real offshore 408 conditions. To complement the resident instrumentation at the FINO1, several instruments were installed for the campaign, including sonic anemometers, scanning wind lidars, and a MWR. The MWR (RPG HATPRO, see 409 410 Table 1) was deployed on the upper deck, at the base of the 100-m meteorological instrumented tower. However, 411 this dataset is not open access and the closest radiosondes are launched more than 50 km away from the coastal 412 site on the Norderney island (Germany). Conversely, colocated offshore MWR and radiosonde observations are 413 available from ship-based deployments, such as those performed in the framework of oceanic field experiments 414 (e.g., Bony et al., 2017). Thus, we selected two datasets of colocated MWR and radiosonde observations taken 415 from two research vessels (RV): the RV Polastern, going through the equator from northern Europe to southern 416 Africa or America in the framework of the OCEANET programme (Griesche et al., 2020), and the RV Meteor, 417 deployed offshore the Barbados in between the Caribbean sea and the Atlantic ocean (Schnitt et al., 2024) in the 418 framework of the EUREC<sup>4</sup>A (Elucidating the Role of Clouds-Circulation Coupling in Climate, Bony et al., 2017) 419 project. Other ship-based MWR deployments exist (e.g., Cimini et al., 2003; Yan et al., 2022) or are currently 420 being collected on a barge within the third Wind Forecast Improvement Project (WFIP3, 421 https://psl.noaa.gov/renewable\_energy/wfip3/), but the datasets were not accessible to us at the time of this 422 analysis. More details about the considered datasets are given below, while Table 2 summarises the main 423 information. Note that the considered datasets include observations from three MWR types, covering all the MWR 424 manufacturers identified in Table 1.

425

426 Table 2: Main information on the datasets considered in this study.

Dataset	Location	Environment	Deployment	Instruments	References
short name					





ENA	Graciosa Island, Azores (PT)	Marine, coastal, eastern north Atlantic	Onshore	MP3000-A	ARM, 2013; 2014
MOL	Lindenberg (DE)	Continental, eastern Germany	Onshore	HATPRO	Güldner & Spänkuch, 2001 Vural et al., 2023
SOF	St-Symphorien (FR)	Continental, south west France	Onshore	HATPRO MTP5	Martinet et al., 2020
PIT	Pituffik, Greenland (DK)	Arctic	Onshore	HATPRO	Pace et al., 2017 Pace et al., 2024
POL	Polarstern RV	Open ocean, northern to southern Atlantic	Offshore	HATPRO	Griesche et al., 2020
MET	Meteor RV	Open ocean, tropical	Offshore	HATPRO	Schnitt et al., 2024 Stephan et al., 2021

427

428 ENA: The Eastern North Atlantic (ENA) atmospheric observatory is located on Graciosa Island, part of the Azores 429 archipelago in the northeastern Atlantic Ocean west of Portugal. ENA is the newest atmospheric observatory 430 established by the U.S. ARM programme. The ENA observatory is a few hundred metres away from the coastline, 431 at 30 m altitude above mean sea level, and it is exposed to simil-ocean conditions throughout the year. The ENA 432 observatory also belongs to the Global Climate Observing System (GCOS) Reference Upper Air Network 433 (GRUAN), a network of several atmospheric observatories around the world providing reference-quality data for 434 climate benchmarking (Bodeker et al., 2015). ARM operates continuously a MWR (Radiometrics MP-3000 A, 435 see Table 1) and launches daily radiosondes from ENA (ARM, 2013; 2014). The dataset used here extends from 436 December 31st, 2018, to 15th March, 2019, for a total of 138 matchups between MWR and radiosonde 437 observations.

438

439 MOL: The Meteorological Observatory Lindenberg - Richard Aßmann Observatory (MOL-RAO) is operated by 440 the German Meteorological Service (Deutscher Wetterdienst, DWD). The MOL-RAO is located in the federal 441 state of Brandenburg in the north-eastern part of Germany, about 50 kilometres south-east of Berlin, 98 metres 442 above mean sea level. The MOL-RAO runs a comprehensive measurement program including all relevant surface 443 remote sensing and in-situ methods for studying solar and terrestrial radiation, interaction processes between the 444 Earth's surface and the atmosphere, and to produce the "Lindenberg Column", a reference dataset for 445 characterising the vertical structure of the atmosphere from the ground up to the stratosphere (e.g., Neisser et al., 446 2002). The site contributes to all relevant national and international observational programs and initiatives such 447 as for instance the Aerosol, Clouds and Trace Gases Research Infrastructure (ACTRIS, Laj et al., 2024), Cloudnet





448 (Illingworth et al., 2007), the Baseline Surface Radiation Network (BSRN). MOL-RAO also hosts the lead center 449 of GRUAN, launching 4 radiosondes daily. The Lindenberg site provides a database of long-term MWR 450 observations of about 20 years (Güldner & Spänkuch, 2001) and operates currently two MWRs (Radiometrics 451 MP-3000A and RPG HATPRO G5, see Table 1). The dataset used here extends from September 1st, 2020, to 31st 452 December, 2020, for a total of 492 matchups between HATPRO MWR and radiosonde observations. 453 454 SOF: The SOuth west FOGs 3D experiment for processes study (SOFOG3D) is an international field campaign 455 directed by Méteo-France to advance our understanding of fog processes at the smallest scale to improve fog forecasts by numerical weather prediction. SOFOG3D lasted from October 2019 to April 2020, during which an 456 457 unprecedented set of remote sensing and in-situ instruments was deployed during the whole winter period. A 458 unique network of eight MWR, was operated in a 300-by-300 km domain in the South-west of France (Martinet 459 et al., 2020; Martinet et al., 2022) for a better understanding of the spatio-temporal variability of fog at regional 460 scales and to conduct first data assimilation trials (Thomas et al., 2024). Two MWR were operated side-by-side 461 at the super-site, one HATPRO and one MTP5 (see Table 1). The dataset used here extends from 10 November 462 2019 to 12 March 2020, for a total of 61 matchups between two MWR units and radiosonde observations. 463 464 PIT: The Thule High Arctic Atmospheric Observatory (THAAO; https://www.thuleatmos-it.it/index.php) is

465 located within the U.S. Pituffik Space Base (formerly known as Thule Air Base) along the north-western coast of 466 Greenland (76,5°N, 68,8°W). The THAAO is on South Mountain, at 220 m above sea level and at about 3 and 11 467 km from the sea and from the Greenland ice sheet, respectively. THAAO is an international facility overseen by 468 the National Science Foundation which took over management in 2017 after the Danish Meteorological Institute 469 (DMI) discontinued their science activities at Pituffik. Research institutions from Italy (ENEA, INGV, University 470 of Roma "La Sapienza", University of Florence) and US (NCAR, AFRL) contribute to THAAO scientific 471 activities. The dataset used here was acquired in the frame of the SVAAP project (Study of the water VApour in 472 the polar AtmosPhere; Meloni et al. 2017) and extends from 12 July 2016 to 21 February 2017, for a total of 35 473 matchups between MWR and radiosonde observations.

474

POL: The ice breaker RV Polarstern is operated by the Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research (AWI), and typically operates in the Arctic and Antarctic seas (Griesche et al., 2020; Engelmann et al., 2021; Walbröl et al., 2022; and references therein). Atmospheric measurements are conducted en route to collect datasets for investigating the energy budget between ocean and atmosphere and providing ground-truth information for climate models. Continuous observations of aerosol, cloud, temperature and humidity profiles, liquid-water path, solar and thermal radiation, sensible and latent heat are performed. The remote-sensing instruments are hosted in a sea container deployed at the upper deck, starboard of Polarstern at about 22 m above sea level, called the





482 OCEANET platform. OCEANET houses an extensive suite of ground-based remote-sensing instruments, 483 including a multiwavelength Raman polarisation lidar and one 14-channel microwave radiometer (RPG 484 HATPRO, see Table 1). Polarstern also hosts a SCalable Automatic Weather Station (SCAWS), belonging to 485 DWD, which includes a radiosonde launching system. One radiosonde per day is launched routinely from the 486 deck of the Polarstern RV, between 11-12 UTC, but additional launches are occasionally performed earlier or later in the day (e.g., ~09 or 22 UTC). The considered cruises swept the Atlantic Ocean from north to south and 487 488 return. The dataset used here were collected during sixteen 2-month cruise missions, extending from 20 April 489 2007 to 9 December 2016, for a total of 316 matchups between MWR and radiosonde observations. 490

491 MET: The RV Meteor participated in the EUREC<sup>4</sup>A project (Bony et al., 2017; Stevens et al., 2021), a 5-week 492 campaign in the Tropical Atlantic windward and in the close vicinity of Barbados, which included ship-based 493 MWR (Schnitt et al., 2024) and radiosonde (Stephan et al., 2021) observations. During EUREC<sup>4</sup>A (January to 494 February, 2020), MWR measurements aboard the RV Meteor were performed by a HATPRO G5 operated by the 495 Leipzig Institute for Meteorology, so called LIMHAT. The LIMHAT MWR was placed on the navigation deck 496 of the ship at 15.8 m above sea level, operated at a temporal resolution of 1s in zenith mode, with elevation scans 497 performed every full hour. Radiosondes were also launched from the same deck. Before February 9th, radiosondes 498 were launched from the port side of the ship, and after that date, from the stern of the ship due to the failure of the 499 sonde container (Stephan et al, 2021). A linear regression was used to retrieve temperature profiles (Schnitt et al., 500 2024; Walbröl et al., 2022), trained with a large dataset of daily radiosoundings launched from 1990 until 2018 501 from Grantley Adams International Airport in Barbados (station ID 78954 TBPB). The dataset used here extends 502 from 16 January to 1 March 2020, including 219 radiosondes, providing a total of 145 (68) matchups between 503 radiosonde observations and MWR zenith (elevation scan) retrievals.

504

#### 505 3.3 Methodology

506 Following Bianco et al. (2017), the MWR ability to provide atmospheric stability is assessed through the analysis 507 of vertical gradients of atmospheric temperature (dT/dz) and potential temperature ( $d\theta/dz$ ) in the 50-300 m vertical range. Here, the potential temperature profile is calculated using Eq.(2) with  $P_0=1000$  mb and  $R/c_p=0.286$ . The 508 509 profiles of T (in K) and P (in mb) are given by the temperature profile retrieved from the MWR and the pressure 510 profile estimated via the atmospheric thickness equation (with the temperature retrievals and the surface pressure 511 measured by the sensor embedded within the MWR as inputs). For all the datasets we consider radiosondes as 512 reference measurements for atmospheric temperature and potential temperature. Potential temperature from 513 radiosondes is computed as above but using temperature and pressure measurements from the radiosonde sensors. 514 Temporal colocation between MWR measurements and radiosonde data is achieved averaging the MWR





515 measurements within 30 minutes after the radiosonde launch. For spatial colocation, radiosonde data are 516 interpolated on the vertical grid defined for MWR profile retrievals. Examples of simultaneous MWR and 517 radiosonde profiles for temperature and potential temperature are shown in Figure 8, for two of the considered 518 datasets (ENA and SOF) including the three most common commercially-available MWR types. Figure 8 519 indicates that MWR can generally reproduce the structure of both temperature and potential temperature profiles, 520 although at a lower vertical resolution. Looking at the potential temperature profiles, the two selected cases 521 correspond to classic unstable and neutral/stable atmospheric conditions (Stull, 2012). For each of the available 522 datasets, we produce couplets of T and  $\theta$  profiles from MWR and radiosonde, from which statistical agreement is 523 computed in terms of vertical profiles of bias, standard deviation (STD), and RMS difference. For each couplet, 524 vertical gradients between 50-300 m are computed (dT/dz and  $d\theta/dz$ ) from both MWR and radiosonde profiles. 525 Figure 9 shows a 2.5-month time series of  $d\theta/dz$  at ENA site as computed from MWR and radiosondes. The statistical agreement is then computed in terms of mean average (AVG), STD, RMS and maximum absolute error 526 527 (MAE). Typical uncertainty of radiosonde temperature measurements below 5 km is ~0.2-0.5 K (Dirksen et al., 528 2014). Thus, assuming uncorrelated uncertainty at different layers, the uncertainty of temperature gradients from 529 radiosonde is estimated as ~1.1-2.8 K/km. However, the representativeness uncertainty, resulting from the 530 representation of an air volume with radiosonde point measurements, is probably dominating and more difficult 531 to estimate generically, as it depends on site climatology and meteorological conditions. 532









534

535 Figure 8: Simultaneous temperature (left) and potential temperature (right) profiles from radiosonde (black) and three MWR

536 types. Top: MP3000-A (red) at the ENA site (unstable conditions). Bottom: HATPRO (blue) and MTP5 (red) at the

537 SOFOG3D supersite (neutral to stable conditions). Note that MTP5 retrievals are limited to 1-km height.

538



Figure 9: 2.5-month time series of potential temperature lapse rate (dθ/dz) between 0 and 300 m a.g.l. derived from MWR
 temperature retrievals (red line) and from radiosonde observations (black line). Dataset from Graciosa Island from 1 January
 to 15 March, 2019.

543

539

## 544 4 Validation

545 This Section presents quantitative results of the statistical analysis on the ability of MWR to provide atmospheric 546 temperature and potential temperature profiles and vertical gradients, which are related to the atmospheric 547 stability. The results are discussed below separately for each dataset. 548





549 ENA: The first considered dataset was collected at the ENA observatory, located a few hundred metres away 550 from the northern coastline of Graciosa Island in the Eastern North Atlantic, conveniently exposed to Atlantic 551 ocean conditions throughout the year. The considered dataset of MWR profiler and radiosonde observations spans 552 about 3 months (from 2019-01-01 to 2019-03-15). The MWR is a Radiometrics MP3000-A (see Table 1). Two 553 radiosondes per day are launched from ENA at ~11:30 and 23:30 UTC, providing 138 matchups between MWR 554 retrievals and radiosonde profiles in the considered period. From the set of 138 matchups, statistics for temperature 555 and potential temperature profile accuracy are calculated. Accordingly, for the ENA dataset Figure 10 reports the 556 vertical profiles of bias, STD, and RMS difference between temperature and potential temperature profiles 557 measured by radiosondes and estimated by MWR. The scores for temperature profile retrievals are in line with 558 those available from the open literature (Cimini et al., 2006; Löhnert and Maier, 2012; Bianco et al., 2017). The 559 scores for potential temperature profiles are very similar to those for temperature profiles, though not exactly the 560 same due to the influence of pressure profile (measured by radiosondes while estimated from surface pressure and 561 retrieved temperature by MWR). Figure 11 reports the scatter plot of temperature gradient (dT/dz) and potential 562 temperature gradient ( $d\theta/dz$ ) in the vertical range (50-300 m). It shows that MWR estimates of either dT/dz or 563  $d\theta/dz$  are correlated with radiosonde measurements throughout the spanned range, with larger scatter towards 564 higher values. The range of  $d\theta/dz$  goes from negative to positive values (indicatively from -5 to +15 K/km), i.e. 565 from atmospheric stable through neutral to unstable conditions. The statistical results are computed from the two samples of dT/dz and  $d\theta/dz$  couplets in terms of AVG, STD, RMS, and MAE. A summary from all the considered 566 567 datasets is reported in Table 3. For convenience, Table 3 also reports the statistical results from Bianco et al. 568 (2017), as obtained from the XPIA dataset from Colorado (USA). For the ENA datasets, these can be summarised 569 as follows: for both temperature gradient (dT/dz) and potential temperature gradient (d $\theta$ /dz), the MAE results 570 within 2.4 K/km, bias within -1.2 K/km, with 0.72 correlation. These performances are somewhat worse than those reported by Bianco et al. (2017) for XPIA, i.e. MAE within 2.2 K/km, bias within -0.1 K/km, with 0.95 571 572 correlation. Note that the same MWR type operates at the two sites (MP-3000A), but the notable difference may 573 be related to the status of the instrument calibration and/or the appropriate fitting of the retrieval coefficients to 574 the different climatology conditions (ENA: winter marine environment; XPIA: spring mountain environment). 575









580

Figure 10: (Left) Bias, standard deviation (STD), and root-mean-square (RMS) differences of the MWR-minus-radiosonde
 temperature residuals from the 138 matchups collected at the ENA observatory on Graciosa Island (Eastern North Atlantic)
 from 2019-01-01 to 2019-03-15. (Right) Same but for potential temperature profiles.



Figure 11: Comparison of atmospheric lapse rate (50–300 m) for temperature (left) and potential temperature (right) for
 MWR retrievals vs. radiosonde measurements collected at the ENA observatory on Graciosa Island from 2019-01-01 to
 2019-03-15. Text within each panel as in Figure 5. Units for AVG, STD, RMS, and MAE are in K/km.

586 MOL: This dataset was collected at the MOL in north-eastern Germany, about 98 metres above mean sea level, 587 characterised by typical mid-latitude continental climatology conditions. The considered dataset of MWR profiler 588 and radiosonde observations spans about 4 months (from 2020-09-01 to 2020-12-31). The MWR is a RPG Hatpro





589 G5 (see Table 1). Four radiosondes per day are launched at ~5:30, 11:30, 17:30 and 23:30 UTC, providing 492 590 matchups between MWR retrievals and radiosonde profiles. From the set of 492 matchups, statistics for 591 temperature profile accuracy are calculated and reported in Figure 12a, similarly to Figure 10. Also for this dataset, 592 the scores for temperature profile retrievals are in line with those available from the open literature, though the 593 STD/RMS increases more rapidly in the 200-1400 m vertical range. The statistics for the potential temperature 594 profiles are almost identical to those for temperature and thus are not shown for this nor for the remaining datasets. 595 Scatter plots of dT/dz and  $d\theta/dz$  from MWR and radiosondes are reported in Figure 13a. As for ENA, the MOL 596 dataset corresponds to different climatology (autumn continental environment) with respect to that of XPIA. The 597 behaviour of both dT/dz and  $d\theta/dz$  are similar for the ENA and MOL sites, though showing higher correlation at 598 MOL (0.91) than at ENA (0.72).









Figure 12: Profiling performances for temperature profiles as in Fig. 8, but obtained from the other considered datasets: (a)
 492 matchups collected at MOL (Lindenberg, Germany) from 2020-09-01 to 2020-12-31. (b) 61 matchups during the
 SOFOG3D campaign (Saint-Symphorien, France, October 2019 to April 2020) for the HATPRO MWR. (c) 61 matchups
 during the SOFOG3D campaign, but for the MTP-5 MWR (limited to 1 km altitude above ground). (d) 35 matchups during
 the SVAAP project (2016-07-12 to 2017-02-21) collected at Pituffik (Greenland). (e) 298 matchups from sixteen Polarstern

2020-03-01, zenith-mode only).





606 RV cruises from 2007 to 2016. (f) 145 matchups from the RV Meteor during the EUREC<sup>4</sup>A campaign (from 2020-01-16 to

607 608



Figure 13: Comparison of atmospheric potential temperature lapse rate as in Fig.9 but for MWR retrievals vs. radiosonde
 measurements collected at other sites: (a) MOL (Lindenberg, Germany). (b) SOFOG3D campaign (Saint-Symphorien,





612 France); blue crosses indicate HATPRO data, red Xs indicate MTP-5 data. (c) This panel is left intentionally blank. (d)

613 SVAAP project (Pituffik, Greenland). (e) Polarstern RV cruises (North-South Atlantic); blue crosses indicate all retrieval at

2016 zenith, red Xs indicate elevation scan retrievals (2016 only). (f) Meteor RV during EUREC<sup>4</sup>A campaign (Barbados); blue

- crosses indicate retrievals at zenith, red Xs indicate elevation scan retrievals. Text within each panel as in Figure 5. Units for
   AVG, STD, RMS, and MAE are in K/km.
- 617

618 SOF: The same analysis is performed on the dataset collected during the Météo-France SOFOG3D international 619 field campaign in South-west of France. Two MWR were operated side-by-side at the supersite in Saint-620 Symphorien, one HATPRO and one MTP5 (see Table 1). 61 radiosondes were launched, mostly during stable 621 conditions prone to fog formation during the period from 2019-11-10 to 2020-03-10. Statistical comparison of the 622 61 radiosonde profiles with nearly simultaneous MWR retrievals from both the HATPRO and MTP5 are reported 623 in Figure 12b-c. Note that retrievals from MTP5 are limited to 1 km altitude, while retrievals from HATPRO are 624 provided up to 10 km (although the sensitivity drops to negligible values above 2-3 km). For the vertical range 625 covered by both radiometers (< 1 km), their performances are quite similar (in terms of bias, STD, and RMS), 626 with slightly better performances close to the surface for the MTP5. Statistics for temperature and potential 627 temperature gradients in the 50-300 m vertical range during the SOFOG3D experiment are shown in Figure 13b. 628 As for the temperature profiles, also for the gradients the performances of the two radiometers are quite similar. 629 The HATPRO shows slightly higher scores (e.g.,  $\sim 2\%$  increase in correlation) than MTP5, despite the slightly 630 better profiling performances of the MTP5 near the surface.

631

632 PIT: This dataset was collected at the THAAO within the U.S. Pituffik space base along the north-western coast 633 of Greenland, at 220 m above sea level, characterised by typical Arctic climatology conditions. The MWR is a 634 RPG Hatpro G2 (see Table 1). During the SVAAP project (2016-07-12 to 2017-02-21), radiosondes were 635 launched sporadically during clear-sky conditions, with a total of 35 matchups between MWR retrievals and 636 radiosonde observations. Statistics for temperature and profile accuracy are calculated and reported in Figure 12d. 637 Also in this case, the scores for temperature profile retrievals are in line with those available from the open 638 literature, though slightly larger than expected near the surface. Figure 13d reports the scatter plot of potential 639 temperature gradient ( $d\theta/dz$ ). This dataset corresponds to yet another climatology (polar environment) with respect to the previous ones. The statistical scores for both dT/dz and  $d\theta/dz$  are similar to the previous sites, higher 640 641 than ENA but slightly lower than MOL/SOF in terms of correlation (~0.87).

642

643 POL: This dataset consists of MWR and radiosonde data from sixteen Polarstern RV cruises (from 2007 to 2016) 644 from northern to southern Atlantic, across the Equator. One radiosonde per day was launched routinely between 645 11-12 UTC, but other launches were performed occasionally. A total of 466 radiosonde launches have been 646 collected during the sixteen cruises, leading to 365 matchups with MWR data, of which 350 survived a quality





647 control screening. From the set of 350 matchups, statistics for temperature profile accuracy are calculated and 648 reported in Figure 12e. The statistics for temperature profile retrievals are larger than those available from the 649 open literature, especially below 500 m. While the systematic component (bias) stays within 0.5 K, the random component (STD) presents a peak near to the surface, leading to ~0.8 K RMS. This feature naturally affects the 650 comparison of temperature and potential temperature gradients. Figure 13e reports the scatter plot of  $d\theta/dz$ 651 652 measured by the MWR and the radiosondes, clearly showing low correlation (~0.3). It appears that except for few cases, the radiosondes measure nearly neutral stability (i.e.,  $d\theta/dz\sim 0$  K/km) while the MWR reports all the range 653 654 from slightly unstable ( $d\theta/dz < 0$  K/km) to very stable conditions ( $d\theta/dz > 0$  K/km). In addition, for the few cases in which radiosondes measure very stable conditions ( $d\theta/dz > 10$  K/km), the MWR retrievals seem to saturate at 655 656 ~5 K/km. One possible cause may be the zenith-only observation mode adopted during these Polarstern RV 657 cruises. In fact, although elevation scanning observations are proved to increase the accuracy of MWR temperature 658 retrievals (Cimini et al., 2006), especially below 1 km, the zenith-only mode was chosen aboard the Polarstern 659 RV to avoid mispointing problems caused by the ship pitch and roll movements. This cause can be investigated 660 by analysing further the dataset of Polastern RV data collected during the two cruises in 2016, when elevation 661 scanning observations were also available. The analysis of this additional dataset, corresponding to MWR 662 retrievals from elevation scanning observations during the two cruises of 2016, is also reported in Figure 13e. 663 Although the scatter of potential temperature gradients seems similar, the statistical scores of elevation scanning retrievals improve substantially with respect to zenith only, in terms of RMS (from 3.78 to 1.84 K/km), MAE 664 665 (from 1.97 to 1.30 K/km), and correlation (from 0.31 to 0.90), though the latter is mostly driven by only one point (at 27 K/km). Although limited, this dataset seems to confirm that elevation scanning is indeed desirable for off-666 667 shore MWR deployment. Another possible cause of the rather poor performances may be related to the dataset 668 used to train the inversion method (multiple regression). As detailed in Doktorowski (2017), the training is based on a homogenised dataset of 2621 radiosondes launched from cargo vessels in all climatic zones between 60N 669 670 and 60S, which may be too broad to represent the peculiar environmental conditions encountered by the Polarstern 671 during the sixteen cruises from 2007 to 2016. In particular, the training set may under-represent the deep neutral conditions which seem to characterise most of the radiosonde profiles during the Polarstern RV cruises. 672 673 674 MET: Another ship-based dataset of colocated MWR and radiosonde observations is available from the RV

Meteor during the EUREC<sup>4</sup>A project. 219 radiosondes were launched from the RV Meteor between 2020-01-16 and 2020-03-01, corresponding to typical tropical conditions. The LIMHAT Level 3 version 2.0 dataset is used here (Schnitt et al, 2023). From this dataset, 145 matchups between radiosonde observations and MWR zenith temperature profile retrievals are available, for which the statistical agreement is calculated and reported in Figure 12f. STD for temperature profile retrievals is in line with the expectations from the open literature, while the bias presents a ~0.7 K peak near to the surface, dominating the RMS in the lower 500 m. The scatter plot of potential





- 681 temperature gradients is reported in Figure 13f, for both the zenith-mode (145 matchups) and elevation-mode 682 retrievals (40 matchups). Similarly to POL, radiosonde data indicate dominant nearly-neutral conditions ( $d\theta/dz\sim0$ 683 K/km), while MWR data mostly indicate slightly stable conditions ( $d\theta/dz\sim0.4$  K/km). For the few cases where 684 radiosondes indicate either unstable ( $d\theta/dz \sim 4$  K/km) or stable conditions ( $d\theta/dz \sim 7$  K/km), the zenith-mode data 685 remain with 0-3 K/km, resulting in low correlation overall (0.44). Correlation is slightly larger for elevation-mode retrievals (0.54), but also MAE is larger (2.27 K/km) due to a ~3-time larger AVG. Note that, while theory and 686 687 previous field campaigns have shown that elevation scans should improve the retrieved temperature profiles in the lowest kilometre (Cimini et al. 2006), this is the opposite for the EUREC<sup>4</sup>A LIMHAT dataset. In fact, as 688 689 reported by Schnitt et al. 2023, bias and RMS for the elevation-mode retrievals increase substantially with respect to zenith-mode (by a factor of 2 near the surface, see their Fig. 9). The authors attribute this to the training set 690 691 (radiosondes launched from Grantley Adams International Airport), which may be impacted by an island effect, 692 leading to warmer temperatures near the surface compared to the zenith column over the ocean. Another potential 693 reason is the ship pitch and roll movements, since the LIMHAT was not stabilised, which may especially affect 694 observations at low elevation angles.
- 695

696 Table 3: Summary of the statistics for temperature and potential temperature gradients from MWR validated against 697 radiosonde measurements (50-300 m AGL). Note that for XPIA, the correlation coefficient is derived from the coefficient of 698 determination (R<sup>2</sup>) given in Bianco et al., 2017. POL(ZNT-ALL) indicates zenith-only MWR retrievals from all 16 Polastern 699 cruises (2007-2016), while POL(ELV-2016) indicates elevation-scan MWR retrievals from two Polastern cruises in 2016.

	Temperature gradients			Potentia	l temperature g	gradients
Dataset	Bias (K/km)	MAE (K/km)	Correlation	Bias (K/km)	MAE (K/km)	Correlation
XPIA	0.10	2.10	0.95	0.10	2.20	0.95
ENA	-1.25	2.43	0.72	-1.24	2.43	0.72
MOL	0.16	2.36	0.91	0.18	2.38	0.91
SOF (HATPRO)	0.00	2.97	0.92	-0.01	2.99	0.92
SOF (MTP5)	-1.06	3.37	0.90	-1.10	3.39	0.90
PIT	-0.75	2.06	0.88	-0.74	2.10	0.87
POL(ZNT-ALL)	-0.21	1.93	0.30	-0.23	1.95	0.30
POL(ELV-2016)	0.32	1.42	0.89	0.14	1.30	0.91
MET(ZNT)	-0.59	0.88	0.44	-0.69	0.96	0.44





MET(ELV)	-2.10	2.19	0.54	-2.19	2.27	0.54
Finally, the scores t	for temperatur	e and potential	temperature gr	adients from al	1 the datasets,	including the
reference from Bianc	o et al. (2017)	, are reported in	Table 3. Note th	hat the range of	temperature gra	dients is quite
different in the seven	datasets (~30]	K/km for XPIA,	~20 K/km for E	ENA, ~50 K/km	for MOL and SO	OF, ~25 K/km
for PIT, ~40 K/km f	or POL, and ~1	14 K/km for MI	ET), which affec	ts the values of	RMS, MAE, ar	nd correlation.
The statistics from M	IOL and SOF	(continental mic	d-latitude sites,	winter to spring	) are similar, an	d just slightly
lower than those rep	orted for XPIA	(mountain site	, spring). For th	e onshore datas	ets (top six row	rs in Table 3),
potential temperature	e gradients agre	ee with those fro	om radiosondes v	with correlation	ranging from 0.'	72 to 0.95 and
MAE from 2.10 to 3	.39 K/km. The	lowest correlat	ion (0.72) corres	sponds to ENA	(winter marine	environment),
while for all the othe	rs correlation i	is higher than 0.	.88. This gives s	ome confidence	that MWR per	formances are
site independent, pr	ovided that the	ne radiometer a	and inversion n	nethod are prop	perly calibrated	and trained,
respectively. Conver	sely, the ship-	-borne datasets	(bottom four ro	ows in Table 3)	provide substa	antially lower
correlation considering	ng zenith retrie	vals (0.30 to 0.4	4). Elevation sca	nning seems ber	neficial, increasi	ng correlation
(from 0.3 to 0.9 for P	OL, 0.4 to 0.5	for MET), thoug	gh for POL is mo	stly driven by o	ne matchup only	and for MET
it comes at the exper	nse of ~2-time	larger MAE. N	ote that the MW	/R retrieval algo	orithm for the P	OL and MET
datasets is the same	e (linear regre	ession), though	trained with in	dependent data	sets (POL: 262	21 ship-borne
radiosondes; MET:	10871 radiosoi	ndes launched f	rom an island-b	ased airport). T	his suggests the	at appropriate
dedicated training an	d elevation sca	unning with ship	movement com	pensation may b	be required for M	MWR to catch
potential temperature	e gradients typi	cal of off-shore	conditions.			

719

#### 720 5 Summary, conclusions, and outlook

Atmospheric stability is relevant for wind energy applications, as it influences the propagation of wind turbine wakes. Wind turbine rotors operate in the lowest 300 m, and atmospheric stability below and above that height may influence their operations through vertical wind shear and turbulence. Considering different power curves for different stability conditions leads to more accurate and reliable performances of energy production, which lowers the financial risks for both operators and manufacturers. Thus, the ability to model and measure atmospheric stability was reviewed using available datasets of reanalysis and mesoscale NWP model output, tower measurements, and ground-based remote sensing observations.

728 Surface stability metrics from model datasets, including NWP (NEWA and DOWA) and global reanalysis

729 (ERA5), have been assessed against measurements from met masts and floating lidar, focusing on the Obukhov

range for the results confirm that when the main drivers of atmospheric stability are correctly characterised by the





731 bulk formulations used in NWP models, the modelled Obukhov length time series compare to those derived from 732 measurements. Overall, the best match between model data and measurements is observed for ERA5 datasets, in 733 particular computed from the fluxes for unstable conditions and using the bulk Richardson number for stable 734 conditions. Two examples are reported to illustrate how the modelled Obukhov length time series can improve 735 wind-related analyses. The first demonstrates how the atmospheric stability class indicated by the modelled Obukhov length correlates to turbulence intensity and wind speed spectra, both progressively increasing as 736 737 conditions shift from stable to neutral to unstable. The second example shows that surface-layer expressions, such 738 as Monin-Obukhov Similarity Theory, predict reasonably the wind speed profile in neutral and unstable 739 conditions, while significantly overpredict wind speed measurements in stable conditions, requiring additional 740 information on upper air effects (e.g. the boundary layer height) to better capture the wind speed above 30 m. The 741 ability of NWP models to characterise air temperature profiles in different stability conditions was assessed in the 30-100 m vertical range against tower measurements (at FINO1/FINO2 platforms). Both DOWA and NEWA are 742 743 quite accurate on average, with mean differences of ~0.3-0.5 K with respect to measurements, with no clear pattern 744 with respect to the stability class. Conversely, both DOWA and NEWA models show increased RMS in stable 745 conditions with respect to unstable conditions, with a minimum RMS in neutral conditions. DOWA performs 746 better than NEWA, the first showing RMS within 1 K regardless of stability conditions, while the second showing 747 RMS up to 2.2 K, especially in very stable conditions. Also for temperature gradients in the 50-100 m layer, the 748 DOWA performs better than NEWA, as measured by MAE (3.4-4.0 K/km for DOWA, 3.5-4.2 K/km for NEWA), 749 RMS (5.8-7.3 K/km for DOWA, 6.4-8.4 K/km for NEWA), and correlation (0.77-0.80 for DOWA, 0.70-0.71 for 750 NEWA).

751 Thus, it is concluded that reanalysis and NWP models do provide wind energy practitioners with useful 752 information on atmospheric stability (e.g., Obukhov length) for many situations, i.e., the mean can be used for a 753 range of analyses, including estimates of turbulence and wind shear. However, in specific cases (e.g., elevated 754 temperature inversion) and especially during near-surface stable stratification, the simulated profiles may not be 755 sufficiently accurate. Typical conditions for difficult stability characterization have been illustrated using datasets 756 of surface wind from SAR observations and in situ temperature/wind profiles from UAS measurements. Cases 757 with long wind farm wakes, as they typically occur in a stably stratified ABL, have been identified when 758 observations and models at surface indicate unstable and neutral conditions, suggesting the need for continuous 759 measurements above the height of typical met mast (~100m).

This need can be satisfied by nearly continuous observations from ground-based remote sensing atmospheric profilers, and this study addresses the specific question: How good are atmospheric stability retrievals from microwave radiometer measurements for wind energy applications in different climates? Here, the ability of commercially-available MWR to profile atmospheric temperature within the first 2 km and to provide potential





764	temperature gradients in the vertical range of wind turbine rotors has been assessed against in situ radiosonde
765	measurements. Several sources of MWR data have been identified and analysed, giving preference to datasets in
766	different environments and climatological conditions and datasets with observations from all identified MWR
767	manufacturers. This analysis extends the results in Bianco et al. (2017), obtained for the MP3000A deployed in a
768	continental high-elevation site (~1500 m, Colorado, USA), to other MWR types and environmental conditions. In
769	total, six datasets are considered here, of which four are for onshore and two for offshore environments. The four
770	onshore include marine (east-northern Atlantic), continental (north-eastern Germany; south-west France), and
771	Arctic (Greenland) environments. The two offshore datasets are collected from two research vessels: the Polastern,
772	cruising the Atlantic from northern Europe to southern Africa/America, and the Meteor, deployed off the coast of
773	Barbados in the Caribbean sea. The considered datasets include observations from all the identified commercial
774	MWR types (i.e., HATPRO, MP3000A, MTP5). From the analysis of the six datasets considered in this study, we
775	conclude that:
776	
777	1) The statistics for temperature profile retrievals are mostly in line with those available from the open
778	literature, i.e., bias within +/-0.5 K and RMS $\sim$ 0.5 K near the surface increasing to $\sim$ 1.5 K at 2 km,
779	although with some exceptions (e.g., higher bias and RMS near the surface for HATPRO in SOF and
780	PIF). Statistics from NWP models in the 30-100m altitude range show similar biases but larger RMS
781	(increasingly larger than 0.5 K from unstable to stable conditions, especially for NEWA).

- For the onshore datasets, potential temperature gradients agree with those from radiosondes with correlation ranging from 0.7 to 0.9 and MAE from 2.1 to 3.4 K/km. This mostly confirms the results of a previous study (Bianco et al., 2017), limited to one onshore dataset and one MWR type. Similar performances from sites in different environments and with different climatology give some confidence that MWR performances can be considered site independent, provided that the radiometer and inversion method are properly calibrated and trained, respectively.
- 7883)For the offshore datasets, considering zenith retrievals the MAE is relatively small (0.9 to 1.9 K/km)789while the correlation is substantially lower (0.3 to 0.4). The low performances are partially due to the790relatively narrow range of potential temperature gradients from radiosondes, indicating prevailing neutral791conditions. This poses a question on the datasets used to train the inversion algorithm, as global or792onshore datasets may under-represent the prevailing neutral conditions shown by the offshore datasets793available here.
- Again for the offshore datasets, elevation scanning seems beneficial, increasing correlation (from 0.3 to
  0.9 for POL, 0.4 to 0.5 for MET). For POL, elevation scanning also decreases MAE, while for MET
  MAE increases by a factor ~2, due to a 3-time larger AVE. This may also be related to the training data





set, which could be affected by an island effect, but also to the ship movement (pitch and roll), whichmay have some impact on low-elevation observations.

5) Considering all the six datasets, the MAE between MWR and radiosonde temperature (and potential temperature) gradients in the 50-300 m vertical range goes from 0.9 to 3.4 K/km, while the RMS difference from 1.2 to 5.1 K/km. The latter includes the uncertainty of the radiosonde temperature sensor (1.1-2.8 K/km). Considering this, the uncertainty of MWR for temperature and potential temperature gradients in the 50-300 m vertical range is estimated between ~0.5-4.3 K/km.

804 This study indicated the lack of systematic off-shore MWR measurements. Systematic off-shore MWR 805 measurements are needed to enlarge the range of meteorological conditions and to characterise the performances 806 under different stability stratifications. The conclusions above indicate that appropriate dedicated training and 807 elevation scanning (with movement compensation, if ship-based) may be required for MWR to catch potential 808 temperature gradients typical of off-shore conditions. Wind energy practitioners may be interested in learning 809 what instrument is best when and where. To address this properly, we would need to have the different MWR 810 types running at the same time in different environments with the same retrieval method. To our knowledge, no 811 such a dataset is currently available, nor plans to implement such an intercomparison. However, other onshore 812 and offshore MWR observation datasets may be exploited to extend this analysis, characterising performances in 813 other conditions and testing optimization strategies, e.g., in the context of the MiradOR (microwave radiometers 814 for assessing offshore wind resources) project, currently under evaluation. Also, instrument synergy may be 815 exploited to increase vertical resolution of temperature profiles and thus improve retrieval performances of 816 temperature gradients, as shown onshore for combined passive (MWR and IRS) and active (RASS) sensors 817 (Turner and Löhnert, 2021; Bianco et al., 2024), although not all these instruments are practical to be deployed 818 offshore. From the above perspectives, one of the most valuable datasets up to date is the one produced recently by the 3rd Wind Forecast Improvement Project (https://psl.noaa.gov/renewable\_energy/wfip3/), including MWR, 819 IRS, and several active instruments deployed over a barge off the coast of southern New England. 820

821

## 822 Competing interests

823 Some authors are members of the editorial board of AMT. The research was funded by the Carbon Trust as part

824 of the Offshore Wind Accelerator (OWA) program, supported by the following partner companies (in alphabetical

- 825 order): EnBW, Equinor, Orsted, RWE, Scottish Power Renewables, Shell, SSE Renewables, Total Energies,
- 826 Vattenfall.





## 828 Author contribution

829 Conceptualization and funding acquisition: DC, RG, and SF acquired the funding, designed and lead the research.

830 Data curation: CA, AB, CK, PM, GP, BP provided experimental data and performed data curation. Visualization:

831 DC, RG, and AB created the figures. Supervision and validation: SG, EG, STN, and FR oversaw the research

832 activity planning and execution, including mentorship external to the core team. Writing: DC, RG, and SF

833 prepared the manuscript original draft, which was reviewed and edited by all co-authors.

#### 834 Acknowledgements

835 This research was funded by the Carbon Trust as part of the Offshore Wind Accelerator (OWA) Radiometry and 836 Atmospheric Profiling (RAP) project. OWA partner companies are acknowledged: (in alphabetical order) EnBW, Equinor, Orsted, RWE, Scottish Power Renewables, Shell, SSE Renewables, Total Energies, Vattenfall. The 837 838 research was stimulated by COST Action CA18235 PROBE (https://probe-cost.eu/), supported by COST 839 (European Cooperation in Science and Technology, www.cost.eu). Data at ENA were obtained from the Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) user facility, a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science 840 841 user facility managed by the Biological and Environmental Research Program. The SOFOG3D field campaign 842 was supported by METEO-FRANCE and ANR through grant AAPG 2018-CE01-0004. The MWR network deployment during SOFOG3D was carried out thanks to support by IfU GmbH, the University of Cologne, the 843 844 Met-Office, Laboratoire d'Aérologie, Meteoswiss, ONERA, and Radiometer Physics GmbH. Data are managed 845 by the French national center for Atmospheric data and services AERIS. The Study of the water Vapour in the polar AtmosPhere (SVAAP) field campaign was supported by the Italian Antarctic research program (PNRA). 846 847 The OCEANET-Atmosphere team of TROPOS around Ronny Engelmann and Dietrich Althausen are acknowledged for the acquisition and provision of HATPRO data aboard the Polarstern RV. EUREC4A is funded 848 849 with support of the European Research Council (ERC), the Max Planck Society (MPG), the German Research 850 Foundation (DFG), the German Meteorological Weather Service (DWD) and the German Aerospace Center 851 (DLR). The work of Claudia Acquistapace was funded by the EXPATS research project (project number 852 4823IDEAP5) as part of the framework of the IDEA-S4S network in close collaboration with the Deutscher 853 Wetterdienst (DWD), funded by the Federal Ministry for Digital and Transport (BMDV).

#### 854 References

Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) user facility. 2014, updated hourly. Microwave Radiometer Profiler
 (MWRP). 2019-01-01 to 2019-03-15, Eastern North Atlantic (ENA) Graciosa Island, Azores, Portugal (C1).
 Compiled by M. Cadeddu. ARM Data Center. Data set accessed 2021-02-25 at
 http://dx.doi.org/10.5439/1025254.





859	Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) user facility. 2013, updated hourly. Balloon-Borne Sounding
860	System (SONDEWNPN). 2018-12-31 to 2019-03-16, Eastern North Atlantic (ENA) Graciosa Island,
861	Azores, Portugal (C1). Compiled by E. Keeler and J. Kyrouac. ARM Data Center. Data set accessed 2021-
862	02-25 at http://dx.doi.org/10.5439/1021460.
863 864 865	Bärfuss, K., Hankers, R., Bitter, M., Feuerle, T., Schulz, H., Rausch, T., Platis, A., Bange, J., Lampert, A. In-situ airborne measurements of atmospheric and sea surface parameters related to offshore wind parks in the German Bight [dataset publication series]. PANGAEA, <u>https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.902845</u> , 2019.
866	Bianco, L., Friedrich, K., Wilczak, J. M., Hazen, D., Wolfe, D., Delgado, R., Oncley, S. P., and Lundquist, J. K.:
867	Assessing the accuracy of microwave radiometers and radio acoustic sounding systems for wind energy
868	applications, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 1707–1721, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-1707-2017, 2017.
869	Bianco, L., Adler, B., Bariteau, L., Djalalova, I. V., Myers, T., Pezoa, S., Turner, D. D., and Wilczak, J. M.:
870	Sensitivity of thermodynamic profiles retrieved from ground-based microwave and infrared observations to
871	additional input data from active remote sensing instruments and numerical weather prediction models,
872	Atmos. Meas. Tech., 17, 3933–3948, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-17-3933-2024, 2024.
873 874 875 876	Bodeker G.E., S. Bojinski, D. Cimini, R.J. Dirksen, M. Haeffelin, J.W. Hannigan, D. Hurst, F. Madonna, M. Maturilli, A.C. Mikalsen, R. Philipona, T. Reale, D.J. Seidel, D.G.H. Tan, P.W. Thorne, H. Vömel, J. Wang, Reference upper-air observations for climate: From concept to reality, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00072.1, March, 2015.
877	Bony, S., Stevens, B., Ament, F., Bigorre, S., Chazette, P., Crewell, S., Delanoë, J., Emanuel, K., Farrell, D.,
878	Flamant, C., Gross, S., Hirsch, L., Karstensen, J., Mayer, B., Nuijens, L., Ruppert, J. H., Sandu, I., Siebesma,
879	P., Speich, S., Szczap, F., Totems, J., Vogel, R., Wendisch, M., and Wirth, M.: EUREC4A: A Field
880	Campaign to Elucidate the Couplings Between Clouds, Convection and Circulation, Surveys in Geophysics,
881	38, 1529–1568, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-017-9428-0, 2017.
882 883 884	Cadeddu, M. P., Liljegren, J. C., and Turner, D. D.: The Atmospheric radiation measurement (ARM) program network of microwave radiometers: instrumentation, data, and retrievals, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 2359–2372, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-2359-2013, 2013.
885	Cao, Y.; Shi, B.; Zhao, X.; Yang, T.; Min, J. Direct Assimilation of Ground-Based Microwave Radiometer Clear-
886	Sky Radiance Data and Its Impact on the Forecast of Heavy Rainfall. Remote Sens., 15, 4314.
887	https://doi.org/10.3390/ rs15174314, 2023.
888 889 890 891	Caumont O., D. Cimini, U. Löhnert, L. Alados-Arboledas, R. Bleisch, F. Buffa, M. E. Ferrario, A. Haefele, T. Huet, F. Madonna, G. Pace, Assimilation of humidity and temperature observations retrieved from ground-based microwave radiometers into a convective-scale model, Quart. Jour. Roy. Met. Soc., doi:10.1002/qj.2860, 2016.
892	Cimini, D., Haeffelin, M., Kotthaus, S., Löhnert, U., Martinet, P., O'Connor, E., Walden, C., Collaud Coen, M.,
893	Preissler, J.: Towards the profiling of the atmospheric boundary layer at European scale—introducing the
894	COST Action PROBE. Bull. of Atmos. Sci. & Technol. 1, 23–42, https://doi.org/10.1007/s42865-020-
895	00003-8, 2020.
896	Cimini, D., Rosenkranz, P. W., Tretyakov, M. Y., Koshelev, M. A., and Romano, F.: Uncertainty of atmospheric
897	microwave absorption model: impact on ground-based radiometer simulations and retrievals, Atmos. Chem.
898	Phys., 18, 15231–15259, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-15231-2018, 2018.
899	Cimini, D., Nelson, M., Güldner, J., and Ware, R.: Forecast indices from a ground-based microwave radiometer
900	for operational meteorology, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 315–333, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-315-2015,
901	2015.





902	Cimini, D., Hewison, T. J., Martin, L., Güldner, J., Gaffard, C., and Marzano, F. S.: Temperature and humidity
903	profile retrievals from ground-based microwave radiometers during TUC, Meteorologische Zeitschrift, Vol.
904	15, No. 1, 45-56, doi: 10.1127/0941-2948/2006/0099, 2006.
905 906 907	Cimini, D., J. A. Shaw, Y. Han, E. R. Westwater, V. Irisov, V. Leuski, and J. H. Churnside: Air temperature profile and air-sea temperature difference measurements by infrared and microwave scanning radiometers, Radio Science, 38, 3, 8045, doi:10.1029/2002RS002632, 2003.
908 909 910	Copernicus Climate Change Service (2021): ERA5 hourly data on single levels from 1940 to present. Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS), DOI: 10.24381/cds.adbb2d47 (Accessed on 07-MAR-2021)
911 912 913	de Montera, L., Berger, H., Husson, R., Appelghem, P., Guerlou, L., and Fragoso, M.: High-resolution offshore wind resource assessment at turbine hub height with Sentinel-1 synthetic aperture radar (SAR) data and machine learning, Wind Energ. Sci., 7, 1441–1453, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/wes-7-1441-2022</u> , 2022.
914	Dirksen, R. J., Sommer, M., Immler, F. J., Hurst, D. F., Kivi, R., and Vömel, H.: Reference quality upper-air
915	measurements: GRUAN data processing for the Vaisala RS92 radiosonde, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 4463–
916	4490, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-4463-2014, 2014.
917	Doktorowski, T., Improvement of retrieval algorithms for determining temperature profiles over the Atlantic,
918	Master Thesis, Leipzig Institute for Meteorology University of Leipzig, February 2017.
919 920	DOWA, Dutch Offshore Wind Atlass [website], www.dutchoffshorewindatlas.nl/ (last access: 07 March 2021), 2021.
921	Engelmann, R., Ansmann, A., Ohneiser, K., Griesche, H., Radenz, M., Hofer, J., Althausen, D., Dahlke, S.,
922	Maturilli, M., Veselovskii, I., Jimenez, C., Wiesen, R., Baars, H., Bühl, J., Gebauer, H., Haarig, M., Seifert,
923	P., Wandinger, U., and Macke, A.: Wildfire smoke, Arctic haze, and aerosol effects on mixed-phase and
924	cirrus clouds over the North Pole region during MOSAiC: an introduction, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 13397–
925	13423, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-13397-2021, 2021.
926	Feltz, W. F., Smith, W. L., Howell, H. B., Knuteson, R. O., Woolf, H., & Revercomb, H. E., Near-Continuous
927	Profiling of Temperature, Moisture, and Atmospheric Stability Using the Atmospheric Emitted Radiance
928	Interferometer (AERI), Journal of Applied Meteorology, 42(5), 584-597. Retrieved Mar 10, 2022, from
929	<u>https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/apme/42/5/1520-0450_2003_042_0584_npotma_2.0.co_2.xml</u> ,
930	2003.
931	Grachev, A. A., & Fairall, C. W., Dependence of the Monin–Obukhov Stability Parameter on the Bulk Richardson
932	Number over the Ocean, Journal of Applied Meteorology, 36(4), 406-414, 1997.
933	Griesche, H. J., Seifert, P., Ansmann, A., Baars, H., Barrientos Velasco, C., Bühl, J., Engelmann, R., Radenz, M.,
934	Zhenping, Y., and Macke, A.: Application of the shipborne remote sensing supersite OCEANET for
935	profiling of Arctic aerosols and clouds during <i>Polarstern</i> cruise PS106, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 5335–
936	5358, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-5335-2020, 2020.
937 938 939	Gryning, SE., Batchvarova, E., Brümmer, B. et al. On the extension of the wind profile over homogeneous terrain beyond the surface boundary layer. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 124, 251–268, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-007-9166-9, 2007.
940	Güldner J. and D. Spänkuch, Remote Sensing of the Thermodynamic State of the Atmospheric Boundary Layer
941	by Ground-Based Microwave Radiometry, J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 18, 925-933, 18, 925–933,
942	<u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(2001)018&lt;0925:RSOTTS&gt;2.0.CO;2</u> , 2001.
943	Hansen, K.S., Barthelmie, R.J., Jensen, L.E. and Sommer, A., The impact of turbulence intensity and atmospheric
944	stability on power deficits due to wind turbine wakes at Horns Rev wind farm. Wind Energ., 15: 183-196.
945	<u>https://doi.org/10.1002/we.512</u> , 2012.





- 946 Hersbach, H, Bell, B, Berrisford, P, et al., The ERA5 global reanalysis. Q J R Meteorol Soc. 146: 1999-2049. 947 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803, 2020.
- 948 Hervo M., Romanens G., Martucci G., Weusthoff T., Haefele A., Evaluation of an Automatic Meteorological 949 Based on a 6-Month Measurement Campaign. Atmosphere, 14(9):1382. Drone 950 https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos14091382, 2023.
- 951 Illingworth, A. J., and Coauthors, CloudNet: Continuous evaluations of cloud profiles in seven operational models 952 using ground-based observations. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 88, 883-898, http://doi:10.1175/BAMS-88-6-953
  - 883, 2007.
- 954 Laj, P., and Coauthors, 2024: Aerosol, Clouds and Trace Gases Research Infrastructure (ACTRIS): The European 955 Research Infrastructure Supporting Atmospheric Science. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 105, E1098-E1136, 956 https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-23-0064.1.
- 957 Lin, H., J. Sun, T. M. Weckwerth, E. Joseph, and J. Kay, Assimilation of New York State Mesonet Surface and 958 Profiler Data for the 21 June 2021 Convective Event. Mon. Wea. Rev., 151, 485-507, 959 https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-22-0136.1, 2023.
- 960 Löhnert, U. and Maier, O.: Operational profiling of temperature using ground-based microwave radiometry at 961 Payerne: prospects and challenges, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 1121-1134, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-5-1121-962 <u>2012</u>, 2012.
- 963 Lundtang Petersen E., Troen I., Ejsing Jørgensen H., Mann J., The new European wind atlas, Energy Bulletin, 964 No. 17, 2014, p. 34-39, https://findit.dtu.dk/en/catalog/545a3b7565e560f358000074
- 965 Madonna, F., R. Kivi, J.-C. Dupont, B. Ingleby, M. Fujiwara, G. Romanens, M. Hernandez, X. Calbet, M. Rosoldi, 966 A. Giunta, T. Karppinen, M. Iwabuchi, S. Hoshino, C. von Rohden, and P. W. Thorne, Use of automatic 967 radiosonde launchers to measure temperature and humidity profiles from the GRUAN perspective, 968 Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 13, 3621-3649, doi: 10.5194/amt-13-3621-2020, 2020.
- 969 Martinet, P., Cimini, D., De Angelis, F., Canut, G., Unger, V., Guillot, R., Tzanos, D., and Paci, A.: Combining 970 ground-based microwave radiometer and the AROME convective scale model through 1DVAR retrievals 971 in complex terrain: an Alpine valley case study, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 3385-3402, 972 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-3385-2017, 2017.
- 973 Martinet, P., Cimini, D., Burnet, F., Ménétrier, B., Michel, Y., and Unger, V.: Improvement of numerical weather 974 prediction model analysis during fog conditions through the assimilation of ground-based microwave 975 radiometer observations: a 1D-Var study, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 6593-6611, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-976 13-6593-2020, 2020.
- 977 Meloni, D., Di Iorio, T., di Sarra, A. Iaccarino, A., Pace, G., Mevi, G., Muscari, G., Cacciani. and M, Gröbner, J.; 978 The July 2016 Study of the water VApour in the polar AtmosPhere (SVAAP) campaign at Thule, 979 Greenland: surface radiation budget and role of clouds, 19th EGU General Assembly, EGU2017, proceedings from the conference held 23-28 April, 2017 in Vienna, Austria., p.8921, 980 981 https://meetingorganizer.copernicus.org/EGU2017/EGU2017-8921-1.pdf, 2017.
- 982 Neisser, J., Adam, W., Beyrich, F., Leiterer, U., and Steinhagen, H., Atmospheric boundary layer monitoring at the Meteorological Observatory Lindenberg as a part of the "Lindenberg Column": Facilities and selected 983 results, metz, 11, 241-253, https://doi.org/10.1127/0941-2948/2002/0011-0241, 2002. 984
- 985 NEWA, New European Wind Atlas [website], http://www.neweuropeanwindatlas.eu/ (last access: 07 March 986 2021), 2021.
- 987 Pace, G., Di Iorio, T., di Sarra, A., Iaccarino, A., Meloni, D., Mevi, G., Muscari, G., and Cacciani M., Microwave 988 measurements of temperature profiles, integrated water vapour, and liquid water path at Thule Air Base, 989 Greenland, 19th EGU General Assembly, EGU2017, proceedings from the conference held 23-28 April,





990 991	2017 in Vienna, Austria., p.10226, <u>https://meetingorganizer.copernicus.org/EGU2017/EGU2017-10226-1.pdf</u> , 2017.
992	Pace, G., di Sarra, A., Cali Quaglia, F., Ciardini, V., Di Iorio, T., Iaccarino, A., Meloni., M., Muscari, G., and
993	Scarchilli, C., Verification of parametrizations for clear sky downwelling longwave irradiance in the Arctic,
994	Atmos. Meas. Tech., 17, 1617-1632, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-17-1617-2024</u> , 2024.
995	Peña, A., Gryning, SE. and Hasager, C.B., Measurements and Modelling of the Wind Speed Profile in the Marine
996	Atmospheric Boundary Layer. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 129, 479–495, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-</u>
997	008-9323-9, 2008.
998 999	Peña, A. and Hahmann, A.N., Atmospheric stability and turbulence fluxes at Horns Rev—an intercomparison of sonic, bulk and WRF model data. Wind Energ., 15: 717-731. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/we.500</u> , 2012.
1000	Pérez, C., Rivero, M., Escalante, M., Ramirez, V., Guilbert, D.: Influence of Atmospheric Stability on Wind
1001	Turbine Energy Production: A Case Study of the Coastal Region of Yucatan. Energies, 16, 4134.
1002	<u>https://doi.org/10.3390/en16104134</u> , 2023.
1003 1004 1005 1006	Platis, A., J. Bange, K. Barfuss, B. Canadillas, M. Hundhausen, B. Djath, A. Lampert, J. Schulz-Stellenfleth, S. Siedersleben, T. Neumann, and S. Emeis, Long-range modifications of the wind field by offshore wind parks – results of the project WIPAFF. Meteorologische Zeitschrift, 29, 5, 355-376, 11, http://dx.doi.org/10.1127/metz/2020/1023, 2020.
1007	Pinto, J. O., and Coauthors: The Status and Future of Small Uncrewed Aircraft Systems (UAS) in Operational
1008	Meteorology. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 102, E2121–E2136, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-20-0138.1</u> ,
1009	2021.
1010	Rüfenacht, R., Haefele, A., Pospichal, B., Cimini, D., Bircher-Adrot, S., Turp, M., Sugier., J., EUMETNET opens
1011	to microwave radiometers for operational thermodynamical profiling in Europe. Bull. of Atmos. Sci.&
1012	Technol. 2, 4, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s42865-021-00033-w</u> , 2021.
1013	Sandu, I., A. Beljaars, P. Bechtold, T. Mauritsen, and G. Balsamo, Why is it so difficult to represent stably
1014	stratified conditions in numerical weather prediction (NWP) models?, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 5, 117–
1015	133, doi:10.1002/jame.20013, 2013.
1016 1017 1018	Sathe, A., Gryning, SE. and Peña, A., Comparison of the atmospheric stability and wind profiles at two wind farm sites over a long marine fetch in the North Sea. Wind Energ., 14: 767-780. https://doi.org/10.1002/we.456, 2011.
1019	Schnitt, S., Foth, A., Kalesse-Los, H., Mech, M., Acquistapace, C., Jansen, F., Löhnert, U., Pospichal, B.,
1020	Röttenbacher, J., Crewell, S., and Stevens, B.: Ground- and ship-based microwave radiometer
1021	measurements during EUREC4A, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 16, 681–700, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-16-681-</u>
1022	2024, 2024.
1023 1024 1025 1026 1027 1028 1029 1030 1031	<ul> <li>Schnitt, S., Foth, A., Kalesse-Los, H., Mech, M. &amp; Acquistapace, C., Ground- and ship-based microwave radiometer measurements during EUREC4A. [dataset]. Aeris. <u>https://doi.org/10.25326/454</u>, 2023.</li> <li>Shaw, W. J., and Coauthors, The Second Wind Forecast Improvement Project (WFIP2): General overview. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 100, 1687–1699, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-18-0036.1</u>, 2019.</li> <li>Shrestha, B., J. A. Brotzge, J. Wang, N. Bain, C. D. Thorncroft, E. Joseph, J. Freedman, and S. Perez: Overview and Applications of the New York State Mesonet Profiler Network. J. Appl. Meteor. Climatol., 60, 1591–1611, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-21-0104.1</u>, 2021.</li> <li>Spiridonov V., and Ćurić M., Atmospheric Stability. In: Fundamentals of Meteorology. Springer, Cham. Online ISBN 978-3-030-52655-9, <u>https://doi.org/10.107/978-3-030-52655-9 9</u>, 2021.</li> </ul>
1032	Stephan, C. C., Schnitt, S., Schulz, H., Bellenger, H., de Szoeke, S. P., Acquistapace, C., Baier, K., Dauhut, T.,
1033	Laxenaire, R., Morfa-Avalos, Y., Person, R., Quiñones Meléndez, E., Bagheri, G., Böck, T., Daley, A.,
1034	Güttler, J., Helfer, K. C., Los, S. A., Neuberger, A., Röttenbacher, J., Raeke, A., Ringel, M., Ritschel, M.,
1035	Sadoulet, P., Schirmacher, I., Stolla, M. K., Wright, E., Charpentier, B., Doerenbecher, A., Wilson, R.,





1036 1037 1038	Jansen, F., Kinne, S., Reverdin, G., Speich, S., Bony, S., and Stevens, B.: Ship- and island-based atmospheric soundings from the 2020 EUREC4A field campaign, Earth System Science Data, 13, 491–514, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-491-2021, 2021.
1039 1040	Stevens, B. et al., EUREC4A, Earth System Science Data, 13, 4067–4119, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-4067-2021</u> , 2021.
1041 1042 1043	St. Martin, C. M., Lundquist, J. K., Clifton, A., Poulos, G. S., and Schreck, S. J.: Wind turbine power production and annual energy production depend on atmospheric stability and turbulence, Wind Energ. Sci., 1, 221– 236, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/wes-1-221-2016</u> , 2016.
1044 1045 1046	Stull, R. Practical Meteorology: An Algebra-based Survey of Atmospheric Science, version 1.02b. Univ. of British Columbia. 940 pages, ISBN 978-0-88865-283-6, https://www.eoas.ubc.ca/books/Practical_Meteorology/, 2017. Accessed 2021-12-16.
1047 1048	Stull, R.B., An introduction to boundary layer meteorology, volume 13. – Springer Science & Business Media, ISBN 978-90-277-2768-8, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-3027-8, 2012.
1049 1050 1051	Thomas G., P. Martinet, P. Brousseau, P. Chambon, J-F. Georgis, M. Hervo, T. Huet, U. Löhnert, E. Orlandi, V. Unger : Assimilation of ground-based microwave radiometer temperature observations into a convective-scale NWP model for fog forecast improvement, Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., in press, 2024.
1052 1053	Turner, D. D. and Löhnert, U.: Ground-based temperature and humidity profiling: combining active and passive remote sensors, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 14, 3033–3048, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-3033-2021, 2021.
1054 1055	Vanderwende, B. and Lundquist, J. K., The modification of wind turbine performance by statistically distinct atmospheric regimes, Environ. Res. Lett., 7, 1–7, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/7/3/034035, 2012.
1056 1057 1058 1059	Vural, J., Merker, C., Löffler, M., Leuenberger, D., Schraff, C., Stiller, O., Schomburg, A., Knist, C., Haefele, A. and Hervo, M., Improving the representation of the atmospheric boundary layer by direct assimilation of ground-based microwave radiometer observations. Q J R Meteorol Soc. 150(759), 1012–1028, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/qi.4634</u> , 2023.
1060 1061 1062	Yan H., Y. Zhao, and S. Chen, An Improved 1D-VAR Retrieval Algorithm of Temperature Profiles from an Ocean-Based Microwave Radiometer, Journal of Marine Science and Engineering 10, 5: 641. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse10050641</u> , 2022.
1063 1064 1065 1066	Wagner, T. J., A. C. Czarnetzki, M. Christiansen, R. B. Pierce, C. O. Stanier, A. F. Dickens, and E. W. Eloranta: Observations of the Development and Vertical Structure of the Lake-Breeze Circulation during the 2017 Lake Michigan Ozone Study. J. Atmos. Sci., 79, 1005–1020, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-20-0297.1</u> , 2022.
1067 1068 1069 1070 1071	Wijnant, I. L., van Ulft, B., van Stratum, B. J. H., Barkmeijer, J., Onvlee, J., de Valk, S., et al. (2019). The Dutch offshore wind atlas (DOWA): description of the dataset, KNMI Technical report TR-380, Retrieved from https://www.dutchoffshorewindatlas.nl/publications (last access 23 August 2024): https://www.dutchoffshorewindatlas.nl/binaries/dowa/documenten/reports/2019/12/05/knmi-reportdowa-dataset/The+Dutch+Offshore+Wind+Atlas+%28DOWA%29_description+of+the+dataset.pdf
1072 1073 1074	Wilczak, J. M., and Coauthors, The Wind Forecast Improvement Project (WFIP): A public–private partnership addressing wind energy forecast needs. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 96, 1699–1718, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00107.1</u> , 2015.
1075 1076	Wilczak, J. M., and Coauthors, The Second Wind Forecast Improvement Project (WFIP2): Observational Field Campaign. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 100, 1701–1723, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-18-0035.1</u> , 2019.
1077 1078	Wolfe, D. E., and R. J. Lataitis: Boulder Atmospheric Observatory: 1977–2016: The End of an Era and Lessons Learned. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 99, 1345–1358, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0054.1</u> , 2018.





Walbröl, A., Crewell, S., Engelmann, R., Orlandi, E., Griesche, H., Radenz, M., Hofer, J., Althausen, D., Maturilli, M., and Ebell, K.: Atmospheric temperature, water vapour and liquid water path from two microwave radiometers during MOSAiC, Scientific Data, 9, 534, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01504-1</u>, 2022. 1079 1080

1081