1 A Portable Nitrogen Dioxide Instrument Using Cavity-Enhanced Absorption

2 Spectroscopy

3	Stever	A. Bailey ¹ , Reem A. Hannun ² , Andrew K. Swanson ^{1,3} , Thomas F. Hanisco ¹	
4			
5	1.	Atmospheric Chemistry and Dynamics Lab, NASA Goddard Spaceflight Center,	
6		Greenbelt, MD, USA	
7	2.	Atmospheric Science Branch, NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA	
8	3.	SciGlob Instruments and Services, LLC, Columbia, MD, USA	
9			
10	Correspondence: Steven A. Bailey (steven.a.bailey@nasa.gov)		

11 Abstract

The Portable (2.7 kg) Cavity-enhanced Absorption of Nitrogen Dioxide (PCAND) instrument for measuring *in situ* nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) was developed using incoherent, broadband cavityenhanced absorption spectroscopy (IBBCEAS). An LED light source centered at 408 nm was coupled to a cavity 15 cm in length, achieving an effective optical pathlength of ~520 m. <u>Precision</u> <u>was measured as 94 pptv (1 s)</u>. To date, we have flown this instrument on 3 balloon test flights. This instrument records data to an SD card and outputs data (via an RS232 port) to external

18 devices including a commercial radiosonde (iMet) for real-time data downlink.

19 1 Introduction

Nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) is a major contributor to air pollution in the Earth's troposphere. Its main
source is a byproduct of combustion from the burning of fossil fuels (Spinei, E. *et al.* 2014). NO₂
has been monitored from satellite instruments (like OMI, TROPOMI, and GEMS) for a decade
(Miyazaki, K. *et al.* 2017, Duncan, B. *et al.* 2015, Martin, R.V. *et al.* 2003, Cooper, M.J. *et al.* 2020),
providing a global understanding of emissions and air quality. However, satellite retrievals of the
total column NO₂ rely on estimates of the vertical distribution of NO₂ based on models or
climatology (Cersosimo, A. *et al.* 2020). These *a priori* estimates are a major source of uncertainty

Deleted: Our precision was measured as 94 pptv (1 s). Deleted: and 1 UAV

30 R. et al. 2023). 31 32 Direct measurement of the vertical profile can verify and improve these a priori estimates. 33 Aircraft instruments cannot typically make a continuous vertical profile of the atmospheric 34 column. Therefore, an instrument with adequate precision that is small enough to fly on a balloon 35 is needed. Typical concentrations of NO2 range from a part per billion by volume (ppbv) in clean 36 environments to several 10's of ppbv in polluted environments. A typical balloon ascent rate is 37 5 m/s, so a time response on the order of 10 s would give a 50 m resolution. An instrument 38 sensitivity of less than a ppbv in 1 s integration is adequate to resolve the vertical distribution of 39 NO₂ in a clean environment. 40 Existing compact sensors do not meet our requirements. Electrochemical sensors are widely used 41 in low-cost sensor networks. These sensors meet the size and weight requirements to fly on a 42 balloon, but they do not have the precision and accuracy needed for determining the vertical profile of NO2. In addition, these electrochemical sensors do not have fast time response and 43 44 not well-suited to changing environments. Kim, H., Müller, M., Henne, S., and Hüglin, C.: Long-45 term behavior and stability of calibration models for NO and NO₂low-cost sensors, Atmos. Meas. 46 Tech., 15, 2979–2992, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-15-2979-2022, 2022. Previously, a small NO₂ 47 instrument was developed by the Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (Dutch: Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Instituut, KNMI) (Sluis, et al., 2010). That instrument uses 48 49 chemiluminescence in a liquid solution to measure NO2, with a reported precision of 7.7 50 ppbv/sec. Although this chemiluminescence instrument fits our size and weight criteria, it 51 requires a lengthy calibration procedure before every flight and it does not have adequate 52 sensitivity for our purposes. 53 Techniques for measuring in situ NO₂ with high precision in the atmosphere include Laser Induced 54 Fluorescence (LIF) (Thornton, J.A. et al. 2000, optical absorption methods, like IBBCEAS (Womack, 55 C.C. et al. 2022, Min K.E. et al. 2016), and chemical techniques, like chemiluminescence (Ryerson, 56 T.B. et al. 2000). Although all these techniques have their pros and cons for use, we chose to

in making retrievals of NO2 columns from satellite measurements (Cooper, M.J. et al. 2020, Dang,

29

57 focus on optical, absorption methods for several reasons. First, we have successful experience

58	using IBBCEAS in a previous ozone (O ₃) based instrument (Hannun, <i>et al.</i> , 2020). Second, stability
59	and ease of calibration are desirable, which we found to be the case with the O ₃ instrument.
60	Third, the technique can be scaled to a small enough size and weight to fly (via balloon) into the
61	free troposphere. An instrument using LIF to measure NO2 would (in our experience) not be
62	suitable for our purposes. Its size and weight would be too great to work with a small weather
63	balloon, despite LIF having greater sensitivity than IBBCEAS.
64	•
65	A description of PCAND follows. Performance metrics will show PCAND meets the Federal
66	Aviation Administrations (FAA) uncontrolled, maximum allowable weight (~2.7 kg) for a balloon
67	payload. In addition, PCAND sensitivity to NO2 exceeds that from the KNMI sonde by more than
68	an order of magnitude. A description of the PCAND calibration procedure is detailed showing its
69	simplicity. An atmospheric vertical profile measurement from one of our balloon flights is shown.
70	Finally, a ground-based validation of PCAND with another established NO ₂ instrument is made.

71 2 Principle of operation

72 IBBCEAS is an established technique for the detection of trace gases (Fiedler *et al.*, 2003; Ball *et al.*, 2004; Washenfelder *et al.*, 2008) including NO₂ (Min *et al.*, 2016). <u>PCAND uses an LED as the</u> incoherent, broadband light source centered at 408 nm. This is coupled to an optical cavity with highly reflective mirrors on either end. IBBCEAS leverages the mirror reflectivity to turn a physically short path length (15 cm) of the cavity into an effective optical pathlength of ~520 m. This effective pathlength increases the probability of NO₂ absorption in the cavity, thereby increasing the sensitivity (94 pptv @ 1 s) of the instrument.

79

Shown in Fig_{*}1, output from an LED is collimated into the gas sample cell (cavity) where it first passes through the leftmost mirror. Both mirrors have highly reflective coatings (99.97%) on curved surfaces (r=250 mm) facing towards each other. Only a small fraction of light enters the cell, but the light (photons) bounces back and forth between both mirrors thousands of times on average before exiting the rightmost mirror. Photons that exit are then detected by a silicon photomultiplier (SiPM). A transconductance amplifier is then used to convert small amounts of Deleted: Direct measurement of the vertical profile can verify and improve these a priori estimates. Aircraft instruments cannot typically make a continuous vertical profile of the atmospheric column. Therefore, an instrument small enough to fly on a balloon (or drone) is needed. Techniques for measuring in situ NO2 include Laser Induced Fluorescence (LIF)(Thornton, J.A. et al. 2000), variousoptical, absorption methods (like IBBCEAS)(Womack, C.C. et al. 2022, Min K.E. et al. 2016), and chemical techniques (like chemiluminescence)(Ryerson, T.B. et al. 2000). Although all these techniques have their pros and cons for use, we chose to focus on optical, absorption methods for several reasons. First, we have successful experience using IBBCEAS in a previous, ozone (O3) based instrument (Hannun, et al., 2020). Second, stability and ease of calibration are desirable, which we found to be the case with the O3 instrument. Third, the technique can be scaled to a small enough size and weight to fly (via balloon or drone) into the free troposphere. An instrument using LIF to measure NO2 would (in our experience) not be suitable for our purposes. Its size and weight would be too great to work with a small weather balloon, despite LIF having greater sensitivity than IBBCEAS. Previously, a small NO2 instrument was developed by the Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (Dutch: Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Instituut, KNMI) (Sluis, et al., 2010). That instrument uses chemiluminescence to measure NO2, with a reported precision of 7.7 ppbv/sec. Although chemiluminescence instruments fit our size and weight criteria, they suffer from a lengthy calibration procedure before every flight. Additionally, an instrument using chemiluminescence does not have the desired sensitivity we require.

Deleted: A description of our instrument using IBBCEAS follows. Performance metrics will show our instrument meets the Federal Aviation Administrations (FAA) uncontrolled, maximum allowable weight (~2.7 kg) for a balloon payload. In addition, we record sensitivity to NO₂ that exceeds the KNMI sonde by more than an order of magnitude. A description of our calibration procedure is detailed showing its simplicity. Finally, we demonstrate an atmospheric vertical profile measurement from one of our balloon flights. We also validate our instrument via a ground-based comparison with another established NO₂ instrument.¶

Deleted:	We	
Deleted	our	ĺ

-			
n	eleted	ure	

Deleted: (>99.9%

- 134 current from the SiPM into measurable voltage levels. A micro controller with a 12-bit analog to
- 135 digital convertor digitizes this voltage. The micro controller is both a data acquisition system and
- 136 a controller of the LED and 3-way valve. A digital lock-in scheme is used to remove background
- 137 light by modulating the LED at 100 Hz with a large duty cycle (70%).



Figure 1. Incoherent broadband cavity enhanced detection technique for NO₂. An LED at 408 nm is collimated and coupled into the detection cell via high reflectivity mirrors (R = 99.97%), creating a long optical pathlength. The light attenuated by the sample is then detected using a silicon photomultiplier (SiPM).

Deleted: > Deleted: 8

138

139 Trace gas absorption (using IBBCEAS) is a measurement of light attenuation. As light is absorbed 140 and scattered (via Rayleigh), an attenuation of light is seen at the SiPM. The Beer-Lambert 141 absorption coefficient, α_{abs} , is directly related to the light intensity exiting the cavity 142 (Washenfelder *et al.*, 2008; Hannun *et al.*, 2020) through the equations:

144
$$\alpha_{abs} = {l_0 - l \choose l} \left(\frac{1 - R}{d} + \alpha_{Ray}\right)$$
(1)

147
$$\alpha_{cav} = \left(\frac{1-R}{d}\right) \tag{2}$$

148
$$L_{\rm eff} = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{cav}}\right) \tag{3}$$

149

Here I_0 is the intensity of light in the absence of any absorbing molecules, I is the intensity of 150 151 light including absorbing molecules, R is the mirror reflectivity, d is the physical distance between 152 cavity mirrors, and α_{Ray} is the extinction due to Rayleigh scatter. The term (1-R)/d is the 153 theoretical cavity loss, $lpha_{cav}$. $L_{
m eff}$ represents the maximum effective pathlength. In the case of 154 mirrors with R_= <u>99.97%</u>, the maximum theoretical L_{eff} for our 15 cm cell would be 450 m.

155 3 Instrument description

156 PCAND is housed in a small aluminum box measuring 38 cm length x 22 cm width x 7 cm height

157 with a total weight of 2.7 kg. Inside the box (Fig. 2) is an optical plate where all the instrument

158 components are mounted. Power comes from an 11.1 volt Lithium Ion rechargeable battery with

159 2200 mAh (24 Wh) of storage. Table 1 summarizes the PCAND design and performance characteristics.

- 160
- 161

162 Table 1. Summary of PCAND performance capabilities

Specification	Value
Size	38 x 22 x 7 cm
Weight	2.7 kg
Power	< 6 W
Data rate	1 Hz
Precision (1 σ , 1Hz)	2.3 x 10 ⁹ molec. cm ⁻³
Accuracy	6.0%
Time response	3 s

163

Deleted: 0.9997

Deleted: ure



Figure 2. A top view of the NO₂ instrument. Major components include A) the optical plate, which consists of the LED assembly, light shield, turning mirror (under light shield), the optical cell, end mirrors, collimating lens, and SiPM detector; B) The electronics motherboard with detector preamp, heater controller, pressure sensor, balloon release circuit, and the data acquisition system (CPU). Not shown is the nafion tubing used to dry the air before entering the instrument.

166

167 3.1 Optical components

168 3.1.1 LED assembly

169 A UV LED (λ_{max} = 408 nm, FWHM = 30 nm) (Thorlabs M310D1) is mounted to a custom heat sink 170 and temperature controlled to 25 °C with a thermo-electric cooler controller (Thorlabs 171 MTD415T). Constant current to the LED is supplied by a low noise controller (Thorlabs 172 MLD203CLN). The LED assembly includes a 15 mm focal length collimating lens (Thorlabs LA1074-173 A) followed by a turning mirror (Thorlabs PF10-03-F01) to direct light into the sample cell.

175 3.1.2 Sample cell

184

176 The sample cell is manufactured from an aluminum alloy tube measuring 15 cm in length with a 177 1.4 cm inner diameter. The cell mirrors (Layertec 103654) have a reflectivity of R = 99.97% over 178 the detected spectral range (Fig, 3) and a 250 mm radius of curvature. Mirrors are held to the cell 179 ends with bezel mounts on flanges with face seal o-ring glands. Although the mounts themselves 180 are non-adjustable, they are fabricated to hold the mirrors in a way that maximizes their 181 centricity to the cell ends. Furthermore, the incoherent light source negates the need for rigid mirror alignment. A pressure transducer (Honeywell ASDXACX015PAAA5) measures the cell 182 183 pressure from a port near the cell inlet.



Deleted: ure

Deleted: >



Figure 3. Normalized LED spectral response x NO₂ cross-section vs mirror reflectivity (99.97%) @ 408 nm). The LED (λ_{max} = 408 nm, FWHM = 30 nm) response was measured using a grating spectrometer with the instrument SiPM and associated detector optics. The absorption cross-

7

Deleted: 8

section of NO₂ (for this instrument) is the integration of the above product (with a resolution of 0.0005 nm) which yields 6.0419×10^{-19} cm² /molecule.

189

206

207

190 3.1.3 SiPM assembly

191 Following exit from the sample cell, light enters an optical bandpass filter (Semrock FF01-405/10-192 25), then a lens (Thorlabs LA1252-A) focuses the beam onto a Silicon Photo Multiplier (SiPM -Onsemi 30035) detector. The detector is biased by ~29 volts DC via a LT3494A boost converter. 193 194 This voltage sets the gain of this device. Signal from the SiPM is amplified through a 195 transimpedance amplifier based on a low noise, ADA4625-2 op-amp. The SiPM assembly is 196 thermally stabilized by heating it to a 35 °C setpoint using a Minco CT335 heater controller. The 197 temperature of the SiPM is monitored with a 10K thermistor mounted adjacent to the heater. 198 Temperature of the detector is held to within 0.1 °C of the setpoint using the Minco controller. 199 3.2 Flow system 200 The PCAND instrument uses a small, 12 volt diaphragm pump (Parker E134-11-120) to achieve a

201 1.4 standard liters per minute (SLM) flow rate. Flush time is approximately 3 seconds as evident

from Fig. 4. A 3-way valve (ASCO 411L3212HV) is used to switch the flow between sample air and

203 scrubbed air (via an inline charcoal filter). The charcoal filter removes any NO₂ from the flow and

204 gives us our I_0 (reference) measurement every 30 seconds for 5 seconds, leaving sample air 205 measurements 50 seconds out of every minute. Deleted: ure

Deleted: , leaving us sample air measurements 50 seconds out of every minute. \P





Figure 4. <u>Cadence used to make real-time measurements of I_Z (signal with no absorbers) vs I (signal with absorbers) is 7 seconds for I_Z and 23 seconds for I. We found this was a good cadence allowing 3 seconds to achieve peak I_Z and 3 seconds to return to I. A charcoal filter is switched into the airflow to achieve the I_Z measurement.</u>

211

We expect a small interference from water vapor. H2O vapor has a cross-section of 3×10^{-27} cm^2 /molecule at 405 nm (Lampel *et al.*, 2015; 2017). An atmospheric abundance of H₂O = 1% contributes the same absorption as 50 pptv of NO₂. In addition, we notice stronger attenuation that is not consistent with gas phase absorption like that reported in ozone instruments using UV absorption (Wilson *et al.*, 2006). In principle the presence of water vapor should not affect the measurement if the abundance is constant between the sample and the scrubbed air. **Deleted:** Cadence used to make real-time measurements of I_2 (signal with no absorbers) vs I (signal with absorbers) is 7 seconds for I_2 and 23 seconds for I. We found this was a good cadence allowing 3 seconds to achieve peak I_2 and 3 seconds to return to I. A charcoal filter is switched into the airflow to achieve the I_z measurement.

Deleted: 3 x 10-17

226	However, the scrubber material (activated charcoal) can add or remove water vapor to the
227	sampled air depending on the prior humidity. Because of this interference, water vapor is
228	removed using two 30 cm lengths of 0.3 cm diameter Nafion Dewline tubing held in an
229	enclosure with Drierite. The dry air sample eliminates any contribution of water vapor in the
230	measurement.

231

232 PCAND uses fluorinated ethylene propylene (FEP) lined thermoplastic tubing for all internal

- 233 plumbing and nylon fittings are used for connections. A 2-micron teflon membrane filter is
- positioned immediately before the cell inlet to keep small particles from entering the cell and
- potentially dirtying the mirrors. The loss of NO₂ on the surfaces of the tubing, valve, filter, Nafion,
- 236 and cell was measured to be less than 0.1 ppb.

237 3.3 Data acquisition

238 PCAND uses an Arduino MKR Zero microcontroller for 3-way valve control, LED modulation, and 239 data acquisition. Arduino actuation of the valve is made through a CoolCube R valve controller, 240 which reduces the holding current needed to keep the valve in its open state. LED modulation is 241 produced by the Arduino through the LED controller at a 100 Hz rate. This modulation has a 70% 242 duty cycle used to achieve a digital lock-in to remove any background light from the absorption 243 measurement. We oversample the absorption signal 42k samples / second to increase the native 244 Arduino internal 12-bit measurement to an effective (averaged over a second) ~21-bit 245 measurement. Data is recorded both to an SD card and sent to an RS-232 port. The latter is useful 246 for both instrument testing and for connecting to an external iMet radiosonde where the data is merged for RF data downlink by the radiosonde. 247

248 3.4 Data processing

The PCAND absorbance calculation uses equation 1, but accounts for the differential cell pressure
between the sample flow and the zero flow, which is restricted by the scrubber. Including the
Rayleigh scattering for both zero air and sample air, Eq. 1 is rewritten as equation 4 (Min *et al.*2016 ; Hannun *et al.*, 2020) :

253

Deleted: d

Deleted: PCAND uses fluorinated ethylene propylene (FEP) lined thermoplastic tubing for all internal plumbing. A 2micron teflon membrane filter is positioned immediately before the cell inlet to keep small particles from entering the cell and potentially dirtying the mirrors.¶

260	$\alpha_{NO2} = \left(\frac{l_Z}{l} - 1\right) \left(\alpha_{cav} + \alpha_{Ray,Z}\right) + \Delta \alpha_{Ray}$	(4)	
261	$\Delta \alpha_{Ray} = \alpha_{Ray,Z} - \alpha_{Ray,S}$	(5)	
262	$\alpha_{Ray} = N_{air}\sigma_{Ray}$	(6)	
263	$\alpha_{NO2} = N_{NO2}\sigma_{NO2}$	(7)	
264	(8)		Deleted: $\alpha_{Ray,S} = \left(\frac{l_Z}{l} - 1\right) \alpha_{cav}$
265			
266	Zero air is NO ₂ scrubbed air where I_Z substitutes for I_0 (from	m equation 1). Rayleigh cavity	
267	extinction is broken into 2 parts ($\alpha_{Ray,Z}$ and $\alpha_{Ray,S}$) describing	g zero air and sample air cavity	
268	extinction respectively. In both cases, the Rayleigh scattering cro	oss-section (σ_{Ray}), weighted by	
269	the SiPMT response curve (Fig. 3), is used (Bucholtz, 199	95). The NO ₂ number density	
270	(concentration) is found by knowing the absorption cross-section	<u>of NO₂ (σ_{NO2}) (Vandalae, 1998).</u>	
271			
272	By varying the pressure of the cell with zero air, we can extrapola	ate a value for I_0 . Substituting I_0	
273	for I_Z in equation 4, we arrive at equation 8. At vacuum (I_0), bo	th $\alpha_{Ray,Z}$ terms go to zero. The	
274	α_{NO2} term also goes to zero with no NO ₂ in zero air.		
275			
276	$\alpha_{Ray,S} = \left(\frac{I_0}{I} - 1\right) \alpha_{cav}$	(8)	
277	· · · · ·		Deleted: Zero air is NO ₂ scrubbed air where I_Z substitutes
I			for I ₀ (from equation 1). Rayleigh cavity extinction is broken into 2 parts ($\alpha_{Ray,Z}$ and $\alpha_{Ray,S}$) describing zero air and
278	4 Performance		sample air cavity extinction respectively. In both cases, the Rayleigh scattering cross-section (σ_{Ray}), weighted by the
279	4.1 Sensitivity and calibration		SiPMI response curve (Figure 3), is used (Bucholtz, 1995). The NO ₂ number density (concentration) is found by
280	The PCAND effective pathlength of the optical cavity determine	es the instruments sensitivity to	knowing the absorption cross-section of NO ₂ (σ_{NO2}) (Vandalae, 1998)
281	NO ₂ . Highly reflective mirrors on either end of the cavity are static	cally mounted, so no adjustment	
282	of their position is required. In practice, the alignment is stable of	over months of operation. After	
202	the initial alignment, calibration is needed to determine the effect	tive pathlength given the mirror	
205			
285 284	positions. Equation 4 can be used with known quantities of N	NO2 to determine the effective	
283 284 285	positions. Equation 4 can be used with known quantities of N pathlength (Fig. 5a). Additionally, Rayleigh scattering alone car	NO_2 to determine the effective to be used to solve for effective	

297 generate a data set of absorption attenuation (I) vs number density of zero air. It also requires 298 we solve for equation 8 after it has been reduced from equation 4. To do this, an assumption is 299 made that $\alpha_{Ray,Z}$ is taken at vacuum, so $\alpha_{Ray,Z}$ goes to zero leaving only $\alpha_{Ray,S}$. Therefore, (I_Z) 300 is calculated at vacuum from the data set. Equation 8 is left to solve for effective pathlength 301 (equation 3). Using known quantities of NO_2 (and equation 4) yields a pathlength of 519 ± 2 m. 302 Using the Rayleigh scattering method and equation 8 yields a pathlength of 524 ± 1 m. The two 303 methods of calibration are within < 1% of each other and both yield pathlengths that agree to 304 within $2\sigma_{\rm uncertainty}$ for each fit. Therefore, we choose to use the Rayleigh scattering method 305 in future calibrations (when needed) of PCAND. Note that due to the small Rayleigh cross-section 306 of air at 408 nm, sigma = 1.5×10^{-26} cm⁻²/molecule (Bucholz, 1995) the calibration using air is 307 susceptible to leaks and contamination. Adequate care must be taken to ensure that the system 308 is free of leaks and that the air is pure. In practice, curvature in the Rayleigh calibration curve 309 indicate the presence of a leak or contamination.







using the known Rayleigh scattering cross-section for zero air. The pathlength from each calibration agreed to within 2σ uncertainty for each fit,

312

313 4.2 Precision and accuracy

314 The PCAND precision was determined by flowing zero air (under constant pressure of 920 mbar)

into the cavity for 2 hours while accumulating 1Hz data. Fig<u>ure</u> 6 is an Allan deviation plot showing

316 a 1 Hz precision of 94 pptv and a 10 s precision of 30 pptv. The 1 Hz precision translates to 2.3 x

 10^9 molecules cm⁻³ of NO₂ at 1 atmosphere.

318



additions of NO₂ from a reference tank of NO₂ mixed with zero air. The slope yields the effective pathlength as determined from Equation 1 in the text using the known NO₂ absorption cross section; b) Attenuation due to Rayleigh scatter over a range of cell pressures. The slope of attenuation as a function of number density gives the pathlength using the known Rayleigh scattering crosssection for zero air. The pathlength from each calibration agreed to within 2σ uncertainty for each fit. **Deleted:** ure

Deleted: NO₂ Sonde calibration: a) The effective pathlength (L_{eff}) as determined by attenuation (Attn) due to known





319

integration time τ . The curve shows a precision of 94 pptv at 1 second integration time.

334	The accuracy of PCAND measurements depends on NO ₂ and Rayleigh cross section uncertainties,
335	pressure sensor uncertainty, thermistor uncertainty, and cavity extinction uncertainty. The NO_2
336	absorption cross-section uncertainty is reported to be 3% (Spinei, 2014; Vandalae 1998). A 3%
337	Rayleigh scattering cross-section uncertainty (Bucholtz, 1995) was used. Taken from data sheets,
338	\underline{a} conclusion was made that temperature and pressure measurements have uncertainties of 0.5%
339	and 2% respectively. Cavity extinction slope uncertainty was measured at 1%. Together, the total
340	uncertainty (when propagated through equation 4) comes to 6% when applied to the final NO_2
341	number density,

342 4.3 Response time

343 Response time is a direct function of gas flush time in our cell given our small vacuum pump. A

flow rate of 1.4 SLM is achieved with <u>the pump resulting in a response time of approximately 3</u>

seconds (Fig., 4). Given our cadence of 5 second zero followed by 25 second sample, <u>one</u> can see

346 (by eye) it takes ~3 seconds for the signal to stabilize with zero air. A larger pump could shorten

347 this response time at the expense of more mass and power needed.

348 4.4 Photolysis Effects

- 349 The photolysis quantum yield is 0.22 at 408 nm (*Troe, 2000*), so we expect some fraction of the
- NO_2 in the cell to photolyze, $NO_2 + hv \rightarrow NO + O$. In static cells the photolysis of NO_2 has been
- 351 shown to be a concern (Platt et al., 2019) In the case of our detection, it is unlikely that a
- 352 significant fraction of NO₂ will be photolyzed because the sample flows through the cell quickly
- with a flush time of approximately 1 s and the number of photons available for photolysis issmall.
- 355 We can estimate the number of photons in the cell from the detector signal. The SiPM has a
- 356 radiant sensitivity of 4 x 10⁵A/W and a photon detection efficiency of 50%. Based on our
- detection signal of 2×10^{-5} A, we estimate the optical power is roughly 10^{-10} W and calculate a
- 358 photon flux of 2 x 10^9 photons/s. A typical absorbance with 1 ppb NO₂ in the cell is 10^{-3} , thus
- 359 we expect that roughly 2×10^6 photons/s are absorbed by the 1 ppb NO₂ in the cell. At 900 hPa
- 360 the number density of 1 ppb NO₂ is roughly 2.2×10^{10} molecules/cm³. The absorption of 2×10^{6}
- 361 photons would result in the photolysis of 4.4×10^5 NO₂ molecules, or 2×10^{-5} of the available
- NO_2 molecules. While this number is quite low for our conditions, it is worth noting that with

Deleted: The accuracy of PCAND measurements depends on NO₂ and Rayleigh cross section uncertainties, pressure sensor uncertainty, thermistor uncertainty, and cavity extinction uncertainty. The NO₂ absorption cross-section uncertainty is reported to be 3% (Spinei, 2014; Vandalae 1998). We use 3% for the Rayleigh scattering cross-section uncertainty (Bucholtz, 1995). From data sheets, we conclude temperature and pressure measurements to have uncertainties of 0.5% and 2% respectively. We also measured cavity extinction slope uncertainty at 1%. Together, the total uncertainty when propagated through equation 4 comes to 6 % when applied to the final NO₂ number density....

Deleted: our

Deleted: ure

Deleted: we

379 slower flows and higher photon fluxes the photolysis could be significant and secondary

380 chemistry could be a concern.

381 5 Field demonstration

382PCAND was launched on 3 low altitude (~7 km) balloon flights for demonstration purposes during383the summer of 2022. PCAND was physically linked (via RS232 cable) to a commercial weather384sonde for real-time data downlink (via the weather sonde). Results from the flight (Fig. 7)385launched on 18 August 2022 show a vertical profile of NO2 indicative of that time of year with386high concentrations of NO2 near the ground. This flight occurred at 8 am local time when the387boundary layer was still close to the ground. The temperature deviation in the instrument box388during flight to 7km was less than 1 °C.

- 389
- 390
- 391



Deleted: second Deleted: ure **Figure 7.** <u>PCAND</u>, flight data from 18 August 2022 balloon launch. Programmed cut-down of balloon at 7 km to achieve payload recovery. Significant concentrations of NO₂ appear near the surface and again at cut-down (~7 km) altitude.

394

395

396 5.1 Validation with CANOE

397

$PCAND$ was validated with another NO_2 instrument called CANOE (Compact Airborne Nitrogen
diOxide Experiment). CANOE was based on the design of a similar instrument called CAFE (St.
Clair et al., 2019) (Compact Airborne Formaldehyde Experiment). The only difference between
CANOE and CAFE are the laser wavelengths (532 nm for CAFE vs 355 nm for CANOE) and PMT
detectors used. CANOE is an LIF instrument which has been deployed on several airborne
campaigns including Dynamics and Chemistry of the Summer Stratosphere (DCOTSS) and Fire
Influence on Regional to Global Environments and Air Quality (FIREX-AQ). CANOE has been
calibrated to known cylinders of NO $_2$ concentration. Fig. 8 shows a ~4-hour data set where PCAND
and CANOE shared the same inlet port sampling the air during a morning in the DC greater
metropolitan area. Clearly, a rush hour peak of NO_2 is seen trailing off by noon. Fig. 8b shows
good agreement between the measurements with a slope of 0.94 \pm 0.004 and an intercept of
$0.09 \pm 0.012 \text{ ppbv NO}_2 \text{ (r}^2 = 0.96\text{)}.$

Deleted: NO2 Sonde

Deleted: ure
Deleted: ure
Deleted: a





Deleted: Deleted: NO₂ Sonde 3 4 5 CANOE NO2 (ppbv) 6 7

Figure 8. <u>PCAND</u> and CANOE measurements during rush hour at GSFC on 14 July 2023. a) Comparison over ~4 hours which clearly shows rush hour peak around ~13 UTC. b) Scatter plot of the same data showing high correlation between instrument measurements. A linear fit to the data gives a slope of 0.94 \pm 0.004 and an intercept of 0.09 \pm 0.012 ppbv with an r² = 0.96.

414 6 Summary and conclusions

415 PCAND provides very high sensitivity to NO₂ for such a small package using broadband cavity-

416 enhanced UV absorption at 408 nm. PCAND has a precision of ~94 pptv s⁻¹ with an accuracy of

417 <u>6.0%</u>. Although PCAND was designed for portable, battery powered operation (as needed for a

418 <u>balloon flight), it could easily be used in either ground or lab-based measurements. It was</u>

419 <u>successfully tested on 3 balloon flights. A comparison with another (calibrated) NO₂ instrument</u>

420 (CANOE) showed strong agreement over a ~4-hour period.

	L	
425	testing, and wrote the paper. RAH wrote the signal processing code and determined the best	a
426	wavelength to use for NO $_{2}$ absorption. AKS did all the mechanical design including optical plate,	fo
427	fixtures, and cell. TFH determined the correct mirrors to use, consulted with AKS on the optical	g
428	layout, and made the science case for receiving funding for this work.	fo
429		ir h
430	Competing interest. At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of	
431	Atmospheric Measurement Techniques.	
432		
433	Acknowledgements. The balloon flights were made at the Howard University Beltsville Campus	
434	with the help of Adrian Flores. We would additionally like to thank Ryan Stauffer for his expertise	D
435	in balloon flight needed to launch and recover our instrument.	0
436		
437	Financial support. This research has been supported by the NASA Internal Research and	
438	Development (IRAD) program at Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC).	
439	References	
440 441 442 443	Ball, S. M., Langridge, J. M., and Jones, R. L.: Broadband cavity enhanced absorption spectroscopy using light emitting diodes, Chem. Phys. Lett., 398, 68–74, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2004.08.144, 2004.	
444 445 446	Bucholtz, A.: Rayleigh-scattering calculations for the terrestrial atmosphere, Appl. Optics, 34, 2765–2773, https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.34.002765, 1995.	
447 448 449 450	Cersosimo, A., Serio, C., and Masiello, G.: TROPOMI NO2 Tropospheric Column Data: Regridding to 1 km Grid-Resolution and Assessment of their Consistency with In Situ Surface Observations, Remote Sens., 12, 2212, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12142212, 2020.	
451	Cooper, M. J., Martin, R. V., Henze, D. K., and Jones, D. B. A.: Effects of a priori profile shape	

Author contributions. SAB performed the investigation, controller software, electronics design,

424

451 Cooper, M. J., Martin, R. V., Henze, D. K., and Jones, D. B. A.: Effects of a priori profile shape
452 assumptions on comparisons between satellite NO2 columns and model simulations, Atmos.
453 Chem. Phys., 20, 7231–7241, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-7231-2020, 2020a.
454

Deleted: PCAND provides very high sensitivity to NO₂ for such a small package using broadband cavity-enhanced UV absorption at 408 nm. PCAND has a precision of ~94 pptv s⁻¹ with an accuracy of 6.0%. Although PCAND was designed for portable, battery powered operation (as needed for a sonde or drone flight), it could easily be used in either ground or lab-based measurements. It was successfully tested on 3 balloon flights producing NO₂ vertical profiles for each. A comparison with another (calibrated) NO₂ instrument (CANDE) showed strong agreement over a ~4hour period. ¶

> Deleted: The drone flight was made at Virginia commonwealth University Rice Rivers Center with the help f Gregory Garman and Ron Lopez.

470 dioxide concentrations at fine spatial resolution applied to the TROPOMI satellite instrument, 471 Environ. Res. Lett., 15, 104013, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aba3a5, 2020b. 472 473 Dang, R., Jacob, D. J., Shah, V., Eastham, S. D., Fritz, T. M., Mickley, L. J., Liu, T., Wang, Y., and 474 Wang, J.: Background nitrogen dioxide (NO2) over the United States and its implications for 475 satellite observations and trends: effects of nitrate photolysis, aircraft, and open fires, Atmos. 476 Chem. Phys., 23, 6271–6284, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-6271-2023, 2023. 477 478 Duncan, B. N., Lamsal, L. N., Thompson, A. M., Yoshida, Y., Lu, Z., Streets, D. G., Hurwitz, M. M., 479 and Pickering, K. E.: A space-based, high-resolution view of notable changes in urban NOx 480 pollution around the world (2005-2014), J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 121, 976-996, 481 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024121, 2016. 482 483 Fiedler, S. E., Hese, A., and Ruth, A. A.: Incoherent broad-band cavity-enhanced absorption 484 spectroscopy, Chem. Phys. Lett., 371, 284-294, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(03)00263-485 X, 2003. 486 487 Hannun, R. A., Swanson, A. K., Bailey, S. A., Hanisco, T. F., Bui, T. P., Bourgeois, I., Peischl, J., and 488 Ryerson, T. B.: A cavity-enhanced ultraviolet absorption instrument for high-precision, fast-time-489 response ozone measurements, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 6877-6887, 490 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-6877-2020, 2020. 491 492 Lampel, J., Pöhler, D., Tschritter, J., Frieß, U., and Platt, U.: On the relative absorption strengths 493 of water vapour in the blue wavelength range, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 4329-4346, 494 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-4329-2015, 2015. 495 496 Lampel, J., Pöhler, D., Polyansky, O. L., Kyuberis, A. A., Zobov, N. F., Tennyson, J., Lodi, L., Frieß, 497 U., Wang, Y., Beirle, S., Platt, U., and Wagner, T.: Detection of water vapour absorption around 498 363 nm in measured atmospheric absorption spectra and its effect on DOAS evaluations, Atmos. 499 Chem. Phys., 17, 1271–1295, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-1271-2017, 2017. 500 501 Martin, R. V., Jacob, D. J., Chance, K., Kurosu, T. P., Palmer, P. I., and Evans, M. J.: Global inventory 502 of nitrogen oxide emissions constrained by space-based observations of NO2 columns, J. 503 Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003453, 2003. 504 505 Min, K.-E., Washenfelder, R. A., Dubé, W. P., Langford, A. O., Edwards, P. M., Zarzana, K. J., Stutz, 506 J., Lu, K., Rohrer, F., Zhang, Y., and Brown, S. S.: A broadband cavity enhanced absorption 507 spectrometer for aircraft measurements of glyoxal, methylglyoxal, nitrous acid, nitrogen dioxide, 508 and water vapor, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9, 423-440, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-423-2016,

Cooper, M. J., Martin, R. V., McLinden, C. A., and Brook, J. R.: Inferring ground-level nitrogen

469

509

510

2016.

511 Miyazaki, K., Eskes, H., Sudo, K., Boersma, K. F., Bowman, K., and Kanaya, Y.: Decadal changes in 512 global surface NOx emissions from multi-constituent satellite data assimilation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 807–837, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-807-2017, 2017. 513 514 515 Platt, U. and Kuhn, J.: Caution with spectroscopic NO2 reference cells (cuvettes), Atmos. Meas. 516 Tech., 12, 6259–6272, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-6259-2019, 2019. 517 518 Ryerson, T. B., Williams, E. J., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: An efficient photolysis system for fast-519 response NO2 measurements, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 105, 26447-26461, 520 https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900389, 2000. 521 522 Sluis, W. W., Allaart, M. a. F., Piters, A. J. M., and Gast, L. F. L.: The development of a nitrogen 523 dioxide sonde, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 1753-1762, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-3-1753-2010, 524 2010. 525 526 Spinei, E., Cede, A., Swartz, W. H., Herman, J., and Mount, G. H.: The use of NO2 absorption cross 527 section temperature sensitivity to derive NO2 profile temperature and stratospheric-528 tropospheric column partitioning from visible direct-sun DOAS measurements, Atmos. Meas. 529 Tech., 7, 4299–4316, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-4299-2014, 2014. 530 531 St. Clair, J. M., Swanson, A. K., Bailey, S. A., and Hanisco, T. F.: CAFE: a new, improved nonresonant 532 laser-induced fluorescence instrument for airborne in situ measurement of formaldehyde, 533 Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 4581–4590, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-4581-2019, 2019. 534 535 Thornton, J. A., Wooldridge, P. J., and Cohen, R. C.: Atmospheric NO2: In Situ Laser-Induced 536 Fluorescence Detection at Parts per Trillion Mixing Ratios, Anal. Chem., 72, 528-539, 537 https://doi.org/10.1021/ac9908905, 2000. 538 539 Troe, J.: Are Primary Quantum Yields of NO2 Photolysis at $\lambda \leq 398$ nm Smaller than Unity?, Z. 540 Phys. Chem., 214, 573–581, https://doi.org/10.1524/zpch.2000.214.5.573, 2000. 541 Vandaele, A. C., Hermans, C., Simon, P. C., Carleer, M., Colin, R., Fally, S., Mérienne, M. F., 542 543 Jenouvrier, A., and Coquart, B.: Measurements of the NO2 absorption cross-section from 42 000 544 cm-1 to 10 000 cm-1 (238-1000 nm) at 220 K and 294 K, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 59, 171-184, 545 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4073(97)00168-4, 1998. 546 547 Washenfelder, R. A., Langford, A. O., Fuchs, H., and Brown, S. S.: Measurement of glyoxal using 548 an incoherent broadband cavity enhanced absorption spectrometer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 549 7779–7793, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-7779-2008, 2008. 550 551 Wilson, K. L. and Birks, J. W.: Mechanism and Elimination of a Water Vapor Interference in the 552 Measurement of Ozone by UV Absorbance, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 6361-6367, https://doi.org/10.1021/es052590c, 2006. 553 554

- 555 Womack, C. C., Brown, S. S., Ciciora, S. J., Gao, R.-S., McLaughlin, R. J., Robinson, M. A., Rudich,
- 556 Y., and Washenfelder, R. A.: A lightweight broadband cavity-enhanced spectrometer for NO2
- 557 measurement on uncrewed aerial vehicles, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 15, 6643-6652,
- 558 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-15-6643-2022, 2022.