1	In situ observations of supercooled liquid water clouds over Dome
2	C, Antarctica by balloon-borne sondes
3	
4	Philippe Ricaud ¹ , Pierre Durand ² , Paolo Grigioni ³ , Massimo Del Guasta ⁴ , Giuseppe
5	Camporeale ⁵ , Axel Roy ¹ , Jean-Luc Attié ² , and John Bognar ⁶
6	
7	¹ CNRM, Université de Toulouse, Météo-France, CNRS, 42, Avenue G. Coriolis
8	31057, Toulouse Cedex, France
9	² Laboratoire d'Aérologie, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, 14 Avenue Edouard Belin,
10	31400, Toulouse, France
11	³ ENEA, Laboratory for Observations and Measurements for Environment and Climate, Via
12	Anguillarese, 301 00123, Rome, Italy
13	⁴ INO-CNR, Via Nello Carrara, 1 – 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy
14	⁵ IREA – CNR, Via G. Amendola n. 122 D/O, 70126 Bari, Italy
15	⁶ Anasphere, Inc., 5400 Frontage Road, 59741Manhattan, MT, USA
16	
17	Correspondence: philippe.ricaud@meteo.fr
18	
19	
20	1 July 2024, Revision R01, Version V03
21	
22	Submitted to Atmospheric Measurements and Techniques
23	
24	

25 Abstract

26 Clouds in Antarctica are key elements that affect radiative forcing and thus Antarctic climate evolution. Although the vast majority of clouds are composed of ice crystals, a non-27 28 negligible fraction is constituted of supercooled liquid water (SLW, water held in liquid form 29 below 0°C). Numerical weather prediction models have a great difficulty to forecast SLW 30 clouds over Antarctica favouring ice at the expense of liquid water, and therefore incorrectly 31 estimating the cloud radiative forcing. Remote sensing observations of SLW clouds have been 32 carried out for several years at Concordia station (75°S, 123°E, 3233 m above mean sea level), 33 combining active LIDAR measurements (SLW cloud detection) and passive HAMSTRAD 34 microwave measurements (liquid water path, LWP). The present project aimed at in situ observations of SLW clouds using sondes developed by the company Anasphere, specifically 35 designed for SLW content (SLWC) measurements. These SLWC sondes were coupled to 36 37 standard meteorological pressure-temperature-humidity sondes from the Vaisala Company and 38 released under meteorological balloons. During the 2021-2022 summer campaign, 15 launches 39 were made, of which 7 were scientifically exploitable above a height of 400 m above ground 40 level, a threshold height imposed by the time the SLWC sonde takes to stabilize after the launch. 41 The three main outcomes from our analyses are: a) the first in-situ observations of SLW clouds 42 so far in Antarctica with SLWC sondes; b) on average, the consistency of SLW cloud heights 43 as observed by in-situ sondes and remote-sensing LIDAR; and c) Liquid Water Path (vertically-44 integrated SLWC) deduced by the sondes being generally equal or greater than LWP remotely 45 sensed by HAMSTRAD. In general, the SLW clouds were observed in a layer close to 46 saturation (U > 80%) or saturated (U ~100-105%) just below or at the lowermost part of the 47 entrainment zone or capping inversion zone which exists at the top of the Planetary Boundary 48 Layer and is characterized by an inflection point in the potential temperature vertical profile.

- 49 Our results are consistent with the theoretical view that SLW clouds form and pertain at the top
- 50 of the Planetary Boundary Layer.

51 **1. Introduction**

52 Clouds in Antarctica are key parameters that affect the Earth radiative balance thus the climate evolution over Antarctica but also over the Earth through complex teleconnections 53 54 (Lubin et al., 1998). The nature of the clouds (ice or liquid or mixed phase, a mixture of liquid and solid water) and their vertical distributions together with their interactions with aerosols 55 56 add complexity to this topic. Numerical simulations at local or global scales, focused on short 57 time scales or climate evolution show large differences between clouds located above the 58 Southern Ocean, the Western Antarctica - and particularly the Antarctic Peninsula -, the 59 Eastern Antarctic Plateau and in fine Antarctic coastal areas (see e.g. Fogt and Bromwich, 60 2008). In general, ice clouds are relatively well estimated by weather models while supercooled liquid water (SLW) clouds tend to be underestimated because the water partition function 61 favours solid instead of liquid phase for temperature less than 0°C (see e.g. Ricaud et al., 2020). 62 63 This flaw is rather observed in global-scale models but could be reduced in models including a 64 detailed microphysics scheme (e.g. Engdahl et al., 2020). Therefore, the impact of the clouds 65 on the net surface radiation, the so-called cloud radiative forcing, that strongly depends on the nature of the cloud, is usually underestimated by 5-30 W m⁻² in models that favour ice instead 66 67 of SLW clouds (King et al., 2006, 2015; Bromwich et al., 2013; Lawson and Gettelman, 2014; Listowski and Land-Cope, 2017; Young et al., 2019). From observations and climate models, 68 69 it appears that, in Antarctica, the liquid water path (LWP), which is the vertically-integrated SLW content (SLWC), is on average less than 10 g m⁻², with slightly larger values in summer 70 than in winter by 2-5 g m⁻² (Lenaerts et al., 2017), whereas, in the Arctic, values greater than 71 50 g m⁻² were reported (Lemus et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 2019) and, at middle/tropical latitudes, 72 values ranging 100-150 g m⁻² were measured and simulated (Lemus et al., 1997). 73

74 In parallel, cloud observations over Antarctica are difficult because of the very small 75 number of ground stations which are located preferably near the coast with only three of them

opened all year-long deep inside the continent. It is the reason why space-borne measurements 76 77 are paramount to classify clouds over the entire continent as a function of height, nature, and time. It is clearly accepted now that SLW clouds are much more abundant near the coast than 78 in the inner continent (Bromwich et al., 2012; Listowski et al., 2019) with larger ice crystals 79 80 and water droplets (Lachlan-Cope, 2010; Lachlan-Cope et al., 2016; Grosvenor et al., 2012; 81 O'Shea et al., 2017; Grazioli et al., 2017) and that the cloud radiative forcing is maximum over the Antarctic Peninsula with values reaching 40 W m⁻² (Ricaud et al., 2024). In addition to this 82 83 continent-scale information provided by satellites, it is crucial to obtain information at the local 84 scale from remote and/or in situ observations. Remote observations of SLW/mixed phase cloud 85 are usually performed by means of backscattered LIDARs and ceilometers while in situ 86 observations have been performed over the Southern Ocean (Chubb et al., 2013), Western 87 Antarctica (Grosvenor et al., 2012; Laclan-Cope et al., 2016) and coastal areas (O'Shea et al., 88 2017) using instruments on-board aircraft.

89 At Concordia station, several studies from remote-sensed observations already took place 90 to evaluate: 1) the presence of the SLW/mixed phase clouds over the station mainly based on a 91 backscattered LIDAR (Cossich et al., 2021), 2) the amount of the LWP within SLW clouds 92 (Ricaud et al., 2020), 3) the impact of SLW clouds on the net surface radiation (Ricaud et al., 93 2020), 4) the differences between observations and model simulations of SLW clouds (Ricaud 94 et al., 2020), 5) the relationship between in-cloud temperature and LWP (Ricaud et al., 2024), and 6) the relationship between LWP and cloud radiative forcing (Ricaud et al., 2024). In 95 general, SLW clouds are preferably observed in summer with very small LWPs ($< 10 \text{ g m}^{-2}$), 96 97 in-cloud temperatures ranging from -20°C to -38°C and a cloud radiative forcing up to a maximum value of 40 W m⁻² (Ricaud et al., 2024). 98

99 We have thus proposed a new project to observe SLW clouds in situ at Concordia, based 100 on the use of a sonde developed by the Anasphere company and especially designed for the detection of this type of cloud. During the summer campaign 2021-2022, the SLWC sonde was connected to a standard Vaisala pressure-temperature-humidity (PTU) sonde and embarked under an ascending balloon while, during the summer campaign 2022-2023, the two coupled sondes were installed aboard a vertical take-off and landing (VTOL) drone. Numerous SLW clouds were present during the 2021-2022 campaign while, in 2022-23, they were very scarce over the station with a net consequence of measuring only vertical profiles of temperature and relative humidity (Ricaud et al., 2023).

The aim of the present study was to perform for the first time in-situ observations of SLW clouds above the Concordia station during the summer campaign 2021-2022. For the validation and interpretation of the data, we relied on the observations performed by 1) the backscatter LIDAR installed at the station for more than ten years to characterize the nature of the clouds (ice/liquid/mixed phase) and their height and 2) the LWPs measured by the HAMSTRAD microwave radiometer set up at the station in 2009.

The article is structured as follows. The instruments are presented in Sect. 2. The methodology is explained in Sect. 3. The results of the campaign are presented in Sect. 4 before being synthetized and discussed in Sect. 5. A conclusion finalizes the findings in Sect. 6. Note that all the observations performed during the summer campaign are presented in a companion document as supplementary materials.

119

120 **2. Instruments**

In addition to the Vaisala PTU and Anasphere SLWC sondes attached to the meteorological balloons, we used observations from two other instruments installed at the Concordia station for several years, namely the backscatter LIDAR to classify the cloud as an SLW cloud, and the HAMSTRAD microwave radiometer to obtain the LWP.

126 *2.1. PTU sondes*

The PTU sondes used during the 2021-2022 summer campaign were standard Vaisala RS-41 SGP sondes (an upgraded version of the Vaisala's RS92 radiosondes), which are now used daily at Concordia to obtain operational temperature and humidity vertical profiles at 12:00 UTC. The sondes were attached to the balloon with a string either unwound before launching (and with a length L = 20 or 40 m) or wound on an unwinder. We systematically used a parachute to obtain vertical profiles in both the ascending and descending phases.

133 *2.2. SLWC sondes*

134 The Anasphere's vibrating-wire sonde records a vibrating wire's frequency as ice accumulates along its length (Serke et al., 2014). When the SLW reaches the wire, liquid 135 136 droplets are instantly converted into ice. These frequency measurements, combined with 137 collocated meteorological measurements, can be used to determine the SLWC of the 138 surrounding air. The SLWC sonde actually measures the frequency of the vibrating wire. Since 139 this frequency f varies according to the change in mass of the wire, its derivative with respect 140 to time df/dt can be used to calculate the SLWC collected by the wire. From Dexheimer et 141 al. (2019), SLWC ($g m^{-3}$) is estimated to be:

142

$$SLWC = -(2b_0 f_0^2 / \varepsilon D \omega f^3) \times (df/dt)$$
⁽¹⁾

where ε is the droplet collection efficiency (~0.9), D is the wire diameter including the 143 hydrophilic gel (0.762 10^{-3} m), b_0 is the vibrating-wire mass per unit length including the 144 hydrophilic gel (2.24 g m⁻¹), ω is the velocity of air relative to the wire (~5 m s⁻¹) and f_0 is the 145 146 un-iced wire frequency in Hertz ranging from 21.50 to 22.50 Hz during the campaign. f typically 147 ranges from 20.0 to 22.85 Hz during the campaign. Note that ω is given, irrespective of its 148 direction (upward, downward, etc.). During the ascending phase, given that the balloon has an 149 upward buoyancy, it always rises with respect to the air parcel it is in. The nominal operation 150 of the SLWC sonde requires that it is well working with an air flow of about 5 m s⁻¹. It is the

reason why the balloon pressure is set up for an average ascending rate (with respect to the 151 152 ground) of $\sim 5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. During the descending phase, after the balloon has burst, the sonde falls 153 with a parachute with a downward buoyancy and a downward velocity relative to the air parcel of about 5-6 m s⁻¹. The ascending rate was typically ranging 4.0-6.0 m s⁻¹ during our launches 154 155 performed at Concordia. So we can associate to ω an error (variability) of the order of ± 1.0 m s⁻¹. This impacts on the SLWC calculation by $\pm 3\%$. The droplet collection efficiency ε depends 156 157 on the median droplet diameter d considered. In Dexheimer et al. (2019), values of 11, 16 and 158 20 microns based on Lozowski et al. (1983) and Bain and Gayet (1982) were used to calculate 159 SLWC. A median droplet diameter of d = 16 microns resulted in a collection efficiency greater 160 than 0.9. This later value was finally given since it provided the lower estimate of SLWC in all 161 observations performed in the Arctic. We thus also used an efficiency of 0.9 in our study. The 162 sensitivity of ε to the median droplet diameter d has thus been investigated. For d varying from 163 11 to 20 microns, SLWC is varying by $\pm 12\%$.

164 The output signal of the sonde is connected to the Vaisala radiosonde which transmits the 165 data to the ground station via telemetry. The observations of the two sondes are thus 166 synchronized. The integration time is 5 s, thus providing an observation every \sim 25 m along the 167 vertical. We have applied a 4-point running average to all our observations. This means that 168 our vertical profiles, even sampled every ~25 m, are not able to describe the variations for scales 169 lower than 100 m. Since it takes about 60-80 s from the launch for the SLWC sonde to stabilize, 170 the minimum height for meaningful observations is ~300-400 m above ground level (agl), 171 below which we are unable to detect any SLW cloud. Note that, in the following, all heights 172 are given in agl.

173 *2.3. LIDAR*

The tropospheric depolarization backscatter LIDAR (532 nm) has been operating at Dome
C since 2008 (see http://lidarmax.altervista.org/englidar/_Antarctic%20LIDAR.php). The

LIDAR provides 5-min tropospheric profiles of aerosols and clouds continuously, from 20 to
7000 m, with a resolution of 7.5 m. LIDAR depolarization (Mishchenko et al., 2000) is a robust
indicator of non-spherical shape for randomly oriented cloud particles. A depolarization ratio
below 10% is characteristic of SLW clouds, while higher values are produced by ice particles.
The potential ambiguity between SLW cloud and oriented ice plates is avoided at Dome C by
operating the LIDAR 4° off-zenith (Hogan and Illingworth, 2003).

182 *2.4. HAMSTRAD*

183 HAMSTRAD is a microwave radiometer that profiles water vapour and tropospheric 184 temperature together with LWP above Dome C. Measuring at both 60 GHz (oxygen molecule 185 line (O₂) to derive the temperature) and 183 GHz (H₂O line), the radiometer was installed on 186 site for the first time in January 2009 (Ricaud et al., 2010). Measurements from the 187 HAMSTRAD radiometer allow the retrieval of vertical profiles of water vapour and 188 temperature from the ground to 10 km altitude with vertical resolutions of 30 to 50 m in the 189 Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL), 100 m in the lower free troposphere and 500 m in the upper troposphere-lower stratosphere. The LWP (g m⁻²) can also be estimated. The time resolution is 190 191 adjustable and fixed at 60 seconds since 2018. Note that an automated internal calibration is 192 performed every 12 atmospheric observations and takes about 4 minutes. Consequently, the 193 atmospheric time sampling is 60 seconds for a sequence of 12 profiles, and a new sequence 194 starts 4 minutes after the end of the previous one. The temporal resolution of the instrument 195 allows the detection of clouds and diamond dust (Ricaud et al., 2017) together with the SLW 196 clouds (Ricaud et al., 2020). The 2021-2022 and the 2022-2023 summer campaigns were 197 dedicated to in-situ observations of SLW clouds using balloons and a drone (Ricaud et al., 198 2023), respectively. Comparisons with numerical weather prediction (NWP) models showed 199 consistent amounts of LWP at Dome C when the ice-liquid water partition function favours 200 SLW for temperatures below 0°C (Ricaud et al., 2020).

3. Methodology

203 In order to optimize in-situ SLW cloud observations, we developed the following 204 procedure. 1) The remotely-sensed and real-time observations of clouds (either ice crystals 205 and/or SLW) from the LIDAR were checked regularly. 2) When the presence of SLW was 206 verified, we checked the value of LWP from HAMSTRAD. An empirical value of $LWP_0 = 1.0$ g m⁻² was estimated as the threshold above which an SLW cloud is considered as significant. 207 208 For LWP < LWP₀, either the amount of liquid water in the cloud was too low or the SLW cloud 209 was too scattered. 3) If the two-above conditions were fulfilled for more than 2 hours, we started 210 the connection and calibration process of the 2 sondes (PTU and SLWC) via the Vaisala 211 Digicora station inside the Concordia station. Then we went outside and inflated the 212 meteorological balloon. Finally, we launched the 2 sondes attached to the balloon using either 213 an unwinder or an unwound string (Figure 1). In total, the step 3) lasted about 1 hour. As we 214 used standard meteorological balloons (Totex TA100), we were able to probe the atmosphere 215 from the surface up to about 12-13 km height (ascent and descent) for a total duration of about 216 1 hour and 40 minutes. Since the tropopause height was ranging 7-8 km and we were only 217 interested in the first 2 km where the SLW clouds are located, only 2-5% of the observations 218 made were scientifically sound for our project. This is the main reason why we used a drone 219 during the next campaign 2022-2023 to detect SLW clouds in the PBL (Ricaud et al., 2023). 220 Note that, since there was only one Vaisala Digicora station for both our project and the 221 operational meteorological sounding at 12:00 UTC, we could not use the time window between 222 09:00 and 14:00 UTC for our studies.



225 Figure 1: The methodology employed to launch the SLWC sonde with meteorological balloons 226 is synthetized as follow. 1) The Vaisala PTU sondes are calibrated into the quiet building of the 227 Concordia station at room temperature using the standard Digicora system. 2) The SLWC sonde 228 is connected to the PTU sonde at room temperature and then is transported outdoors to the 229 meteorological shelter. The two sondes are attached to the meteorological balloon after inflation 230 of the balloon. 3) Then, after leaving the shelter, one scientist maintains the SLWC sonde in 231 his/her hands while another one maintains both the meteorological balloon and the PTU sonde. 232 When the meteorological and technical conditions are optimised, the balloon is launched. The 233 picture represents a launch of a Vaisala PTU sonde (left hand of the man in blue) and an 234 Anasphere SLWC sonde (right hand of the man in red) attached to the Totex TA100 235 meteorological balloon, together with the red parachute and the unwinder for the first flight on 236 22 December 2021.

237

In general (see e.g., Ricaud et al., 2020), SLW clouds are usually capped by a thin temperature inversion and a decrease from high relative humidity U (>80%). As this inversion layer separates two layers where temperature decreases with height, it contains an inflection point in the temperature (or potential temperature) profile the height of which $H(T_{inf})$ can be 242 used as the top of the atmospheric boundary layer with its capping SLW cloud layer. Such a 243 definition based on the height of the inflection point is frequently used for the determination of 244 the boundary-layer thickness (Hennemuth and Lammert, 2006). Consistent with this definition, 245 Ricaud et al. (2020) adapted from Stull (2012) proposed to consider the potential temperature 246 profiles separating the diurnal variation of the top of the planetary boundary layer into 2 phases: 247 1) the entrainment zone at the top of the mixed layer where the SLW cloud develops and 2) the 248 capping inversion zone under which the SLW cloud still persists at the top of the residual quasi-249 mixed layer. The vertical limits of these two layers are well defined by the height of the inflection points $H(\theta_{inf})$. In the following, we have used information from profiles of the 250 251 potential temperature θ (K) defined as:

252

$$\theta = T(P_0/P)^{R/C_p} \tag{2}$$

where *T* is the temperature (K), *P* the pressure (hPa), *P*₀ the reference pressure (1000 hPa), *R* the gas constant of air (J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹) and *C_p* the heat capacity at constant pressure (J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹). *R/C_p* is taken at 0.286. We have characterized inflection points heights $H(\theta_{inf})$ in the potential temperature vertical profiles when the second derivatives in θ with respect to the height *z* ($d^2 \theta/dz^2$) are greater than an empirical threshold value typically varying from 1.5 10⁻⁴ to 4.0 10⁻⁴ K m⁻².

259

4. Results

261 *4.1. Period of study*

The balloon-borne observations of SLW clouds were carried out during the 2021-2022 summer campaign at Concordia. A total of 15 launches were performed from 21 December 264 2021 to 28 January 2022 (labelled from L01 to L15, respectively). With the exception of 17 265 January 2022 (L11), when the observations were made to check the behaviour of the SLWC 266 sondes in cloud-free conditions, all other launches were made when a SLW cloud was detected for more than 2 hours with the LIDAR observations using the depolarization method describedin section 2.3.

269 Table 1 lists all the launches that were scientifically exploitable in ascending, descending 270 or both modes while Table 2 lists the two scientifically-exploitable launches in cloud-free 271 conditions. In order to avoid listing a catalogue of observations, we chose to only show details 272 and Figures relative to the launches performed on 25 December 2021 and on 17 January 2022 (cloud-free period). Nevertheless, the SLWC vertical profiles calculated for all the flights are 273 274 shown and discussed in the forthcoming sections. The information regarding all the 275 scientifically-exploitable flights are presented in the supplementary materials. This 276 encompasses: 1) the LWP values from HAMSTRAD and the height range of the SLW clouds 277 from the LIDAR over one day, 2) the profiles of temperature, potential temperature and relative 278 humidity measured by the PTU sonde during the flights, and 3) the profile of the SLWC sonde 279 frequency f, the derivative of the frequency with respect to time t (df/dt) and the calculated SLWC during the flights. 280

281

282 Table 1: List of SLW cloud flights performed during the 2021-2022 season over Concordia, 283 together with date, launch time (UTC) and in italic the time (UTC) when the balloon hits the ground after the descent, SLW cloud vertical range (m) and associated LWP (g m⁻²) in 284 285 ascending (A) or descending (D) phase, considering only SLWC sonde observations above 400 286 m agl. Also shown are the SLW cloud vertical range (m) observed by the LIDAR in time 287 coincidence within ± 1 hour with the flight in ascending phase and, in italic, in descending phase. Also presented are the minimum-maximum LWP (g m⁻²) measured by HAMSTRAD for the 288 289 same date over 24 hours. Also included are: heights (m) of the inflection point in the vertical 290 profile of potential temperature $H(\theta_{inf})$, information on the type of string used (unwinder or 291 unwound string of length L), on the velocity ω when it departs significantly from the nominal

292	value of 5 m s ^{-1}	¹ and on surface	liquid	fog when	present.	Heights ar	e always	given in	n meters ag	g1.
-----	---	-----------------------------	--------	----------	----------	------------	----------	----------	-------------	-----

293 Meteorological conditions (Meteo) encountered and synthetized as: HP=Heavy Precipitation;

Launch	Date	Launch	Comments	Meteo	$H(\theta_{inf})$	SLW cloud		LWP	
A/D	YYMMDD	Time			m	vertical domain		g m ⁻²	
		HH:MM:SS				Sonde	LIDAR	Sonde	Hamstrad
		UTC				m	m		Min-Max
L01	211222	02:24:30	Unwinder	HP	710-750	400-500	400-600	7.37	2-10
Α							700-750		
L03	211225	08:53:15	Unwinder	HP	950-1000	900-1000	600-800	3.67	2-6
D		10:30:00			1450-1500	1400-1500	800-900		
							1100-1200		
L04	211225	15:48:51	Unwinder	LP	850-880	825-875	700-900	9.08	2-6
Α					1400				
					1520				
L06	211229	13:45:00	L = 40 m	LP	< 750	750-825	500-800	7.48	1.0-3.5
Α			H > 750 m						
L07	211229	17:47:51	L = 40 m	LP	700	425-600	600-750	33.17	1.0-3.5
Α			ω~3.5 m/s		850	750-900		23.94	
L14	220124	13:51:05	L = 20 m	LF	630	600	50-250		1-5
Α					900-920	800-1000	750-850	575.35	
					1400				
L14	220124	13:51:05	L = 20 m	LF	810		50-300		1-5
D		15:30:00			1340	775-825 ^(*)	750-850	28.74	
					1420				
L15	220128	06:08:27	L = 20 m	LP	650	400-500		17.62	2-5
Α					910	550-700	700-800	13.75	
					1080	1000-1050	950-1050	7.31	

294 LP=Light Precipitation; LF=Liquid Fog.

295 (*) Most intense spike

296

297

299 Table 2. Flight L11 performed in cloud-free conditions during the 2021-2022 season over 300 Concordia, together with date, launch time (UTC) and in italic the time (UTC) when the balloon 301 hits the ground after the descent, in ascending (ASC) or descending (DES) phase. Also 302 presented are: the LWP calculated from SLWC sonde observations, the minimum-maximum LWP (g m⁻²) measured by HAMSTRAD for the same date over 24 hours, the variability σ of 303 the SLWC as calculated from the SLWC sonde observations (g m⁻³) and of the LWP as 304 calculated from the HAMSTRAD observations (g m⁻²). An information on the type of string 305 306 used (unwinder or unwound string of length L) is also provided.

Launch A/D	Date YYMMDD	Launch Time HH:MM:SS	Comments	LWP g m ⁻²		V	ariability / σ
		UTC		Sonde	Hamstrad Min-Max	SLWC sonde g m ⁻³	LWP Hamstrad g m ⁻²
L11 A	220117	06:35:15	L = 40 m	~0	0.4-1.0	0.08	0.2
L11 D	220117	06:35:15 08:20:00	L = 40 m	~0	0.4-1.0	0.08	0.2

It is interesting to note that, because of their operating modes, the three instruments we have used provide different information on the SLW clouds that we have synthetized in Table 3. Because the microwave radiometer scans the atmosphere from 0 to 90° zenithal angle to the East, only overcast clouds and associated LWP can be measured, with no information on the SLW cloud height. The LIDAR with a 0.4° off-zenith observations is able to detect scattered and overcast SLW clouds, together with cloud height, with no information on the SLWC or LWP but, with some limitation in the presence of precipitation and/or low clouds (e.g. liquid fog) that can alter the observations of cloud above. Finally, the SLWC sonde measures in situ SLW clouds, thus scattered or overcast clouds together with their heights with an information on SLWC (thus LWP by vertically integrating SLWC) but there is an increasing horizontal distance from the station as the flight progresses.

325 Table 3. Description of the viewing geometry and comments relative to each instrument used326 in our analysis: HAMSTRAD, LIDAR and SLWC sonde.

Instruments	Viewing Geometry	Comments
HAMSTRAD	0-90° zenithal angle,	- Overcast
	to the East	- Only LWP is measured
		- No information on the SLW cloud height
		- Continuous and automated observations
LIDAR	0.4° off-zenith	- Scattered and overcast
		- Information on the SLW cloud height
		- No information on either SLWC or LWP
		- Presence of precipitation and/or low clouds can
		alter the observations of clouds above
		- Continuous and automated observations
SLWC sonde	In-situ	- Increasing horizontal distance from the station as
		the flight progresses
		- Scattered and overcast
		- Information on the SLW cloud height
		- SLWC is measured along the vertical and LWP can
		be inferred
		- Sporadic and manual observations

328 *4.2. Launches on 25 December 2021*

329 As on 25 December 2021, SLW clouds observed by LIDAR were almost continuously 330 present over Concordia from 00:00 to 19:00 UTC (Figure 2), 2 launches were performed at 331 08:53:15 (L03) and 15:48:51 UTC (L04), from which we will consider the descending and 332 ascending phases, respectively. For 2 hours before the first launch, SLW clouds were observed 333 by the LIDAR between 500 and 700 m, and during the flight, the SLW clouds were located 334 between 600 and 800 m, while approximately 2 hours after the flight (when the sondes hit the 335 ground in the descending phase) the SLW clouds were located at 800-900 and 1100-1200 m 336 (see also Table 1). Regarding the second flight, for the 2 hours before the flight, SLW clouds 337 were observed by the LIDAR between 700 and 1000 m and, during the flight, around 700-900 m. The first launch was associated with HAMSTRAD-observed LWP values of 1.5-6.0 g m⁻² 338 whereas, for the second flight, it was in the range 1.5-3.0 g m⁻². Note that when the sondes 339 340 reached the ground at the end of the first launch, the balloon had travelled a distance of about 341 70 km from the Concordia station after a flight time of 1 h 40 min (Figure 3).



343 Figure 2: Diurnal variation on 25 December 2021 (UTC Time) along the vertical of: (top) the 344 backscatter signal (A.U., Arbitrary Unit); (Center) the depolarization ratio (%) measured by the LIDAR; (Bottom) the Liquid Water Path (LWP) measured by HAMSTRAD (g m⁻², black solid 345 line) superimposed with the SLW cloud thickness (red area) derived from the LIDAR 346 observations (red y-axis on the right). Two vertical green dashed lines indicate 12:00 and 00:00 347 348 LT. The thick red vertical dashed lines indicate the time when balloon observations with SLWC 349 sondes were performed in ascending (ASC) or descending (DES) phase while the thin red 350 vertical solid line (if any) indicates the launch time corresponding to the observations in the 351 descending phase.





Figure 3: (Top) Path followed by the meteorological balloon launched on 25 December 2021
at 08:53:15 UTC (L03) (red circle) up to the end of the flight (blue circle). (Bottom) Distance
travelled (km) as a function of time since launch.

358 In general, all the flights reached a top height above 10 km (Figure 4 and Figures S7-14), 359 namely well above the tropopause height (about 7-8 km). This is consistent with previous 360 observations made with meteorological operational Vaisala PTU sondes (Tomasi et al., 2015). 361 The profiles of temperature and relative humidity measured during the whole flight (L03) 362 starting at 08:53:15 UTC are shown in Figure 4 together with the calculated potential 363 temperature and observed relative humidity within the layer [400-1600 m]. Above 2 km, a good 364 consistency between ascending and descending phases is found in temperature profiles within 365 ± 1 K. The relative humidity profiles are within $\pm 5\%$ of each other, except between 7 and 8.5 366 km where they differ by around 10%. Below 2 km, the profiles reflect the impact of the PBL. 367 In ascending phase, the heights of inflection points in potential temperature profiles are found 368 at 800-850 m and 1300-1350 m. In descending phase, they are located at 950-1000 m and 1450369 1500 m. Whatever the phase considered, the maximum relative humidity is close to saturation 370 $(U \sim 100\%)$ and can even reach supersaturation by 2 to 5 % $(U \sim 102-105\%)$ in descending phase. This clearly indicates the presence of clouds. Three points need to be underlined. 1) The 371 372 supersaturation highlighted above comes from the actual measurements provided by the Vaisala 373 system with U relative to liquid water. From The Vaisala White paper relative to the RS41 374 sondes (Vaisala Radiosonde RS41Measurement Performance, White Paper, Vaisala; available 375 at: https://www.vaisala.com/sites/default/files/documents/WEA-MET-RS41-Performance-376 White-paper-B211356EN-B-LOW-v3.pdf), the accuracy of temperature and relative humidity 377 are 0.3°C and 4%, respectively below 16 km altitude. 2) The heights of the potential temperature 378 inflection points are higher by ~150 m in descending compared with ascending phases. The 379 landing occurred 70 km further out and 1 h 40 min later than the launch (Figure 3). This clearly 380 is a fingerprint of both time and space evolution of the PBL top height around the Concordia 381 station. 3) The presence of a set of two distinct inflection points, namely two entrainment zones 382 and/or two capping inversion zones where the SLW clouds develop and/or persist, resemble as 383 if two PBL layers were present above the Concordia station. The explanation could be that the 384 lowest layer is related to the PBL above Concordia although the highest layer is either a remnant 385 of the PBL far from Concordia reaching the station through long-range transport or a fossil 386 layer from the PBL established the day before above the station. These double layers can be 387 clearly identified on 25 December 2021 at 15:48 UTC (Figure 5), on 24 January 2022 at 13:51 388 UTC (Figure S12) and on 28 January 2022 at 06:08 UTC (Figure S13).



Figure 4: (from left to right) Vertical profiles of: temperature (K), relative humidity (%) observed by the PTU sonde on 25 December 2021 for a launch at 08:53 UTC in ascending (red) and descending (black) phases over the entire vertical range, and potential temperature (K) and relative humidity selected from 400 m to 1600 m height. Red and black triangles in the vertical profiles of potential temperature highlight the presence of inflection points in the ascending and descending phases, respectively. The vertical dotted line in the right panel indicates the 100% relative humidity.



Figure 5: (from left to right) Same as Figure 4 but on 25 December 2021 at 15:48 UTC. Note
that, in descending phase (black), only few observations were available after the balloon
reached the ceiling height.

The vertical profiles of f, df/dt and SLWC associated with the flights L03 and L04 are 404 405 shown in Figures 6 and 7, respectively. We have also superimposed the vertical extension of 406 the SLW clouds as observed by the LIDAR within a ± 1 hour window centred on the launch 407 time (ascending phase) or on the time of the flight end (descending phase) in yellow or orange, 408 respectively. For both flights, f is rather stable (22.2 and 22.4 Hz, respectively) along the 409 vertical, with a slight increase between 400 and 600 m during L04. For L03, the df/dt values are small ($\pm 0.001 \text{ Hz s}^{-1}$) except: 1) between 850 and 1000 m (about -0.005 Hz s⁻¹) where an 410 411 SLW cloud is estimated from 900 to 1000 m with an SLWC of 0.55 g m⁻³ at 950 m and 2) between 1400 and 1500 m (about -0.001 Hz s⁻¹) where an SLW cloud is estimated from 1400 412 to 1500 m with an SLWC of 0.25 g m⁻³ at 1400 m, well above the estimated 1- σ random error 413

414 of 0.08 g m⁻³ (see section 4.3). For L04, the df/dt values are small (±0.001 Hz s⁻¹) except: 1) 415 between 700 and 900 m (±0.005 Hz s⁻¹) where an SLW cloud is estimated from 825 to 875 m 416 with an SLWC of 0.35 g m⁻³ at 850 m and 2) around 1500 m (about -0.001 Hz s⁻¹) where an 417 SLW cloud is estimated around 1500 m with an SLWC of 0.09 g m⁻³, very close to the estimated 418 1-σ random error of 0.08 g m⁻³. Note that the df/dt values are high below 500 m, reaching 419 +0.01 Hz s⁻¹, but this is not related to the presence of SLW, which would translate as negative 420 values of df/dt (see Equation 1).

421 For L03 (Figure 6), two sets of potential temperature inflection points are measured at $H(\theta_{inf}) = 950-1000$ and 1450-1500 m, with no U measurements at these heights. The SLW 422 clouds derived from the SLWC sonde (900-1000 and 1400-1500 m) are located within the 423 lowest part of $H(\theta_{inf})$ and few meters below. For L04 (Figure 7), two to three potential 424 temperature inflection points are also measured at $H(\theta_{inf}) = 850-880$, 1400 and 1520 m, with 425 an almost saturated atmosphere ($U \sim 100\%$) at 880 m, and high humidity at 1400 m ($U \sim 75\%$) 426 427 and at 1520 m (U \sim 80%). The SLW clouds derived from the SLWC sonde (700-900 and 1500 428 m) are located within the lowest part of $H(\theta_{inf})$ and few meters below, as for the L03 flight.

429 The SLW cloud heights derived from the SLWC sonde in L04 (825-875 m) are very 430 consistent with the LIDAR observations (700-900 m). In L03, the SLW cloud at 900-1000 m 431 from the sonde is slightly below the LIDAR observations (800-900 m) in descending phase and 432 slightly above the LIDAR observations (600-800 m) in ascending phase. The SLW cloud at 433 1400-1500 m (L03) is not detected by the LIDAR (except at 1100-1200 m in descending phase 434 for L03). This is probably due to the underlying SLW cloud at 900-1000 m that absorbs or 435 reflects most of the LIDAR laser beam, which cannot propagate higher. For L03, the vertically-436 integrated in the 900-1000 m layer of the SLWC calculated from the sonde data is about 3.7 g m⁻², which falls within the minimum-maximum LWP values observed by HAMSTRAD on that 437 day (2-6 g m⁻²) whereas, for L04, the SLWC integrated within the 825-875 m layer is 9.0 g m⁻ 438

² slightly larger than the minimum-maximum values observed by HAMSTRAD (2-6 g m⁻², see
Table 1).

441 An interesting point is to check whether the SLW cloud observed at 900-1000 m by the 442 sonde 70 km away from the station in the descending phase (L03) is connected to the one 443 observed 6000 s earlier by the LIDAR at the station at 600-800 m in the ascending phase, just 444 below the inflection point at 780 m corresponding to the 283-K isentrope. In the ascending 445 phase (Figure S26), the wind direction $(250\pm20^{\circ})$ and the wind speed $(18\pm4 \text{ m s}^{-1})$ in the middle 446 troposphere are consistent with a balloon travelling 70 km in the North-East direction in more 447 than one hour and a half. On the other hand, in the lowermost troposphere (Figures S26 and S27), the wind is orientated to $120\pm20^{\circ}$ and the wind speed is much lower (5±3 m s⁻¹). As a 448 449 consequence, the probability for the SLW cloud observed by the SLWC sonde in the descending 450 phase to be the one observed by the LIDAR in the ascending phase is very weak. Later on, at 451 15:48:51 (L05), both the LIDAR and the SLWC sonde in the ascending phase observed an SLW 452 cloud in the range 700-900 m, encompassing or just below the inflection points at 850-880 m 453 corresponding to the isentropes 281.5-282 K (Fig. 7). Therefore, it is very likely that the present 454 SLW cloud is a remnant of (or the same as) the one observed 7 hours before over Concordia 455 station within the 283-K isentrope.



456

457 Figure 6: Vertical profiles of: (left) SLWC sonde frequency f (black; Hz), (middle) df/dt (black; 458 Hz s⁻¹); and (right) sonde-calculated SLWC (black; g m⁻³) on 25 December 2021 at 10:30 UTC 459 (descending phase) for a launch at 08:53:15 UTC. 4-point (20 s) running averages are displayed 460 in red. On the right panel, potential temperature (θ , K) and relative humidity (U, %) are shown 461 as dotted and dashed lines, respectively. Blue triangles represent the height of the potential 462 temperature inflection points. The green vertical line represents the estimated one-sigma error 463 (0.08 g m^{-3}) of the SLWC sonde observations. The blue vertical line indicates the 100% relative 464 humidity. The vertical extension of the SLW clouds as observed by the LIDAR within a ± 1 465 hour window centered on the launch time (ascending phase) or on the time of the flight end 466 (descending phase) is highlighted in yellow or orange, respectively.



470 Figure 7: Same as Figure 6, but for 25 December 2021 at 15:48 UTC (ascending phase).
471

472 *4.3. Launch on 17 January 2022 (cloud-free period)*

473 The launch on 17 January 2022 at 06:15:15 UTC (L11 in ascending and descending phases) 474 was performed in a cloud-free environment throughout the day, as shown by the LIDAR observations (Figure 8), with associated HAMSTRAD-LWP values of 0.4-1.0 g m⁻². This 475 476 launch was an important test to check the behaviour of the SLWC sonde and to quantify the 477 random error and the bias associated with the estimation of SLWCs together with the random 478 error and the bias in LWP from HAMSTRAD observations. Note that when the sondes reached 479 the ground at the end of the flight, the balloon had travelled a distance of approximately 50 km 480 from the Concordia station after a flight time of 1 h 40 min (Figure 9).

481



Figure 8: Same as Figure 2, but for 17 January 2022, corresponding to a cloud-free condition

484 period.





486 Figure 9: Same as Figure 3, but for the balloon launched on 17 January 2022 at 07:19:05 UTC.
487

488 The profiles of f, df/dt and SLWC for flight L11 in its ascending and descending phases 489 are shown in Figures 10 and 11, respectively. f does not vary much along the vertical in both 490 flight phases with variations lower than ± 0.05 Hz producing df/dt values of the order of ± 0.002 Hz s⁻¹. On average, the SLWC oscillates within ± 0.08 g m⁻³. Therefore, we can estimate 491 the random error in the derived SLWC to be $\sigma = 0.08$ g m⁻³ without any bias and conclude that 492 493 no SLW clouds were observed with the sonde. This is consistent with the fact that: 1) the relative humidity is low (Uranging 10-80%), 2) the LIDAR observations do not show any SLW 494 cloud during the day (Figure 8) and 3) the HAMSTRAD LWP is small (<1.0 g m⁻²). From these 495 496 HAMSTRAD observations in cloud-free conditions, we can estimate that the LWP RMS error σ is about 0.2 g m⁻² and that the LWP bias is ranging 0.8-1.0 g m⁻². 497



499 Figure 10: Same as Figure 6, but for 17 January 2022 at 06:35 UTC in ascending phase, in a

500 cloud-free condition.



Figure 11: Same as Figure 6, but for 17 January 2022 at 06:35 UTC in descending phase, in acloud-free condition.

506 4.4. Analysis of all the other flights

507 The first flight (L01) was carried out on 22 December 2021 at 02:24:30 UTC using an unwinder, after the LIDAR detection of an SLW cloud at 400-600 m between 00:00 and 02:00 508 UTC with an LWP of 8-10.5 g m⁻² (Figure S1). Unfortunately, just before the launch, the 509 510 HAMSTRAD-observed LWP decreased to 1.5 g m⁻², with some remnants of SLW cloud at 500 511 and 650 m. An SLW cloud is estimated by the LIDAR from 400 to 500 m (Figure 12) with an SLWC of 0.35 g m⁻³ at 450 m, well above the estimated $1-\sigma$ random error of 0.08 g m⁻³. From 512 400 to 750 m, U increases from 80 to 90% and $H(\theta_{inf})$ is ranging 710-750 m. The LIDAR 513 514 observed an SLW cloud at 400-600 m consistent with the SLWC sonde (400-500 m). The 515 LIDAR SLW cloud at 700-750 m is not detected by the SLWC sonde. The integral over the 516 400-500 m layer of the SLWC measured by the sonde is about 7.4 g m⁻², which is within the



517 minimum-maximum values observed by HAMSTRAD on that day, namely 2-10 g m⁻².

Figure 12: (from left to right) Profiles of SLWC (black; g m⁻³) observed on: 22 December 2021 519 520 at 02:24 UTC (ascending phase); 25 December 2021 at 10:30 UTC (descending phase) after a 521 launch at 08:53 UTC; 25 December 2021 at 15:58 UTC (ascending phase) and 29 December 522 2021 at 13:45 UTC (ascending phase). 4-point (20 s) running averages are displayed in red. The potential temperature (0, K) and the relative humidity (U, %) are shown as dotted and dashed 523 524 lines, respectively. Blue triangles represent the height of the potential temperature inflection points. The green vertical line represents the estimated one-sigma error (0.08 g m^{-3}) of the 525 526 SLWC calculated from the SLWC sonde observations. The blue vertical line indicates the 100% 527 relative humidity. The vertical extension of the SLW clouds as observed by the LIDAR within

a ±1 hour window centered on the launch time (ascending phase) or on the time of the flight
end (descending phase) is highlighted in yellow or orange, respectively.

To reduce the duration of instability of the SLWC sonde just after the launch of the balloon, from 29 December 2021, we no longer used an unwinder but an unwound string of length L=40 m (L06 and L07 on 29 December 2021 and L11 on 17 January 2022) or L=20 m (L14 on 24 January 2022 and L15 on 28 January 2022). We still used a parachute to make observations during the descending phase.



535

Figure 13: (from left to right) Same as Figure 12 but on: 29 December 2021 at 17:47 UTC
(ascending phase); 24 January 2022 at 13:51 UTC (ascending phase); 24 January 2022 at 15:30
UTC (descending phase) after a launch at 13:51 UTC and 28 January 2022 at 06:08 UTC
(ascending phase).

541 On 29 December 2021, two launches occurred at 13:45:00 UTC (L06 in ascending phase) 542 and at 17:47:51 UTC (L07 in ascending phase) after more than 2 hours of SLW clouds observed 543 by the LIDAR (Figure S3). The launches were associated with HAMSTRAD-LWP values of 544 1.0-3.5 g m⁻². Note that, on L06, the PTU and SLWC sondes only started acquiring data above 545 750 m in the ascending phase.

546 On L06 (Figure 12), an SLW cloud is detected by the sonde between 750 and 825 m with a maximum of SLWC of 0.16 g m⁻³ and, on L07 (Figure 13), two SLW clouds are estimated, 547 from 425 to 600 m with an SLWC of 0.32 g m⁻³ at 500 m and from 750 to 900 m with an SLWC 548 of 0.28 g m⁻³ at 850 m. On L06, the potential temperature inflection point is certainly below the 549 550 height of 750 m where the sondes started acquiring with near-saturated air at 750 m and, on L07, two potential temperature inflection points are measured at $H(\theta_{inf}) = 700$ and 850 m, 551 with saturated or near saturated air ($U \sim 100\%$ and $\sim 90\%$, respectively). The SLW clouds 552 derived from the SLWC sonde are in the lowermost part or slightly below $H(\theta_{inf})$. For L06, 553 554 the LIDAR observed an SLW cloud at 500-800 m encompassing the sonde at 750-825 m. For L07, the LIDAR observed an SLW cloud at 600-750 m between the two cloud layers observed 555 556 by the sonde at 425-600 and 750-900 m. The amounts of SLWC observed by the sonde and 557 integrated over the layers 750-825 (L06), 425-600 (L07) and 750-900 m (L07) are about 7.5, 33.2 and 23.9 g m⁻², respectively, slightly larger (L06) and much larger (L07) than the 558 559 minimum-maximum values of the LWP observed by HAMSTRAD on that day $(1.0-3.5 \text{ g m}^{-2})$. Two important points need to be emphasised to explain this excess in SLWCs observed by the 560 561 sonde on L07. 1) f is not stable along the vertical during the first few hundred meters after 562 launch (Figure S19), contrary to what was observed during the previous flights analysed (sections 4.2 and 4.3). And 2) the ascending velocity on this day was lower ($\omega \sim 3.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$) than 563 the nominal velocity of the air relative to the vibrating wire ($\sim 5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$). 564

565 On 24 January 2022, we used both the ascending and descending phases of the flight 566 initiated at 13:51:05 UTC (L14) after more than 2 hours of SLW clouds observed by the LIDAR (Figure S4) near the surface between 0 and 200 m. In fact, an episode of intense liquid fog 567 568 developed just before the launch. The launch was associated with HAMSTRAD-LWP values of 1.5-3.0 g m⁻². One of the main caveats with liquid fog is that, when it is intense, the LIDAR 569 570 signal cannot propagate efficiently and the presence of any cloud above the liquid fog layer 571 may not be detected. Note that, when the sondes reached the ground at the end of the flight, the 572 balloon had travelled a distance of about 15 km from the Concordia station during 1 h 25 min of flight (Figure S25). In the ascending phase (L14), two SLW clouds are estimated, around 573 574 600 m and from 800 to 1000 m (Figure 13). Potential temperature inflection points are detected at $H(\theta_{inf}) = 630$ and 920 m, with air close to saturation (U~90-95%) and, to a lesser extent, at 575 576 1400 m. In the descending phase (L15), several spikes of SLW clouds were detected below 1200 m, but the most intense one was located at 775-825 m (Figure 13). The potential 577 temperature inflection points were measured at $H(\theta_{inf}) = 810, 1340$ and 1420 m, with relative 578 579 humidity U ranging 85-95%. In both phases, the SLW clouds derived from the SLWC sonde 580 are located in the lowermost part of the entrainment/capping inversion zone. During the flight, 581 the LIDAR measured two SLW clouds at 50-250 and 750-850 m, in addition to near-surface 582 liquid fog. This means that the SLW cloud around 800 m was detected by all the instruments, 583 while an underlying SLW cloud was detected around 600 m by the sondes and much lower (at 584 350 m) by the LIDAR, slightly below the lowest level where the SLWC sondes start to work 585 well. The SLWCs observed by the sonde and integrated within the layers 800-1000 m (ascending phase) and 775-825 m (descending phase) are about 575.3 and 28.7 g m⁻², 586 587 respectively, much larger than the minimum-maximum values observed by HAMSTRAD on that day (1-5 g m⁻²). Two important points must be emphasized in order to explain this excess 588 589 in SLWC and LWP derived from the sonde in-situ observations. 1) As far as the flight L14 is

590 concerned, f was not stable along the vertical during the first few hundred meters after launch 591 (Figures S21 and S22), contrary to what was observed during the previous flights analysed 592 (sections 4.2 and 4.3). Above all, the flight was carried out when a liquid fog episode developed 593 over the station. Some SLW droplets could well have adhered to the wire of the SLWC sonde 594 before the launch and perturbed the nominal operation of the sonde system, namely the value 595 of the un-iced wire frequency f_0 in eq. (1) and the post-launch stabilization process.

596 The last launch of the summer campaign was performed on 28 January 2022 at 06:08:27 597 UTC (L15 in ascending phase) after more than 2 hours of SLW clouds observed by the LIDAR 598 (Figure S5) at 600-800 m and 900-1000 m. The launch was associated with HAMSTRAD-LWP values of 3.0-3.5 g m⁻². After the launch, the LIDAR detected SLW clouds at about 1000 m. 599 600 Excluding the large signal at 400-500 m which is probably due to some residual vibrations from 601 the launch (Figure 13), two SLW clouds are estimated by the SLWC sonde at 550-700 m with an SLWC of 0.25 g m⁻³ at 650 m and at 1000-1050 m with an SLWC of 0.40 g m⁻³. Three 602 potential temperature inflection points are estimated at $H(\theta_{inf}) = 650, 910$ and 1080 m, with 603 U ranging 85-95%. The SLW clouds detected by the SLWC sonde at ~650 and ~1000 m are 604 605 well within the entrainment/capping inversion zone and at heights slightly less than the LIDAR 606 observations (700-800 m) or very consistent with the LIDAR measurements (950-1050 m), 607 respectively. The SLWC observed by the sonde and integrated within the 550-700 m and the 1000-1050 m layers are about 13.7 and 7.3 g m⁻², respectively, slightly larger than the 608 609 minimum-maximum values observed by HAMSTRAD on that day (2-5 g m⁻²).

610

611 **5. Synthesis and Discussion**

612 *5.1. SLW cloud*

613 Our study reveals that, during the 2021-22 summer campaign at Concordia, the detection 614 of the SLW cloud heights shows high agreement between the remote sensing observations with 615 the LIDAR and the in-situ observations with the SLWC sondes. The clouds are generally 616 located just below the height $H(\theta_{inf})$ of an inflection point in the potential temperature profile, 617 within a layer where the relative humidity U exceeds 80%, sometimes reaching saturation 618 (100%) and in the lowermost part of the entrainment/capping inversion zone depending on the 619 local time. These results are in agreement both with the theory of the diurnal evolution of the 620 PBL, for which boundary-layer clouds develop at the top of the PBL (Stull, 2012), as well as 621 with the first studies carried out at Concordia based only on remote sensing observations 622 (Ricaud et al., 2020). The presence of the SLW clouds is also observed 1) below the height of 623 the inflection point in potential temperature profile during the High-performance Instrumented 624 Airborne Platform for Environmental Research (HIAPER) Pole-to-Pole Observations global 625 transects over the Southern Ocean (Chubb et al., 2013) and 2) around the height of the inflection 626 point in temperature profile above the South Pole station from backscatter LIDAR signal (Lawson and Gettelman, 2014). 627

The SLWC maxima measured by the sondes were ranging 0.2-0.5 g m⁻³ in nominal 628 operations. This is consistent with: 1) the observations performed in the Arctic with the same 629 sondes and with a surface-based AMF3 microwave radiometer (maxima of 0.3-0.4 g m⁻³) 630 631 attached to a tethered balloon (Dexheimer et al., 2019), 2) in situ airborne observations from HIAPPER (maximum of 0.47 g m⁻³) (Chubb et al., 2013), 3) the 580-s observations from the 632 Southern Ocean Clouds, Radiation, Aerosol Transport Experimental Study (SOCRATES) 633 634 airborne campaign over the Southern Ocean (maximum of SLWCs of 0.60 g m⁻³) and 4) results from three climate models (maxima of SLWCs ranging from 0.36 to 0.40 g m⁻³) (Yang et al., 635 2021). 636

637 It should also be noted that the variations at scales smaller than 100 m in the vertical 638 profiles of the SLWC observations are smoothed out because of: 1) the 5-s integration time of 639 the raw measurements, 2) the method of deriving the SLWC from equation (1) which requires 640 the use of the vertical derivative of f, and 3) the 4-point running average applied to the 641 observations to minimise the effect of large signal frequency undulations on the retrieved 642 SLWC. Therefore, the actual location of the SLW clouds from the SLWC sondes might be 643 slightly displaced compared with the actual location of the entrainment/capping inversion zone 644 derived from the PTU sondes.

645 5.2. Vertically-integrated SLWCs

The vertically-integrated SLWCs calculated from in-situ observations were consistent with 646 647 the minimum-maximum LWPs observed by HAMSTRAD (flights L01 and L03 with 648 unwinders) or slightly larger than the maximum of LWP (flights L04 and L15 with unwinder and a fixed string of L = 20 m, respectively). Flight L07 (fixed string of L = 40 m) gave a 649 650 vertically-integrated SLWC greater than that observed by HAMSTRAD by a factor of 5-10, 651 and we can point out that the ascent vertical velocity was certainly too low for the sonde to 652 operate nominally. Finally, for the flight carried out when a liquid fog episode was present 653 (L14), the vibrating wire of the SLWC sonde was probably affected by this event before launch 654 producing an unrealistically large amount of SLWC during the flight. Furthermore, our best 655 results were obtained with an SLWC sonde attached to the balloon with an unwinder.

In nominal operations, LWPs from the sondes were consistent with HAMSTRAD observations (1-14 g m⁻²). Nevertheless, LWPs observed over Concordia deep inside the Antarctic Plateau were much less than those observed in the Arctic (15-40 g m⁻² in Dexheimer et al. (2019) and greater than 50 g m⁻² in Zhang et al. (2019)) and over the coastal Antarctic station of McMurdo (10-50 g m⁻² in Zhang et al. (2019) and 40-60 g m⁻² in Hines et al. (2021)). 5.3. *Quality/sensitivity of the SLWC sonde*

662 Flying during a cloud-free period helped to characterize the random RMSE σ associated 663 with the retrieved SLWC. Compared to the other flights carried out during the campaign, the 664 cloud-free flight (L11 with a fixed string of L = 40 m) was nominal with a low variability of f 665 during the ascent and descent phases for heights above 400 m, from which we estimated that σ 666 was about 0.08 g m⁻³.

The way the balloon is released is a key issue for the stability of the SLWC sonde and needs to be addressed whenever the SLW clouds of interest are near the surface within the PBL. Irrespective of the method used (unwinder or unwound string), during the 2021-22 summer season we were unable to find a way to stabilise the sonde in less than 60 s after launch. One of the main difficulties was that some SLW clouds were located around 400 ± 100 m and, in this case, we were unable to determine whether the variations in the frequency derivatives were due to an instability of the sonde or to a real SLW cloud.

674 Finally, in our opinion, the optimum way to launch the SLWC sonde was to attach it to the 675 balloon with an unwinder although we obtained one scientifically-exploitable flight using an 676 unwound string of length L=40 m (L07 on 29 December 2021). However, we have only 9 flights and more flights would be needed to confirm this. We have already highlighted the difficulty 677 678 of numerical weather prediction models to reproduce the SLW clouds over Concordia, which 679 produces erroneous cloud radiative forcings (Ricaud et al., 2020) along with biased temperature 680 and humidity profiles in the PBL (Ricaud et al., 2023). Therefore, in situ observations, although 681 difficult to deploy, still remain a key tool for improving NWPs in these harsh environments.

682

683 6. Conclusions

The present study intended to observe in situ SLW clouds above the Concordia station by means of sondes sensitive to SLW especially developed by the Anasphere Company. These sondes were attached to meteorological balloons and connected to standard Vaisala PTU sondes during the 2021-2022 summer campaign. These launches were coupled with observations from a backscattered LIDAR providing the nature and height of the clouds, and a microwave radiometer providing the LWP. Over a total of 15 launches, 7 were scientifically exploitable 690 mainly above 400 m agl, a threshold height imposed by the time the SLWC sonde takes to691 stabilize after the launch.

692 The three main outcomes from our analysis are:

a) The in-situ observations of SLW clouds with SLWC sondes at Concordia station in
Antarctica are the first observations so far in Antarctica with a SLWC sonde. The location in
height of the SLW clouds observed by the SLWC sonde is consistent with the profiles of
humidity and temperature (and the deduced inflection points).

b) On average, the heights of the SLW clouds as observed by in-situ sondes and remonte-sensing LIDAR are consistent.

c) The Liquid Water Path (vertically-integrated supercooled liquid water content, SLWC)
deduced from the sonde observations generally equals or is greater than LWP remotely sensed
by a ground-based microwave radiometer in spite of its low values (< 10 g m⁻²). Unfortunately,
on some occasions far from nominal operation (surface liquid fog, low vertical ascent of the
balloon), the vertically-integrated SLWCs from the sonde were overestimated by a factor of 510 compared to the HAMSTRAD LWPs.

Although the vertical sensitivity of the SLWC observations is around 100 m due to the methodology employed (4-point running average of 5-s integration time) and the vertical ascent of 5 m s⁻¹, the SLW clouds were observed in a layer close to saturation (U > 80%) or saturated (U ~100-105%) just below or at the lowermost part of the entrainment zone or capping inversion zone which exists at the top of the PBL and is characterized by an inflection point in the potential temperature vertical profiles. Consequently, our results are consistent with the theoretical view that SLW clouds form and pertain at the top of the PBL.

712 Because of the positive scientific results obtained during this first balloon campaign and 713 since the second campaign in 2022-2023 was technologically successful using a VTOL drone, 714 we forecast a new summer campaign to probe the PBL with an SLWC sonde aboard a drone.

The main advantages of the drone compared with the meteorological balloon are that: 1) it can fly every day or even twice a day with the same SLWC sonde onboard minimizing the number of SLWC and PTU sondes used, 2) it does not require Helium gas that is coming to be more and more difficult and costly with time and 3) it allows us to explore the horizontal variability of the clouds that overperforms the single location of the vertical profiles provided by groundbased instruments.

721

722 Data availability

HAMSTRAD data are available at http://www.cnrm.meteo.fr/spip.php?article961&lang=en
(Ricaud, 2024). The tropospheric depolarization LIDAR data are reachable at
http://lidarmax.altervista.org/lidar/home.php (Del Guasta, 2024). Radiosondes are available at
http://www.climantartide.it/dataaccess/RDS_CONCORDIA/index.php?lang=en (Grigioni,
2024).

728

729 Author contribution

PR, MDG, GC, AR, PG and JB provided the observational data. PR developed the
methodology with the help of all co-authors. All the co-authors participated in the data analysis
and in the data interpretation. PR prepared the manuscript with contributions from all coauthors.

734

735 Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

737

738 Acknowledgements

739 The present research project Water Budget over Dome C (H2O-DC) has been approved by 740 the Year of Polar Prediction (YOPP) international committee. The permanently staffed 741 Concordia station is jointly operated by Institut polaire français Paul-Emile Victor (IPEV) and 742 the Italian Programma Nazionale Ricerche in Antartide (PNRA). The tropospheric LIDAR 743 operates at Dome C from 2008 within the framework of several Italian national (PNRA) 744 projects. We would like to thank all the winterover personnel who worked at Dome C on the 745 different projects. Finally, we would like to thank the two anonymous reviewers for their 746 beneficial comments.

747

748 **Financial support**

The HAMSTRAD programme 910 and the SLW-CLOUDS programme 1247 were supported by IPEV, the Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers (INSU)/Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Météo-France, and the Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES).

753

754 **References**

- Bain, M. and Gayet, J.F.: Aircraft measurements of icing in supercooled and water droplet/ice
 crystal clouds. Journal of Applied Meteorology, 21, 631-641,
 https://www.jstor.org/stable/26180452, 1982.
- 758 Bromwich, D. H., Nicolas, J. P., Hines, K. M., Kay, J. E., Key, E. L., Lazzara, Lubin, D.,
- 759 McFarquhar, G. M., Gorodetskaya, I. V., Grosvenor, D. P., Lachlan-Cope, T., and van
- 760 Lipzig, N. P. M.: Tropospheric clouds in Antarctica, Rev. Geophys., 50, RG1004,
- 761 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011RG000363, 2012.

- 762 Bromwich, D. H., Otieno, F. O., Hines, K. M., Manning, K. W., and Shilo, E.: Comprehensive
- evaluation of polar weather research and forecasting model performance in the Antarctic, J.
 Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 118, 274–292, 2013.
- Chubb, T.H., Jensen, J.B., Siems, S.T. and Manton, M.J.: In situ observations of supercooled
 liquid clouds over the Southern Ocean during the HIAPER pole-to-pole observation
 campaigns. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40(19), 5280-5285, 2013.
- Cossich, W., Maestri, T., Magurno, D., Martinazzo, M., Di Natale, G., Palchetti, L., Bianchini,
 G., and Del Guasta, M.: Ice and mixed-phase cloud statistics on the Antarctic Plateau,
- 770 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 13811–13833, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-13811-2021, 2021.
- 771 Del Guasta, M.: LIDAR INO CNR in Antartide, INO-CNR [data set],
 772 http://lidarmax.altervista.org/lidar/home.php, last access: 17 January 2024.
- 773 Dexheimer, D., M. Airey, E. Roesler, C. Longbottom, K. Nicoll, S. Kneifel, F. Mei, R. G.
- Harrison, G. Marlton, and P. D. Williams: Evaluation of ARM tethered-balloon system
- instrumentation for supercooled liquid water and distributed temperature sensing in mixed-
- phase Arctic clouds, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 6845–6864, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-126845-2019, 2019.
- Engdahl, B.J.K., Thompson, G. and Bengtsson, L.: Improving the representation of supercooled
 liquid water in the HARMONIE-AROME weather forecast model. *Tellus A: Dynamic Meteorology* and *Oceanography*, 72(1), 1-18,
 https://doi.org/10.1080/16000870.2019.1697603, 2020.
- Fogt, R.L. and Bromwich, D.H.: Atmospheric moisture and cloud cover characteristics forecast
 by AMPS. Weather and forecasting, 23(5), 914-930, 2008.
- 784 Grazioli, J., Genthon, C., Boudevillain, B., Duran-Alarcon, C., Del Guasta, M., Madeleine, J.-
- B., and Berne, A.: Measurements of precipitation in Dumont d'Urville, Adélie Land, East

- Antarctica, The Cryosphere, 11, 1797–1811, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-1797-2017,
 2017.
- Grigioni, P.: Antarctic Meteo-Climatological Observatory, IAMCO [data set],
 http://www.climantartide.it/dataaccess/RDS_CONCORDIA/index.php?lang=en, last
 access: 17 January 2024.
- 791 Grosvenor, D. P., Choularton, T. W., Lachlan-Cope, T., Gallagher, M. W., Crosier, J., Bower,
- K. N., Ladkin, R. S., and Dorsey, J. R.: In-situ aircraft observations of ice concentrations
 within clouds over the Antarctic Peninsula and Larsen Ice Shelf, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12,
- 794 11275–11294, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-11275-2012, 2012.
- Hennemuth, B., and Lammert, A.: Determination of the atmospheric boundary layer height
 from radiosonde and lidar backscatter. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, *120*, 181-200,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-005-9035-3, 2006.
- Hines, K.M., Bromwich, D.H., Silber, I., Russell, L.M. and Bai, L.: Predicting Frigid MixedPhase Clouds for Pristine Coastal Antarctica. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *126*(23), p.e2021JD035112, 2021.
- Hogan, R. J. and Illingworth, A. J.: The effect of specular reflection on spaceborne lidar
 measurements of ice clouds, Report of the ESA Retrieval algorithm for EarthCARE project,
 5 pp., 2003.
- 804 King, J. C., Argentini, S. A., and Anderson, P. S.: Contrasts between the summertime surface
- 805 energy balance and boundary layer structure at Dome C and Halley stations, Antarctica, J.
- 806 Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 111, D02105, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006130, 2006.
- 807 King, J. C., Gadian, A., Kirchgaessner, A., Kuipers Munneke, P., Lachlan-Cope, T. A., Orr, A.,
- 808 Reijmer, C., Broeke, M. R., van Wessem, J. M., and Weeks, M.: Validation of the 809 summertime surface energy budget of Larsen C Ice Shelf (Antarctica) as represented in

- 810 three high-resolution atmospheric models, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 120, 1335–1347,
- 811 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022604, 2015.
- 812 Lachlan-Cope, T.: Antarctic clouds, Polar Res., 29, 150–158, 2010.
- 813 Lachlan-Cope, T., Listowski, C., and O'Shea, S.: The microphysics of clouds over the Antarctic
- 814 Peninsula Part 1: Observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 15605–15617,
- 815 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-15605-2016, 2016.
- Lawson, R. P. and Gettelman, A.: Impact of Antarctic mixed-phase clouds on climate, P. Natl.
 Acad. Sci. USA, 111, 18156–18161, 2014.
- Lemus, L., Rikus, L., Martin, C., and Platt, R.: Global cloud liquid water path simulations. J.
 Climate, 10(1), 52-64, 1997.
- Lenaerts, J. T., Van Tricht, K., Lhermitte, S. and L'Ecuyer, T. S.: Polar clouds and radiation in
 satellite observations, reanalyses, and climate models, Geophysical Research Letters, 44(7),
 3355-3364, 2017.
- 823 Listowski, C. and Lachlan-Cope, T.: The microphysics of clouds over the Antarctic Peninsula
- Part 2: modelling aspects within Polar WRF, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 10195–10221,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-10195-2017, 2017.
- 826 Listowski, C., Delanoë, J., Kirchgaessner, A., Lachlan-Cope, T., and King, J.: Antarctic clouds,
- supercooled liquid water and mixed phase, investigated with DARDAR: geographical and
 seasonal variations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 6771–6808, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-196771-2019, 2019.
- Lozowski, E.P., Stallabrass, J.R. and Hearty, P.F.: The icing of an unheated, nonrotating
 cylinder. Part I: A simulation model. Journal of applied meteorology and climatology,
 22(12), 2053-2062, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 833 0450(1983)022%3C2053:TIOAUN%3E2.0.CO;2, 1983.

- Lubin, D., Chen, B., Bromwich, D. H., Somerville, R. C., Lee, W. H., and Hines, K. M.: The
 Impact of Antarctic Cloud Radiative Properties on a GCM Climate Simulation, J. Climate,
 11, 447-462, 1998.
- 837 Mishchenko, M. I., Hovenier, J. W., and Travis, L. D. (Eds.): Light Scattering by Nonspherical
- Particles: Theory, Measurements, and Applications, Academic Press, chap. 14, 393–416,
 2000.
- O'Shea, S. J., Choularton, T. W., Flynn, M., Bower, K. N., Gallagher, M., Crosier, J., Williams,
 P., Crawford, I., Flem- ing, Z. L., Listowski, C., Kirchgaessner, A., Ladkin, R. S., and
 Lachlan-Cope, T.: In situ measurements of cloud microphysics and aerosol over coastal
 Antarctica during the MAC campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 13049–13070,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-13049-2017, 2017.
- 845 Ricaud, P.: HAMSTRAD, CNRM [data set],
 846 http://www.cnrm.meteo.fr/spip.php?article961&lang=en, last access: 17 January 2024.
- Ricaud, P., Gabard, B., Derrien, S., Chaboureau, J.-P., Rose, T., Mombauer, A. and Czekala,
 H.: HAMSTRAD-Tropo, A 183-GHz Radiometer Dedicated to Sound Tropospheric Water
 Vapor Over Concordia Station, Antarctica, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 48, 1365–1380, doi:
 10.1109/TGRS.2009.2029345, 2010.
- Ricaud, P., Bazile, E., del Guasta, M., Lanconelli, C., Grigioni, P., and Mahjoub, A.: Genesis
 of diamond dust, ice fog and thick cloud episodes observed and modelled above Dome C,
 Antarctica, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 5221-5237, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-52212017, 2017.
- Ricaud, P., Del Guasta, M., Bazile, E., Azouz, N., Lupi, A., Durand, P., Attié, J.-L., Veron, D.,
 Guidard, V., and Grigioni, P.: Supercooled liquid water cloud observed, analysed, and
 modelled at the top of the planetary boundary layer above Dome C, Antarctica, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 20, 4167–4191, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-4167-2020, 2020.

- 859 Ricaud, P., Medina, P., Durand, P., Attié, J.L., Bazile, E., Grigioni, P., Guasta, M.D. and Pauly,
- 860 B.: In Situ VTOL Drone-Borne Observations of Temperature and Relative Humidity over

861 Dome C, Antarctica. Drones, 7(8), 532, https://doi.org/10.3390/drones7080532, 2023.

- 862 Ricaud, P., Del Guasta, M., Lupi, A., Roehrig, R., Bazile, E., Durand, P., Attié, J.-L., Nicosia,
- 863 A., and Grigioni, P.: Supercooled liquid water clouds observed over Dome C, Antarctica:
- temperature sensitivity and cloud radiative forcing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 24, 613–630,
- 865 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-613-2024, 2024.
- Serke, D., E. Hall, J. Bognar, A. Jordan, S. Abdo, K. Baker, T. Seitel, M. Nelson, R. Ware, F.
 McDonough, and M. Politovich: Supercooled liquid water content profiling case studies
 with a new vibrating wire sonde compared to a ground-based microwave radiometer,
 Atmospheric Research, 149, 77–87, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.05.026,
 2014.
- Stull, R. B.: An introduction to boundary layer meteorology, Vol. 13, Springer Science &
 Business Media, 2012.
- Tomasi, C., Petkov, B., Mazzola, M., Ritter, C., di Sarra, A., di Iorio, T., and del Guasta, M.:
 Seasonal variations of the relative optical air mass function for background aerosol and thin
- cirrus clouds at Arctic and Antarctic sites, Remote Sensing, 7(6), 7157-7180, 2015.
- Yang, C. A., Diao, M., Gettelman, A., Zhang, K., Sun, J., McFarquhar, G., and Wu, W.: Ice
 and supercooled liquid water distributions over the Southern Ocean based on in situ
 observations and climate model simulations. Journal of Geophysical Research:
 Atmospheres, 126, e2021JD036045. https://doi. org/10.1029/2021JD036045, 2021.
- 880 Young, G., Lachlan-Cope, T., O'Shea, S. J., Dearden, C., Listowski, C., Bower, K. N., 881 Choularton, T. W., and Gallagher, M. W.: Radiative effects of secondary ice enhancement 882 in coastal Antarctic clouds, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 2312-2321, 883 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL080551, 2019.

- Zhang, D., Vogelmann, A., Kollias, P., Luke, E., Yang, F., Lubin, D. and Wang, Z.: Comparison
- 885 of Antarctic and Arctic single-layer stratiform mixed-phase cloud properties using ground-
- based remote sensing measurements. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,
- 887 *124*(17-18), 10186-10204, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030673, 2019.
- 888